Subsurface Conditions Data Report Haul Route and Borrow Areas 3 and 4 Third Runway Project Sea-Tac International Airport Seatac, Washington



Prepared for HNTB

October 22, 2001 4978-52

www.hartcrowser.com



Subsurface Conditions Data Report Haul Route and Borrow Areas 3 and 4 Third Runway Project Sea-Tac International Airport Seatac, Washington

. . 3

Prepared for HNTB

October 22, 2001 4978-52

Prepared by Hart Crowser, Inc.

Reese P. Hastings Senior Associate

Joe N. Morrice Senior Staff Hydrogeologist Anchorage

Boston

Chicago

Denver

Fairbanks

Jersey City

Juneau

Long Beach

Portiand

Seattle

AR 052176

1910 Fairview Avenue East Seattle, Washington 98102-3699 Fax 206.328.5581 Tel 206.324.9530

CONTENTS	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION AND SUBSURFACE SOIL CONDITIONS	2
Generalized Geologic Conditions Subsurface Conditions Generalized Hydrogeologic Regime Hydrogeologic Conditions Soil Infiltration Characteristics	2 3 5 6 8
USE OF THIS REPORT	9
REFERENCES	11
TABLES	
<ol> <li>Borrow Area 3 Water Level Data</li> <li>Borrow Area 4 Water Level Data</li> <li>Summary of Infiltration Tests</li> </ol>	
FIGURES	
<ol> <li>Site Location</li> <li>Site Exploration Plan - Borrow Areas 3 and 4</li> <li>Site Exploration Plan - Haul Route</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Cross Section A-A' - Borrow Areas 3 and 4</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Cross Section B-B' - Borrow Area 3</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Cross Section C-C' - Borrow Area 4</li> <li>Groundwater Elevation Contour Map - Shallow Regional Aquifer - Borrow Area 3</li> <li>Groundwater Elevation Contour Map - Borrow Areas 3 and 4</li> </ol>	
APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATIONS METHODS AND ANALYSIS	
Explorations and Their Location The Use of Dual-Wall Percussion Hammer Drilling with Reverse Circulation The Use of Auger Borings Penetration Test Procedures	A-1 A-2 A-3 A-3

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001 Page i

## **CONTENTS (Continued)**

Groundwater Observation Well Installation Excavation of Test Pits

## FIGURES

. . .

A-1	Key to Exploration Logs
A-2 through A-6	Boring Log A3-B8-98 through A3-B12-98
A-7 through A-11	Boring Log A3-B13-99 through A3-B17-99
A-12 through A-16	Boring Log A3-B-18-01 through A3-B22-01
A-17 through A-19	Boring Log A4-B4-98 through A4-B6-98
A-20 through A-24	Boring Log A4-B7-01 through A4-B11-01
A-25	Test Pit Log A4-TP1-01 through A4-TP3-01
A-26	Test Pit Log HR-TP1-01 and HR-TP2-01
A-27	Hand-Auger Boring Log HR-B1-01 through HR-B3-01

## ATTACHMENT A-1 AGI FIGURES

AGI Soil Classification/Legend AGI Boring Log A3-B1-93 and A3-B1-93 AGI Boring Log A3-B3-94 through A3-B7-94 AGI Boring Log A4-B1-94 through A4-B3-94

## APPENDIX B LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM

Soil Classification	B-1
Water Content Determinations	R.1
Grain Size Analysis (GS)	D-1 B.7
200-Wash (GS200)	D-2 D-2
1	D*2

## FIGURES

B-1	Unified Soil Classification (USC) System
B-2 through B-7	Grain Size Distribution Test Reports

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page ii

A-4 A-5

## **CONTENTS (Continued)**

## APPENDIX C BORROW AREA 3 PERCHED WATER-BEARING ZONE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS

## Hydraulic Conductivity Testing (Slug Testing)

## TABLE

C-1 Hydraulic Conductivity Calculations for Wells in Unconfined Aquifer, Proposed Borrow Area 3

## **FIGURES**

- C-1 Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Fine for A3-B13-99
- C-2 Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Fine for A3-B15-99
- C-3 Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Fine for A3-B16-99
- C-4 Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Fine for A3-B17-99

4978-52 October 22, 2001

C-1

## SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS DATA REPORT HAUL ROUTE AND BORROW AREAS 3 AND 4 THIRD RUNWAY PROJECT SEA-TAC INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SEATAC, WASHINGTON

## INTRODUCTION

This data report presents technical documentation of subsurface conditions, laboratory testing, and relevant geotechnical and hydrogeologic field testing of two Third Runway Borrow Areas and the associated Haul Route. A previous data report (Hart Crowser 1999) documented conditions at Borrow Areas 1, 3, and 4. The current report presents additional data collected since that time and supersedes in these areas the 1999 report for Borrow Areas 3 and 4, as well as provides information about the proposed Haul Route (Hart Crowser 2001). Borrow Areas 3 and 4 have been identified as sources of borrow materials to be used as construction fill for the Third Runway Embankment Project. These borrow areas are located south of the Sea-Tac International Airport, in SeaTac, Washington (refer to Figure 1, Vicinity Map).

Figure 1 shows the general area where we have performed geotechnical and hydrogeologic explorations for this study. Explorations and testing within the borrow areas and along the haul route are characterized in greater detail on Figures 2 and 3. Cross sections showing inferred geologic and hydrogeologic conditions are provided on Figures 4 through 6. Water level data and groundwater elevation contours for the Regional Shallow Aquifer are shown on Figure 7. Groundwater contours for the Perched Water-Bearing Zone in Borrow Areas 3 and 4 are shown on Figure 8.

We have organized this report into several sections. The main text starts with a discussion of site geology and is followed by a discussion of the hydrogeologic conditions and near-surface soil infiltration characteristics obtained from explorations conducted to date. Appendices A through C follow the main text and present results of our subsurface explorations, laboratory data, and hydrogeologic data, respectively, from this and previous investigations.

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This report presents data collected on soil, glacially deposited materials, and groundwater conditions at Borrow Areas 3 and 4 and along the proposed Haul Route. The purpose of this report is to supplement data presented previously

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page 1

AR 052180

)

(Hart Crowser 1999) identifying suitable embankment construction materials, and to support the design and development of the borrow areas and haul route.

The scope of Hart Crowser's work included completion of borings and test pits, the installation of monitoring wells, measurement of groundwater elevations, and performance of infiltration tests. The following paragraphs summarize work completed since the 1999 data report was issued.

Five additional borings were completed at Borrow Area 3 in June 2001. One boring (A3-B22-01) was completed as a monitoring well. The other four borings (A3-B18-01 through A3-B21-01) were used to perform falling head percolation tests and were then abandoned.

Five additional borings and three test pits were completed at Borrow Area 4 in June 2001. Dual-ring infiltrometer tests were performed in each of the test pits. A falling head percolation test was performed at boring A4-B09-01, which was then abandoned. Borings A4-B08-01 and A4-B10-01 were completed as monitoring wells in the perched water-bearing zone. Borings A4-B07-01 and A4-B11-01 were completed as monitoring wells in the Shallow Regional Aquifer.

Three hand-auger borings and two test pits were completed along the Haul Route in June 2001. Falling head percolation tests were performed in the borings and dual-ring infiltrometer tests were performed in the test pits.

## **GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION AND SUBSURFACE SOIL CONDITIONS**

This section provides a description of the geologic and subsurface conditions of soils and glacial deposits within the areas shown on Figures 2 and 3, based on our explorations at the site and explorations by others. Previous studies of the local geologic and hydrologic conditions at Borrow Areas 3 and 4 have been accomplished by AGI Technologies (AGI 1995 and 1996).

#### Generalized Geologic Conditions

The most recent investigations did not result in any new interpretation of generalized geologic conditions in the area. The following findings remain unchanged from the 1999 data report.

The site is located on the Des Moines Drift Plain in the Puget Sound Lowland. Glacial soils have been deposited and extensively reworked by glacial episodes, the most recent being the Vashon Stade of Puget Sound glaciation. In summary, the following geologic units have been identified at Borrow Areas 3 and 4:

- Fill (variably graded, silt, sand, and gravel);
- Alluvium (peats and silts; and medium dense, fine to medium sand);
- Recessional Outwash (primarily silty, sand and gravel, and/or sandy silt or sandy clay);
- Glacial Till (clayey, silty sand and gravel);
- Advance Outwash (non-silty to silty sand and gravel);
- Lawton Clay (very stiff to hard silt and clay); and
- Puyallup Formation (fine sand and silty sand).

Surficial soils in Borrow Areas 3 and 4 have been mapped as glacial till with localized surficial deposits of recessional outwash. Our explorations within Borrow Areas 3 and 4 suggest that these areas are part of a north-south trending ridge known as a drumlin.

## Subsurface Conditions

Our interpretation of subsurface conditions at Borrow Areas 3 and 4 did not change based on new data acquired since 1999. The following section presents text from the 1999 data report, with additional discussion of conditions encountered along the Haul Route.

Subsurface soil and hydrogeologic conditions interpreted from data collected and observations made during explorations at the site, and previously mentioned AGI studies, formed the basis for the information contained within this report. Variations between explorations may occur as irregularities in gradation, moisture content, and density/consistency of soils at the site. The nature and extent of these variations may not become evident until construction. Exploration boring logs for the borrow areas and haul route are presented in Appendix A.

Subsurface conditions encountered in Borrow Areas 3 and 4 (shown on Figures 4 through 6) consist of a thin mantle of recessional outwash over glacial till, which in turn overlies advance outwash materials. These glacial sedimentary sequences overlie earlier deposits of Vashon glacial till, which overlie the

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page 3

Puyallup Formation. Figure 4 is a generalized north-south cross section through Borrow Areas 3 and 4. Figures 5 and 6 are northwest-southeast and west-east cross sections through Borrow Areas 3 and 4, respectively. Detailed descriptions of the materials we encountered are provided below.

