

**ATTACHMENT 4f:
SCOPING COMMENTS RECEIVED**

Actual Comments with Assigned Topic Code



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

	QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
S11-1	Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
S11-2	Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
S11-1/S11-2	Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
S5-1	Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

S11-3	Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
S5-1	Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
S5-1	What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
S11-1	Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
S11-4	What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
S2-1	Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: _____

Address:

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dorothypederson@hotmail.com](mailto:dorothypederson@hotmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:22:01 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Pederson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Dorothy Pederson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Mrs. Pederson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,




Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dorothy Pederson Signature: 

Address: 2614 SW 149th Pl

E-mail: dorothispederson@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sparkerward@comcast.net](mailto:sparkerward@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:18:15 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Parker.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Sharyn Parker of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 9 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Parker be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	sy
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	sy
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	sy
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	sp
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	sp
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	sp
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	sp
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	sp
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	sp

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: SHARYN PARKER

Signature: Sharyn Parker

Address: 16920 22nd Ave SW, Burien, WA 98166-3318

E-mail: SPARKERWARD@COMCAST.NET

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; mejweaver@comcast.net](mailto:mejweaver@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:15:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Weaver.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Marian Julum-Weaver of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 1 comment below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Weaver be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>MJW</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Marian Julum Weaver* Signature: *Marian Julum Weaver*

Address: *2437 SW 170th St
Burien WA 98148*

E-mail: *mejweaver@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; david.a.zem@gmail.com](mailto:david.a.zem@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:12:02 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Zemanek.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. David Zemanek of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Zemanek be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>DAZ</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>DAZ</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>DAZ</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>DAZ</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Jay
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Jay
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Jay
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Jay
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Jay
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Jay

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *David Zemanek* Signature: *David Zemanek*
Address: *805 S. 265th St*
E-mail: *david.a.zem@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ken-olson@comcast.net](#)
Subject: 10 Sample Questions AND Related Scoping Requests
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 4:46:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Olson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Ken Olson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Olson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>Ko</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>Ko</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>Ko</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>Ko</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>Ko</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>Ko</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *KEN OLSON* Signature: *[Signature]*

Address: *28440 Redondo Beach Dr S
Des Moines, WA 98198*

E-mail: *Ken-Olson@Comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: dsdennis@pacbell.net](mailto:dsdennis@pacbell.net)
Subject: Attachment Correction for Deborah Dennis Public Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:52:21 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Dennis Debra.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

**Please see the correct attachment for Deborah Dennis, her husbands was mistakenly attached in the previous email.

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Deborah Dennis of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Dennis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: ~~DEBORAH S. DENNIS~~ DENNIS Signature: 

Address: 13022 16th AVE. SW, BURTON WA 98146

E-mail: dsdennis@pacbell.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Tricia Anderson
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [TRICIA](#)
Subject: Attn: Steve Rybolt - Port of Seattle
Date: Friday, September 21, 2018 4:33:18 PM
Attachments: [doc04908520180921153021.pdf](#)

Please see attached. Thank you. Tricia Anderson



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>TA</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>TA</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>TA</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>TA</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: TRICIA ANDERSON Signature: J Anderson

Address: P.O. Box 1249 Seahurst, WA 98062

E-mail: tricia.anderson206@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Candace Urquhart
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [Candace Urquhart](#); [Quiet Skies](#)
Subject: Candace Urquhart SAMP Public Comment
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 4:48:05 PM
Attachments: [IMG_20180928_0003- cu public comment.pdf](#)







From: Candace Urquhart
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 3:32 PM
To: samp@portseattle.com; [Quiet Skies](#)
Cc: Candace Urquhart
Subject: Candace Urquhart SAMP Public Comment



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>CMS</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>CMS</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>CMS</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>CMS</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CANDACE URQUHART Signature: 

Address: 25465 Marine View Dr S.
DES MOINES WA 98199

E-mail: CANDACE@Bellamaterng.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; larry05@outlook.com](mailto:larry05@outlook.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:45:12 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Larry Knutson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Larry Knutson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 12 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Knutson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.

ALL ABOVE

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *HARRY KILGUS* Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *27019 7th PL S
TRESMERE WA 98175*

E-mail: *harry.kilgus@portseattle.org*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

*WANT AWARENESS OF TRAFFIC IS STRONG GO THAT DOES NOT PROVIDE ANY TANGIBLE BENEFIT TO THE REGION (JOB DON'T COUNT)
ALSO ASK AIRPORT TO PROVIDE THIS Hub Activity*

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: iris.hernandez.77@gmail.com](mailto:iris.hernandez.77@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:56:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Hernandez.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Iris Hernandez of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Hernandez be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	✓
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	✓
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	✓
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	✓
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	✓
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	✓

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Iris Hernandez

Signature: 

Address: 2900 35th Ave

E-mail: iris.hernandez.33@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; billydamato@gmail.com](mailto:SAMP_Public_Comments; billydamato@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:53:42 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Damato.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. William Damato of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Damato be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	WVD
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	WVD
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	WVD
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	WVD

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WVD
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WVD
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WVD
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WVD
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WVD
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WVD

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: WILLIAM DAMATO Signature: 

Address: 1827 246th PL. SO.

E-mail: DES MOINES, WA 98198

BILLV DAMATO @ GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; wilson.tandrew@gmail.com](mailto:wilson.tandrew@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:51:48 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Wilson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Andy Wilson of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 12 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.





Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Wilson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

- Also: ① Prioritize, and install a 3-sided enclosure for ^{entry} run-up tests.
 ② Oppose the new Q-400/Airbus flight path over Burien for north-flow take-offs — and no expansion of this for jet aircraft.

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	[Signature]
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	[Signature]
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	[Signature]
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. (not really true bcoy...)	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	[Signature]
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	[Signature]
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	[Signature]

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Andy Wilson Signature: [Signature]

Address: 17229 Sylvester Rd. SW, Normandy Park WA 98166

E-mail: wilson.tandrew@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; simmonsmarinegear@yahoo.com](mailto:simmonsmarinegear@yahoo.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:39:41 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Simmons.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Dan Simmons of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Simmons be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DBS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DBS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DBS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DBS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DBS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DBS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DBS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DBS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DBS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DBS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dan Simmons

Signature: Dan Simmons

Address: 725 SO 291 ST

E-mail: simmonsmarinegear@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; margorolf@aol.com](mailto:margorolf@aol.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:37:08 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment_Rolf.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Margo Rolf of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 11 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Rolf's be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	✓
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	✓
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	✓
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	✓

* Effects on the Climate !!!

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	✓
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	✓
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	✓
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	✓
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	✓
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	✓

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Margo Roth

Signature: 

Address: 29610 2nd Pl. SW, Federal Way, WA.

E-mail: margo.roth@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



From: Quiet Skies
To: d.furman@comcast.net; SAMP Public Comments
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:34:36 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Furman.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Denise Furman of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Denise Furman be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Denise Furman

Signature: 

Address: 725 S. 291st St, Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: d.furman@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; pattilinscott@yahoo.com](mailto:pattilinscott@yahoo.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:27:03 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Linscott.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Patti Linscott of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertain to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should our forwarding of comments on behalf of Mrs. Linscott be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Patti Lingscott*

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *22335 6th Ave So., Des Moines WA 98198*

E-mail: *pattilingscott@yahoo.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; lexus1953@msn.com](mailto:lexus1953@msn.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:24:30 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment McAlister.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Michelle McAlister, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. McAlister be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>ME</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>ME</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>ME</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>ME</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ME
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ME
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ME
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ME
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ME
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ME

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Mitchelle McAlistair Signature: Mitchelle McAlistair

Address:

E-mail: lexus1953c@msw.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; riordans2@outlook.com](mailto:riordans2@outlook.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:28:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Riordan William.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. William Riordan of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Riordan be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WLR
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WLR
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WLR
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WLR
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WLR
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WLR

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *WILLIAM KIPADAN*

Signature: *William E. Kipadan*

Address: *2435 5th AVE ST, BELLEVUE WA 98166*

E-mail: *kipadan2@portseattle.org*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; kallread@comcast.net](mailto:kallread@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:24:49 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Allread.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Carol Allread of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Allread be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	✓
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	✓
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	✓
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	✓
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	✓
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	✓

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Carol Allread Signature: Carol Allread

Address:

E-mail: kallread@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; kittyandbill2@yahoo.com](mailto:kittyandbill2@yahoo.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:22:55 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Milne.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Catherine Milne of Buriem, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertain to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should our forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Milne be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	CAM
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	CAM
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	CAM
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	CAM

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CAM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CAM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CAM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CAM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CAM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CAM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CATHERINE D. MILNE

Signature: 

Address: 11913 - 27th Pl. SW, BURLEN, WA 98146

E-mail: kityandbill12@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: riordans2@outlook.com](mailto:riordans2@outlook.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:19:02 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Riordan.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Jane Riordan of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Riordan be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	L
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	L
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	L
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	L
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	L
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	L

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JANE RIORDAN Signature: 

Address: 2435 SW 172ND ST BUELL WA 98166

E-mail: riordans2@outlook.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; tammy@wallickrealestate.com](mailto:tammy@wallickrealestate.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:15:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Wallick.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Tammy Wallick of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Wallick be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	W
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	W
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	W
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	W

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	W
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	W
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	W
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	W
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	W
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	W

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *TAMMY WALLICK*

Signature: *T. Wallick*

Address: *2875C Redondo Beach Dr. So. Del Mar, WA*

E-mail: *tammywallickrealstate.com*

98158

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dennis@wallickrealestate.com](mailto:dennis@wallickrealestate.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:11:19 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment_Wallick_Dennis.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Dennis Wallick of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Wallick be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	W
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	W
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	W
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	W

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	W
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	W
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	W
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	W
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	W
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	W

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dennis Walluck Signature: 

Address: 28780 Rebouche Beach Dr S

E-mail: Dennis@walluckrealestate.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Susan Tegler Petersen](#)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:07:35 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Petersen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Susan E. Petersen of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Petersen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years. <i>Not PoS study - Independent one!!</i>	<i>sep</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>sep</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of <u>Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</u>	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>sep</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>sep</i>

there are plenty to look at!!

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway <u>before proceeding</u> with the environmental review for the SAMP. <i>NO SAMP full expert study done</i>	<i>SEP</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>↑ Asthma Kids ↑ COPD disease</i>	<i>SEP</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights. <i>Curfew 11-6</i>	<i>SEP</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. <i>Lied to us if implement</i>	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway. <i>NO MORE LIES</i>	<i>SEP</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>SEP</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>SEP</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Susan E Petersen Signature: Susan E Petersen

Address: 29805 6th Avenue So. Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: ppeters2_91@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Ray Miryektia](#)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:55:19 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Miryektia.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ray Miryektia, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Miryektia be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	✓
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	✓
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	✓
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	✓

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	✓
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	✓
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	✓
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	✓
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	✓
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	✓

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: RAY M. KENTER

Signature: 

Address: 842 S. Marine Hills Way, Federal Way, 98003

E-mail: kenter6@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: billlinscott@yahoo.com](mailto:billlinscott@yahoo.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:49:48 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Linscott William.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by William Linscott of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Linscott be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WRB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WRB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WRB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WRB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WRB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WRB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Bill Linscott

Signature: *William Linscott* 9/19/18

Address: 22335 6th Ave So Des Moines WA 98148

E-mail: bill.linscott@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; msutton3@gmail.com](mailto:msutton3@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:47:00 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sutton.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Marsha Sutton of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 11 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Sutton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Please study new flight path
noise over Burien

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: MURRAY SUTTON

Signature: 

Address: 17229 SYLVESTER RD SW, NORMANDY PARK 98166

E-mail: msutton3@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; qcscott93@hotmail.com](mailto:qcscott93@hotmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:44:09 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Scott.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by George C. Scott of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Scott be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	gcs
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	gcs
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	gcs
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	gcs
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	gcs
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	gcs

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: George C Scott Signature: George C Scott
Address: 22220 7th Ave S.
E-mail: gcsco93@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: carl.craven33@gmail.com](mailto:carl.craven33@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 7:11:49 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Craven.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Carl Craven of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Craven be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Carl Cicaven

Signature: 

Address: 2501 SW 149th Ave

E-mail: Seattle, WA 98146

Carl.Cicaven@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ear@harperstudios.com](mailto:ear@harperstudios.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 7:06:25 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Harper.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Earl Harper of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Earl Harper be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	EIT
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	EIT
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	EIT
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	EIT

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	E H
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	E H
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	E H
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	E H
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	E H
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	E H

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Earl Harper

Signature: 

Address: 24625 16th Ave S.

E-mail: Earl@harperstudios.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; mmarkken@comcast.net](mailto:mmarkken@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:53:06 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Markkanen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Marianne Markkanen, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Markkanen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *MARIANNE MARIKKAKEN* Signature: *Marianne Marikak*

Address: *20613 12th Ave So Seattle*

E-mail: *MARIKAKEN@COMCAST.NET*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; vandrews05@comcast.net](mailto:vandrews05@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:50:24 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Andrews.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Vicki Andrews of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Andrews be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	VA
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	VA
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	VA
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	VA

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	VA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	VA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	VA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	VA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	VA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	VA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Vicki Andrews Signature: 

Address: 27229 8th Ave. South

E-mail: vandrews@s@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sharon.povertybay@gmail.com](mailto:sharon.povertybay@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:43:18 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Morehouse.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Sharon Morehouse of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Sharon Moorehouse be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>JM</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>JM</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>JM</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>JM</i>

Cancer, Stress,

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JM Liara!
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Sharon Morehouse Signature: Sharon Morehouse
Address: 26501 87th ave. S Des Moines WA. 98198
E-mail: Sharon.morehouse@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: cclujngligorca@bhhsnwre.com](mailto:cclujngligorca@bhhsnwre.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:29:34 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Gligorea Caluini.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Calumi Gligorea of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Calumi Gligorea be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>CB</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>CB</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>CB</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>CB</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	16
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	16
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	16
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	16
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	16
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	16

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: COLUMI GARCIA Signature: _____

Address: 27007 10th Ave S Des Moines WA

E-mail: columi.garcia@bhsname.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Traci Buxton](#)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:09:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Buxton.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Council member Traci Buxton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Buxton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.







Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>Jr</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>Jr</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>Jr</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>Jr</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: TRACI BUXTON

Signature: 

Address: 28930 12th Ave So
DES MOINES WA 98198

E-mail: tracibuxton@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cougarmontwice@hotmail.com](mailto:cougarmontwice@hotmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:06:45 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Miloscia.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Meschell Miloscia of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Miloscia be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report ID: 711 787 5496

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>MM</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>MM</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>MM</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>MM</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Mesnell Milosia

Signature: 

Address: 35720 19th Ave S Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: conformtwice@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Mark.Koppang@cityoffederalway.com](mailto:Mark.Koppang@cityoffederalway.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:05:01 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Koppang.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Council member Mr. Mark Koppang of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Koppang be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>mk</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>mk</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>mk</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>mk</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>mk</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>mk</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *MARK KOPPANG* Signature: *Mark Koppang*

Address: *639 S. 295th PL
Federal Way WA 98003*

E-mail: *mkoppang@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com](mailto:susan.honda@cityoffederalway.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:01:57 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Honda.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Deputy Mayor Mrs. Susan Honda of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Honda be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	PH
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	PH
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	PH
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	PH

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	✓ JH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Susan Honda Signature: Susan Honda

Address: 327 4th Ave SW
FW 98023

E-mail: Susan.Honda@CityofFrederick.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Martin.Moore@cityoffederalway.com](mailto:Martin.Moore@cityoffederalway.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:59:03 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Moore.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Council member Mr. Martin Moore, Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Moore be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Martin Moore

Signature:

Address: 1905 S. 36th / 1. FW, WA 98003

E-mail: Martin.Moore@cityoffelksway.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; phil@seafoodassociates.com](mailto:phil@seafoodassociates.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:57:24 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Yohann.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Phil Yohann of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Yohann be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>Paul</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>Paul</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>Paul</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>Paul</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Phil
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Phil
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Phil
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Phil
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Phil
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Phil

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Phil Johann

Signature: Phil Johann

Address: 1300 So 250th St
Des Moines, WA 98148

E-mail: phil@seafordassociates.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ejs4dsn@comcast.net](mailto:ejs4dsn@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:55:24 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stern.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Elissa Stern of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Stern be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>ES</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ES
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ES
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ES
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ES
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ES
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ES

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Elissa Stern

Signature: Elissa Stern

Address: 456 SW 183rd St
Normandy Park 98166

E-mail: ejs4dsn@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

1) I would like a committed study in every city affected by the airport. When it go outside for new motions what is a clear impact the future. Apparently when it's smoggy, it has a hard time breathing. 2) Will the flight path change because of over capacity when it don't want planes flying over our house every hour of the day.

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; marvmeun@gmail.com](mailto:marvmeun@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:53:03 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Eun.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Mary Eun of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Eun be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions -- questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years. <i>Do not omit this data recent data.</i>	<i>me</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>me</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>me</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>me</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP. Yes!	ME
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>Our kids play outside during recess. We want our kids outside.</i>	ME
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ME
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ME
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ME
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ME

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Mary Eun

Signature: 

Address: 806 S. 273rd Ct., Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: marymeun@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; kgrande@msn.com](mailto:kgrande@msn.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:51:17 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Grande.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Judith Grande of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Grande be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Signature]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Signature]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Signature]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Signature]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Jay
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Jay
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Jay
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Jay
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Jay
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Jay

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Judith A. Grande Signature: Judith A. Grande

Address: 737 S. 232nd Court
Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: kgrande@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; lauren.frederick@gmail.com](mailto:lauren.frederick@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:35:33 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Frederick.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Lauren Frederick of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Frederick be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Leaf
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Leaf
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Leaf
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Leaf
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Leaf
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Leaf

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Lauren Frederick Signature: Lauren E. Frederick

Address: 433 S. 207th St. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: lauren.e.frederick@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:31:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Leveson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Nancy Leveson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Leveson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	NAL
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	NAL
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	NAL
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	NAL

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	NAL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	NAL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	NAL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	NAL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	NAL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	NAL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Nancy Leveson Signature: 

Address: 21410 4th Aves, Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: NANCY LEVESON@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cstream@comcast.net](mailto:cstream@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:29:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stream.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Carol Stream of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Stream be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>AS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>AS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>AS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>AS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CAS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CAS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CAS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CAS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CAS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CAS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CAROL STREAM Signature: Carol Stream

Address: 28636 Redondo Beach DR S.

E-mail: CSTREAM@COMCAST.NET

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; mceachel@aol.com](mailto:mceachel@aol.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:23:04 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment McEachern.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Laurie McEachern of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. McEachern be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Dear Ms. McEachern, Please confirm this form to be your submission, sadly you forgot to sign it, but did initial and provide your contact information. Thank you!

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	LMP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	LMP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	LMP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	LMP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	LMP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	LMP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: LAURIE HANSEN Signature: _____

Address: 601 S. 227th St # 402-5007, Des Moines WA 95198

E-mail: mceanehel@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dps042@gmail.com](mailto:dps042@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:18:38 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Seiler.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Debra Palmer Seiler of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Seiler be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	QPS
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	QPS
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	QPS
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	QPS

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	OPS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	OPS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	OPS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	OPS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	OPS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	OPS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Debra Palmer Seiler Signature: Debra Palmer Seiler

Address: 804 S. 27th St. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: dps042@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; onehealintouch@gmail.com](mailto:onehealintouch@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:16:13 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Numrich.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Diane Numrich of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Numrich be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DTW</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DTW</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DTW</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DTW</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DTN
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DTN
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DTN
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DTN
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DTN
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DTN

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Diane Numfich Signature: Diane Numfich

Address: 16824 Sylvester Rd SW Normandy Park WA 98166

E-mail: onehealintouch@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; prp516@hotmail.com](mailto:prp516@hotmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:14:21 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Petruska.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Patti Petruska of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Petruska be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>PTP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>PTP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>PTP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>PTP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PrP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PrP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PrP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PrP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PrP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PrP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: PATTE PETRUSKA Signature: Patte Foto

Address: PO BOX 98218 DES MOINES WA 98198

E-mail: ppp516@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; deric1212@aol.com](mailto:deric1212@aol.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:12:46 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Dedrickson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. David Dedrickson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Dedrickson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DES</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DES</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DES</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DES</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DED
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DED
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DED
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DED
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DED
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DED

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: DAVID E DEDRICKSON Signature: 

Address: 24907 MARINE VIEW DR, DES MOINES, WA 98198

E-mail: DERIC1212@AOL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#); ken_olson@comcast.net
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:11:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Olson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Ken Olson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Olson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

*Please accept this email as the valid submission, previous submission had errors.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report ID: 2007075000

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	Ko
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	Ko
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	Ko
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	Ko

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>Ko</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>Ko</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>Ko</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>Ko</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>Ko</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>Ko</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *KEN OLSON* Signature: *[Signature]*

Address: *28440 Redondo Beach Dr S
Des Moines, WA 98148*

E-mail: *Ken-Olson@Comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sandeenk@gmail.com](mailto:sandeenk@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:07:43 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sandeen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Katrina Sandeen of Auburn, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Sandeen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>KS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>KS</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>KS</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>KS</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Katrina Sandeen Signature: KAS

Address: 27842 38th PLS, Auburn, WA 98001

E-mail: sandeenk@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; rkathy@comcast.net](mailto:rkathy@comcast.net)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 4:43:14 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Oljar.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. R. Kathleen Oljar of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Oljar be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>EXO</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>RFB</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>RFB</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>EXO</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RKO
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RKO
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RKO
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RKO
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RKO
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RKO

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: R. Kathleen O'Gar

Signature: 

Address: 601 S 227th St Unit 3115
Des Moines WA 98197

E-mail: RKathy@Comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dlknuts@msn.com](mailto:dlknuts@msn.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 4:38:36 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Knutson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Diane Knutson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Knutson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report #: 206 / 87 / 5093

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	RK
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	RK
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	RK
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	RK

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PK
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PK
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PK
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PK
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PK
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PK

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Diane Knutsen

Signature: 

27019 7th Pl S.
Address: Des Moines, WA 98918

E-mail: d1Knuts@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; edie.staiger@gmail.com](mailto:edie.staiger@gmail.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 4:35:14 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Staiger.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Edie Staiger of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Staiger be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

REPORT #1 2008/07/0000

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>ES</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>ES</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	{S}
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	{S}
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	{S}
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	{S}
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	{S}
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport? Yes!	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	{S}

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Edie Staiger

Signature: Edie Staiger

Address: 27003- 5th Ave S

E-mail: Edie.Staiger@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Doreen](#)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 2:07:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Harper Doreen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Doreen Harper of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Harper be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DA</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DA</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DA</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DA</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Doreen Hopper

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Address: 26625 16th Ave S, Des Moines 98198

E-mail: [Handwritten Email Address]

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; gligmom@icloud.com](mailto:gligmom@icloud.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 1:42:47 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Gligorea.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Mitzi Gligorea, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Gligorea be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,





Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member







FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 206 / 87 5392

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2015.

Name: *Mitch Gligorec*

Signature: 

Address: *57027 16th Ave S.*

E-mail: *g.gligorec@icloud.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; lizjack@seanet.com](mailto:lizjack@seanet.com)
Subject: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:48:40 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Jackson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Elizabeth Jackson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Jackson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Harley Kretzsch*

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *27019 7th Pl SE
Des Moines WA 98119*

E-mail: *harleykretzsch@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

*WHAT AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC IS STING GO THAT DOES NOT PROVIDE ANY TANGIBLE BENEFIT TO THE REGION (JOB DOCT 100017)
ALSO OTHER AIRPORT TO PROVIDE THIS HUB ACTIVITY*

ALL ABOVE

From: Jackie Benster
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Comment sheets
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 3:49:28 PM
Attachments: [Airport form Ace H.#204S.pdf](#)
[Airport Form, Dianne H.#210S.pdf](#)

More comment sheets from Mariner Manor.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>JA</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>JA</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>JA</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>JA</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dianne Hoerle Signature: [Signature]
 Address: 601 S. 227th St Des Moines WA 98108
#2105
 E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

2085



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	AH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	AH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	AH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	AH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	AH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	AH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Ace Harrison

Signature:

Ace Harrison

Address: 601 S 227th St #2035 Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Jackie Benster
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Comment sheets
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 3:50:07 PM
Attachments: [Airport form #208S.pdf](#)

More comment sheets from Mariner Manor.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>JCM</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>JCM</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>JCM</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>JCM</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JCM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JCM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JCM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JCM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JCM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JCM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Joanne Meyers

Signature: Joanne Card Meyers

Address: 601 S 227th ST APT 208-S

E-mail: JOCLEAN50 @ GMAIL

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000. <i>Too much! Need New Airport</i>	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>QB</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>QB</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>QB</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies <u>(worldwide)</u> from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>QB</i>

Yes ↑

Return by 9/28/18



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000. <i>Too much! Need New Airport</i>	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>QB</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>QB</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>QB</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies <u>(worldwide)</u> from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>QB</i>

Yes ↑

Return by 9/28/12

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	QB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>We have many elderly in sun buildings</i>	QB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights. <i>Very important here.</i>	QB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. <i>You lied!</i>	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	QB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	QB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	QB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jacqueline Benster Signature: Ja Benster

Address: 601 S 22nd St #2085 Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: jackiebenster@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

We need a new airport. Rather than putting more money into SEATAC, please invest elsewhere. How about across the Tacoma Bridge in Kitsap? Near Bremerton? Cheap land - need jobs there.

From: Shelley Merryfield
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Comments - Mr. Steve Rybolt
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 1:28:22 PM

Please see attached comment sheets.

From: Bemedine Lund
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Comments on SAMP and scoping requests
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 10:52:58 AM
Attachments: [SAMP 10 qx form sent in.pdf](#)

See attached form.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions -- questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>BL</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>BL</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>BL</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>BL</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BZ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	BZ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BZ
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BZ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	BZ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BZ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Bernedine Lund Signature: Bernedine Lund

Address: 824 S. 296th Place, Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: philand.bernadine.2012@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; petestream@outlook.com](mailto:petestream@outlook.com)
Subject: Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt, Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Doreen Harper of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is on...
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:27:09 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stream Pete.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Pete Stream of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Stream be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>PL</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>PL</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>PL</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>PL</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Pete Stream

Signature: 

Address: 28636 Redondo Beach Dr S, DES Moines 98148

E-mail: petestream@outlook.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Dave Ramey
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: FW: Airport master plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 7:25:02 AM
Attachments: [scan0018.pdf](#)

Please see attached.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>CR</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>CR</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>CR</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>CR</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ul
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ul
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ul
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ul
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ul
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ul

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CURR RAMEY Signature: CR Ramey

Address: 727 So 244th PL

E-mail: CURRAMEY727@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Dave Ramey
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: FW: Airport plan
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 7:53:46 AM
Attachments: [scan0001.pdf](#)

Please see attached. I am very concerned about health effects from the huge increase of aircraft over our neighborhood. No more expansion! Dave Ramey



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>WAR</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>WAR</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>WAR</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>WAR</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WAR
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WAR
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WAR
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WAR
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WAR
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WAR

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dave Ranney

Signature: Dave Ranney

Address: 727 So 294th Pl Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: draney@uni.west.us

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Jackie Benster
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: FW: Comment sheets
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 3:47:42 PM
Attachments: [Airport form, Dayna A 404S.pdf](#)
[Airport form, Kathryn C #211S.pdf](#)

Hi. I have attached a bunch of comment sheets from residents at my Condo building in Des Moines, Mariner Manor. Flights from the third runway go right over our condo. There are more to come as well. Thanks.

Is there any chance of you accepting more forms on Monday Oct 1? I only got on this today. My bad. I am just running out of time. Thanks. Please let me know.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	DLA
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	DLA
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	DLA
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	DLA

Return to [unclear] 2/28/12

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	OLA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	OLA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	OLA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	OLA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	OLA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	OLA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: DAYNA L. Anderson Signature: Dayna L. Anderson

Address: 601 S. 227th Street, 4045, Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: DaynaSnowLeopard@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

2085



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KAC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KAC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KAC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KAC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KAC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KAC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Kathryn Conkourn Signature: KAC

Address: 601 S 227th St #2115
Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: KAC@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>JCM</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>JCM</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>JCM</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>JCM</i>

From: Rhonda Cavanaugh
To: quiet skiespugetsound@gmail.com
Cc: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Fw: Scanned document from HP ePrint user
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 1:13:41 PM
Attachments: [filename-1.pdf](#)

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "eprintcenter@hp.com" <eprintcenter@hp.com>
To: "rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com" <rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 24, 2018 at 12:38 PM
Subject: Scanned document from HP ePrint user

This email and attachment are sent on behalf of rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com.

If you do not want to receive this email in future, you may contact rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com directly or you may consult your email application for spam or junk email filtering options.

Regards,
HP Team

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>SRC</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>SRC</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>SRC</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>SRC</p>

From: Rhonda Cavanaugh
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Fw: Scanned document from HP ePrint user
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 1:12:29 PM
Attachments: [filename-1.pdf](#)

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Rhonda Cavanaugh" <rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com>
To: "quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com" <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com>
Cc: "samp@portseattle.com" <samp@portseattle.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 24, 2018 at 1:09 PM
Subject: Fw: Scanned document from HP ePrint user
Scanned docs coming one page at a time. Apologies for this.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android







----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "eprintcenter@hp.com" <eprintcenter@hp.com>
To: "rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com" <rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 24, 2018 at 12:43 PM
Subject: Scanned document from HP ePrint user

This email and attachment are sent on behalf of rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com.

If you do not want to receive this email in future, you may contact rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com directly or you may consult your email application for spam or junk email filtering options.

Regards,
HP Team

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Rhonda Cavanaugh Signature: Rhonda Cavanaugh
 Address: 118 SW 185th St
Normandy Park WA 98166
 E-mail: rcavanaugh1972@i.net.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

g.rose@projectseattle@gmail.com
SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Rhonda Cavanaugh
To: quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com
Cc: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Fw: Scanned document from HP ePrint user
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 1:15:06 PM
Attachments: [filename-1.pdf](#)

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "eprintcenter@hp.com" <eprintcenter@hp.com>
To: "rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com" <rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Mon, Sep 24, 2018 at 12:35 PM
Subject: Scanned document from HP ePrint user





This email and attachment are sent on behalf of **rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com**.

If you do not want to receive this email in future, you may contact **rcavanaugh@rocketmail.com** directly or you may consult your email application for spam or junk email filtering options.

