

EXPLORATORY DRAFT
POST PROJECT COORDINATION STUDY

There is little question that some system is needed to assure that the implementation programs resulting from the Sea-Tac Communities Plan will be carried out. In fact, the need for some form of post project coordination was anticipated at the time of the initial grant application and was included as work item 15.6. To develop the most effective system, a study along the following lines is suggested.

OBJECTIVE:

To assure continued, coordinated implementation of the program.

PROGRAMS ANTICIPATED:

In evaluating any "post project coordination" system, the basic question of what is to be coordinated must be answered. To a large extent, most of the implementation programs can now be described:

1. Noise improvement programs:

- a. Programs to reduce noise at the source will involve the Port of Seattle, Airlines, aircraft manufacturers, and the FAA. Programs will include both physical and operational changes.
- b. Programs which are applied to receivers of noise will involve administration, on-going monitoring systems, complaint reception and processing, etc. Principal agencies will include the Port of Seattle and King County. Other agencies may include the FHA, KC Housing Authority and State Highway Department.

2. Drainage Programs:

- a. Implementation of the physical aspects of the drainage program will rest principally with the Port of Seattle and King County.

Other related agencies may be involved, such as the State Highway Department, Metro, Corp of Engineers, etc. Emphasis will be in terms of carrying out capital improvement projects.

- b. Administration of land development controls to assure proper drainage controls at the source.
- c. Community programs to improve drainage conditions and existing developed sources and to improve water quality conditions.

3. Community Action Programs:

- a. Local neighborhood or business district improvement programs.
- b. District improvements, such as new sewers.

4. Area zoning guidelines and Middle Plan:

- a. Administration of land development controls, to assure compliance with adopted area zoning guidelines and middle plan policies.
- b. Draft and adopt new development controls and ordinances.

5. Capital Improvement Programs:


- a. Port of Seattle
- b. King County

6. Legislative Advocacy:

- a. Federal legislation and policy changes.
- b. State legislation.

7. General Recommendations:

- a. Other recommendations, not covered above, will probably result from the study.
- b. Some follow-up studies may be necessary to further implement some programs.



COORDINATION NEEDS:

The study of a coordination system should methodically analyze the needs of each program. Only then can the best system be designed and the responsibilities and authorities defined. Logically, the study would follow the outline of implementation programs:

1. Noise Improvement Programs:

- a. Programs to reduce noise at source .
- b. Programs applying to receivers of noise.

2. Drainage Programs:

- a. Capital Programs
- b. Development Controls
- c. Community Action

3. Community Action Programs:

- a. Local Neighborhood or Business District
- b. Special District

4. Area Zoning Guidelines and Middle Plan:

- a. Land Development Controls Administration
- b. Legislation

5. Capital Improvement Programs:

- a. Port of Seattle
- b. King County

6. Legislative Advocacy:

- a. Federal
- b. State

7. General Recommendations:

COORDINATION ALTERNATIVES:

1. No special system. Port of Seattle and King County independently carry out their respective programs.
2. Maintain the Policy Advisory Committee, with only minor changes in rules and responsibilities.
3. Form special coordinating body to include both related agencies and community representatives. Members appointed by Port and King County.
4. Delegate responsibility to a special group, such as a "Community Council", which selects its own members from the community by an open election process.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

1. What should be the duration of any "post project coordination" effort?
2. Should all programs be subject to "coordination"?

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT NOTE:

Drafts of this study should be presented to all citizen task force groups for review and critique.

No change considered for
Drainage problem
Miller Creek = (County responsible)
Hilltop (110 ~~acres~~) shared by POS & State

Clear up & landscaping by POS.
Chris Rendon signed application
to FFA for funding yesterday (12/14/04)
not requiring Mill by order?
purchase public & land banking

344345

04-2580

West side hill area -
aqueduct - not
guarantee
ADDP DEPT

Lowland -
single family residential
FEMA 12
primarily

POS & County
agree to water HUD-FHA

Traffic pattern to
160th & 1/2 - a
collected arterial.

Miller Creek -
either -
eliminate open space?

Single family residential
1) Be put there
only hillside ponds

2) Decider of property
along creek for the
1) open space
NO PUBLIC TRAIL
87 Miller Creek

2

1