Subsurface conditions encountered along the Haul Route consisted of topsoil and fill over silt, possibly a post-glacial lacustrine deposit. These explorations were less than 10 feet deep. Explorations from the South Aviation Support Area (SASA) study (FAA 1994) located approximately 500 feet to the east indicated a thickness for the silt unit of approximately 10 feet.

**Topsoil.** This soil was not consistently encountered in our explorations. Typically, the topsoil consists of a loose mixture of silt and sand with roots and other organic material. Topsoil is generally 1/2 to 1 foot thick where encountered. Many of the surficial soils at the site appear to be glacial deposits at different stages of weathering. This is further discussed in the **Recessional Outwash** and **Glacial Till** sections below.

**Fill Soils.** Fill soils were encountered in both proposed borrow areas and along the haul route, typically associated with paved streets, or general grading associated with past use of the sites. Fill soils are generally loose to medium dense, variable mixtures of silt, sand, and gravel. The density and granular nature of the fill materials resemble the recessional outwash deposits and the fill is sometimes difficult to distinguish from the outwash.

Alluvial Deposits. These sediments occur in the low-lying areas and generally consist of soft/loose, moist to wet, interlayered silt, sand, and peat.

**Recessional Outwash.** This material is generally slightly silty to silty, slightly gravelly to gravelly sand. Recessional outwash overlies the glacial till, or advance outwash where the glacial till has been eroded. Recessional outwash forms a thin veneer over much of Borrow Areas 3 and 4, generally measuring less than 5 feet thick. Thicker deposits occur in southern portions of Borrow Area 3 which show historical signs of borrow development activities possibly related to previous airport construction.

Where recessional outwash is located at the ground surface, it is in a weathered condition. This layer may become colluvium where deposits are on sufficiently sloping ground.

**Glacial Till.** The till comprises the predominant glacially overridden unit underlying the surficial materials discussed above. This material is generally comprised of a dense, slightly gravelly to gravelly, silty to very silty sand. The gradation of the till varies both vertically and laterally.

In general, glacial till differs from the overlying recessional outwash by having a higher silt content and much higher density. The top of the glacial till is generally within 5 feet of the ground surface at each of the borrow areas, except in the southern portions of Borrow Area 3. The drumlin feature noted in Borrow Areas 3 and 4 is dominated by glacial till within the central and northern portions of Borrow Area 3. Glacial till is present throughout most of Borrow Area 4. Some weathering has been noted near the surface of the glacial till in explorations in each borrow area.

Advance Outwash Sand. This material is generally dense to very dense, slightly silty, slightly gravelly to gravelly sand. In general, the advance outwash can be distinguished from the glacial till by lower silt content. However, observations at the borrow areas where this material was encountered suggest that some areas of advance outwash may be silty. It occurs beneath the glacial till noted in each borrow area.

Lawton Silt/Pre-Vashon Deposits. The hard silt interpreted to be part of these geologic units in previous studies were not encountered in our explorations, but would likely be encountered at greater depths. These hard silts may be laminated or contain planes of separation (partings). Furthermore, these silt deposits are typically reported to be relatively plastic and are often slickensided (i.e., showing evidence of previous deformation).

#### Generalized Hydrogeologic Regime

The most recent investigations did not result in any new interpretation of generalized hydrogeologic conditions in the area. The following text is unchanged from the 1999 data report.

On a regional scale, the glacial deposits at depth beneath Borrow Areas 3 and 4 and the Haul Route consist of "relatively permeable" slightly silty to silty sands and gravels, with intervening layers of low-permeability glacial till and predominantly fine-grained sediments (e.g., silt and gravelly, sandy silt). Regional aquifers (indicated below in **bold** type) occur within the glacially derived, below the proposed borrow areas (AGI 1996):

- Fill, Alluvium, Vashon Recessional Outwash Perched Water-Bearing Zones
- Vashon Till

- Aquitard
- Vashon Advance Outwash Shallow Regional Aquifer
- Lawton Clay

- Aquitard

ž

- "Third" Coarse-Grained Deposit
- Puyallup Formation
- "Fourth" Coarse-Grained Deposit
- Intermediate Regional Aquifer
- Aquitard
- Deep Regional Aquifer

## Hydrogeologic Conditions

Borrow Areas 3 and 4 and the Haul Route are located within the Des Moines Creek drainage. The glacial till and the advance outwash act as semi-perching layers, allowing some portion of local precipitation to infiltrate down to the underlying Advance Outwash, which is typically more permeable. The Advance Outwash contains a water table known as the Shallow Regional Aquifer, which discharges to Des Moines Creek, and via underflow, into Puget Sound and the Green River valley (AGI 1996).

Groundwater elevation data were collected from explorations in Borrow Areas 3 and 4, which identified a perched water-bearing zone overlying the shallow regional aquifer. Slug testing was performed in Borrow Area 3 to obtain data for estimating hydraulic conductivity values to evaluate the perched water-bearing zone characteristics as they relate to the wetlands and borrow material development. These data and analyses are discussed below. Water levels in these borrow areas vary over time, as indicated in Tables 1 and 2.

The borrow areas are generally situated within the recessional outwash and glacial till deposits sequences, and extend into the upper part of the advance outwash, above the water table referred to as the Shallow Regional Aquifer. Figures 5 and 6 are conceptual cross sections through the Borrow Areas and depict the local perched water-bearing zone and the underlying Shallow Regional Aquifer.

**Borrow Areas 3 and 4.** Borrow Areas 3 and 4 are located above the Shallow Regional Aquifer. Soil borrow excavations are anticipated to encounter local perched water-bearing zones in Borrow Area 3. Measured groundwater levels and monitoring well elevation data are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Our interpretation of groundwater conditions is based on current observations as well as information previously reported (AGI 1995). The reported information included water level observations in two wells previously drilled by AGI which could not be located in the field at the time of our work, as well as notations of wet soils (indicating perched water-bearing zones) in the AGI boring logs.

Hart Crowser installed thirteen monitoring wells in Borrow Areas 3 and 4 in 1998 and 1999, and an additional five monitoring wells in 2001. These wells

Page 6

were used along with observations in four pre-existing wells to improve definition of groundwater conditions. Limited information previously available suggested the borrow areas might be underlain by groundwater with a relatively steep sloping gradient to south (AGI 1995). Current observations based on the available wells indicate a somewhat different picture—a relatively flat perched water-bearing zone in the north part of Borrow Area 3 and in Borrow Area 4 overlies the relatively flat water table in the Shallow Regional Aquifer.

Slug tests were performed in five wells at Borrow Area 3 to estimate the hydraulic conductivity of the perched groundwater zone; the test plot-graphs are presented on Figures C-1 through C-4 in Appendix C.

**Haul Route.** Groundwater was not observed in any of the explorations along the Haul Route. Seepage was noted in HR-TP02-01 at a depth of 6.5 feet. Explorations from the SASA study near Des Moines Creek encountered groundwater at depths ranging from 5 to 12 feet, with depths generally increasing as the land slopes upward away from the creek.

#### **Groundwater Flow Mapping**

Groundwater levels for Borrow Areas 3 and 4 were measured in 22 monitoring wells. Groundwater elevations are contoured on Figure 7 for the Shallow Regional Aquifer, illustrating groundwater flow directions. Groundwater elevations for the perched water-bearing zone are contoured on Figure 8.

**Shallow Regional Aquifer.** Continuous groundwater flow through Borrow Areas 3 and 4 occurs in the Shallow Regional Aquifer, which underlies both areas and is fed by infiltration from the surface and discontinuous overlying perched waterbearing zones. Groundwater from this aquifer can be seen on Figure 7 to flow eastward toward Des Moines Creek.

**Perched Water-Bearing Zone.** The perched water-bearing zone apparently extends west of Borrow Areas 3 and 4, based on local surface topography and is recharged by infiltration of rainfall on the higher ground to the west. The resulting perched groundwater flow direction is generally from the west, toward the south and southeast into Borrow Area 3. The overall flow pattern is also affected locally by outward radial flow from the high ground in Borrow Area 4. The perched water-bearing zone appears to pinch out to the north, where the perching horizon gradually rises and intersects ground surface. Approximate groundwater elevation contours for the perched water-bearing zone are illustrated on Figure 8.

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001 3

#### Soil Infiltration Characteristics

Infiltration characteristics of soils beneath the locations of proposed stormwater retention and infiltration facilities were evaluated at Borrow Areas 3 and 4 and the Haul Route. Infiltration rates were estimated at each site using a combination of double-ring infiltrometer tests (ASTM Method D 3385, 2000) and falling head percolation tests (EPA 1980).

Infiltrometer tests were performed at the bottom of test pits excavated using a backhoe at locations where the testing horizon was less than 5 feet deep. Falling head percolation tests were performed in borings drilled with a hollowstem auger drill rig at locations where the testing horizon was greater than 5 feet deep, or in shallow hand-augered borings where site disturbance resulting from a backhoe excavation was deemed unacceptable (e.g., Tyee Golf Course). Results of infiltration tests at the borrow areas and the Haul Route are shown in Table 3.

#### **Borrow Area 3**

Four falling head percolation tests were performed in borings A3-B18-01 through A3-B21-10 in the location of a proposed storage and infiltration pond at Borrow Area 3 (Figure 2). The targeted test horizon was at an elevation of 227 feet. Subsurface materials at the site consisted of slightly silty to silty, fine to medium sand from just below ground surface to depths of up to 39 feet (approximate elevation 205 feet). In these four borings the sand extends at least 5 feet below elevation 227 feet. Water levels in the vicinity of the infiltration tests range from elevations of about 210 to about 220 feet.

Measured infiltration rates at Borrow Area 3 range from 0.17 to 1.1 minutes/inch, as shown in Table 3. These rates are consistent with the sandy material encountered at this site.

## Borrow Area 4

Three double-ring infiltrometer tests were performed in test pits A4-TP1-01 through A4-TP3-01 in the location of a proposed storage and infiltration pond at Borrow Area 4 (Figure 2). A falling head percolation test was attempted at boring A4-B9-01, but failed due to seepage of water into the bottom of the boring. Test elevations ranged from 290 to 296 feet, with a targeted elevation of 292 feet. Each test pit was extended 5 feet below the test horizon to log the underlying. These materials consisted primarily of silt with varying amounts of sand or gravel and are described as till-like. Groundwater seepage was not noted in any of the test pits; however, groundwater elevations from shallow wells in the vicinity indicate groundwater levels as high as 293.7 feet.