Regards,
HP Team

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; calvingligorea@bhhsnwre.com](mailto:calvingligorea@bhhsnwre.com)
Subject: Fwd: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:35:15 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment_Gligorea_Caluini.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Calvin Gligorea of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Gligorea be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	CB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	CB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	CB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	CB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	16
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	16
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	16
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	16
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	16
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	16

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2016.

Name: Salvador Garcia Signature: [Signature]

Address: 27007 10th Ave S Des Moines WA

E-mail: salvador.garcia@bhsnwre.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: SAMP Public Comments; lizjack@seanet.com; Rybolt, Steven; Purcell, Arlyn (Env&Sus)
Subject: Fwd: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 11:57:49 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Jackson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Rybolt, The public comment you rejected in your email to me this morning had been submitted on Sunday September 23rd and was not returned as "undeliverable", therefore we deemed it as a valid list of comments to consider.

Please see below and confirm receipt of this email.

Sheila Brush

Time Stamp:

On Sun, Sep 23, 2018 at 11:48 AM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:

Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com>

to samp@portseattle.org,
lizjack@seanet.com

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Elizabeth Jackson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Jackson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JERRY KROTSCH

Signature: Jerry Krotsch

Address: 27019 7th Pl SE
 100511000 WA 98148

E-mail: krotsch@portseattle.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

WANT QUANTIFY TRAFFIC IS STAYING GC THAT DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY AIRGABLE BENEFIT TO THE REGION (JOB DATA 1000000)
 ALSO ASK REPORT TO PROVIDE THIS INFO ACTIVITY

ALL -> BOD





From: Glen Urquhart
To: [SAMP Public Comments; quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com](mailto:quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com); Candace Urquhart
Subject: Glen Urquhart SAMP public comment
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 4:50:28 PM
Attachments: [IMG_20180928_0004- GU Samp public comment.pdf](#)







Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive. Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: GLEN URQUHART

Signature: 

Address: 25665 Marine View Dr S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: g.urquhart@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Susan Tecler Petersen](#)
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:02:37 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Peterson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Susan E. Petersen of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mrs. Petersen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years. <i>Not PoS study - Independent one!!</i>	<i>Scp</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>Scp</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>Scp</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>Scp</i>

there are plenty to look at !!

<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p> <p>NE SAMP full expert study done</p>	<p>SEP</p>
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p> <p>Asthma, COPD, Kids, disease</p>	<p>SEP</p>
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p> <p>Curfew 11-6</p>	<p>SEP</p>
<p>Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?</p>	<p>Despite historical statements, 3rd Runway now full-time.</p> <p>Lied to us in increment weather</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3rd Runway.</p> <p>NO MORE LIES</p>	<p>SEP</p>
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	<p>SEP</p>
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	<p>SEP</p>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Susan E Petersen Signature: Susan E Petersen

Address: 29805 6th Avenue So. Federal way WA 98003

E-mail: speters2_91@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; penelopeu2020@yahoo.com](mailto:penelopeu2020@yahoo.com)
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 1:28:44 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Edlund.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Penelope Edlund of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Edlund be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>2</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	flc
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	flc
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	flc
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	flc
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	flc
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	flc

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Penelope Edlund

Signature: _____

Address: 606 S. Marine Hills Way Federal Way 98003

E-mail: penelopeeu2020@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; fyoung8924@comcast.net](mailto:fyoung8924@comcast.net)
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:30:00 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Young.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. & Mrs. Frank and Elaine Young of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the the Youngs be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	fy
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	fy
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	fy
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	fy
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	fy.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	fy

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: FRANK & ELAINE YOUNG Signature: *Frank Young*

Address: 719 S 241st ST
Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: *fyoung8924@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Wendy Hall
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: shebrush@gmail.com
Subject: Public comment for SAMP
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:56:53 AM
Attachments: [SAMP NTP EnvrReview HallComments.pdf](#)

Attached are my comments regarding the SAMP.
Thank you,
~ Wendy Hall



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	WF
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	WF
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	WF
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	WF

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Wendy Hall Signature: Wendy Hall
Address: 823 S. Marine Hills Way Federal Way, WA 98003
E-mail: wendyleahall@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; a.alan.davis@gmail.com](mailto:a.alan.davis@gmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment of Scoping of SAMP
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:55:01 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Davis Alan.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Alan Davis of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Davis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Alan Davis

Signature: 

Address: 19612 5th Ave S.
Des Moines, WA 98148

E-mail: A.ALAN.DAVIS@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; losleo@yahoo.com](mailto:losleo@yahoo.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping Rose Stratton
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:26:51 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stratton Rose.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Rose Stratton of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Stratton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years. <i>The continuation of our noise exposure can't grow food in our</i>	<i>gar rden DAS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans. <i>that are not healthful</i>	<i>DM</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>Das</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions. <i>& dropping of gas</i>	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>DM</i>

because they have to much to learn!

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>He need one public study</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>no sleep</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>RMS</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>3rd Runway for 24 hours energy use</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>but always low balling</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>Port should do this & give us other details</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Rose Stratton* Signature: *Rose M. Stratton*
 Address: *1701 4th and Sateac*
 E-mail: *rosestratton@u.washington.edu*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; opheliavasseur@gmail.com](mailto:opheliavasseur@gmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:58:26 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Ophelia McDonaldpdf.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Ophelia McDonald of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. McDonald be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	EM
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	EM
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	EM
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	EM

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	em
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	em
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	em
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	em
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	em
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	em

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Ophelia McDonald Signature: *Ophelia McDonald*

Address: ~~300~~ 30 12640 12th Ave South

E-mail: *OpheliaLavoisier@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bogai214@hanmail.net](mailto:bogai214@hanmail.net)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:53:44 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Eunbok Sadowitz.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Eunbok Sadowitz of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sadowitz be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>E.B.</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>E.B.</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>E.B.</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>E.B.</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	e.b
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	e.b
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	e.b
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	e.b
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	e.b
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	e.b

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Eunbok Sadovitz Signature: Eunbok Sadovitz
Address: 29030 7th PL S Federalway, WA 98003
E-mail: boga1214@hanmail.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dcrepeau@msn.com](mailto:dcrepeau@msn.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:12:10 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Gloria Crepeau.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Gloria Crepeau of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Crepeau be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>HJC</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>HJC</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>HJC</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>HJC</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	YJC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	YJC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	YJC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	YJC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	YJC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	YJC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Storia CREPS 44

Signature: Diana Creps

Address: 2733 NE 24th

E-mail: storia@creps.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Denise@philipspublishing.com](mailto:Denise@philipspublishing.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:01:14 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Denise Philips.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Denise Philips of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Philips be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Denise Philips Signature: Denise Philips

Address: 19679 Marine View Dr SW
Normandy Park, WA 98166

E-mail: Denise@PhilipsPublishing.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: Sather, Gigi](#)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:48:51 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Gigi Sather.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Gigi Sather of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Sather be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member









**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	



Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Gigi Sather Signature: 
 Address: 955 52nd St
W 98003
 E-mail: gigisather@msu.com
 Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; rebecca.maltos1@gmail.com](mailto:rebecca.maltos1@gmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:42:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Maltos.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Rebecca Maltos of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Maltos be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>RL</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>RL</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>RL</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>RL</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>2/6/18</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>2/6/18</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>2/6/18</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>2/6/18</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>2/6/18</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>2/6/18</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Rebecca L Maitos* Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *21937 7th Ave SO. # 201, Des Moines WA 98198*

E-mail: *Rebecca.maitos@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; what_list@yahoo.com](mailto:what_list@yahoo.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:38:15 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Schindler.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. John Schindler of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Schindler be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>JS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>JS</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>JS</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	js
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	js
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	js
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	js
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: John Schindler

Signature: John Schindler

Address: 27021 12th Ave S. Des Moines, 98148

E-mail: what_list@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: Cheryl Evans](#)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:29:53 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Evans.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Cheryl Evans of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Evans be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

RACER 001 246 787 5.003

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>je</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>U</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>U</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>U</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	u
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	u
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	u
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	u
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	u
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	u

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CHERYL EVANS

Signature: *Cheryl Evans*

Address: 24614 32nd Ave SW Seattle WA

E-mail: CSL245@com.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; 77tommy77@outlook.com](mailto:77tommy77@outlook.com)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:17:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Pelzel.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Thomas F. Pelzel of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Pelzel be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>TJP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>TJP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>TJP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>TJP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TTP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TTP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TTP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TTP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TTP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TTP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: THOMAS FELZEL Signature: *Thomas Felzel*
Address: 13740 16 AVE SW BURDEN WA 98146
E-mail: T7+ommyT7@OUTLOOK.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cebrhb@comcast.net](mailto:cebrhb@comcast.net)
Subject: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:08:20 PM
Attachments: [carol_belland.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Carol Belland of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Belland be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 205 / 8 / 2003

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	CB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	CB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	CB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	CB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CARA BELLAND Signature: 

Address: 26415 T^h SO DES MOINES 98198

E-mail: ceb r h b @ comcast . net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; gbwick@earthlink.net](mailto:gbwick@earthlink.net)
Subject: Public Comment on Scoping SAMP
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:21:29 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Georgina Wickland.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Georgina Wickland of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Mrs. Wickland be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions -- questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>W</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>W</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>W</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>W</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	W
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	W
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	W
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	W
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	W
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	W

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Georgina Wickham Signature: Georgina Wickham enethink.net

Address: 1110 S. 244th Pl Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: Wickham

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: julie98055@yahoo.com](mailto:julie98055@yahoo.com)
Subject: Public Comment on Scoping SAMP
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:54:35 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Julie Pangilinan.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Julie Pangilinan of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Pangilinan be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Julie Pangilinan Signature: 

Address: 24827 12th Aves Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: Julie98055@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Sheila Brush](#)
Subject: Public Comment SAMP Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:31:13 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sheila Brush.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Sheila Brush of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Brush be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,





Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report #: 206 / 07 / 303

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>SFB</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>SFB</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>SFB</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>SFB</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>SFB</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>SFB</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *SHEILA BRUSH* Signature: *Sheila Brush*
 Address: *24014 8th ave S DuMoine, wa 98148*

E-mail: *SHEBRUSH@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

Please my individual comment to attached separately.

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hanbomlee@hotmail.com](mailto:hanbomlee@hotmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment SAMP Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:59:52 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Han Lee.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Han Lee of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Lee be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>[Signature]</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>[Signature]</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>[Signature]</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th, 2018.

Name: HAN B LEE

Signature: *[Signature]*

Address: 29039 17th PL S FEDERAL WAY WA 98003

E-mail: hanbomlee@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cornbd@hotmail.com](mailto:cornbd@hotmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment Scoping on SAMP
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 11:46:36 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Cornwall.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Cornwall of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Cornwall be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report ID: 200 / 07 / 5330

The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	[Initials]
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	[Initials]
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	[Initials]
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	[Initials]

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: _____

Address:

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; wendyleahall@gmail.com](mailto:wendyleahall@gmail.com)
Subject: Public Comment Scoping SAMP
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 11:44:39 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Hall.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Wendy Hall of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Hall be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>WJH</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>WJH</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>WJH</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>WJH</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WH
What About Overnight Flights? Are Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WH
What if You're Wrong? Are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Wendy Hall Signature: Wendy Hall
 Address: 823 S Marine Hills Way Federal Way, WA 98003
 E-mail: wendyleahall@gmail.com
 Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Javier Tordable
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Questions for environmental study
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 9:35:01 AM
Attachments: [Plane noise SAMP questions.pdf](#)

Please see attached questions that should be asked to the Port

Thanks

Javier



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	JT
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	JT
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	JT
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	JT

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JT
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JT
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JT
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JT
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JT
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JT

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JAVIER TORDABLE

Signature: 

Address: JT@JAVIERTORDABLE.COM

E-mail: 12007 3TH AVE SW, BURLEN, WA, 98146

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Gerry Wieder
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Questions for SAMP
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 3:18:40 PM
Attachments: [Gerry 10 sample.pdf](#)

To whom it may concern, please add my questions to the others that will be fielded to SAMP.

Thanks.

Gerry Wieder RN
206.234.8384



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>aw</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>aw</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>aw</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>aw</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	aw
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	aw
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	aw
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	aw
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	aw
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	aw

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: BERNARD WIEDER Signature: BWIEDER

Address: 4130 SW 325TH ST. FEDERAL WAY WA 98023

E-mail: gwieder@gmail.com.

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Darcy Battershell
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: questions
Date: Friday, September 14, 2018 2:27:41 PM
Attachments: [SAMP questions.pdf](#)

Please see attached questions requested to be addressed. I'd also like to ask about flight paths and why planes are turning early and flying directly over our Federal Way neighborhood at such low altitudes. Also, many that are coming from the south are on a flight path more to the west than they used to be which caused them to drift closer to the neighborhood.



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	DB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	DB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	DB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	DB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Darcy Battershell Signature: Darcy Battershell

Address: 28810 7th Ave S, Federal Way 98003

E-mail: darcyb59@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: carl.craven033@gmail.com](mailto:carl.craven033@gmail.com)
Subject: Re: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 7:15:29 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Craven.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Carl Craven of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Craven be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Carl Coaven Signature: 

Address: 2501 SW 149th Ave

E-mail: Seattle, WA 98166

Carl.Coaven@33@gmail.com
Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; peteholder@comcast.net](mailto:peteholder@comcast.net)
Subject: Re: Comment on the Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Environmental Review
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:36:49 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Holder.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Peter Holder of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 9 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Mr. Holder be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>pll</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>pll</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>pll</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>pll</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>pll</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>pll</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>pll</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>pll</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>pll</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Peter L. Holder*

Signature: *Peter L. Holder*

Address: *12019 Stanzburg Ct. S.W. Burien WA. 98146*

E-mail: *p.l.holder@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bogal214@hanmail.net](mailto:bogal214@hanmail.net)
Subject: Re: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:56:05 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sadowitz.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Eunbok Sadowitz of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sadowitz be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Daniel Sadowitz 2 Signature: Daniel Sadowitz

Address: 29030 7th Pl S, Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: sadowitz293@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Ophelia McDonal
To: [Quiet Skies](#)
Cc: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Re: Public Comment on SAMP Scoping
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:32:06 PM

Looks good to me.

On Tue, Sep 25, 2018 at 3:58 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Ophelia McDonald of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. McDonald be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jstrattononhaven@msn.com](mailto:jstrattononhaven@msn.com)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment Joyce Stratton
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:24:38 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stratton Joyce.pdf](#)

Please see the correct attachment of Joyce Stratton.

Rose Stratton was sent as the attachment to this email.

Thank you,

QSPS

On Sun, Sep 23, 2018 at 3:09 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Joyce E. Stratton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Stratton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report ID: 2007025033

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Yes
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Yes
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Yes

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Joyce E Stratton Signature: Joyce E Stratton

Address: 807 S 218th St, H105, Des Moines, WA 98178

E-mail: jstrattonnhaven@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bscottsmith@yahoo.com](mailto:bscottsmith@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:06:15 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Barry SMith.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Barry Smith of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Smith be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	BS
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	BS
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: BARRY SMITH

Signature: 

Address: 11035 8th AVE S
SEA, WA 98168

E-mail: BSCOTTSMITH@YAHOO.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; erica.hillmon@gmail.com](mailto:erica.hillmon@gmail.com)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:03:22 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Erica Schindler.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Erica Schindler of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Schindler be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	ES
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	ES
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	ES
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	ES

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ES
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ES
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ES ///
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ES ///
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ES
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ES

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Erica Schindler

Signature: 

Address: 27021 12th Ave S. Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: erica.hillman@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; alli@alark.net](mailto:alli@alark.net)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:07:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Alli Larkin.pdf](#)

:

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Alli Larkin of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Larkin be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,
Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>af</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>af</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>af</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>af</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	GH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	GH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	GH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	GH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	GH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	GH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: ALLI LARKIN

Signature: Alli Larkin

Address: 21437 7TH AVE SW #210 DES MOINES, WA

E-mail: alliealark.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Jesse Hart
To: [Quiet Skies](#)
Cc: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:36:07 AM

Looks good to me.

