

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to [508 standards](#) due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Associations between Aircraft Noise Exposure and Self-Reported Sleep Duration and Quality in the United States-Based Prospective Nurses' Health Study Cohort

Matthew Bozigar, Tianyi Huang, Susan Redline, Jaime E. Hart, Stephanie T. Grady, Daniel D. Nguyen, Peter James, Bradley Nicholas, Jonathan I. Levy, Francine Laden, and Junenette L. Peters

Table of Contents

Table S1. Number of participants in the study and exclusions by successive criteria for each survey year in the repeated measures analysis of short sleep duration.

Table S2. Spearman correlation coefficients (r) at study baseline (2000) among environmental exposures considered for inclusion in multivariable statistical models.

Table S3. Baseline age-adjusted characteristics of women in the Nurses' Health Study overall and for participants with survey data only at study baseline (2000) and for those with data for multiple survey years (2002, 2008, 2012, or 2014).

Table S4. Statistics at study baseline (2000) describing aircraft nighttime (L_{night}) and day-night sound levels (DNL) among exposed participants (≥ 45 dB(A), respectively) for the 90 United States airports included in the study overall and by airport characteristics assessed as potential effect modifiers in statistical analyses (U.S. Census region, near major cargo airport, near water-adjacent airport).

Table S5. Results of a sensitivity analyses showing main exposure (nighttime aircraft sound level $L_{night} \geq 45$ dB[A]) estimated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for different combinations of variables potentially confounding the relationship with sleep outcomes.

Table S6. Estimated odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and trend p-values of exposure-response relationships between categorical aircraft sound level metrics and sleep outcomes by level of adjustment in multivariable statistical modeling (corresponding to Figures 2 to 5).

Figure S1. Theoretical directed acyclic graph (DAG) guiding the analysis on aircraft noise and disrupted sleep. This DAG is intended to represent the “ideal” study of disrupted sleep.

Figure S2. Operational directed acyclic graph (DAG) of the analysis on aircraft noise and disrupted sleep. This DAG includes variables evaluated in statistical models.