Page 8



Measured infiltration rates at Borrow Area 4 were much lower than those at Borrow Area 3, ranging from 44 to 170 minutes/inch. These rates are consistent with the tillHike sandy silt encountered at this site.

#### Haul Route

Two double-ring infiltrometer tests were performed in test pits (HR-TP1-01 and HR-TP2-01) along the Haul Route, one each at the location of two proposed ponds (Figure 3). Additionally, three falling head percolation tests were performed in hand-auger borings (HR-B1-01 through HR-B3-01) along a proposed infiltration trench following the Haul Route road alignment. Hand-auger borings were used at these locations to minimize disturbance to the Tyee Golf Course. Groundwater was not encountered in explorations at this site; however, seepage was noted at a depth of 6.5 feet (approximate elevation 246 feet) at HR-TP2-01.

#### Ponds

Soils encountered at the two pond locations consisted of either topsoil or fill over non-sandy to slightly sandy silt. The silt extended past the infiltration testing horizon an additional 3 to 6 feet to the bottom of each exploration. Measured infiltration rates were very low, ranging from 440 to 480 minutes/inch.

#### Road Alignment

Soils encountered along the trace of the proposed haul route consisted of approximately 1 foot of silty topsoil over sandy silt. Tests were performed immediately below the topsoil layer. Field personnel were only able to advance the hand-auger borings and collect soil samples for logging between 1 and 3 feet below the testing horizon. Measured infiltration rates ranged from 10.5 to 120 minutes/inch; however, these rates are likely overestimates of longer term infiltration rates due to the presence of the tighter gray silt layer at a depth of approximately 3 feet throughout the site.

## **USE OF THIS REPORT**

Hart Crowser completed this work in general accordance with our change order dated August 3, 2001, and our contract with HNTB referred to as Amendment 22. This report is for the exclusive use of HNTB, the Port of Seattle, and their design consultants for specific application to the Third Runway project and site. We completed this study in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical/hydrogeologic practices for the nature and conditions of the work

Page 9

completed in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. We make no other warranty, express or implied.

F:\docs\jobs\497852\BA3&4DataReport.doc

AR 052189

#### REFERENCES

AGI Technologies 1995. Borrow Source Study, Proposed New Runway, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, SeaTac, Washington. Draft Report prepared for HNTB, April 3, 1995.

AGI Technologies 1996. Appendix Q-A, Baseline Groundwater Study, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Proposed Master Plan Update, Sea-Tac International Airport, SeaTac, Washington, January 3, 1996.

ASTM 2000. Double Ring Infiltrometer Test, ASTM Method D 3385, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Soil and Rock (1): D 420-D5799.

EPA 1980. EPA Falling Head Percolation Test Procedure, Design Manual – Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

FAA 1994. South Aviation Support Area (SASA), Final Environmental Impact Statement, Technical Appendices. Federal Aviation Administration, Seattle Airports District Office. March 1994.

Hart Crowser 1999. Subsurface Conditions, Data Report, Borrow Areas 1, 3, and 4, Sea-Tac Airport Third Runway. Prepared for HNTB and the Port of Seattle. September 24, 1999.

Hart Crowser 2001. Conceptual Borrow Material Development and Reclamation Plan - Borrow Areas 3 and 4, Sea-Tac International Airport. Prepared for the Port of Seattle. August 15, 2001.

F:\docs\jobs\497852\BA3&4DataReport.doc

Page 11

Sheet 1 of 2

Table 1 - Borrow Area 3 Water Level Data

	A3-B3-5	94	A3-B	7-94	A3-B	8-98	A3-B	9-98	A3-B1	10-98	A3-B1	11-98	A3-B	2-94
	Depth* in Feet	Elevation in Feet	Depth <sup>•</sup> in Feet	Elevation in Feet	Depth* in Feet	Elevation in Feet	Depth <sup>*</sup> in Feet	Elevation in Feet	Depth.	Elevation In Feet	Depth*	Elevation in Feel	Depth*	Elevation In Feet
op of Monument	-0.90	248.94	NOT SUF	NEYED	-0.35	283.22	-0.55	272.83	-0.30	321.44	-0.75	350.90	040	244.32
Aeasuring Point	0.00	248.04	00.0	317.0	0.00	282.87	0.00	272.28	00.0	321.14	0.00	350.15	0.00	243.92
Sround Level*	1.84	246.2	2.00	315.0	2.37	280.5	2.28	270.0	2.54	318.6	1.75	348.4	2.82	241.1
top of Screen*	38.8	209.2	94.5	222.5	71.8	211.1	53.8	218.5	87.5	233.6	133.0	217.2	27.6	216.3
<b>Bottom of Well*</b>	50.8	197.2	104.5	212.5	82.3	200.6	64.2	208.1	97.8	223.3	143.3	206.9	37.9	208.0
Date: 12/28/94	35.1	211.1	87.3	227.7	:	:	1	2	. 1	1	1	ł	;	1
1/26/1995	33.8	212.4	86.6	228.4	1	1	:	1	ł	ł	1	1	1	1
(ATD)														
5/15/1998	ł	I	.1	1	51.09	231.78	41.25	231.03	88.16	232.98	120.55	229.60	20.03	223.89
5/22/1998	29.94	218.10	1	;	51.16	231.71	41.15	231.13	88.16	232.98	120.35	229.80	20.21	223.71
6/2/1998	30.02	218.02	ţ	:	51.17	231.70	41.11	231.17	88.13	233.01	120.39	229.76	20.39	223.53
4/23/1999														
4/27/1999						n de la constante de								
5/5/1999														
6/14/1999	28.28	219.76	:	:	50.13	232.74	39.69	232.59	87.01	234.13	119.32	230.63	18.71	225.21
7/13/1999	29.10	218.94	:	;	49.66	233.21	40.13	232.15	86.79	232.35	120.06	230.09	19.65	224.27
8/13/1999	29.93	218.11	;	!	50.48	232.39	40.89	231.39	89.50	231.64	120.79	229.36	20.63	223.29
9/14/1999	29.71	218.33	1	1	51.03	231.84	41.21	231.07	88.67	232.47	120.93	229.22	21.57	222.35
10/13/1999	31.40	216.64	1	;	51.80	231.07	41.82	230.46	89.09	232.05	121.27	228.88	22.28	221.64
11/11/1999	31.96	216.08	1	:	52.66	230.21	41.92	230.36	88.62	232.52	120.14	230.01	22.70	221.22
12/10/1999	31.96	216.08	ł	ł	52.27	230.60	41.99	230.29	88.35	232.79	120.51	229.64	21.63	222.29
1/12/2000	30.05	217.95	1	;	:	:	41.30	230.98	87.57	233.57	119.71	230.44	20.45	223.47
2/15/2000	30.54	217.50	:	ŧ	51.15	231.72	41.23	231.05	67.60	233.54	119.75	230.40	19.88	224.04
3/13/2000	29.78	218.26	ł	;	50.53	232.34	40.44	231.84	87.18	233.96	119.35	230.80	19.20	224.72
4/12/2000	29.20	218.84	:	;	50.5	232.37	40.69	231.59	87.56	233.58	119.78	230.37	19.26	224.66
5/10/2000	29.57	218.47	ł	1	50.95	231.92	40.97	231.31	87.89	233.25	120.12	230.03	19.87	224.05
6/21/2000	30.38	217.66	1	1	52.01	230.86	41.94	230.34	89.09	232.05	121.34	228.81	20.01	223.11
7/11/2000	30.83	217.21	1	1	52.74	230.13	42.47	229.81	69.63	231.51	121.88	228.27	21.37	222.55
10/13/2000	33.16	214.88	. 1	;	56.16	226.71	44.60	227.68	91.10	230.04	123.27	226.88	23.69	220.23
1/23/2001	35.00	213.04	;	:	58.77	226.10	44.96	227.32	<b>60</b> .08	230.15	123.10	227.05	24.14	219.78
5/3/2001	35.48	212.56	:	;	55.1	227.77	43.07	229.21	86.96	232.18	121.11	229.04	23.09	220.83
6/01 (ATD)	t	I	1	1	;	1	1	1	1	1	:	;	:	1

Italics = Estimated Depth\* All depths are below measuring point (NOT below the ground surface) -- Indicates data not available.