On Sun, Sep 23, 2018, 6:44 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Jesse Hart of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Jesse Hart be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; gesankey@comcast.net](mailto:gesankey@comcast.net)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:51:46 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sankey Glen.pdf](#)

Correction on attachment.

Please see signed form for Glen Sankey. The previous email was the signed form from Mrs. Sankey.

Thank you,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound

On Sun, Sep 23, 2018 at 6:47 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Glen Sankey of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sankey be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	YES.
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	YES.
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	YES
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	YES

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	YES.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	YES.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	YES.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	YES.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	YES.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	YES.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Glen E. Sankey

Signature: 

Address: 12818 26th Ave. S.
SeaTac, Wa 98168

E-mail: gesankey@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jenwren9@comcast.net](mailto:SAMP_Public_Comments;jenwren9@comcast.net)
Subject: Re: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:48:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Jennifer Stock.pdf](#)

See below: resending for email correction.

On Thu, Sep 27, 2018 at 2:46 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Jennifer Stock of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Stock be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	2/11/18
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JPS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	APR 2

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jennifer R Stock

Signature: 

Address: 748 S 210th St
Des Moines, 98198

E-mail: jennifer@portseattle.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; fspeto@msn.com](mailto:fspeto@msn.com)
Subject: Re: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:10:26 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Peto.pdf](#)

On Sun, Sep 23, 2018 at 12:08 PM Quiet Skies <quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Frank Peto of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Mr. Peto be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: FRANK J PETO

Signature: *Frank J Peto*

Address: 8105 216th ST TL106
DES MOINES WA 95198

E-mail: *85peto@msn.com*





Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org







From: Sara Aguilar
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Requests to be included in the scope of the SAMP
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 3:24:25 PM
Attachments: [0_SAMP Ouestion-Requests 24-Sep-2018_14-59-08.pdf](#)




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Sara Aguilar Signature: 
Address: 28812 8th Ave S Federal Way, WA 98003
E-mail: princessin74@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Thomas Wingard
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP - comments
Date: Friday, September 14, 2018 1:35:15 PM
Attachments: [SAMP Comments.pdf](#)

Dear Port,

I am vary concerned about the major issues affecting us directly in Marine Hills. As part of our Safe City group I would like some answers to the attached form.

Regards,
Tom



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>TSW</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>TSW</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>TSW</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>TSW</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	7SW
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	7SW
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	7SW
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	7SW
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	7SW
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	7SW

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Thomas S Wingard

Signature: Thomas S Wingard

Address: 1121 S 287th St.
Federal Way, WA 98003
E-mail: tomswingard@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Dan S
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments Attached
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 10:16:08 AM
Attachments: [Scanned-image-1.pdf](#)

Please Log this PDF in for my comments
Thank you
Daniel M Schwartz
Burien, WA



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>DMS</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>DMS</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>DMS</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>DMS</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>DMS</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>DMS</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: **DAN SCHWARTZ**

Signature: *Dan Schwartz*

Address: **2704 SW 152nd AL. BURKLEN, WA 98166**

E-mail: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
DEXTERCAT01@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own, add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Adelle Comfort
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: larrycripe@comcast.net
Subject: SAMP comments for public record
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 5:20:50 PM
Attachments: [Scan.pdf](#)

Please see the attached document regarding public comments on SAMP.

All 'health concerns' mentioned should include the concern of the health of Puget Sound and its related mammals, fish, birds other sea life; pets and livestock; wildlife in our forests; Zoo animals; our Eagle population, etc.

Adelle Comfort
14810 16th Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	AMC
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	AMC
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	AMC
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	AMC

and health of our sea life & pets

to include sea life & pets

include: sea life, Birds & pets

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	AMC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique <u>human health</u> impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>and sea life & pets</i>	AMC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique <u>human health</u> impacts from noise from overnight flights. <i>and sea life & pets</i>	AMC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique <u>human health</u> and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway. <i>& sea life & pets</i>	AMC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	AMC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	AMC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *ADELLE COMFORT*

Signature: *Adelle M Comfort*

Address: *14810 16th ave SW*

E-mail: *adelle1016@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org





From: Walter Bala
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [She](#); [Larry Cripe](#); jonstruss@comcast.net; [Caryl N. Utigard](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments from Mr. Jon Struss
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 5:11:44 PM
Attachments: [SAMP 10 Questions - Jon Struss.pdf](#)







Please add the attached comments of Mr. Jon Struss to the SAMP Scoping process.




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JON STRUSS Signature: 
Address: 2605 SW 1170 TH ST
E-mail: BURIEN, WA 98166

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

→ jonstruss@comcast.net

From: Peter Leveson
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 8:21:58 PM
Attachments: [2018_09_20_SAMP_Comments_Peter_Leveson.pdf](#)

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Nancy Leveson <nancyleveson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 6:19:49 PM
To: pleveson@outlook.com; pleveson@comcast.net
Subject: SAMP Comments



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	PL
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	PL
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	PL
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	PL

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Peter Leveson

Signature: 

Address: 21410 4th Ave S,
Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: PLEVESON@COMCAST.NET

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Rorie Zajac
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 12:28:22 PM
Attachments: [rorie.zajac.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

Hi,

Please find my attached SAMP comments.

Also, a note to say your open house community outreach at Highline College on September 10, 2018 was very disappointing. I left work early to attend and came away having learned nothing. There was very little actual communication from the Port, most answers to questions pointed us to someone else who used the same runaround tactic. There were blank boards that held the same amount of information that the people manning the stations communicated - nothing.

It very much felt like the Port checking a box for the required community inclusive meeting. This was a sham of an attempt to engage with the community. My only take away is that you saw my face, you saw my neighbors, so you know there are actual people impacted. Hopefully you took note of the people you are affecting.

Rorie Zajac



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RZ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RZ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RZ
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RZ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RZ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RZ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: RORIE ZAJAC

Signature: 

Address: 27022 104th AVE S, DES MOINES, WA 98148

E-mail: RORIEZ@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Brad McKeown
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments
Date: Friday, September 14, 2018 1:59:26 PM
Attachments: [FightTheFight.pdf](#)

Please see my attached list of SAMP questions and comments.

Regards,
Brad McKeown
700 S Marine Hills Way
Federal Way, WA 98003


**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>BSM</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>BSM</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>BSM</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>BSM</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BSM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	BSM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BSM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BSM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	BSM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BSM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Brad McKeown Signature: 

Address: 700 S Marine Hills Way Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: bsmckeown@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Dan S
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Comments
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 10:17:37 AM
Attachments: [Scanned-image.pdf](#)

Please log the attached PDF as my comments on the SAMP







Thank you
Amy Glassman
Burien, WA



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>aj</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>aj</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>aj</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>aj</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: AMY GLASSMAN

Signature: 

Address: 2704 SW 152ND PL, BURIEN

E-mail: AMY.GLASSMAN1@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on yourown/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org







From: Lynn Meyer
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP input
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 3:43:32 PM
Attachments: [SAMP input.pdf](#)



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *LYNN MEYER*

Signature: 

Address: *29219 7th Pl S
Federal Way, WA 98003*

E-mail: *lynnmrops@msn.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jstrattononhaven@msn.com](mailto:jstrattononhaven@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment Joyce Stratton
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:09:35 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stratton.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Joyce E. Stratton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Stratton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>garden DAS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>PNL</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>PNL</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>PNL</i>

because they have to much to learn

<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p>	<p><i>The need for a public study</i></p>
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	<p><i>no sleep</i></p>
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p>	<p><i>RMS</i></p>
<p>Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?</p>	<p>Despite historical statements, 3rd Runway now full-time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3rd Runway.</p>	<p><i>3rd Runway for emergency use only</i></p>
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	<p><i>Port is always low balling</i></p>
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	<p><i>Port should do this & give us the details</i></p>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Rose Stratton Signature: Rose M. Stratton

Address: 1701 4th and Seatac

E-mail: rosestratton@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; olgakovgan@yahoo.com](mailto:olgakovgan@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment of Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:14:29 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Drayton.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Olga Drayton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Drayton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>O.D.</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>O.D.</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>O.D.</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>O.D.</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	C.D.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	C.D.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	C.D.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	C.D.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	C.D.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	C.D.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *OLGA DRAYTON*

Signature: _____



Address:

E-mail: *olgakovgane@yahoo.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; g.e.gibson@gmail.com](mailto:g.e.gibson@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment of Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:10:28 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Gary Gibson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Gary Gibson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Gibson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	LG
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	LG
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	LG
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	LG

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	6/9
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	6/9
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	6/9
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	6/9
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	6/9
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	6/9

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: GARY GIBSON

Signature: 

Address: 22014 7 Ave S. Des Moines, WA. 98198

E-mail: G.E.GIBSON6@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hstrattononhaven@msn.com](mailto:hstrattononhaven@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Bobby Stratton
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:07:46 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stratton Bobby.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Bobby Stratton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Stratton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>BLS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>BLS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>BLS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>BLS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BLS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	BLS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BLS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BLS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	BLS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BLS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2016.

Name: Bobby L. STRATTON Signature: Bobby L. Stratton

Address: 807 S 218th ST #105

E-mail: BSTRATTON@HAVEN.MSN.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bid522@yahoo.com](mailto:bid522@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Bruce Dennis
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:49:15 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Dennis.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Bruce Dennis of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Dennis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>BLD</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>BLD</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>BLD</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>BLD</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>BLD</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>BLD</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Bruce L Dennis*

Signature: *Bruce L Dennis*

Address: *13022 16th Ave SW, Burien, WA 98146*

E-mail: *BLDSZZ @ YAHOO.COM*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cindycripe@comcast.net](mailto:cindycripe@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Cindy Cripe
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:41:32 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Cindy Cripe.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Cindy Cripe of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Cripe be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Cindy Cripe*

Signature: *Cindy Cripe*

Address: *14317 23rd Ave SW BUNEN, WA 98166*

E-mail: *Cindycripe@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dsdennis@pacbell.net](mailto:dsdennis@pacbell.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Deborah Dennis Correction
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:11:50 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Dennis.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Deborah Dennis of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Dennis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>BLD</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>BLD</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>BLD</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>BLD</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>BLD</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>BLD</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Bruce L Dennis*

Signature: *Bruce L Dennis*

Address: *13022 16th Ave SW, Burien, WA 98146*

E-mail: *BLD522 @ YAHOO.COM*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: SAMP Public Comments; Liz B
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Elizabeth Burn
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:56:41 PM
Attachments: Scoping Comment Burn Elizabeth.pdf

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Elizabeth Burn of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Burn be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>X</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>X</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>X</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>X</p>

EB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	EB X
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	EB X
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	EB X
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	EB ✓
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	EB X
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	EB X

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Elizabeth Burn Signature: *Elizabeth Burn*

Address: 1204 S 258th ST Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: *elizabeth.burn@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jsiburg@hotmail.com](mailto:jsiburg@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping For Jeanette Siburg
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:28:58 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Siburg.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Jeanette Siburg of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Siburg be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 206 / 9 / 199

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>OP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>OP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>OP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>OP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	25
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	25
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	25
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	25
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	25
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	25

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jeanette Siburg Signature: Jeanette Siburg

Address: 806 S. 275th Street, 98103

E-mail: jsiburg@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hmsbibby@gmail.com](mailto:hmsbibby@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Heather Bibby
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:36:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping_Comment_Bibby.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Heather Bibby of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Bibby be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	HB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	HB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	HB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	HB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	HB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	HB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Heather Bibby Signature: Heather Bibby
Address: 2322 10th Ave S, Des, Moines, WA 98148
E-mail: hms.bibby@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; stevenpedersen@comcast.net](mailto:stevenpedersen@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Kay Pedersen
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:56:05 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Kay Pedersen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. V. Kay Pedersen of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Pedersen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>VMP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>VMP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>VMP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>VMP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	VAP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	VAP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	VAP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	VAP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	VAP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	VAP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: V. Kay Pedersen Signature: V. Kay Pedersen

Address: 620 Sun 15 St Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: stevpedersen@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Larry Cripe](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Larry Cripe
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:43:56 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Larry Cripe.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Larry Cripe of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Cripe be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	LC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	LC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	LC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	LC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	LC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	LC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Larry Cripe Signature: Larry Cripe

Address: 14317 23rd Ave SW Burien WA 98166

E-mail: larrycripe@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; peter@philipspublishing.com](mailto:peter@philipspublishing.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Peter Philips
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:34:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Philips Peter.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Peter Philips of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Philips be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>M</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>PP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>PP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>PP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Peter PHILIPS

Signature: 

Address: 19679 Marine View Dr. SW, Normandy Park WA 98166

E-mail: peter@philipspublishing.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dedson@fwps.org](mailto:dedson@fwps.org)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Review
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:14:31 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Edson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Danylle Edson of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Edson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Danylle Edson Signature: 

Address: 30848 22nd Ave S
Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: dedson@fwps.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; smouse@seanet.com](mailto:smouse@seanet.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping Sheila Murray
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:43:07 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Murry Sheila.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Sheila Murray of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Murray be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

PROJECT # 206 787 5093

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>mm</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>mm</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>mm</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>mm</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>mm</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>mm</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Shirley Murray* Signature: *Shirley Murray*
 Address: *815 S 216th St Des Moines 95198*
 E-mail: *S11ouse@seanet.com*
 Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [Susan Plecko](#); [Larry Cripe](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 8:52:41 AM
Attachments: [susan_plecko_comments.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Quiet Skies Coalition member Mrs. Susan Plecko of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review.

Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing. We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Plecko be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain today, so that we can arrange for an alternative delivery method.

Thank you,

Scott Stevson | Communications Director
Quiet Skies Coalition
206.913.8454
info@quietskiescoalition.net
scottstevson@me.com



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	SP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	SP
Unique - Full Time 3 rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	SP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	SP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: SUSAN PLECKO Signature: Susan Plecko

Address: PO BOX 290, SEALURST, WA 98062

E-mail: SUSANPLECKO@COMCAST.NET

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [Erik Peterson; Larry Cripe](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 8:50:04 AM
Attachments: [erik_peterson_comments.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Quiet Skies Coalition member Mr. Erik Peterson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review.

Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing. We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Peterson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain today, so that we can arrange for an alternative delivery method.

