

Sea-Tac Communities Plan
Community Involvement Program

SUMMARY OF NEWSPAPER SUPPLEMENT
QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES

The following is a tabulation by frequency of general response to the newspaper supplement "Where Are We Going?" Actual verbatim responses were grouped into general categories of response for the purposes of this summary. The returned questionnaires or the verbatim transcribed record can be consulted for actual responses.

Listed below are the noise improvement program possibilities presented in the supplement. Program numbers correspond to the responses type in questions 1 through 3.

IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM POSSIBILITIES

1. Acquisition and removal of all existing buildings and change to open space.
2. Acquisition and removal of existing buildings and change to other uses that are more compatible with noise.
3. Acquisition with some retention, through leases, of existing uses.
4. Purchase guarantee applied to residential property to assure saleability.
5. Mortgage insurance either through changes in existing FHA and VA policy or through new supplemental programs.
6. Permanent easements by the purchase of flyover and noise rights from property owners.
7. Limited term easements through purchase of limited time rights (e.g., 5 years).
8. Sound insulation of existing residential or other properties.
9. Construction controls on the type of buildings allowed by requiring special soundproofing.
10. Change in land development controls, such as zoning.
11. Other ideas?

1. Which "noise improvement program" would best meet your personal needs?

1.	17
2.	24
3.	2
4.	16
5.	8
6.	4
7.	6
8.	12
9.	3
10.	8
11.	20

No Response . 13

2. Would you remain in your home if one or more of the "noise improvement programs" were available?

Yes, which ones 25

1.	3
2.	0
3.	0
4.	13
5.	6
6.	4
7.	3
8.	8
9.	1
10.	2
11.	6

No, 29

Want to move for reasons other than noise. 15

Not bothered by noise 34

No response. 10

3. Which of the "noise improvement programs" would best solve the problems of your immediate neighborhood?

1.	15
2.	15
3.	3
4.	17
5.	6
6.	5
7.	3
8.	8
9.	4
10.	8
11.	22

NO Response. 14

4. What public project or program might best improve your neighborhood?

Zoning	6
Parks	15
Continue 509	2
Improve public transportation	2
Improve streets and roads	11
Greenbelts	16
Property Tax relief	5
Dispersing low income housing projects	1
Clean up Des Moines	1
Community centers	5
Resale guarantee	2
Improve police service	1
Total acquisition	8
Eliminate property uncertainty near airport	4
Reduction of aircraft noise	6
Sound insulation	3
Industrial parks	3
No response	12

5. Would you support more governmental controls to protect the environment?

Yes	60
No	20
Sufficient control now	8
Control seldom works	7
Used, not abused	5
Local control only	13
Noise	6
No response	12

6. Where in your community do you think the use of open space can solve problems?

High noise area	7
North of airport	15
South of airport	13
West of airport	11
East of airport	2
Around airport	9
Valley Ridge Park	1
You name it	1
None	2
Acquisition area	2
So 166th & Military Road	1
Openspace eliminates nothing, need jobs	1
Miller Creek Area	3
Des Moines Creek	2
Parks for all residential areas	1
Zone 3	5
There is none left	1
Between So. 156th and So 174th	6
No response	20

7. Where in your neighborhood do you think new commercial and industrial uses should be located?

Somewhere besides Green River Valley	1
Around airport	9
Not in my community	3
Tacoma	2
South of airport	9
Area too small	1
Between SR 509 & Airport	4
Zone 3	3
North of airport	8
West side of airport	16
Federal Way	1
Along So 188th	2
In noise area	8
Where it is most profitable	1
East of airport	6
Well planned industrial parks	1
Don't know	7
None	2
No response	12

8. How do you think the community can best share in the vitality of the airport? Should it?

Solve problems first	1
No need	8
Through Sea-Tac Communities Plan	3
Receiving good services	1
Public access to terminal	3
It must	4
Complete information about community	1
Incompatible	8
— Buildings on Port property should support	
local taxing districts	7
Small industry near airport	4
Support airport	3
Develop west side	11
Jobs	3
Greenbelt around airport	4
Improve 99 strip	2
Move airport	1
Park & Ride lots near airport	1
Develop recreation/cultural centers	8
Don't Know	1
Purchase homes	3
Limit commercial development	1
zoning	3
Stay out of Burien	2
No response	18

9. How do you feel the extension of freeway 509 should be handled?

Extend to So 188th	12
Around airport	11
Connect to I-5 at Midway	1
Connect to I-5 at Kent/Des Moines Road	1
As planned	8
North of Tacoma	1
It's a political project	1
South to So. 200th	5
We don't need it	6
Connect to I-5	4
Promptly	4
Who cares	2
Stop in Des Moines	5
Connect to South 216th	2
Leave as is	3
Noresponse	35

10. What attempts should be made to improve community identity?

Blend the airport and surroungdings	6
Public input	2
Burien is enough community	3
Encourage private business	4
Burien incoorporate	3
Area bicycle & pedestrain paths	1
None	6
Who needs it	3
Improve scenery	1
Better jobs	3
Sharing of open space	2
More community schools	1
Better recreation programs	6
Expanded service center	2
Rural residential	1
Commerical between Burien & Airport	5
Controlled development	2
Close airport	1
Give us back our "CH" prefixes	1
Give us back our proper addresses	1
Community councils	4
Cluster community services	4
Stabilize neighborhoods	2
Silence aircraft engines	2
Zoning	2
Don't ignore east of 99	2
No response	38

11. What do you think the future of the west side should be?

Airport industry	11
Let property owners decide	2
Commerical between Burien & airport	2
Warehousing/light industry	9
Residential	18
Keep hand off	4
Large scale development	4
Development clusters, compatible with residential	2
Open space	14
Controlled development	2
Blend airport & surroundings	2
No response	31

12. Can you take action on your property to slow or contian water runoff?

Yes	28
No	27
Build simple drain pits	3
Natural springs	1
French drains	2
Ground cover	15
Dig a ditch	2
Creek on my property	2
Don't asphalt driveway	2
Dam the creek	1
Underground tile field	2
No problem	8
No response	20

13. Does the holding pond approach seem acceptable?

Yes	41
No	8
Should be landscaped	9
Water quality treatment required	6
Should include recreational use	9
In some instances	5
It would help	1
Within openspaces	1
If economical	1
If needed	3
They are ugly	3