AR 052191

Hart Crowser 497852/BA3&4Tables xis - Table 1 Sheet 2 of 2

243.6 214.6 204.6 208.6 245.67 Elevation in Feel A3-822-01 80 2.07 31.07 35.0 Depth\* In Feet 304.86 304.38 302.6 286.1 281.1 286.38 286.13 286.01 282.23 284.19 283.04 Elevation in Feet 285.79 285.01 283.95 282.79 202.36 283.62 284.48 283.76 282.67 ₹ 284.50 203.41 분운 282.77 1 A3-B17-99 0.0 0.00 18.3 23.3 18.0 19.90 20.19 20.62 1.8 20.43 21.59 22.15 20.76 21.34 19.25 8.59 19.88 ₽ 운운 6.37 19.37 Depth<sup>•</sup> In Feet 346.63 346.02 344.0 291.0 286.0 295.02 295.42 296.37 294.79 294.79 294.79 294.79 294.79 294.79 291.26 291.26 291.26 291.37 291.82 292.05 292.10 291.69 291.51 290.59 289.60 289.12 Elevation In Feet ÷ A3-B16-99 2.0 55.0 60.0 0.0 51.0 50.05 50.76 51.23 51.82 52.54 53.79 53.79 54.23 54.78 54.78 54.05 54.20 53.97 53.92 54.33 55.43 56.42 56.90 50.8 54.51 -0.61 Depth\* in Feet Elevation In Feet 303.28 303.02 299.7 290.7 275.7 281.52 281.21 281.56 281.36 281.36 280.74 280.74 279.14 278.65 278.40 279.30 279.62 280.14 280.64 280.03 279.59 279.12 278.81 277.75 278.01 278.08 279.61 t A3-B15-99 0.00 3.3 22.3 27.3 21.5 -0.26 21.66 22.28 22.85 23.41 23.41 24.37 24.37 24.52 24.37 24.52 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.40 23.80 22.20 23.80 22.80 22.20 23.80 22.20 22.80 22.20 22.80 22.20 22.80 22.20 20.200 22.38 22.99 23.43 23.90 24.21 25.27 25.01 24.94 21.44 21.81 in Feet Depth-293.28 293.00 290.5 255.5 255.5 255.25 255.27 255.13 255.13 255.40 253.99 253.99 253.69 253.61 253.61 253.61 253.61 253.61 253.65 253.61 253.65 253.65 253.65 253.65 Elevation in Feet 255.00 253.40 252.89 252.39 252.08 252.26 252.36 β ł A3-B14-99 2.5 37.5 0.28 0.00 38.0 38.08 39.39 38.59 39.35 42.5 41.05 37.87 38.60 39.23 39.96 39.60 <del>1</del>0.11 40.92 39.01 40.41 40.61 40.64 € 40.74 Depth\* In Feet ŧ 286.66 286.35 284.9 231.9 226.9 Elevation in Feet 231.70 231.66 231.48 231.48 230.41 228.48 231.85 228.56 227.64 227.04 227.48 227.84 228.33 228.82 227.66 227.23 229.27 ţ ξ A3-B13-99 1.5 54.5 59.5 54.5 0.0 54.65 54.69 55.52 55.94 56.45 57.79 58.71 59.31 58.87 58.51 58.02 -0.31 57.53 57.87 58.69 54.87 57.08 59.12 Depth\* In Feet 1/26/1995 5/15/1998 5/27/1998 6/2/1998 6/2/1999 6/14/1999 5/5/1999 5/5/1999 6/14/1999 8/13/1999 8/13/1999 9/14/1999 10/13/1999 11/11/1999 11/11/1999 11/11/1999 3/13/2000 2/15/2000 Jottom of Well\* Date: 12/28/94 4/12/2000 7/11/2000 5/10/2000 6/21/2000 1/23/2001 5/3/2001 6/01 (ATD) Top of Monumen **Aeasuring Point** op of Screen\* Ground Level\*

Hart Crowser 497852/BA3&1Tables xis - Table 1

Ĵ

AR 052192

Table 1 - Borrow Area 3 Water Level Data

Hart Crowser 497652/8A38.41ebles #is - Teble 2

Table 2 - Borrow Area 4 Water Level Data

	A4-B	1.94	A4-B2		A4-84		A4-B6	-96	A4-B6	ļ	18-W	10	A-8	101	19-14	0-01	M-81	4
	Depth In Feel	Elevation In Feet	Depth. In Feet	Elevelion In Feet	Depth. h Feet	Elevation in Feet	Depth' h Feet	Elevation In Feet	Cepth: In Feet	Elevation In Feet	Depth. h Feet	Elevetion In Feet	Depth: h Feet	Elevation In Feel	Deptition Treet	Elevation In Feet	Pepti.	Elevation In Feet
Top of Monument	8.9	392.84	NOT SUR	VEVED	-0.35	385.71	0.0	371.26	-0.26 -0.26	401.48		•		•	,	<b> </b> ,	<b>.</b>	-  -
Measuring Point	8.0	392.34	0.0	345	0.0	385.36	0.0	370.96	0.0	401.22	0.0	306.83	0.0	310.22	0.0	297.54	000	297.13
Ground Level	1.94	390.4	2.00	343	2.36	383.0	2.66	368.3	2.72	396.5	2.43	304.4	1.92	308.3	2.14	295.4	1.63	296.5
Top of Screen*	109.44	282.90	12	333	97.86	287.50	72.86	298.10	118.52	282.70	74.43	232.40	16.42	293.80	7.14	290.40	63.63	233.50
Bottom of Well*	119.44	272.90	22	323	106.06	277.30	83.11	287.85	126.72	272.50	84.43	222.40	28.42	283.80	17.14	280.40	73.63	223.50
(ATD)	96.0	292.4	6.5	336.5														
Dete: 12/28/94	100.2	290.2	8.8	333.1	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	ŧ	1	1	ı	1
1/26/1995	102.3	288.1	10.9	332.1	1	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	1
(ATD)					97.8	285.20	5.5	363.00	104.3	294.20		,	•	1	,	•	1	1
S/15/1996	1	1	1	;	ł	1	1	,	1	1	;	1	1	1	;	1	;	1
5/22/1998	100.98	291.36	ı	1	93.29	292.07	80.67	290.29	107.37	293.65	1	1	ı	ł	1	,	;	1
6/2/1998	100.70	291.64	I	1	92.90	292.30	80.43	290.53	106.92	294.30	:	1	t	1	1	1	1	;
6/15/1999	1	1	1	1	90.71	294.65	78.65	292.31	104.97	296.25	1	:	•	1	1	1	ı	1
7/13/1999	:	1	1	1	91.09	294.27	76.80	292.16	105.23	295.99	ł	;	1		ł	1	:	1
8/13/1999	ı	ı	I	1	91.42	293.94	79.08	291.86	105.47	295.75	1	1	ı	;	1	,	:	1
8/14/1999	1	ı	1	;	91.82	293.54	79.28	291.65	105.80	295.42	ł	;	ı	3	;	,	ı	1
10/13/1999	I	ı	ł	t	92 27	293.09	79.42	291.54	106.17	295.05	:	1	1	1	ı	;	:	1
11/11/1899	100.20	292.14	:	;	92,60	292.76	79.75	291.21	106.61	294.61	1	:	,	1		1	ł	1
12/10/1999	ı	1	1	;	93 13	292.23	6	È	106.07	294.35	ı	1	t	1	,	1	;	1
1/12/2000	ı	,	:	1	93.46	291.80	80.56	290.40	107.15	294.07	ı	1	t	1	1	,	1	1
2/15/2000	101.10	291.24	1	;	17.58	291.65	δ	Ğ	107.35	293.07	:	;	1	1	:	:	:	;
3/13/2000	101.03	291.31	t	1	93.56	291.78	80.72	290.24	107.23	293.99	ı	1	1	1	:	1	1	1
4/12/2000	101.07	291.27	t	1	93.55	291.81	80.70	290.26	107.22	294.00	1	1	1	3	:	1	ı	1
5/10/2000	101.01	291.33	1	I	93.46	291.90	89.08	290.27	107.21	294.01	1	:	ł	1	:	1	1	1
6/21/2000	100 96	291.38	1	I	93.34	292.02	80.60	290.36	107.19	294.03	ł	;	1	ı	ı	1	1	1
7/11/2000	100.95	291.39	1	,	90°06	292.02	80.62	290.34	107.17	294.05	1	ı	I	1	ı	1	1	L
10/13/2000	101.21	291.13	:	1	61 66	291.57	dry	ţ	107.44	293.76	1	,	1	,	ı	1	1	ı
1/23/2001	101.67	290 67	1	;	94.52	290.84	ţ	đ	108.01	293.21	ı	1	1	1	1	1	I	1
5/3/2001	102.64	269.70	;	:	95.46	289.90	Ę	ţ	106.90	292.32	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1
6/01 (ATD)	1	1	1	•	1	1	1	1	ı	1	73.5	230.90	17.5	290.80	£	ţ	8	229.50
6/29/2001	1	:	,	1	95.93	289.43	ţţ	Ę	109.34	291.88	74.47	232.36	16.49	293.73	10.30	287.24	55.21	241.92
1/31/2001	I	1	r	1	ı	1	' 1	. 1	1	1	75.50	231.33	16.97	293.25	10.01	287.50	55.50	241.63

Nakes = Estimated Depth\* All depths are below measuring point (NOT below the ground surface) - except ATD - Indicates data not available.

# Table 3 - Summary of Infiltration TestsBorrow Areas 3 and 4 and the Haul Route

## Borrow Area 3

Boring Numb <b>e</b> r	Ground Surface Elevation in Feet	Boring Depth in Feet	Test Elevation in Feet	Infiltration Rate in minutes/inch
A3-B18-01	240.20	13 + 6.5	227	0.17
A3-B19-01	237.99	11 + 4.5	227	1.1
A3-B20-01	247.27	20 + 5.5	227	0.21
A3-B21-01	245.18	18 + 7.5	227	0.28

#### Borrow Area 4

Boring	Ground Surface	Boring	Test Elevation	Infiltration Rate
Number	Elevation in Feet	Depth in Feet	in Feet	in minutes/inch
A4-B09-01	304.78	13+6	292	NM

Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation in Feet	Test Pit Depth in Feet	Test Elevation in Feet	Infiltration Rate in minutes/inch
A4-TP01-01	299.76	4 + 5	296	44
A4-TP02-01	294.21	4 + 5	290	140
A4-TP03-01	294.17	4 + 5	290	170

#### **Haul Route**

Hand-Auger Boring Number	Ground Surface Elevation in Feet	Boring Depth in Feet	Test Elevation in Feet	Infiltration Rate in minutes/inch
HR-B01-01	252	1 + 2.6	251	10.5
HR-B02-01	250	1+3	249	14
HR-B03-01	250	1+1	249	120

Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation in Feet	Test Pit Depth in Feet	Test Elevation in Feet	Infiltration Rate in minutes/inch
HR-TP01-01	256	6+3	250	480
HR-TP02-01	252	4+6	248	440

NM - Not Measured. Slow seepage into the bottom of the boring invalidated testing. Exploration depths indicate the depth at which infiltration testing was performed, plus the additional depth drilled for soil logging.