Thank you,

Scott Stevson | Communications Director
Quiet Skies Coalition
206.913.8454
info@quietskiescoalition.net
scottstevson@me.com



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	EJP
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	EJP
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	EJP
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	EJP

<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p>	<p>ESP</p>
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	<p>ESP</p>
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p>	<p>ESP</p>
<p>Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?</p>	<p>Despite historical statements, 3rd Runway now full-time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3rd Runway.</p>	<p>ESP</p>
<p>What If Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	<p>ESP</p>
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	<p>ESP</p>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Erik Petersen

Signature: 

Address: 14617 20th Ave SW, Burien, WA 98148

E-mail: erik@erikmadsen.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: schmidt-olympic@comcast.net; [Larry Cripe](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 8:46:55 AM
Attachments: [dave_schmidt_comments.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Quiet Skies Coalition member Mr. David Schmidt of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review.

Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing. We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Schmidt be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain today, so that we can arrange for an alternative delivery method.

Thank you,

Scott Stevson | Communications Director
Quiet Skies Coalition
206.913.8454
info@quietskiescoalition.net
scottstevson@me.com



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DS</p>

<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?</p>	<p>Despite historical statements, 3rd Runway now full-time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3rd Runway.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2018; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	<p>DS</p>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: DAVE SCHMIDT Signature: 

Address: 2705 SW 164th Dr

E-mail: schmidt - olympic @ comcast . net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jenwrena@comcast.net](mailto:jenwrena@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:46:34 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Jennifer Stock.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Jennifer Stock of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Stock be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JRS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JRS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JRS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jennifer R Stock

Signature: 

Address: 748 S 216th St
Des Moines, 98198

E-mail: jennifer@portseattle.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bermelt@comcast.net](mailto:bermelt@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:37:52 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Elizabeth Bermel.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Elizabeth Bermel of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Bermel be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>EMW</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>EMW</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>EMW</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>EMW</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	EMB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	EMB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	EMB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	EMB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	EMB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	EMB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: *Elizabeth M. Bernick*

Address: *24501 9th Place South, Des Moines, WA 98115*

E-mail: *bernelta@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; tjuberg2001@yahoo.com](mailto:tjuberg2001@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:17:18 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Terri Juberg.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Terri Juberg of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Mrs. Juberg be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>JA</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>JA</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>JA</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>JA</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	YJ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	YJ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	YJ
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	YJ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	YJ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	YJ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Terri Juberg

Signature: 

Address: 801 S. 248th
Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: TJuberg2001@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; opmark@gmail.com](mailto:opmark@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 4:39:28 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Q_Mark.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. OP Mark of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Mark be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	X
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	X
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	X
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	X

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	X
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	X
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	X
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	X
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	X
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	X

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: Cheryl Smith

Address:

916 9th 211 1250 Mt Rainier, WA

E-mail:

OPBARK@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; seattlepaula@comcast.net](mailto:seattlepaula@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 4:33:11 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Paula McDonald.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Paula McDonald of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. McDonald be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: PAULA McDonald Signature: 
 Address: 12640 12th Ave So SEATTLE WA 98168
 E-mail: SEATTLEPAULA@Comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; missvickyh@gmail.com](mailto:missvickyh@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 4:06:25 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Vicky Hartley.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Vicky Hartley of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Hartley be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member


**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>WA</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>WA</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>WA</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>WA</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WAA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WAA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WAA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WAA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WAA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WAA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Vicky Hartley Signature: 

Address: 12428 - 12th Ave S Burien 98168

E-mail: missvickyh@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hightrailrider@gmail.com](mailto:hightrailrider@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:04:46 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment William Vogel.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. William R. Vogel of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Vogel be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	WRV
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key" but Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms. WRV	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	WRV
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	WRV
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	WRV

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WRV
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WRV
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WRV
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WRV
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WRV
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WRV

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: William R Vogel

Signature: WR Vogel

Address: 1252 South 115th Street Burien Wa 98168-2150

E-mail: hightrailrider@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; bsc07tsmith@yahoo.com](mailto:bsco7tsmith@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 2:02:46 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Barry SMith.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Barry Smith of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Smith be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: BARRY SMITH

Signature: 

Address: 11035 8th AVE S
SEA, WA 98168

E-mail: BSCOTTSMITH@YAHOO.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	BS
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	BS
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dougkimo@mac.com](mailto:dougkimo@mac.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:25:38 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Kim Douglas.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Kimo Douglas of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Kimo Douglas be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KP
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	KP
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	KP

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	K
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	K
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	K
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	K

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Kim Douglas

Signature: 

Address: 21654 14th Ave S., Des Moines, WA 99199

E-mail: dougkim@mac.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ashleyn.baker@gmail.com](mailto:ashleyn.baker@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:08:27 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Ashley Baker.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Ashley Baker of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Baker be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Ashley Baker

Signature: 

Address: 26025 19th AVE S, DES MOINES, WA 98178

E-mail: ashley.n.baker@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; erica.hillmon@hotmail.com](mailto:erica.hillmon@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:14:47 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Erica Schindler.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Erica Schindler of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Schindler be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>ES</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>ES</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>ES</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>ES</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ES
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ES
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ES/
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ES!!
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ES
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ES

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018

Name: Erica Schindler

Signature: 

Address: 27021 12th Ave S. Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: erica.hillmm@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; alli@alark.new](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:06:01 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Alli Larkin.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Alli Larkin of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.





Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Larkin be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,
Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	af
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	af
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	af
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	af
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	af
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	af

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: ALLI LARKIN Signature: Alli Larkin
Address: 21437 7TH AVE SO #210 DES MOINES, WA
E-mail: alli@alark.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; badgerwest@comcast.net](mailto:badgerwest@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:59:08 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Patrick Smith.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Patrick Smith of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Smith be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	P.D.S.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	P.D.S.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	P.D.S.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	P.D.S.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	P.D.S.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	P.D.S.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Patrick D. Smith*

Signature: *Patrick D. Smith*

Address: *916 S. Marine Hills Way, Federal Way WA 98003*

E-mail: *badgerwest@comcast.net*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; tinkerjill@yahoo.com](mailto:tinkerjill@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:57:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Jill Tinker.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Jill Tinker of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Tinker be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>JMS7</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>JMS7</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>JMS7</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>JMS7</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>Just</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>Just</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>Just</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>Just</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>Just</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>Just</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Jill Tinker* Signature: *JTinker*

Address: *916 S Marine Hills way
Federal way, WA 98003*

E-mail: *tinkerjill@pho.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; andrewmwood@aol.com](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:54:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Andrew Wood.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Andrew Wood of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Wood be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	dw
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	dw
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	dw
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	dw
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	dw
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	dw

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: ANDREW WOOD

Signature: 

Address: 911 S 294th Place, Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: andrewmwood@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; scottstevson@me.com](mailto:scottstevson@me.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:20:36 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stevson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. David Scott Stevson of Burién, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Stevson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>DLL</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>DLL</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>DLL</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>DLL</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>DLL</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>DLL</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *David Scott Stevenson*

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *5100 SW 170th St
Burien WA 98146*

E-mail: *Scott.Stevenson@me.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Anne Kroeker](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:18:21 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Kroeker.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Anne Kroeker of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Kroeker be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	QFK
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	QFK
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	QFK
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	QFK

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	OK
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	OK
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	OK
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	OK
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	OK
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	OK

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Anne Kroeker Signature: Anne Kroeker

Address: 27915 10th Ave S, Des Moines

E-mail: annek@36524.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dedennis@pachell.net](mailto:dedennis@pachell.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:53:32 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Dennis Debra.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Deborah Dennis of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Dennis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: ~~DEBORAH S. DENNIS~~ *S. Dennis* Signature: 

Address: 13022 16th AVE. SW, BURTON WA 98146

E-mail: dsdennis@pacbell.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: hsslaw@me.com](mailto:hsslaw@me.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:51:29 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Steinmetz.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Harry Steinmetz of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Steinmetz be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>HS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>HS</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>HS</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>HS</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HSS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HSS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	HSS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HSS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	HSS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HSS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: HARRY S. SEJWICZ

Signature: 

Address: 917 S. 258th PL, OAKS MOINEA, WA 98198

E-mail: HSSLAW@ME.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jsiburg@hotmail.com](mailto:jsiburg@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:02:17 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Siburg Jim.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Jim Siburg of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Siburg be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>23</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>25</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>13</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	5
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	2
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	10
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	36
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	70
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	2

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jim Siburg Signature: [Signature]
Address: 806 S. 295th St, 98103
E-mail: jsiburg@hotmail.com
Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jhs253@comcast.net](mailto:jhs253@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:58:44 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment_Schneider.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. John Schneider of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Schneider be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision - projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>JK</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	2
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	2
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	2
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	2
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	2
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	2

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: John Schneider

Signature: John Schneider

Address: 36008 3rd Av. S., F.W.

E-mail: jhs253@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; polishpc@aol.com](mailto:polishpc@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:26:08 PM
Attachments: [Scoping_Comment_Cheslock.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Pauline Cheslock of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Cheslock be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>pe</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>pe</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>pe</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>pe</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	pc
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	pc
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	pc
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	pc
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	pc
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	pc

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: PAULINE CHESLOCK Signature: Pauline Cheslock

Address: 27826-10th AVE S. DES MOINES, WA 98198

E-mail: polishpc@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; polishpc@aol.com](mailto:polishpc@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:26:08 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Cheslock.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Pauline Cheslock of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Cheslock be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>pc</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>pc</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>pc</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>pc</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: PAULINE CHESLOCK Signature: Pauline Cheslock

Address: 27826-10th AVE S. DES MOINES, WA 98198

E-mail: polishpc@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dianedon3@gmail.com](mailto:dianedon3@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:22:24 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Collier.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Diane Collier of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Collier be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>debe</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>debe</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>debe</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>debe</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>debe</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>debe</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Diane Collier*

Signature: *Diane Collier*

Address: *816 S 216th St Terrace Cottage 101*

Des Moines WA

E-mail: *dianedon3@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

98198

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; vsamseidel@aol.com](mailto:vsamseidel@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:17:26 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Seidel.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Joan Seidel of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Seidel be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,





Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report #11 2007/07 0303

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>JS</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>A</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>JS</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>JS</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>JS</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>JS</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *JOHN C. SEINEL*

Signature: *John C. Seinel*

Address: *815 S. 216TH ST, UV 72*

E-mail: *VS@MSEINEL.COM*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; lmeinecke@wesleyhomes.org](mailto:SAMP_Public_Comments; lmeinecke@wesleyhomes.org)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:10:56 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Meinecke.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Lisa Meinecke of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.





Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Meinecke be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.







Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member


**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Lisa Meinicke Signature: 

Address: 125-66th St SW 216th St Des Moines

E-mail: lmeinicke@westgy.com.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; qcme@msn.com](mailto:qcme@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:59:35 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Utigard.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Caryl Utigard of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 1 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Utigard be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CHL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Caryl Utigard

Signature: Caryl N Utigard

Address: 2447 SW 170th St

E-mail: gcmc @ msu . com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ntosta@comcast.net](mailto:ntosta@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:55:29 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Tosta.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Nancy Tosta of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Tosta be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>NT</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>NT</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>NT</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>NT</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MT
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MT
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MT
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MT
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MT
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MT

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Nancy Tosta

Signature: _____

N Tosta

Address: 15931 Mapleleaf, Burien 98166

E-mail: ntosta@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; blockact8th@outlook.com](mailto:blockact8th@outlook.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:34:52 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Leffler.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Stephanie Leffler of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Leffler be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>SS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>SS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>SS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>SS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	58
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	58
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	58
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	58
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	58
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	58

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Stephanie C. Laffler*

Signature: *Stephanie C. Laffler*

Address: *24517 8th Ave S
Des Moines, WA 98148*

E-mail: *nickack@outlook.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; max2mm@aol.com](mailto:max2mm@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:28:54 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Maces.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Dorothee Maces of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Maces be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>DM</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>DM</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>DM</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>DM</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DMM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DMM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DMM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DMM
What if Projections are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DMM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DMM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Dorothee Mays Signature: Dorothee Mays

Address: 28604 9th Ave S

E-mail: max2mms@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; nve2003ticketexchange@hotmail.com](mailto:nve2003ticketexchange@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:23:36 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Burn.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Malcolm Burn of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.





Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Burn be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.







Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: MALCOLM BURN

Signature: 

Address: 1204 S 258TH ST DES MOINES, WA 98198

E-mail: NYE2003TICKETEXCHANGE@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jstrattonhaven@msn.com](mailto:jstrattonhaven@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:04:06 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stratton Joyce.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Joyce E. Stratton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Stratton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>Yes</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>Geo</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>Geo</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>Geo</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Joyce E Stratton* Signature: *Joyce E Stratton*

Address: *607 S 218th St, H105, Des Moines, WA 98178*

E-mail: *jstrattononhaven@msn.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; nancystephan@gmail.com](mailto:nancystephan@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 3:01:37 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stephan.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Nancy Stephan of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Stephan be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of <u>Des Moines</u> , Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	768
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on <u>elderly citizens</u> and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	768
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	768
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	768
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	768
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	768

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Nancy Stephan Signature: *Nancy Stephan*
Address: 815 S. 216th St, #63
E-mail: *nancy.stephan@gmail.com*
Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Dana Hollaway](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:58:25 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Hollaway.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Dana Hollaway of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Hollaway be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>DT</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>DT</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>DT</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>DT</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: DANA HOLLOWAY Signature: Dana Hollaway

Address: 2020 SW 304th ST, FEDERAL WAY WA 98023

E-mail: baloo@hollawayhome.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; stevenpedersen@comcast.net](mailto:stevenpedersen@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:53:34 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Steve Pedersen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Steven Pedersen of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Pedersen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	SPP
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary, Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	SPP
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in <u>all</u> of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, <u>Federal Way</u> , Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, <u>Federal Way</u> , Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	SPP !!!
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	SPP

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SAP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on <u>elderly</u> citizens and <u>children</u> ?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on <u>elderly</u> citizens and children.	SAP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <u>overnight</u> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	SAP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SAP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	SAP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is <u>more fairly</u> distributed throughout the entire region.	SAP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: STEVEN P. PEDERSEN Signature: 

Address: 620 SW 293rd ST, FEDERAL WAY, WA 98023

E-mail: STEVENPEDERSEN@COMCAST.NET

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; tomusselman@yahoo.com](mailto:tomusselman@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:47:20 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Musselman.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Tina Musselman of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Musselman be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: TERRILL HUBER, DN Signature: [Signature]

Address: 165 S. JACOBS

E-mail: FID@PORTSEATTLE.ORG

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dedson@gwps.org](mailto:dedson@gwps.org)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:10:48 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Edson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Danylle Edson of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Edson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Danylle Edson Signature: 

Address: 30848 32nd Ave S
Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: deds~~on~~son@fwps.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portsseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; robinrawlings@shcglobal.net](mailto:robinrawlings@shcglobal.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:00:10 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Rawlings.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Robin Rawlings of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Robin Rawlings be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>RR</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>RR</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>RR</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>RR</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RR
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RR
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RR
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RR
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RR
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RR

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Robin Rawlings Signature: *Robin Rawlings*
Address: 7265, 294th A Federal Way WA 98003
E-mail: robin.rawlings@sbcglobal.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#); billcorbin14@me.com
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:41:19 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Corbin.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. William Corbin of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Corbin be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>WMC</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>WMC</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>WMC</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>WMC</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>WRC</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>WRC</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>WRC</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>WRC</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>WRC</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>WRC</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *WILLIAM R. CORBIN*

Signature: *WRC*

Address: *25643 MARINE VIEW DR S
DES MOINES, WA 98023*

E-mail: *billcorbin14@me.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; wahaley0907@gmail.com](mailto:wahaley0907@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:37:49 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Haley.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Wendy Haley of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms.Haley be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>WJK</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>WJK</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>WJK</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>WJK</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>not</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>not</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>not</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>not</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>not</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>not</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: WENDY HALEY Signature: Wendy Haley

Address: 24018 26th PLS # A 301
DES MOINES 48198

E-mail: wahaley0907@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hnsmmw@gmail.com](mailto:hnsmmw@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:34:33 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Wollam.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Marcia Wollam of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Wollam be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>TK</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>TK</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>TK</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>TK</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	my
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	my
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	my
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	my
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	my
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	my

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Marcia Wollam

Signature: Marcia Wollam

Address: P.O. Box 66580
Burien, WA 98146

E-mail: hwollam@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; margaret nelson](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:16:10 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Nelson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Margaret Nelson of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Nelson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>MN</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>MN</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>MN</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>MN</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	7/71
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	7/71
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	7/71
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	7/71
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	7/71
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	7/71

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: MARGARET NELSON Signature: Margaret Nelson

Address: 32904 4th AVE SW, Federal Way, WA 98023

E-mail: oldrosen@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Kurt Moss](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:47:11 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Kurt Moss.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Kurt Moss of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Moss be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Kurt Moss*

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Address: *640 SO 295TH PL. FEDAY WA. 98003*

E-mail: *MOSS@UTK.COM*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; carolyn.corbin@icloud.com](mailto:carolyn.corbin@icloud.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:44:59 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Carolyn Corbin.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Carolyn Corbin of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Corbin be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	ccc
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	ccc
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	ccc
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	ccc

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	ccc
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	ccc
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	ccc
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	ccc
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	ccc
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	ccc

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CAROLYN CORBIN

Signature:



Address: 25643 Manhe View Dr. S.

