

SEA-TAC INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT P.O. BOX 68727 / SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98188

March 31, 1976

Ms. Virginia Dana 2648 South 142nd Seattle, WA 98168

Dear Virginia:

I am in receipt of your letter, dated March 28, concerning the boundries established by the Port of Seattle for the relocation of homes acquired from the Port.

You have raised a good question and we will take a look at your suggestion to see whether or not we should, in fact, change our present directives.

Thank you for bringing this to our attention.

Sincerely,

Donald G. Shay Director of Aviation

DGS:se

cc: G. Lansing, Real Estate A. Yoshioka, Planning & Research

March 28, 1976

Donald G. Shay Dir. of Aviation Sea Tac International Airport

Dear Don:

The noise data for the Sea Tac Communities Plan has given us "new" high noise boundaries. The Sea Tac Communities Plan has given us boundaries for noise remedy programs. Definate boundaries within certain gird systems. WHY has the Port of Seattle NOT changed the boundaries wherein Port acquired homes can be relocated? My concern has been for the area east of the airport, between the airport itself and So. 126th. By using Highway 99 as an east boundary-you really mean east. It does not come even close to following any suggested boundaries within the PLAN.

Please take another look--there are vacant lots that could well use homes relocated to them. We NEED the tax base! While Hud-Fha is not allowing NEW residential construction within the confines of the old Zone 3 map--why does the POS remain so hard-headed? The banks and other lending agencies are even easier going!

Yours truly,