> Hart Crowser 497852/BA3&4Tables.xls - Table 3

Site Location Map







ì







:

Water Level in Shalfow Regional Aquifer Perching Layer

Water Level in Perched Water-Bearing Zone

HARTCROWSER

J-4878-52 10/01 Figure 4

) کمبر میر

न । ।

7

Ĵ









AR 052202

)

7

Appendix A

APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATIONS METHODS AND ANALYSIS -

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

## APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATIONS METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This appendix documents the processes Hart Crowser uses in determining the nature of the soils underlying the project site addressed by this report. The discussion includes information on the following subjects:

- Explorations and Their Location;
- The Use of Dual-Wall Percussion Hammer Drilling with Reverse Circulation;
- The Use of Auger Borings;
- Penetration Test Procedures;
- Groundwater Observation Well Installation;
- Excavation of Test Pits; and
- Hand-Auger Borings.

#### **Explorations and Their Location**

Subsurface explorations by Hart Crowser for Borrow Areas 3 and 4 consisted of thirteen dual-wall percussion hammer (DWPH borings) which were completed as monitoring wells; ten hollow-stem auger borings, five of which were completed as monitoring wells; and three test pits. The borings were designated A3-B8-98 through A3-B12-98; A3-B13-99 through A3-B17-99; A3-B18-01 through A3-B22-01; A4-B4-98 through A4-B6-98; and A4-B7-01 through A4-B11-01. Test pits were designated A4-TP1-01 through A4-TP3-01. Borings and test pits are designated using a system developed by others for the Third Runway Project Borrow Area Investigations, where 'A3' represents Borrow Area 3, 'B8' represents boring number 8, 'TP1' represents test pit 1, and '98' indicates the year the boring or test pit was completed. Logs for these explorations are presented on Figures A-2 to A-25 at the end of this appendix.

Subsurface explorations by Hart Crowser for the Haul Route consisted of three hand-auger borings. The explorations were designated HR-TP1-01 and HR-TP2-01, HR-B1-01 through HR-B3-01. Logs for these explorations are presented on Figures A-26 and A-27.

Subsurface explorations conducted in 1994 by AGI for Borrow Areas 3 and 4 consisted of ten hollow-stem auger borings, four of which were completed as monitoring wells. The borings were designated A3-B1-94 through A3-B7-94 (Borrow Area 3) and A4-B1-94 through A4-B3-94 (Barrow Area 4); logs are presented as Attachment A-1 to this appendix.

The boring logs within this appendix are the basis of Hart Crowser's interpretation of the drilling, sampling, and testing data. The logs indicate the

depth where the characteristics of soils and glacial sedimentary deposits change as follows:

- A hard line is used to show the contact between two geologically distinct units;
- A dashed line is used to show the contact between two dissimilar soils within a specific geologic unit; and
- The words "grades to" are used to mark the location of a gradual change in soil gradation or grain size distribution with increasing depth. Note that the new gradation indicated in this way persists over a distinct interval. Characteristics identified by "grades to" are intended to apply to the remainder of the unit below the notation on the log, or until a different change in gradation is indicated.

In the field, we classified the samples taken from the explorations according to the methods presented on Figure A-1 - Key to Exploration Logs. Attachment A-1 presents AGI's Soil Classification/Legend. These figures provide an explanation of the symbols and abbreviations used in the logs. The remainder of this appendix discusses exploration techniques utilized by Hart Crowser. Additional information on the techniques used by AGI is presented in AGI Technologies (1995).

Location of Explorations. Figures 2 and 3, which follow the main text, show the location of explorations. This report shows the actual locations and ground surface elevations, presented on the exploration logs, as they were established during site surveys by the Port of Seattle, dated May 28, 1998, and May 1999. 2001 explorations at Borrow Areas 3 and 4 were surveyed in June 2001. Explorations along the Haul Route were not surveyed; locations and elevations are based on hand taping from site features and topographic maps.

## The Use of Dual-Wall Percussion Hammer Drilling with Reverse Circulation

With depths ranging from 22.0 to 141.2 feet below the ground surface, thirteen dual-wall percussion hammer borings, designated A3-B8-98 through A3-B12-98 and A4-B4-98 through A4-B6-98 were drilled from May 11 to 18, 1998. Explorations A3-B13-99 through A3-B17-99 were drilled later on April 15 to 23, 1999.

These eighteen borings incorporated a 9-inch outside diameter (6-inch inside diameter) dual-wall drive pipe and were advanced with a Becker diesel, pile-

Page A-2

Ĵ

driving hammer on a truck-mounted drill rig (AP1000). Layne Christensen Company was subcontracted by Hart Crowser to perform the drilling.

Dual-wall percussion hammer drilling with reverse circulation consists of a dualwalled pipe driven with a diesel drive hammer, while air is forced down the annulus of the double-wall drive pipe to the bit. The air returns up the inside pipe, carrying with it a continuous flow of drill cuttings that are discharged to an air cyclone. The air cyclone slows down the velocity of the air and drill-cutting mixture, separates the air from the cuttings, and allows for sample collection from the base of the cyclone.

The driving/drilling and sampling were continuously observed by an engineering geologist from Hart Crowser. Detailed field logs were prepared of each boring and each sample was visually and texturally classified in the field. Samples were collected from the air cyclone at 2-1/2- to 5-foot-depth intervals and placed into plastic bags tied with wire. Samples were collected to fill the plastic bags and represent the 2-1/2- to 5-foot-thick samples on the logs. After soil sample collection, they were taken to Hart Crowser's laboratory for further testing.

## The Use of Auger Borings

With depths ranging from 15.5 to 84.3 feet below the ground surface, ten hollow-stem auger borings, designated A3-B18-01 through A3-B22-01 and A4-B7-01 through A4-B11-01, were drilled from June 11 to 18, 2001. The borings used a 3-3/8-inch inside diameter hollow-stem auger and were advanced with a truck-mounted drill rig subcontracted by Hart Crowser. The drilling was continuously observed by an engineering geologist from Hart Crowser. Detailed field logs were prepared of each boring. Using the Standard Penetration Test (SPT), we obtained samples at 5-foot-depth intervals.

## **Penetration Test Procedures**

This test is an approximate measure of soil and sediment density and consistency. To be useful, the results must be used with engineering judgment in conjunction with other tests. Penetration tests similar to the Standard Penetration Test (SPT; as described in ASTM D 1586) were performed to obtain disturbed samples. The tests employed a 3-inch outside diameter split-spoon sampler. Using a 140-pound hammer, free-falling about 30 inches (down-hole techniques were used), the sampler is driven into the material for 18 inches. The number of blows required to drive the sampler <u>the last 12 inches only</u> is the penetration resistance. This resistance, or blow count, measures the relative

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page A-3

density of granular soils and the consistency of cohesive material. The blow counts are plotted on the boring logs at their respective sample depths.

Samples are recovered from the split-spoon sampler, field classified, and placed into water tight jars. They are then taken to Hart Crowser's laboratory for further testing.

#### In the Event of Hard Driving

Occasionally very dense materials preclude driving the total 18-inch sample. When this happens, the penetration resistance is entered on logs as follows:

**Penetration less than six inches.** The log indicates the total number of blows over the number of inches of penetration.

**Penetration greater than six inches.** The blow count noted on the log is the sum of the total number of blows completed <u>after</u> the first 6 inches of penetration. This sum is expressed over the number of inches driven that exceed the first 6 inches. The number of blows needed to drive the first 6 inches are not reported. For example, a blow count series of 12 blows for 6 inches, 30 blows for 6 inches, and 50 (the maximum number of blows counted within a 6-inch increment for SPT) for 3 inches would be recorded as 80/9.

#### Groundwater Observation Well Installation

Observation wells were installed in eighteen of the Hart Crowser borings and four of the AGI borings. The Hart Crowser wells were constructed with flush-threaded 2-inch-diameter PVC and 10-foot-long screens (0.020-inch slot size). The following procedure was used to install the wells:

- Following completion of each boring to the target depth, the bottom of each boring was backfilled to the bottom-of-screen depth with cuttings and bentonite chips (as-needed).
- A 2-inch inside diameter, flush-threaded, Schedule 40 PVC screen (0.020inch slots) and riser pipes were lowered through the dual-wall drive pipe.
- As the drive pipe was pulled out, silica sand (No. 10-20) was placed around and approximately 5 feet above the screened section. The depth to the top of the sand pack was recorded by sounding inside the annular space with a weighted measuring tape.

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page A-4

)

- The annular space of the well was sealed between the top of the sand pack to the bottom of the surface monument by placing bentonite chips in the hole.
- A concrete surface seal was then placed above the bentonite seal at ground surface, and a stickup-mounted monument set in concrete was placed over the finished groundwater observation well.

As-built construction details for observation wells are shown schematically on the respective logs.

## **Excavation of Test Pits**

Five test pits, designated HR-TP1-01, HR-TP2-01, and A4-TP1-01 through A4-TP3-01 were excavated across the site with a tractor-mounted backhoe subcontracted by our firm. The sides of these excavated pits offer direct observation of the subgrade soils. The test pits were located by and excavated under the direction of an engineering geologist from Hart Crowser. The geologist observed the soil exposed in the test pits and reported the findings on a field log. He noted groundwater levels or seepage during excavation. The density/consistency of the soils (as presented parenthetically on the test pit logs to indicate their having been estimated) is based on visual observation only as disturbed soils cannot be measured for in-place density in the laboratory.

The test pit logs are presented on Figures A-25 and A-26.

## Hand-Auger Borings

Three borings, designated HR-B1-01 through HR-B1-03, were drilled by a Hart Crowser geologist along the Haul Route using a hand-auger. These borings were drilled to conduct falling head infiltrometer tests. The geologist observed the soil cuttings from the borings and reported the findings on a field log. The density/consistency of the soils (as presented parenthetically on the boring logs to indicate their having been estimated) is based on visual observation only as disturbed soils cannot be measured for in-place density in the laboratory. The boring logs for these hand-auger borings are presented on Figure A-27.

F:\docs\jobs\497852\BA3&4DataReport.doc

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

## Key to Exploration Logs

#### Sample Description

Crossification of soils in this report is based on visual field and laboratory observations which include density/consistency, moisture condition, grain size, and plasticity estimates and should not be construed to imply field nor laboratory testing unless presented nerein. Visual-manual classification methods of ASTM D 2488 were used as an identification guide.