E-mail: carolyn.corbin@icloud.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; billfeldt@comcast.net](mailto:billfeldt@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:40:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment William Feldt.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. William Feldt of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Feldt be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	WAF
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	WAF
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	WAF
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	WAF

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	WAF
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	WAF
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	WAF
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	WAF
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	WAF
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	WAF

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: William Feldt

Signature: William Feldt

Address: 601 S. 29th St., Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: billfeldt@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Lewis, Steve](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:35:27 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Stephen Lewis.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Stephen Lewis of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Lewis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>CSJ</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>CSJ</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>CSJ</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>CSJ</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CxL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CxL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CxL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CxL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CxL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CxL

Please include the requests I have initiated above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: C. Stephen Lewis

Signature: C. Stephen Lewis

Address: 28843 7th Ave S., Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: lewiscs@seanet.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jansleez@aol.com](mailto:jansleez@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:31:59 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Janet Slezak.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Janet Slezak of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Slezak be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
* Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
* Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
* Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

* Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
* Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Janet Siezek

Signature: Janet Siezek

Address: 815 S 216th St #C31

E-mail: jansteez@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sadowitz93@gmail.com](mailto:sadowitz93@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:13:45 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sadowitz.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Daniel Sadowitz of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sadowitz be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>DF</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>DF</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>DF</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>DF</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Daniel Sadowitz

Signature: Daniel Sadowitz

Address: 29030 7th Pl S, Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: sadowitz93@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hillarystein@hotmail.com](mailto:hillarystein@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:11:37 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Britt.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Hillary Britt of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Britt be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 766-78 / 0093

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	HB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	HB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	HB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	HB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	HB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	HB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Hillary Britt Signature: Hillary Britt

Address: 1205 S. 236th Pl.

E-mail: hillarystein@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; dbmurray@seanet.com](mailto:dbmurray@seanet.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 8:06:26 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Murray Don.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Don Murray of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 13 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Murray be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the <u>human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</u>	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	SBM
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the <u>human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision</u> anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	SBM
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique <u>human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</u>	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	SBM
Use Current Science? Will Port review all <u>studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</u>	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	SBM

*more specific Greater West Coast
California, Oregon*

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding <u>without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington</u> directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	DBM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on <u>elderly citizens and children?</u>	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	DBM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to <u>impacts from overnight flights noise?</u>	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	DBM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the <u>full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?</u>	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	DBM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	DBM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	DBM

Wesley James

Look at Calif Bay Area

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: **DB MURRAY**

Signature: *Don Murray*

Address: **815 S. 216th ST, DES MOINES**

E-mail: **dbmurray@seanet.com**

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; mmarkkanen@comcast.net](mailto:mmarkkanen@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:22:42 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Markkanen.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Marianne Markkanen of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Markkanen be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.







Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>mm</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *MARIANNE MARIKKAEN* Signature: *marianne marikkaen*

Address: *20613 12th Ave SO Seattle*

E-mail: *MARIANNE@COINCAST.NET*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; moldychum@gmail.com](mailto:moldychum@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:20:02 PM
Attachments: [Scoping_Comment_Bennett.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Brian Bennett of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Bennett be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PAB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PAB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PAB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PAB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PAB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PAB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Brian P Brunett

Signature: 

Address: 29506 2nd Avenue SW

E-mail: nboldy@portseattle.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; valerie.constantino@gmail.com](mailto:valerie.constantino@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:14:14 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Constantino.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Valerie Constantino of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Constantino be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	VC
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans. <i>Especially mental health due to excessive constant noise.</i>	VC
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	VC
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	VC

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	VC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	VC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from <u>overnight flights</u> , <i>and sleep patterns</i>	VC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. <i>→ they lied</i>	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	VC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	VC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	VC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Valerie Constantino

Signature:

Valerie Constantino

Address:

Valerie.constantino@gmail.com

E-mail:

1415 Apple Lane, Des Moines

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jamesmccartney@hotmail.com](mailto:jamesmccartney@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:12:16 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment McCartney.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. James McCartney of Auburn, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. McCartney be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	JM
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	JM
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	JM
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	JM

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JM!
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JM?

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: James McCartney

Signature: 

Address: 27842 38th PL S, Auburn WA 98001

E-mail: jamesmccartney@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Kathryn L. Enlow](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:10:47 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Enlow.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Kathryn Enlow of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Enlow be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>Kle</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>Kle</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>Kle</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>Kle</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	kle
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	kle
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	kle
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	kle
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	kle
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	kle

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Kathryn L. Enlow Signature: *Kathryn L. Enlow*
Address: 24907 Marine View Dr. So., Des Moines, WA 98198
E-mail: *klenlow@yahoo.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; joanb222@msn.com](mailto:joanb222@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 7:00:09 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Baily.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Joni Baily of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Baily be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	B
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	B
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	B
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	B
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	B
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	B

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Joani Bailey
Address: 22621 12th Ave S
E-mail: joanb222@msn.com

Signature: Joani Bailey

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; alan.jane@goneagain.net](mailto:alan.jane@goneagain.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:57:03 PM
Attachments: [Scoping_Comment_Davis.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Jane Davis of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Davis be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p align="center">JP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p align="center">JD</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p align="center">JD</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p align="center">JD</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JD
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JD
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JD
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JD
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JD
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JD

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jane Davis Signature: Jane Davis

Address: 19612 5th Ave S, Des Moines

E-mail: alan.jane@goneagain.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; mb2photo@gmail.com](mailto:mb2photo@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:53:27 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Bell.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Morgan Bell of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Morgan Bell be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Margen Bell

Signature: Margen Bell

Address: 625 S. 47th PL Buren WA 98168

E-mail: mb2photo@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sankeyt@comcast.net](mailto:sankeyt@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:49:38 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sankey.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Terri Sankey of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Sankey be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	TLS
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	TLS
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	TLS
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	TLS

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TLS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TLS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TLS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TLS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TLS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TLS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Terril L. Sankey Signature: 
Address: 12818 26th Ave. So.
SeaTac, WA 98168
E-mail: SANKEYTL@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; gesankey@comcast.net](mailto:gesankey@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:47:52 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sankey.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Glen Sankey of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sankey be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>TLS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>TLS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>TLS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>TLS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TLS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TLS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TLS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TLS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TLS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TLS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Terril L. Sankey Signature: Terril L. Sankey

Address: 12818 26th Ave. So.

SeaTac, WA 98168

E-mail: SANKEYTL@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jesserhart@gmail.com](mailto:jesserhart@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:44:37 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Hart.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Jesse Hart of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Jesse Hart be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>JRH</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>JRH</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>JRH</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>JRH</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JRH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JRH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JRH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JRH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JRH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JRH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jesse Hart

Signature: 

Address: 625 S 147th Pl, Burien, WA, 98168

E-mail: jesserhart@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Lisa Patton](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:34:52 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Patton.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Lisa Patton of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Patton be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>LP</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>LP</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>LP</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>LP</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	LP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	LP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	LP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	LP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	LP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	LP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: LISA PATTON

Signature: Lisa Patton

Address: 1506 S. 268th St, Des Moines 98198

E-mail: aleapattm@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; hmsbiddy@gmail.com](mailto:hmsbiddy@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:32:49 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Biddy.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Heather Biddy of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Biddy be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	HB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	HB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	HB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	HB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	HB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	HB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Heather Bibby Signature: Heather Bibby
 Address: 2322 10th Ave S, Des, Moines, WA 98198
 E-mail: hms.bibby@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; afrance1959@comcast.net](mailto:afrance1959@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 6:30:47 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment France.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. & Mrs. Roy and Amy France of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.





Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Frances be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.







Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member


**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Amy & Ray France Signature: 
 Address: 1719 So. 232nd St.
Des Moines, WA 98128
 E-mail: afrance1959@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Steve Edmiston
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: [Steve Edmiston](#); [Quiet Skies](#)
Subject: SAMP SCOPING COMMENTS - ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 1:16:45 PM
Attachments: [2018_09_28_12_31_07.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)
[2018_09_28_12_31_07.pdf](#)
[ATT00002.htm](#)



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	SWE
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	SWE
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	SWE
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	SWE

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SWE
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	SWE
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	SWE
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SWE
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	SWE
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	SWE

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Steve W. Edmiston Signature: 

Address: 27043 7th Pl. S.
Des Moines WA 98148

E-mail: steveedmistonQ45@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	SWE
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	SWE
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	SWE
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	SWE

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SWE
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	SWE
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	SWE
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SWE
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive. Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	SWE
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	SWE

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Steve W. Edmiston Signature: 

Address: 27043 7th Pl. S.
Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: stevedmistonQ45@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: STEPHEN GRIFFIN
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: marinehillsfw@gmail.com
Subject: SAMP Scoping Comments
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:49:10 AM
Attachments: [SAMP Scoping - Angela Griffin comments.pdf](#)
[SAMP Scoping - Dorothy Griffin comments.pdf](#)
[SAMP Scoping - Stephen Griffin comments.pdf](#)

To Port of Seattle,

Please see the attached SAMP scoping comments.

Regards,

Stephen Griffin



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	abg
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	abg
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	abg
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	abg
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	abg
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	abg

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Angela Griffin* Signature: *Angela Griffin*

Address: *1030 S 289th St.
Federal Way, WA 98003*

E-mail: *abgriffin2@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>DS</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>DS</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RE
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RE
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RE
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RE
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RE

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.





Name: Dorothy Griffin Signature: Dorothy Griffin
Address: 1030 S 289th Fed Way, WA 98103
E-mail: DA@GRIFFIN10@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	SB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	SB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	SB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	SB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Stephen Griffin Signature: 

Address: 1030 S. 289th St.
Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: SRGGRIFFIN@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jwjohns1@comcast.net](mailto:jwjohns1@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:44:58 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment John Johnson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. John Johnson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Johnson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.





Kind Regards,







Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. <u>Yes!!</u>	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: John W Johnson

Signature:



Address: 12424- 12th Ave S

E-mail: JWJOHNSON@Comcast.Net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; yhkimlee630@yahoo.com](mailto:yhkimlee630@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:41:05 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Young Hee Lee.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Young Hee Lee of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Young Hee Lee be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>Lee</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>Lee</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>Lee</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>Lee</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Lee
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Lee
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Lee
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Lee
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Lee
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Lee

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: YOUNG HEE LEE Signature: 

Address: 29039 7th PL S Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: yhkimlee63@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; keshjonsmith@hotmail.com](mailto:keshjonsmith@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 11:06:00 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Keshjon Smith.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Keshjon Smith of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Smith be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KS
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	KS
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	KS
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	KS

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Keshjon Smith

Signature: Keshjon Smith

Address: 17831 1st Ave S #278

E-mail: ~~ks~~ Keshjon.Smith@Hotmail.com Normandy Park, WA 98148

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; pat-kurtmoss@msn.com](mailto:pat-kurtmoss@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:52:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Pat Moss.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mrs. Patricia Moss of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mrs. Moss be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>PM</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>PM</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>PM</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>PM</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Pat Moss Signature: 

Address: 640 - S 295th Pl

E-mail: Pat-KurtMoss@msa.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; Chris Hall](#)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:33:24 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Chris Hall.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Chris Hall of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Hall be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>C.H.</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>C.H.</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>C.H.</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>C.H.</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	C.H.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	C.H.
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	C.H.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	C.H.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	C.H.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	C.H.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Chris Hall*

Signature: *Chris Hall*

Address: *823 S. Marine Hills Way*

E-mail: *ENZO.SPEED@GMAIL.COM*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: *SAMP@portseattle.org*

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; blufire48@gmail.com](mailto:blufire48@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:51:32 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Numrich.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Steve Numrich of Normandy Park, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 6 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Numrich be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	SK
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	SK
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	SK
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	SK
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	SN
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	SN

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Steve Numrich Signature: 

Address: 16824 Sylvester Rd SW, Normandy Park WA

E-mail: Blufire48@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; 85peto@msn.com](mailto:85peto@msn.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:08:23 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Peto.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Frank Peto of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Mr. Peto be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>FP</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>FP</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>FP</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>FP</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: FRANK J PETO

Signature: Frank J. Peto

Address: 816 S 216th ST TL104
DES MOINES WA 98198

E-mail: fspeto@msn.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; nancy6r1@comcast.net](mailto:nancy6r1@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:02:41 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Robertson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Nancy Robertson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Robertson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

REPORT #11 / 116 / 03 / 0033

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	AK
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP <u>Long Term Vision</u> projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	AK
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the <u>human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac</u> , resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	AK
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	AK

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018

Name: Nancy Robertson Signature: 

Address: 807 S 218th ST E 202

E-mail: nancybr1@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; keviay75@gmail.com](mailto:keviay75@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:51:42 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Keyondre McDonald.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Keyondre McDonald of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Keyondre McDonald be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>Keegendre</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>Keegendre</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>Keegendre</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>Keegendre</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	Keyserndre
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	Keyserndre
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	Keyserndre
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	Keyserndre
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	Keyserndre
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	Keyserndre

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Keyserndre McDonald Signature: Keyserndre McDonald

Address: 12640 12th ave South burien Washington 98148

E-mail: Keyserndre75@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Randail and Carol Parsons
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Request Comments
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:22:33 PM
Attachments: [SAMP Request for Air Study CAP.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Rybolt,

Please find attached my requested scoping questions for the SAMP you are preparing.

Sincerely,

Carol Parsons

2727 SW 149th Pl

Burien, WA 98166-1657

sealodge@earthlink.net



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	CP
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	CP
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	CP
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	CP

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	CP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	CP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	CP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	CP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	CP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	CP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: CAROL PARSONS Signature: x Carol Parsons

Address: 2727 SW 149TH PL
BURDEN, WA 98166-1657

E-mail: sealodge@earthlink.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: seer303@earthlink.net
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Request Comments
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:27:15 PM
Attachments: [SAMP Request for Air Study RLP.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Rybolt,

Please find attached my requested scoping questions for the SAMP you are preparing.







