

The County was aware of non-compliance-both Glendale and potential use at Glacier-July 18th letter

Was the Commission and/or the school district notified? When?

When applying for Conditional Use Permit for Glacier the Commission knew what their responsibilities were. They knew that they should not move in until the decision by the examiner was made.

Local paper stated county was allowing the present tenants to remain in the closed schools pending the outcome of the permit hearings.

Commission could have gotten an extension from the district to allow them to stay until the process had been complete. The district should have seen to it. Lease not signed until Aug. something- If there is no other use for Glendale at this time there would have been no problem.

Dave Baugh(392-5223) gave the county a list of businesses not in compliance within the Highline vicinity . He did not file a complaint, I think, but gave the list to Norm Peterson-King County zoning complaints, either from himself or on behalf of some clients. It still should be public information. I would not be surprised that the schools leased by the Highline School District were among the names on that list. It was done before my complaint.

non-complying  
While it is not my intent to "close down" this ~~use~~ use- I find myself trying to make a point for the process that we are legally suppose to follow--but am being asked to let go, it was all a mistake-Sorry.

How do you make a point-something that is of concern to the local community-by hiding it under a pillow? We hear rumblings of distrust of county officials, the school district, politics, whatever- are we suppose to continue to "cover up" these little mistakes?

*Virginia Davis*

Concern: Two year lease of Glacier perhaps to follow by a long-term lease- Will the use set a presedent?????