#### Soil descriptions consist of the following:

Density/consistency, moisture, color, minor constituents, MAJOR CONSTITUENT, additional remarks.

#### Density/Consistency

Soil density/consistency Soil density/consistency in	n borings is related prir test pits is estimated b	narily to the Standard Per ased on visual observation	netration Resistance. and is presented parenthei	lically on the test pit logs.
SAND or GRAVEL Density	Stondard Penetration Resistance (N) in Blows/Foot	SILT or CLAY Consistency	Stanaard Penetration Resistance (N) in Blows/Foot	Approximate Snecr Strength in TS <sup>2</sup>
Very loose	0 - 4	Very soft	0 - 2	<0.125
Loose	4 - 10	Soft	2 - 4	0.125-0.25
Medium dense	10 - 30	Medium stiff	4 – B	0.25 - 0.5
Dense	30 - 50	Stiff	8 - 15	0.5 - 1.0
Very dense	>50	Very stiff	15 - 30	1.0 - 2.0
		Hard	>30	>2.0

#### Moisture

Little perceptible moisture Drv

- Damp Some perceptible moisture, probably below optimum
- Moist Probably near optimum moisture content
- Wet Much perceptible moisture, probably above optimum

#### Legends

#### Sampling Test Symbols BORING SAMPLES $\boxtimes$ Split Spoon Shelby Tube m Cuttings П Core Run \* No Sample Recovery ρ Tube Pushed, Not Driven TEST PIT SAMPLES $\square$ Grab (Jar) П Boa $\square$ Shelby Tube Groundwater Observations Surface Seal Bentonite Groundwater Level on Date or V A-1 STANDARD ATD ot Time of Drilling (ATD) Well Screen End Cop

Sand Pack

0

T

Native Material

Groundwater Seepage (Test Pits)

#### **Minor Constituents**

	anti-trainer a contrage
Not identified in description	0 - 5
Slightly (cloyey, silty, etc.)	5 - 12
Clayey, silty, sandy, gravelly	12 - 30
Very (cloyey, silty, etc.)	30 - 50
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Estimated Percentage

1

#### Test Symbols

#### Grain Size Classification GS CN Consolidation Uυ Unconsolidated Unarained Triaxia: Cυ **Consolidated Undrained Triaxial** CD **Consolidated Drained Triaxial** QU Unconfined Compression DS Direct Snecr к. Permeability Pocket Penetrometer Approximate Compressive Strength in TSF PP τv Tarvane Approximate Shear Strength in TSF CBR California Bearing Ratio MD Moisture Density Relationship AL Atterberg Limits Water Content in Percent Liquid Limit Natural Plastic Limit PID Photoionization Detector Reading CA Chemical Analysis DT In Situ Density Test


#### Boring Log A3-B8-98 PENETRATION RESISTANCE 4 LAB Soil Descriptions TESTS Depth in Feet Sample A Blows per Foot (% Fines) Ground Surface Elevation in Feet: 280.5 50 10 100 20 F° (Medium dense to very dense), domp, light brown, slightly gravelly SAND over damp, gray to tan, slightly silty, fine <del>4</del>5 E S-1 IΠ GS SAND with occasional gravel. X (8.5) S-2 (Recessional; Type 3) ±10 E S-3 Ш *‡*15 S-4 Ш <del>-</del>20 Grades to non-gravelly. S-5 Ш Grades to moist, tan, very silty (Type 5) <del>-</del>25 S-6 $\mathbf{X}$ <del>-</del>30 S-7 hπ GS (31.3) Grades to slightly silty (Type 3) -35 Ш S--8 **4**0 S-9 htt Δ E Grades to very silty and color changes ATD -45 E to light brown. (Type 5) S-10 🕅 5/15/98/2 +50 +55 +55 Grades to slightly silty. (Type 3) S-11 1111 S-12 İΠ +60 Grades to wet, brown, silty, very S-13 Ш GS grovelly. (Type 4) (19.8)<del>-</del>65 (Very dense), wet, brown, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL. S-14 DX \$50/4 (Recessional; Type 2) <del>-</del>70 S-15 🎹 È -75 S-16 È Grades to very sandy. S-17A S-17B **#60/6** i. <del>-</del>80 Bottom of Boring at 80.0 Feet. Completed 5/11/98. E 185 L 5 10 20 50 100 . Water Content in Percent

- 1. Refer to Figure A+1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for
- date specified. Level may vary with time. 4. Blow counts are for 140 pound nammer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon
- sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

HARTCROWSER J-4978 5/98 Figure A-2

# Boring Log A3-B9-98

al Descriptions			PENETRATION RESISTANCE	LAB
Descriptions	Depth in Feet	Sample	A Biows per Foot	(% Fines
round Surface Elevation in Feet: 270.0	–––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––––		1 <u>2 5 10 20 50 100</u>	( <i>ie</i> + <i>i</i> ) e
(Medium dense), slightly grovelly SAND over damp to wet, light brown, slightly	E		E	
silty, fine SAND with trace grovel.				
(Recessional; Type 3)	ATD ATD	S-1A S-1B		-GS (8.1)
Grades to gravelly	+10	S-2 III	<u>╞╴╴╶┟┈╄╶╋╶╂╎╞</u> ╪╋╌┈┼┈┾╶╋┽┊╞╬╢	
	±15			
		S - 3 m		-GS <sub>200</sub>
				(0.0)
(Very stiff), moist to wet, light brown,	1 <sup>20</sup>	S-4 III		
(Weathered Till; Type 5)				
	<b>4</b> 25			
Grades to gravely, very silty SAND to very sandy SILT. (Till; Type 5)	IF B	3-3	F	'5
	Fro D	S-6 🖽	F	- CS
Grades to silty, very gravely SAND.	7 E <sup>30</sup> 8	S-7 Ⅲ		(61.3)
(Type 4)				
	+35	S-8 III		-cs
				(23.8)
Grades to silty, sanay GRAVEL. (Type 4)	1 - 50	3-9		
(Dense to very dense), moist to wet, light brown, sitty sendy GRAVE:	1 5 6			
(Advance; Type 4)				
Wet, gray, slightly silty to silty, fine	1E 🛛			
SAND. (iybe 4)	<u>-</u> 50	S-11		
— Grades to slightly silty, very gravelly SAND to very sandy GRAVEL (Type 2)				
	- 155			
coorse GRAVEL. (Type 2)		3-12		
		S_13		
Bottom of Boring ct 60.0 Feet.				3
Completed 5/12/96.		-	F	
	-65			
	<b>1</b> 70			
	1/3			
	+80		E	
	1 - 85			
			1 2 5 10 20 50 100 • Water Content in Percent	- <u></u>
afer to Figure A-1 for evolution of more	intinne			
bil descriptions and stratum lines are inter	pretive and sy	mbols. Judi chonaes		
19y de gradual. 'Oundwater level, if indicater lis at time of	drilling (ATD)		HARTOR	OWSER

- broundwater level, it indicated, is at time of ariting (ATU) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
   Blow counts are for 140 pound nammer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon sompler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques.
   Refer to text for soil types.

A3b09.dwg het 8/30/01

497B

AR 052212

5/98

J-4978

Figure A-3

Ĵ

### Boring Log A3-B10-98



1978 A3b10 dwg hei 8/30/01

- 1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
- Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.
- 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- Blow counts are for 140 pound normer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques.
   Refer to text for soli types.



Figure A-4 1/2

## Boring Log A3-B10-98

### PENETRATION RESISTANCE4. LAB Soil Descriptions TESTS Depth in Feet Sample A Blows per Foot 10 20 50 100 -85V 1454/3 -23A 0270 5/38 S-238 S-24 (Very dense), wet, brown, slightly Ш silty to silty, sandy GRAVEL. (Type 2 Ш to Type 4) S-25 m S-26 ŀ S-27 Ш <del>[</del>95 ш S~28 Bottom of Boring at 96.0 Feet. Completed 5/12/98. £100 £105 <del>[</del>110 £115 <del>-</del>120 -125 E +130<del>-</del>135 <u>+</u>140 E £145 £150 Г +155 E Г 1 ±160 ŀ ÷165 Е 上170 ŝ 10 20 50 100 · Water Content in Percent 1. Refer to Figure A+1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols. 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. HARTCROWSER

3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for

date specified. Level may vary with time.

ž

4978 A3610x.dwg

4. Blow counts are for 140 bound hammer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using cown-hole techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

AR 052214

J-4978

Figure A-4

5/98

2/2

### Boring Log A3-B11-98

Ē

6m0

119( A

4978



÷ş

### Boring Log A3-B11-98



- Groundwater level, it indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
   Biom sources for the specified of the s
- Blow counts are for 140 bound hommer and 3-inch-alcmeter split-space sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques.
   Refer to text for split types.

AR 052216

5/98

2/2

J-4978

Figure A-5

1976 A.Jallx.dwg

P

## Boring Log A3-B12-98



date specified. Level may vary with time.
4. Blow counts are for 140 pound nommer and 3-incn-diameter split-spoon sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-note techniques.
5. Refer to text for soil types.