Sincerely,
Randall Parsons
17030 Sylvester Rd. SW
Normandy Park, WA 98166-3434
seer303@earthlink.net



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: RANDALL PARSONS Signature: 

Address: 17030 SYLVESTER RD SW
NORMANDY PARK, WA 98166-1657

E-mail: sear303@earthlink.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Michelle Chaves
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Scoping Requests / Comments
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 8:26:08 PM
Attachments: [SAMP_IMG.pdf](#)

Please see my signed requests attached.

Thanks,
Michelle



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>M.C.</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>M.C.</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>M.C.</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	M.C.
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	M.C.
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	M.C.
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	M.C.
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	M.C.

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Michelle Chaves Signature: Michelle Chaves

Address: 147 S. 153rd St., Burien, WA 98148

E-mail: mchaves0019@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Katie Jules
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: hladick.christopher@epa.gov
Subject: SAMP Scoping Requests from Burien, WA Resident Katie Jules
Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 11:47:00 AM
Attachments: [SAMP Scoping Requests from Katie Jules - 9.19.18.pdf](#)

To The Port:

Please see attached for my SAMP scoping requests.

Respectfully,

Katie Jules

13844 17th Ave SW

Burien, WA 98166



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KEJ
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	KEJ
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	KEJ
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	KEJ

* To the Port: In connection with this 2-page submission, please see Page 2 for my requests in this regard. My household urges the Port to comply.

Respectfully submitted,
Katie E. Jules

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KEJ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KEJ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KEJ
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KEJ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KEJ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KEJ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Katie E. Jules

Signature: Katie E. Jules

Address: 13844 17th Ave SW, Burien, WA 98146

E-mail: gorringek@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Brett Piper
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP scoping requests
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 5:47:00 PM
Attachments: [samp_questions_piper.pdf](#)



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>hp</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>hp</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present, for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>hp</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>hp</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	BP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	BP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations	BP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: BRETT PIPER

Signature: 

Address: 16600 25th Ave SW Burien, WA 98146

E-mail: brett.piper@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Raphael Sadowitz
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:39:02 AM
Attachments: [scannedDoc.pdf](#)

Good morning,

Please see attached signed SAMP scoping request. Thank you.

V/r,

Raphael



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>PS</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>PS</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>PS</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>PS</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RS
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RS
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RS
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RS
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RS
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RS

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: RACHAEL SADDWITZ

Signature: 

Address: 29030 9th PL S
FEDERAL WAY, WA 98003

E-mail: RSADDWITZ@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: twinlakesvet1@gmail.com
To: SAMP Public Comments
Subject: Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Device
Date: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 10:11:44 AM
Attachments: Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Device.pdf

Please open the attached document. It was scanned and sent to you using a Xerox Multifunction Device.

Attachment File Type: pdf, Multi-Page

Multifunction Device Location:
Device Name: XRX9C934E5C1C68

For more information on Xerox products and solutions, please visit <http://www.xerox.com>



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	MM
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	MM
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	MM
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	MM

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MM
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MM
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MM
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MM
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MM
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MM

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature:

Address:

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

Miyuki M. Mizumoto
 417 S. 289th St. Federal Way WA 98003
 m.mizumoto@comcast.net

From: Jenny Smith
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP request
Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 4:51:02 PM
Attachments: [SAMP Request.pdf](#)





Please see the attached letter with questions I request you look into as a member of the Des Moines community and someone who lives under the flight path.







Thank you,
Jenny Smith
206-769-1626



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary: Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate: est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: 

Address:

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: M K
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: SAMP Requests
Date: Friday, September 14, 2018 6:11:06 PM
Attachments: [air noise traffic.pdf](#)

To Whom It May Concern,

Please review the attached document regarding air traffic noise that is causing havoc in our household. We're forced to keep all of our windows closed regardless of the weather/temperature outside throughout the year. We're forced to turn up our TV volume unnecessarily due to the noise from the air traffic above our house. We can't even conduct a normal conversation outside for no more than 30secs at a time due to the loud noise caused by air traffic above our house. Our 3 year old daughter has the most difficult time sleeping at night due to the air traffic noise. At her age, she should be getting about 12 hrs of sleep per day and she's getting around 9. I have no idea what kind of long term effect this will have on our daughter. Please do something and help us control this noise in our neighborhood!

Sincerely,
Micha Kang Kampe



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>mic</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>mic</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>mic</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>mic</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MK
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MK
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MK
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MK
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MK
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MK

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Micha Kang Kamppe*

Signature: 

Address: *28614 8th Pl S Federal Way WA 98003*

E-mail: *meezakang@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	NO
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	NO
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	NO
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	NO
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	NO
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	NO

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Patricia C. Denniston Signature: Pat C. Denniston

Address: 2117 SW 120th St, Burien, WA 98148

E-mail: ped1001@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kristi Brewer
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com; [Kristi Brewer](#)
Subject: SAMP Questions
Date: Friday, September 14, 2018 3:28:55 PM
Attachments: [20180914152144058.pdf](#)

Port of Seattle Representative,

Please find my attached questions regarding SAMP.

Warmest Regards,

KRISTI BREWER



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	KB
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	KB
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	KB

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Kristi Brewer Signature: Kristi Brewer
Address: 26850 8th Ave S, Des Moines, WA 98148
E-mail: kristibrewer@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; 12paige@yahoo.com](mailto:12paige@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:10:06 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Janet Paige.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Janet M. Paige of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Paige be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>CS</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary, Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>CS</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>CS</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>CS</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JMP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JMP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JMP
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JMP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JMP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JMP

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Janice A. Paige

Signature: Janice A. Paige

Address: 29658 4th Ave. S.
Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: j2paige@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; karenfeldt@comcast.net](mailto:karenfeldt@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:05:05 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Feldt.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Karen Feldt of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Feldt be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KGF
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	KGF
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	KGF
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	KGF

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KGF
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KGF
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KGF
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KGF
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KGF
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KGF

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: KAREN FELDT

Signature: 

Address: 601 South 291st Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: Karen.feldt@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; sell@intela.net](mailto:sell@intela.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:02:40 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Sell.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Phillip Sell of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Sell be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	PSL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	PSL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	PSL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	PSL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	PSL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	PSL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: PHILLIP C. SELL

Signature:

Phillip C. Sell

Address: 29637 -10th Pl. So Fed Way

E-mail: Sell@intela.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; badams6456@aol.com](mailto:badams6456@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:56:36 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Adams.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Bill Adams of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 4 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Adams be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<i>bl</i> Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
<i>bl</i> Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
<i>ba</i> Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
<i>ba</i> What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Bill Adams*

Signature: *Bill Adams*

Address: *23255 - 27th Ave. S., Des Moines 95198*

E-mail: *badams6456@aol.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; znewman@yahoo.com](mailto:znewman@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:47:39 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Newman.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Donald Newman of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Mr. Newman be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.



Kind Regards,






Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: DOUGLAS NEWMAN Signature: 

Address: 25130 MARINE VIEW DR. S.

E-mail: DES MOINES, IA 50314

22NEWMAN@YAHOO.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; cindycodoni@yahoo.com](mailto:cindycodoni@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:45:12 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Codoni.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. City Codoni of Seatac, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 9 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Ms. Codoni be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>CF</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>CF</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>CF</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>CF</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	15
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	9
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	20
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	7
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	5

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Cindy Codoni Signature: Cindy Codoni

Address: 17014 40th ave SW

E-mail: Cindy.Codoni@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; susan@nwk9detectives.com](mailto:susan@nwk9detectives.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:40:08 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Canizales.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Susan Canizales of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 5 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.




Should us forwarding comments on behalf of the Ms. Canizales be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.




Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	


Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time. 	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name:

Susan Carroll

Signature:



Address:

24229 20th Pl. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail:

Susan@nwk9detectives.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; nancyteeples@hotmail.com](mailto:nancyteeples@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:31:43 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Teeples.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Nancy Teeples of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 8 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Teeples be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>WJT</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>WJT</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>WJT</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>WJT</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	YJT
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	YJT
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	YJT
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	YJT
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review.

Name: Nancy TeepleS

Signature: Nancy TeepleS

Address: 1015 S 233rd Pl.
Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: nancyteepleS@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; nancyellencorr@hotmail.com](mailto:nancyellencorr@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:26:57 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Corr.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Nancy Corr of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Nancy Corr be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>ne</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>ne</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>ne</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Nancy Corr*

Signature: *Nancy Corr*

Address: *23025 17th Ave S*

E-mail: *Des Moines, WA 98148*

nancyellen.corr@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; kccampbellwood@aol.com](mailto:kccampbellwood@aol.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:24:17 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Campbell Wood.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Karen Campbell Wood of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Campbell Wood be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>KCW</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>KCW</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>KCW</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>KCW</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KCW
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KCW
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KCW
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KCW
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KCW
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KCW

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Karen Campbell Wood Signature: Karen Campbell Wood

Address: 9115 294th Pl Federal Way 98003

E-mail: Kccampbellwood@aol.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; lwessel@gmail.com](mailto:lwessel@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:13:37 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Wessel.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Louise Wessel of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Wessel be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	LW
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	LW
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	LW
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	LW

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	LW
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	LW
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	LW
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	LW
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	LW
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	LW

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: LOUISE WESSEL

Signature: 

Address: P.O. BOX 35 55
FEDERAL WAY, WA, 98063

E-mail: LL.WESSEL@GMAIL.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments: hjbphb@comcast.net](mailto:hjbphb@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:55:50 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Bentley.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Jane Bentley of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 8 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Bentley be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.




Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HJB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HJB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HJB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HJB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name:

H JANE BENTLEY

Signature:

H. Jane Bentley

Address:

807 S 218th ST #212 DES MOINES 98198

E-mail:

HJB@BAMC@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jjimd0047@gmail.com](mailto:jjimd0047@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on Scoping
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 7:46:05 PM
Attachments: [Scoping_Public_Comment_Johnson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Jennifer Johnson of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 11 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding these comments on behalf of the Ms. Johnson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>JA</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>JA</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>JA</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>JA</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JJ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>! cannot fully outside noise</i>	JJ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JJ
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JJ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JJ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JJ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jennifer Johnson Signature: *Jennifer Johnson*

Address: 807 S. 218th N101 Des Moines, WA 98198

E-mail: JJJMD0047@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jerrypederson@hotmail.com](#)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on SEPA Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:45:32 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Pederson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Jerald Pederson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Pederson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.




Kind Regards,





Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JERALD PEDERSON

Signature: _____



Address: 2619 SW 149th PL

E-mail: jerry.pederson@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jerrypederson@hotmail.com](mailto:jerrypederson@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment on SEPA Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:45:32 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Pederson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Jerald Pederson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 7 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Pederson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,




Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member





FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Regulation 206.73.5300

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: JERALD PEDERSON

Signature: _____



Address: 2619 SW 149th PL

E-mail: jerry.pederson@hotmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; imbagley@comcast.net](mailto:imbagley@comcast.net)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment Period Scoping
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:58:30 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Bagley.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Linda Bagley of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comments on behalf of the Ms. Bagley be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 206 787-3293

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	LMB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	LMB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	LMB
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	LMB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	LMB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	LMB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Linda M Bagley Signature: Linda M Bagley
 Address: 16244 807 S. 218th
Des Moines WA 98148
 E-mail: lmbagley@comcast.net

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; jmzat@hotmail.com](mailto:jmzat@hotmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment Scoping Request
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:50:57 AM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Zatloukal.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Joan Zatloukal of Des Moines, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding a comment on behalf of the Ms. Zatloukal be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	<i>gazy</i>
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	<i>gazy</i>
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	<i>gazy</i>
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	<i>gazy</i>
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	<i>gazy</i>
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	<i>gazy</i>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Joan Zatloukal*

Signature: *Joan M. Zatloukal*

Address: *807 S. 218, D103 Des Moines WA 98198*

E-mail: *jmzat@hotmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; ramblinon2017@gmail.com](mailto:ramblinon2017@gmail.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Comment Scoping
Date: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 4:13:34 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Carla Anderson.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Ms. Carla Anderson of Burien, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Ms. Anderson be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member

FIGHT THE FLIGHT

Report # 201 07 5210

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p><i>[Handwritten initials]</i></p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	HA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	HA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	HA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	HA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	HA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	HA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Lana Anderson Signature: Lana Anderson

Address: 1250 1st Ave, Seattle, WA 98101

E-mail: lana@portseattle.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; j2paige@yahoo.com](mailto:j2paige@yahoo.com)
Subject: SAMP Public Scoping Comment
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 1:13:17 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Paige.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Jim Paige of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Paige be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p align="center">P</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p align="center">P</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p align="center">P</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p align="center">P</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	P
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	P
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	P
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	P
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	P
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	P

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Jim Paico Signature: J Paico

Address: 297655 8th Ave S

E-mail: J2PAICO@YALCO.COM

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Quiet Skies
To: [SAMP Public Comments; robertherzog@juno.com](mailto:robertherzog@juno.com)
Subject: Scoping Comment on SAMP
Date: Sunday, September 23, 2018 2:05:10 PM
Attachments: [Scoping Comment Herzog.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Steve Rybolt,

Please accept the public comments attached by Mr. Robert Herzog of Federal Way, Wa, regarding the NEPA EA/SEPA EIS SAMP 2018 Scoping Comment Review. Per the Port of Seattle's website, email of public comment to this address is one of the 4 acceptable ways of filing.

We believe the 10 comments below pertains to "Are There Other Impacts We Should Be Looking At"? As stated on the Port of Seattle's own online comment form.

Should us forwarding comments on behalf of Mr. Herzog be deemed unacceptable, please reply to all in this email chain immediately as to your reasons why.

Kind Regards,

Quiet Skies Puget Sound
Team Member



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	RH
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	RH
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	RH
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	RH

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	RH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	RH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Robert Herzog

Signature: R. H.

Address: 29020 - 7th Fl S

E-mail: robertherzog@portseattle.org

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Jay Hasbrouck
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Scoping Requests for SAMP (attached)
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 5:37:51 PM
Attachments: [Scan_Sep 20, 2018 at 5.35 PM.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	JH
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	JH
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present, for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	JH
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	JH

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JH
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JH
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights	JH
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	JH
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JH
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JH

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: *Jay Hasbrouck*

Signature: *J Hasbrouck*

Address: *16600 25th Ave SW Burien WA 98146*

E-mail: *jayhasbrouck@gmail.com*

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Mintzer, Thomas (Tom) CTR USARMY I CORPS (US)
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: mintzert@gmail.com
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:32:57 AM
Attachments: [SAMP Questionnaire Thomas Mintzer.pdf](#)





I request the attached questions be addressed and the findings be included as public record when assessing specific environmental considerations/impacts for increased air traffic under the Sustainable Airport Master Plan.







Sincerely,
Thomas Mintzer




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight flights</i> noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: THOMAS MINTZER Signature: 
Address: 927 S 296th Place, FEDERAL WAY, WA, 98003
E-mail: mintzert@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Mintzer Tom
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
Date: Monday, September 17, 2018 10:26:11 AM
Attachments: [SAMP Questionaire, Theresa Mintzer.pdf](#)

I request the attached questions be addressed and the findings be included as public record when assessing specific environmental considerations/impacts for increased air traffic under the Sustainable Airport Master Plan.

