King County CouncIl<br>Bob Greive, District No. 8

Room 402, King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104
(206) 344-3447

OVER MY PROTESTS, BOEING'S HEADQUARTERS WERE RELOCATED in an area designed to protect surrounding homes from airport related impacts.
THE HIGHLINE COMMUNITY PLAN?. Seattar Pan differs in kea was adopted in December of 1977, designating the area between the airport to the east, 12 th Avenue South on the west, South 154 th Street on the north, and South 176 th Street on the south as an open space buffer. To permit this relocation, the King County Council had to pass an ordinance amending the comprehensive plan before the rezone from single family residential to light manufacturing could be granted.

THE RESIDENTS ADJACENT TO THE AIRPORT
were opposed. They felt that permitting the Boeing Company to proceed would set a precedent for other development within the open space buffer.

THE MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL VOTED FOR . . . . .
the amendment (three out of the nine opposed). I understand that the local residents are pursuing the matter in the courts.

IN THE FUTURE
I intend to continue to oppose any airport development which does not protect the surrounding neighborhoods.

HOW CAN WE FORCE
the Port Commission and/or the federal government and King County to keep their word with respect to the promises they have made in connection with Sea-Tac?

I am enclosing a return card soliciting your opinion regarding proposals which have been made in this regard. May I hear from you at your earliest convenience.


Enclosure

1. All airport development should be frozen
(a) unless Port Commission condemns, acquires or fully compensates residents for their loss due to noise pollution in Class 3 , 2 \& 1.
(b) unless King County voters permit development of more airport facilities.
2. Airplanes have become quieter and there should be no restrictions.