P

1978 A 5612.dwg

AR 052217

5/98

J-4978

Figure A-8

### Boring Log A3-B13-99



1=1 (sre!)XXXX000/De(outl.pcp A3-813-99

DIN 10/2/01

4. Blow counts may not be representative of density due to gravei.

AR 052218

Figure A-7

1

### Boring Log A3-B14-99

DfN 10/2/01 1×1 (urel)XXXX0000/Deleuit pcp 497802/Boring AJ-B14-99.d#9



# Boring Log A3-B15-99



D1N 10/2/01 1=1 (#ref)XXXX0000/Default.pcp 497802/Boring A3 -815-99.4mg

AR 052220

ļ

1

### Boring Log A3-B16-99



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive

and actual changes may be gradual. 3. Ground water level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

HARTCROWSER J-4978-02 4/99 Figure A-10

# Boring Log A3-B17-99



01N 10/2/01 1=1 (#rel)XXXX0000/Default.pcp 497802/Boving AJ-B17-99.dwg

AR 052222

Ĵ

-

# Boring Log A3-B18-01



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may

Be gradual.
 Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

J-4978-52 06/01 Figure A-12

HARTCROWSER

# Boring Log A3-B19-01





06/01

ţ

ļ

Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
 Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes

may be gradual.
3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

AR 052223.01

J-4978-52

Figure A-13

## Boring Log A3-B20-01



Z HARTCROWSER J-4978-52 06/01 Figure A-14

Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
 Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may

be gradual. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

AR 052223.02

## Boring Log A3-B21-01



- 1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols. 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes
- may be gradual.
  3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of dritting (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

AR 052224

06/01

HARTCROWSER

J-4978-52

Figure A-15

1

Å

### Monitoring Well Log A3-B22-01



5 HARTCROWSER J-4978-52

Figure A-16

Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
 Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may

be gradual. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

AR 052225

06/01

# Boring Log A4-B4-98

				PENETRATION RESISTANCE	LAB
Soil Des	scriptions	Depth in Feet	Sample	A Blows per Foot	TESTS
Ground	Surface Elevation in Feet: 383.0	0		<u>; 2 5 10 20 50 1</u> 00	(70 7 mc3)
(De bro gro	ense to very dense), moist, light own, slightly silty to silty SAND to avelly SAND. (Recessional; Type 3)		S-1 S-2 S-3A S-3A S-3A S-5 S-5 S-5		- GS <sub>200</sub> (9.0)
(Ve ver SA	ery dense), moist, ton, slightly silty, ry sanay GRAVEL to very gravelly ND. (Advance; Type 2)	-15	S-7 S-8		- GS (8.8)
Gro to (Ty	ades to slightly silty, non-gravelly gravelly, fine to medium SAND. ype 3)	20 	5-9 5-10 5-11 5-12 5-13A		- GS
- SAI to	ND becoming slightly finer and grades trace gravel.	-30	S-138 S-14		(6.0)
- Sar	nd becomes slightly coorser	+35 	S-17 S-18 S-18 S-19		
- Sar to	nd becomes slightly finer and grades slightly gravelly.	- <u>∓</u> 40 F	S-20		
Gra	ades to gravelly.	-45 -	S-22 S-23A S-23B	••••	
		- 50	5-24 5-25		
- Gro	ides to slightly graveliy.	- 	5-26 5-27		
- Silt	content decreases.		S-28		
- Gra	ides very grovelly (Type 2)	60 F	S-30		
Gra sitty	ides to wet, brown, clean to slightly y, sandy GRAVEL. (Type 1)	-65	S-37 S-32 S-33A S-339		- CS
Gra	ides to very sondy GRAVEL .	-70	5-34 5-35		(3.7)
Gra mea	ides gravelly to very gravelly, fine to dium SAND. (Type 1)	- 	5-36 III 5-37 III		
Gra	ides to grovelly, fine SAND.		S-35		
Grad	ides to very gravelly, fine to coarse ND.	-80	S-39		~
Grou SAN	des to grovelly, fine to medium	L 185	S-40		
				<ul> <li>✓ ⇒ ±0 20 50 100</li> <li>Water Content in Percent</li> </ul>	

4978 A4b04 dwg hei 8/30/01

- 1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

- Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
   Blow counts are for 140 bound harmer and 3-incn-diameter split-spoon sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

# HARTCROWSER J-4978

5/98 Figure A-17 1/2

AR 052226

]

ļ

### Boring Log A4-B4-98



sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-note techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

ž A4b04x.dwg

8/61

AR 052227

Figure A-17

2/2

### Boring Log A4-B5-98



6.np A4605 8/81

- 1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
- 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual ananges may be gradual.
- 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 4. Brow counts are for 140 pound hammer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon
- scripter (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

AR 052228

HARTCROWSER

5/98

J-4978

Figure A-18

1

# Boring Log A4-B6-98

			PENETRATION RESISTANCE	LAB
Soil Descriptions	Depth in Feet	Sample	a Blows per Foot	(% Fines)
Ground Surface Elevation in Feet: 398.5			<u>1 2 5 10 20 50 100</u>	(70 - 1100)
(Medium dense to dense), moist, light	Ē			
brown, slightly silty, gravely, line to medium SAND.				
(Recessional; Type 3)	+5	S-24		
Grades to trace gravel, color changes		S = 3 ₩		
to tan.	ļ ‡10	S-4 Ⅲ	<b>┌──┤─┤┤┤┤∲╎</b> ──┤─ <b>╲</b> ┤┤┤┤╢╿	- CS 200
Grades to slightly gravelly.		S-5 🖽	F	(12.0)
Grades to trace gravel.	L.	S-6 III	$F \mid                                     $	
	I F'S	S−7 🖽		
	ΙE	S-8		
Creder to plightly gravely	+20	S-9		
Grades to argvelly.		S-10		
	+25	S-12A		- GS
sandy GRAVEL to gravely SAND.		S-128		(5.9)
(Type 1)	F.			
Grades to slightly silty, very gravelly.	+30	S-15		
fine to coarse SAND. (Type 2)	ļF	88 S-16 m		
Grades to gravely SAND (Type 3)	-35	S-17	<u> </u>	
	ΙE	S-18 m		
	EAN	S-19 III	F = I =	
Grades to trace gravel.		S-20		
		S-21		
	+45	5-22 III		
Grades to slightly gravely.		S-23A S-23B	<u> </u>	6 - CS 200
	<u>+</u> 50	S−24 Ш		(6.0)
Grades to trace grave.	E	S-25		
	<u>–</u> 55	S-26	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 $	
		S-27		
		5-28		
	+60	5-30		
		S-31 TTT		
Grades to slightly gravelly.	-65	S- 32A		6
		s-33		
Grades to gravelly.	70	S-34		
Grades to very sandy GRAVEL. (Type 1)		S-35 III		CS
Grades to very gravelly SAND. (Type 2)	E	S-36 III		(6.6)
	+/5	S-37		
	F	S-38		
	<del>-</del> 80	S-39		
	ΙĒ	S-40		
Grades to gravelly, fine to medium SAND (Type 3)	E85	S-41	E + E +	
	00		<ul> <li>2 5 10 20 50 100</li> <li>Water Content in Percent</li> </ul>	· · · · ·
1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of desc 2. Soil descriptions and stratign times are inter-	riptions or	nd sympols.		
may be gradual.	pretive cn	o detue: changes		
3. Groundwater level, 15 indicated, is at time o	f ariting (	ATD) or for		UNSEK

date specified. Level may vary with time.
Blow counts are for 140 pound nommer and 3-inch-diameter split-spoon sompler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-hole techniques.
Refer to text for soil types.

4978 A4b06.dwg het

AR 052229

Figure A-19

5/98

1/2

J-4978

### Boring Log A4-B6-98



may be gradual.

- 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of arilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.
- 4. Blow counts are for 140 bound hammer and 3-inch-diameter solit-spoon sampler (i.e., Not conforming to ASTM D 1586) using down-note techniques. 5. Refer to text for soil types.

AR 052230

Figure A-19

5/98

2/2

J-4978

7

### Monitoring Well Log A4-B7-01



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols. 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

HARTCROWSER J-4978-52 06/01 Figure A-20

### Monitoring Well Log A4-B8-01





Figure A-21

)

ء جيج <sup>روي</sup>

Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.
 Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may

be gradual.

Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

### Boring Log A4-B9-01





06/01

J-4978-52

Figure A-22

1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols. 2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes

may be gradual. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

# Monitoring Well Log A4-B10-01





Figure A-23

i.

-

1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may

be gradual. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date specified. Level may vary with time.

### Monitoring Well Log A4-B11-01



HARTCROWSER

1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols

2. Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated, is at time of drilling (ATD) or for date

specified. Level may vary with time.

J-4978-52 06/01 Figure A-24



### Test Pit Log A4-TP2-01



### Test Pit Log A4-TP3-01

310GS PER PAGE 4978521 GPJ HC\_CORP GD1 600001 S-1 S-2 S-3 S-3 S-3 S-4



1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

 Groundwater conditions, if indicated, are at time of excavation. Conditions may vary with time. HARTCROWSER

06/01

J-4978-52 Figure A-25



### Test Pit Log HR-TP2-01





1. Refer to Figure A-1 for explanation of descriptions and symbols.

 Soil descriptions and stratum lines are interpretive and actual changes may be gradual.

Groundwater conditions, if indicated, are at time of excavation. Conditions may vary with time.



may be gradual. 3. Groundwater conditions, if indicated, are at time of excavation. Conditions may vary with time.