Thank you,
Theresa A. Mintzer

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>TM</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>TM</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>TM</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>TM</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	TU
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	TU
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	TU
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	TU
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	TU
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	TU

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: THERESA A. MINTZER Signature: Theresa A. Mintzer

Address: 927 S 296th Place
Federal Way WA 98003

E-mail: mintzert@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kerry Allman
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:31:53 AM
Attachments: [MRC-SAMPquestionnaire.pdf](#)

Hello,
Please find attached questionnaire on SAMP from Mary Connelly, a resident of Des Moines.

Thank you.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	MRC
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	MRC
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	MRC
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	MRC

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	MRC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	MRC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	MRC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	MRC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	MRC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	MRC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: MARY R. CONNELLY

Signature: *Mary R. Connelly*

Address: 25651 Marine View Dr. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail:

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kerry Allman
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:31:05 AM
Attachments: [RWC-SAMPquestionnaire.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached questionnaire on SAMP from Richard Connelly, a resident of Des Moines.

Thank you.




**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	PAC
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	PAC
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	PAC
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	PAC

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	me
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	me
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	me
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	me
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	me
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	me

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: R.W. CONNELLY Signature: 

Address: 25651 Marine View Dr. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: dick.25651@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kerry Allman
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:30:20 AM
Attachments: [JCA-SAMPquestionnaire.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please find attached questionnaire on SAMP from Janet Allman, a resident of Des Moines.

Thank you.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**


Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	<i>YU</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	<i>YU</i>
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	<i>YU</i>
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	<i>YU</i>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	yes
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	yes
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	yes
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	yes
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	yes
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	yes

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Janet Allman

Signature: 

Address: 25651 Marine View Dr. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: Janet@5651exahoe.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kerry Allman
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Thursday, September 20, 2018 10:43:00 AM
Attachments: [KA-SAMP questions.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Rybolt,
Please see attached file and add it to your review process.

Thank you very much.

Kerry Allman
25651 Marine View Dr. S.
Des Moines WA 98198
kerry25651@yahoo.com

**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?</p>	<p>Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.</p>	<p>KA</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>KA</p>
<p>Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?</p>	<p>The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.</p>	<p>Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.</p>	<p>KA</p>
<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>KA</p>

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KA
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KA
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KA
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	KA
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KA
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KA

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Kerry Allman

Signature: Kerry Allman

Address: 25651 Marine View Dr. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: kerry25651@yahoo.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Kerry Allman
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:32:36 AM
Attachments: [KRC-SAMPquestionnaire.pdf](#)

Hello,
Please find attached questionnaire on SAMP from Karen Connelly, a resident of Des Moines.

Thank you.



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	KKE
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	JCC
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	JCC
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	JCC

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	YCC
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	YCC
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	YCC
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3 rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	YCC
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	YCC
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	YCC

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Karen Connolly

Signature: Karen Connolly

Address: 25651 Marine View Dr. S. Des Moines WA 98198

E-mail: Port@25651.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org

From: Sandra Mock
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Cc: quietskiespugetsound@gmail.com
Subject: The study you are conducting on SAMP!
Date: Friday, September 28, 2018 7:01:04 PM

To whom it may concern,

Please do the necessary studies on all environmental impacts of the enormous growth you are planning at SEATAC. The air surrounding SEATAC is currently in the 100 percentile of the worst in our nation. YET YOU are moving forward with this growth plan of 41% without knowing the FACTS on how this is impacting our region! This is ridiculous and we deserve better protection from you. Don't be a bad neighbor, do a complete study: Air, water, fruit, vegetables, soil, noise, traffic, and environmental!

Thank you,

Sandra Mock
206-818-1042
Sent from my iPhone

From: Emily Lo
To: [SAMP Public Comments](#)
Subject: The Sustainable Airport Master Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 8:46:42 AM
Attachments: [SCAN0069.PDF](#)

Hi,

Please see attached 10 Sample questions and related scoping requests form.

Thanks,
Emily



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

Welcome to the SAMP scoping meeting! We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. Ask the Port personnel these, or any questions you want. We also want to make sure YOUR voice is heard. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and find QSPS volunteers will collect and provide them as a matter of public record to the Port.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years?	Four-year increase raised annual overflight total from 316,000 to 413,000.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years.	E.L
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	E.L
Adequate Geography? Will Port study unique human health and environmental impacts from aircraft noise and emissions, in all of the six south-end airport neighbor cities?	The Port has not committed to study all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac.	Please include a complete study of all of the human health and environmental impacts for all of Des Moines, Federal Way, Burien, Tukwila, Normandy Park, and SeaTac, resulting from aircraft overflights, from 2013-present; for the Near-Term Projects; and for Long Term Projects.	E.L
Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	E.L

Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to Sea-Tac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	EL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	EL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from overnight flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	EL
Unique - Full Time 3rd Runway Use. How will Port separately assess the impacts of the full-time usage of the 3rd Runway?	Despite historical statements, 3 rd Runway now full-time.	Please include a complete study of the unique human health and environmental impacts from the exponential increase in overflights over neighborhoods beneath and near the 3 rd Runway.	EL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	EL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	EL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Deadline for commenting September 28th 2018.

Name: Emily Lo

Signature: 

Address: 28632 11th Ave S. Federal Way, WA 98003

E-mail: emilylo630@gmail.com

Submit form on your own/add comments at: SAMP@portseattle.org



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

	QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
S4-1	Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	
S4-2	Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	
S11-1	Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	
S11-2	Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	

S5-1

S11-3

S5-1

S5-1

S11-4

S2-1

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be **received** by September 28th 2018.

Name: _____ Signature: _____

Address:

E-mail:

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	<p>KRT</p>
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	<p>KRT</p>
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	<p>KRT</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>KRT</p>

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	KRJ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	KRJ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	KRJ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	KRJ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	KRJ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: KEVIN R JONES

Signature: _____



Address: PO BOX 2607, VASHAW, WA 98070

E-mail: KEVINJONVASH@GMAIL.COM

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	AW
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	AW
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	AW
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	AW

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	AW
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	AW
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	AW
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	AW
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	AW
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	AW

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: ANDREW WIESNET

Signature: 

Address: 10306 SW COVE ROAD, UASTEN, WA 98070





E-mail: AWIESNET@COMCAST.NET

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	

<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	<p>B</p>
<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP. <i>No expansion until studies are completed</i></p>	<p>B</p>
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	<p>B</p>
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights. <i>Intolerable!!!</i></p>	<p>B</p>
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	<p>B</p>
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	<p>B</p>

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.





Name: Nodie Sullivan Signature: Nodie M Sullivan
 Address: 9725 SW 268th St
Washon WA 98070
 E-mail: nodie.sullivan@gmail.com







If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	

<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	
<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p>	
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p>	
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be **received** by September 28th 2018.

Name: Carey Huffman Signature: 

Address: 12028 Curlyfe Rd SW Vashon, WA 98070

E-mail: careyhuffman@yahoo.com

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	<p>cy</p>
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	<p>cy</p>
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	<p>cy</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>cy</p>

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	cy
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	cy
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	cy
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	cy
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	cy
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	cy

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be **received** by September 28th 2018.

Name: CATHERINE GRANT Signature: Catherine Grant

Address: 9840 SW 145th PL, WAshon, WA

E-mail: cgrants@outlook.com







If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>JW</i></p>
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>JW</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>JW</i></p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>JW</i></p>

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be **received** by September 28th 2018.

Name: JOEL WALTERS

Signature 

Address: 7003 SW 248th St, VASHON WA 98070

E-mail: 2flyfishinguy@gmail.com

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	JB
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	JB
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	JB
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	JB

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	JB
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	JB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	JB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	JB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	JB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: Laura Bienen Signature: Laura Bienen

Address: 13337 108TH Ave SW, Vashon, WA, 98070





E-mail: biengers1@gmail.com







If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: Robert Luke

Signature: _____



Address: 10780 SW Cowan Rd
Vashon WA 98070

E-mail: robertsanfordluke@hotmail.com

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	AL
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	AL
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	AL
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	AL

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	AL
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	AL
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	AL
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	AL
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	AL
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	AL

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: Ayumi Luke

Signature: 

Address: 10780 SW Cowan Rd
Vashon WA 98070

E-mail: ayuayusnake@gmail.com

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport. <i>Including Vashon, Island</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	<i>[Signature]</i>
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans. <i>Flights are Too Low!</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>

Night flights especially

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	g
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP. <i>Port Vashon on the Map.</i>	g
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children. <i>Why is Vashon "less noise sensitive?"</i>	g
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	g
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	g
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	g

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: *Sherene Zolno* Signature: *Sherene Zolno*

Address: *25900 Pillsbury Rd SW Vashon, WA 98070*





E-mail: *coachpb@comcast.net*







If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of these questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	

<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	
<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP. <i>Why is VASHAW NOT ON YOUR MAP!!</i></p>	
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights. <i>Keep planes over 5000' above ground</i></p>	
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: RT Skellman Signature: 

Address: 25901 Pileaway Dr SW
VASHAW, WA 98070

E-mail: RSKELMAN@MPC.COM

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168




The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	<p>BAF</p>
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	<p>BAF</p>
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	<p>BAF</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>BAF</p>

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	BAF
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	BAF
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	BAF
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	BAF
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	BAF
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	BAF

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: Beth Anne Freiling Signature: 

Address: 12412 VAShantway SW VAShon WA 98070

E-mail: jofreefarm@gmail.com

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



**The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP)
10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS**

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	<p>RB</p>
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	<p>RB</p>
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	<p>RB</p>
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	<p>RB</p>

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	RB
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	RB
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	RB
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	RB

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be **received** by September 28th 2018.

Name: RAYNE BEAUDOIN Signature: 

Address: 23309 WAX ORCHARD Rd. SW VASHON, WA. 98070





E-mail:





If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
<p>Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?</p>	<p>For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.</p>	<p>Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.</p>	
<p>Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?</p>	<p>On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.</p>	<p>Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?</p>	<p>Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.</p>	
<p>Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.</p>	

<p>Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?</p>	<p>Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.</p>	<p>Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.</p>	
<p>Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?</p>	<p>Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.</p>	<p>Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.</p>	
<p>Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?</p>	<p>Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.</p>	
<p>What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?</p>	<p>Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.</p>	<p>Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.</p>	
<p>What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?</p>	<p>SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.</p>	<p>To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.</p>	
<p>Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?</p>	<p>The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.</p>	<p>Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.</p>	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name:

Signature: 

Address:

E-mail:

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.

QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	DKF
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe <i>generated</i> noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	DKF
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	DKF
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	DKF

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	OKP
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	OKP
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	OKP
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	OKP
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	OKP
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: Kaye Pierson Signature: 

Address: 9924 SW 268th St
Vashon, WA 98090





E-mail: ednkaye@centurytel.net

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168



The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) 10 SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND RELATED SCOPING REQUESTS

We're providing a list of sample questions – questions we certainly have for the Port of Seattle. If you want the subject matter of any of *these* questions included in the scope of the environmental review, for each question we've added a related sample "scoping request." Just initial any request you want, sign at the bottom, and bring it to our Sep 23rd meeting, mail it (address at the end of page two), or take it to a SAMP meeting (Sep 17th & 19th remaining) to deliver by hand. Special thanks to <http://quietskiespugetsound.org> for coming up with this template, and allowing us to adopt it, to help citizens add their comments to the SAMP.


QUESTION	COMMENT	SCOPE REQUEST	INITIAL
Why no study of noise impacts outside the six south-end airport neighboring cities?	For communities under a NextGen RNP, such as Vashon Island, the airport, and all its impacts, effectively moved in next door one day out of the blue, so to speak.	Please include in the SAMP's scope studying every hectare (100m x 100m) of the Puget Sound Region that has more than 100 overflights a day, averaged over a year, at less than 10,000 feet with the same level of detail as the cities directly neighboring the airport.	
Why no study of the noise generated by the airframe itself, as opposed to just the engines?	On arrival, a large amount – if not a majority – of the noise comes from the airframe and extended control surfaces to slow down the aircraft.	Please use tools that can accurately model airframe generated noise, and if the FAA's AEDT software is insufficient for this purpose, then addition software must be used to augment the AEDT results.	
Why No Study of What's Already Happened? How does the Port intend to study the human health and environmental impacts of the 97,000 new flights already added in last four years, as well as procedural changes?	Overflight totals went from 316,000 to 413,000, and the new NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's were substantially utilized for the first time.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts, including from noise and emissions, resulting from the additional 97,000 aircraft overflight operations growth from the last four years, as well as the first significant use of the NextGen HAWKZ and MARNR RNP's vs. conventional ILS arrivals.	
Why No Study of Your Own Long-Term Plan? Why not study of the human health and environmental impacts of the Long-Term Vision anticipated to begin when capacity is reached in 2027?	SAMP Executive Summary; Port's own consultant says long term study is "key." But Port's will not be studying total growth plans for potential harms.	Please include a complete assessment of the human health and environmental impacts resulting from additional aircraft overflight operations for the Port's post-SAMP Long Term Vision projects and Century Agenda growth plans.	

Use Current Science? Will Port review all studies from around world relating to human health and environment impacts from aircraft noise and pollution?	Studies around the world now exist on harms and potential harms from aircraft noise and emissions.	Please include all studies (worldwide) from at least the last ten years, to the extent such studies explore, find, suggest, or hypothesize any association, correlation, causation, or other potential linkage between aircraft overflights and impacts on human health or the environment.	UQ
Wait For Pending New Science? Why is Port proceeding without waiting for the results of the pending studies in the State of Washington directly relating to SeaTac Airport?	Regional studies underway, include ultra-fine particle study from UW and the airport mitigation study from Department of Commerce.	Please include a review and assessment of the results of critical Sea-Tac Airport studies now underway before proceeding with the environmental review for the SAMP.	UQ
Sensitive populations? Are you studying the unique impacts of aircraft noise on elderly citizens and children?	Studies have found risk of harm to elderly citizens and children from aircraft noise.	Please include a complete assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise on elderly citizens and children.	UQ
What About Overnight Flights? Will Port collect and assess global scientific studies relating to impacts from <i>overnight</i> flights noise?	Studies have concluded increased risk of human harm from overnight flight noise.	Please include a complete scientific study review and assessment of the unique human health impacts from noise from overnight flights.	UQ
What if Your Projections Are Wrong? How are you accounting for the impact if your estimates of growth are too low?	SAMP Executive Summary inaccurate; est. 398,910 flights by 2019; we are at 413,000 now.	To determine the risks of error in Port projections, please include a study of the health and environmental impacts from increased aircraft operations that exceed estimated Near Term Operations.	UQ
Regional Airport Now? Are you studying the benefits of a regional airport?	The Port has refused to join calls for siting a regional airport now.	Please include a study of the benefits to human health and the environment in the six airport neighbor cities if growth is more fairly distributed throughout the entire region.	UQ

Please include the requests I have initialed above in the scope of the SAMP environmental review. Comments must be received by September 28th 2018.

Name: VICKI DUNAKIN

Signature:



Address: 10306 SW Cove Rd, VASHON, WA 98070

E-mail: VDUNAKIN@COMCAST-NET

If mailing this form, and/or additional comments, send to Steve Rybolt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, P.O. Box 68727, Seattle, WA 98168