



AGENDA

AGENDA

AIR TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

September 20, 1973 8:00 p.m.
Community Office, 253 South 152nd St.
Burien, Washington 98148

- 1) Chairman's comments
- 2) Task Force Reports
Program, near-term, abatement alternatives, survey, audio-visual (TV)
- 3) *Interim Acquisition*
Urban Development Committee Liaison Report
- 4) Policy Advisory Committee Report
- 5) Project update, forecasts and noise impact formula

Ed Parks, Port of Seattle

- 6) Committee Purpose Statement
- 7) Other

SPECIAL NOTE: Plans are to show the 'noise' TV program before the meeting at 7:30. Call the Community Office the day of the meeting if you would like to come early and view the program.

I. Sea-Tac and Its Neighbors

If no new planning is done and land use conditions are unchanged, who is paying for airport problems? Who might pay if changes are made?

Of what importance is the airport to your community?

What should be the basis for deciding how big the airport should be? Why?

Do you feel there are any good uses for land heavily affected by airport noise?

If so what are they and why?

II. Urban Streams, A Hard Look

What are all the values of a natural watercourse to a community that you can think of? Which of these values or uses are the most important to you? Which are of secondary importance? Which can be provided for by other means?

How would things have to change or be changed, if the priorities given by this group were to be applied?

From the list of proposed alternatives for these streams, which fit your priorities? Which would be most feasible?

III. A Memory Sketch -- (Aesthetic Survey of the Community)

What conclusions can be drawn from asking community members such as yourselves to map good and bad points in a community?

How can information compiled from the memory sketch exercise be used in planning for the area?

How does the citizen's preferred view of the community compare with the current King County comprehensive plan map for the area?

IV. Community Planning--Only A Game?

(Class members critique maps of their ideal community completed at home before class--class uses value judgements set at previous classes as criteria for judging) From the list of all the planning factors (each member has a copy) which are the primary ones, in your opinion? Can primary planning factors always be applied? When would there be conflicts?

From the list of the many bases on which conflicts are decided, select those which you feel should be used for making wise land use decisions.

V. The Decision Makers

(Reports from class members on their observations of government in action during the past week). What has been your most frustrating experience with government?

Contrast that experience with your most satisfying experience with government.

(Each member responds in turn) How can some of these frustrations be overcome?

What role does adequate information play in citizen influence? Where can a citizen get the information he needs?

VI. Alternative Futures

(Each class member presents his alternative for change in a King County comprehensive plan text change -- Class members have previously chosen a section to work on. Each alternative is judged by the class on the basis of past consensus. Members make changes if they wish. The tested alternatives are then forwarded. Discussion will include feasibility of implementation, needs for a policy, details of carrying it out and its overall value to the community.) (Winter quarter classes instead of suggesting alternatives for the study team to work on will judge alternatives proposed by the study team and the citizen involvement program).



SEPTEMBER, 1973

MEETINGS:

- Citizens' Air Transportation Committee
Thursday, Sept. 20, 8:00 p.m., Community Office
- Citizens' Urban Development Committee
Wednesday, Sept. 26, 8:00 p.m., Community Office
Water (Quality, Drainage) Task Force
Wednesday, Sept. 19, 7:30 p.m., Community Office
- Technical Advisory Committee
Tuesday, October 2, 10:30 a.m., Community Office
- Policy Advisory Committee
Tuesday, October 2, 2:00 p.m., Airport Conference Room

Agendas and minutes for all meetings are posted on the community office bulletin board. Various task force meetings of the citizen committees are held throughout the month. Call the community office if you are interested in attending a task force meeting.

PORT ACQUISITION - The Port of Seattle staff has recommended to the Port of Seattle Commission to acquire additional land to the north and south of Sea-Tac. The recommended acquisition follows FAA expanded approach zone guidelines and involves approximately 475 acres - from the north airport boundary up to So. 136th, and from the south airport boundary up to the Des Moines Creek Canyon under the south runway approach. Details, maps and further information regarding this proposal to the Port Commission is available at the community office.

MEMORY SKETCH MAPPING - The Community Opportunities Task Force of the Urban Development Committee has been involving people in mapping their impressions of the community. Drawing ability is not necessary in that simple symbols are all that have to be "sketched" on the map. If you would like to participate in one of the sessions, please call Irene Jones at TR 8-7809, or contact the community office for more information.

CONCERNS SURVEY - If you receive the newsletter, you should have received the Concerns Questionnaire sent by the Survey and Community Opportunities Task Forces. A good return will greatly help the groups in their work, so please express your concerns and forward them on to the community office.

TV PROGRAMS - The Audio-Visual Task Force has been advisory to the production of three TV tapes this summer. One on Noise, another on Water Quality and the third on Land Use. The tapes will be used as "starters" for a series of six "classes" to be held in eight to ten neighborhoods beginning the week of Oct. 22nd. Meetings will be in elementary schools and the community college as pilot projects this fall. The goal of the "classes" is to discover where there is substantial agreement within the community on values or guidelines for judging plan alternatives. Committee members who wish to take part in setting guidelines should sign up for one of the classes. Information as to hours and location are available at the community office. A handout brochure is also available.

We call for the Port of Seattle to purchase the most highly noise-impacted area which would include the FAA designated area labeled as "extended clear zone" for which there is FAA matching funds available. This action should be started immediately as opposed to waiting for the results of the 18 month study.

Knowing one of the problems is the lack of funds-we suggest the Port of Seattle make offers to purchase property from the owners in ~~this-are~~ these areas on the basis of Real Estate contracts. The property owners then take the place of the banking institutions, therefore, leaving the Port of Seattle with a favorable interest rate and long term payment program.

The advantage to the Port of Seattle is that it takes less cash immediately with better interest rates, generally, and gives the Port of Seattle a longer period of time to try to cash these property owners out. We suggest this basis to be used on the larger and more costly parcels of property, leaving a cash out basis where it is needed for home owners of less value.

A I R T R A N S P O R T A T I O N C O M M I T T E E

Second draft statement of committee purpose and objectives, prepared by the program task force for discussion at the Committee's General Meeting, September 20, 1973.

PURPOSE: ENSURE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN PLANNING FOR THE SEA-TAC COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS DETERMINING AND RESOLVING CONFLICTS WITH AIR TRANSPORTATION.

OBJECTIVES:

- * Investigate relationships between air transportation and the communities.

- * Through community action, devise solutions for identified problems.

- * Assure and monitor implementation of problem solutions.

9/7/73

2. We call for immediate tax relief in the form of "decreased tax rate" not decreased property values for homeowners living in the FAA established Zone 3 (C).

3. We feel that Zone 3 (C) homes repossessed by FHA should not be resold to anyone-other than the Port of Seattle.

4. WE WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THAT
PORT OF SEATTLE CONSIDER USING THE
REAL ESTATE CONTRACT AS A MEANS
OF EXTENDING NON-FEDERALLY MATCHED
MONEY TO PURCHASE HOMES