AR 052238

Figure A-27

### ATTACHMENT A-1 AGI FIGURES

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

<b></b>	UN	IFIED SOIL C	LAS	SIFIC	ATIONS	SYSTEM		
	MAJOR DIVISIONS					TYPICAL NAMES		
M D Sieve	GRAVELS	Clean gravels with	GW	0.00	Well gradi	ed gravels, gravel-sand mixtures		
	More than half	little or no fines	GP		Poorty gra	ided gravels, gravel-sand mixtures	· · ·	
D SC No. 20	O ຈິ coarse fraction ເກັດ ຊີ້ is larger than	Graveis with	GM		Silty Grave mixtures	els, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt		
AINE Mihan	No. 4 sieve size	over 12% fines	GC		Clayey gra gravel-san	ivels, poorly graded id-clay mixtures		
E GR Is larg	SANDS	Clean sands with	sw		Well grade	d sands, gravelly sands		
ARS an half	More than half	little or no fines	SP		Poorly grad	ded sands, gravely sands		
C C C	is larger than	Sands with	SM		Silty sand, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures			
2	140. <b>4 SIEVE SIZE</b>	over 12% lines	sc		Clayey sands, poorly graded sand-clay mixtures			
<b>ILS</b>	SILTS ANI	CLAYS	ML		clayey fine	inorganic sits and very time sands, rock flour, sity or clayey fine sands, or clayey sits with slight plasticity		
Sieve Sieve	Liquid limit le	ss than 50	CL		gravelly da	gravely clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays		
INEI half is 200	5005		OL			ays and organic sity clays of low plastic:		
GRA an No	V     SILTS AND CLAYS       U     SILTS AND CLAYS       U     SILTS AND CLAYS       U     SILTS AND CLAYS       U     SILTS AND CLAYS		MH		sandy or sil	sits, micaceous or diatomacious tine sity soils, elastic sits		
More			СН		Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays			
					organic ciay	Jinganic cays of medium to high plasticity, inganic silts		
			PT			ner highly organic soils		
	turbed"		ETWE Define	TWEEN UNITS PHYSICAL PROPERTY			STS	
E Bulk/G	rab	Grad	ational	i Chang	e	Consol - Consolidation		
	covered ered. Not Retained		ure Ci of Evol	oration		PL - Plastic Limit Gs - Specific Gravity		
BLOWS F	PER FOOT					SA - Size Analysis		
Hammer is	s 140 pounds with 30	-inch drop, unless o	therwis	se note	d	TxS - Triaxial Shear TxP - Triaxial Permeat	sility	
S - SP	T Sampler (2.0-Inch (	D.D.)				Perm - Permeability		
H - Int	n Wall Sampler (2.8-1 It Barrel Sampler (2.4	nch Sample)				P0 - Porosity MD - Moisture/Density	,	
						DS - Direct Shear		
MOISTUR	E DESCRIPTION					VS - Vane Shear		
Dry - Considerably less than optimum for compaction Moist - Near optimum moisture contact								
Wet - Over optimum moisture content				UU - Unconsolidated, Undrai	ned			
Saturated	- Below water table, i	n capillary zone, or	in pera	ched gr	oundwater	CD - Consolidated, Undrained		
	T	Soil C	Class	sifica	tion/Leg	jend PLATE		
ECHNOLOG		HNTB/	Runwa SeaT	iy Borro ac, Was	w Source S shington	Study AT	1	
THE STATE ORAWN CALL APPROVED APPROVED CALL APPROVED CALL APPROVED CALL APPROVED CALL APPROVED								
AR 052240								





>

-





ł


 $\mathbf{T}$ 





AR 052247





AR 052249



AR 052250









AR 052254

}





AR 052256



AR 052257



AR 052258



Appendix B

-

APPENDIX B LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

# APPENDIX B LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM

Hart Crowser completed a laboratory testing program to evaluate the basic index and geotechnical engineering properties of the site soils. Disturbed bag samples from the drill rig cyclone and jar samples from penetration tests were selected for laboratory testing with the following items in mind:

- Suitability of soils for use as wet weather fill;
- Soils representative of the geologic unit;
- Consistency within a geologic unit; and
- Sampling soils generally within the depth of the proposed excavation (based on existing information).

The tests performed and the procedures followed are outlined below.

# Soil Classification

**Field Observation and Laboratory Analysis.** Soil samples from the explorations were visually classified in the field and then taken to our laboratory where the classifications were verified in a relatively controlled laboratory environment. Field and laboratory observations include density/consistency, moisture condition, and grain size and plasticity estimates.

The classifications of selected samples were checked by laboratory tests such as grain size analyses and 200 wash. Classifications were made in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification (USC) System, ASTM D 2487, as presented on Figure B-1.

# Water Content Determinations

Water contents were determined for specific samples recovered in the explorations in general accordance with ASTM D 2216, as soon as possible following their arrival in our laboratory. Water contents were not determined for very small samples nor samples where large gravel contents would result in values considered unrepresentative. The results of these tests are plotted at the respective sample depth on the exploration logs. In addition, water contents are routinely determined for samples subjected to other testing. These are also presented on the exploration logs.

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

Page B-1

7 J

Water contents were compared for samples of cuttings from the drill cyclone bag samples with water contents obtained from conventional SPT split-spoon samples, as shown on the drill logs. In some, but not all, cases it appeared that the cuttings samples were slightly drier than the SPT samples, as might be expected. Superficially it appears that the more silty samples typically exhibited less drying due to drill action compared to coarser-grained samples. In general we recommend that moisture content of SPT samples be considered more representative of *in situ* conditions compared to those of cuttings samples.

# Grain Size Analysis (GS)

Grain size distribution was analyzed on representative samples in general accordance with ASTM D 422. Wet sieve analysis was used to determine the size distribution greater than the U.S. No. 200 mesh sieve. The size distribution for particles smaller than the No. 200 mesh sieve was determined by the hydrometer method for a selected number of samples. The results of the tests are presented as curves on Figures B-2 through B-7 plotting percent finer by weight versus grain size.

# 200-Wash (GS200)

Several samples were subjected to a modified grain size classification known as a 200-wash. The portion of individual samples passing the 3/4-inch sieve was "washed" through the No. 200 mesh sieve to determine the relative percentages of coarse- and fine-grained material in the samples. The tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM D 1140. The results are presented on the boring logs.

F:\docs\jobs\497852\BA3&4DataReport.doc

# Unified Soil Classification (USC) System Soil Grain Size

]\_

ļ

L

ł

1

ſ

Size of C		Number of Mean per Inch (US Standard)				Grain Size in Millimetres						
: • • •	- <u></u>	Ş .	<u>9 8</u>	Ş 2	3 8	ន្តិ ន	5	8 8	58	8	8	803
	 <u>             </u>	<u></u>	1 i		1	111	1   <u>   </u>		111	111 111	1 1	;
5 <u>5</u> 8 8	***		∾ ∽ e Grain Siz	e in Millimetri	е В	- 9 8	S.	8 8	<u>1</u> , <b>80</b> ,	8	8	<b>20</b>
COBBLES	GRAVEL		S	AND				S	ILT and	CLAY		
	Coa	rse-Grained	Soils		Fine-Grained Soils							
Coarse-Gra	ined Soils											
GW	GP	GM	GC	S	W	S	P		S M		S	С
Clean GRAVEL	<5% fines	GRAVEL with	>12% fines	Cle	an SAND	<5% fine	<5% fines SAN				2% tır	les
GRAVEL	. >50% coarse fractio	n larger than	No. 4		SAND	SAND >50% coarse traction smaller than No. 4						
		Coarse-(	Grained Soils >5	0% larger th	an No. 20	O sieve						
G W and S W	$\left(\frac{D_{80}}{D_{10}}\right) > 4 \text{ for G W}$	$\& 1 \leq \left(\frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times C^2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{2}{D_{60}}\right) \leq 3$	GF	P and S f	P Clear requi	n GR ireme	AVEL or ents for G	SAND r i W and	not me i S W	eting	
G M and S M	Atterberg limits be	low A line wi	th Pl <4	GC	and S (	C Atter	berg	limits ab	ove A L	.ine wil	th Pl	>7
* Coarse-grain	ed soils with perce	ntage of fine	es between 5 a	ind 12 are c	onsider	ed borde	eriine	cases re	auired	use of	dua	l svm
											008	a 39111
$U_{10}, U_{30}, and$		is diameter (	of which 10, 3	0, and 60 p	ercent, r	espectiv	ely, c	of the soil	weight	are fir	ner.	
ine-Graine												
ML	CL		<u> </u>	M H	C	: H	_	01	-		P	<u>t</u>
SILI	CLAY	Organi		SILT	C			Orgar	nic		Highl Orga	y nic
		Fine-Gra	ined Soils >50%	smailer the			> 507	•		! .	Soils	·,-,,
60	. <u> </u>					34445			·			
		1	1		<b>-1</b>	- <b>1</b> .		1		1		7
50 -					<b>.</b>							1
					СН							
40 -	<u> </u>				-		/					-
30 -				5	Line							
				· · ·								7
20 -				Ŧ		Ν	ЛН	l or O	Η			-
10												
												-
0	l				1			!				
0 10	20	30	40 Liau	50 id Limit	60	70		80	I	90		100
									KIC	κυ	73	CK.
								J-497 Figui	78-02 re B-1	,	8	/99
									050	064		

)



ł







-



AR 052269



}

Appendix C

APPENDIX C BORROW AREA 3 PERCHED WATER-BEARING ZONE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS 1

# APPENDIX C BORROW AREA 3 PERCHED WATER-BEARING ZONE SLUG TEST ANALYSIS

This appendix documents the process Hart Crowser uses in conducting slug tests for purposes of evaluating the perched water-bearing zone in Borrow Area 3. The discussion addresses the Hydraulic Conductivity Testing (Slug Testing) conducted in four wells.

# Hydraulic Conductivity Testing (Slug Testing)

Hydraulic conductivity testing was performed using the slug test method for explorations A3-13-99 through A3-17-99. In this method, the water level (hydraulic head) in the well is rapidly raised or lowered, and the rate at which it returns to its initial state is used to calculate hydraulic conductivity for the formation surrounding the well screen. Data were collected using an Aquistar data logger in conjunction with a Instrumentation Northwest PSI9000 pressure transducer. Tests were conducted as follows:

A transducer was set in the well and allowed to equilibrate with ambient conditions, and background water level data were collected.

One or two slug rods (solid PVC rods) were rapidly introduced into the well (causing a near-instantaneous rise in water level), to initiate a falling head test. Water level data were collected in logarithmically increasing time increments using the data logger and transducer. For wells where depth to water was small, a falling head test was not attempted.

Water level in the well was allowed to re-equilibrate.

The slug rod or rods were rapidly pulled from the well (causing a nearinstantaneous drop in water level) to initiate a rising head test. Water level data were collected in logarithmically increasing time increments using the data logger and transducer.

Most of the wells responded reasonably quickly, and therefore multiple slug tests were performed for most wells.

Data were pre-processed as described in Butler (1998), and hydraulic conductivity values were estimated using the method of Bouwer and Rice (1976) for unconfined aquifers. The estimated values are reported in this appendix as Table C-1. Figures C-1 through C-4 include rising/falling head

Page C-1

AR 052273

curves showing assumed parameters used to estimate hydraulic conductivity values.

F:\docs\jobs\497852\BA3&4DataReport.doc

i.

Hart Crowser 4978-52 October 22, 2001

AR 052274

Ĵ

	A3-B13-99	A3-B15-99	A3-B16-99	A3-B17-99
Well Denth in Feet	58	24	58	21.5
Screen Length in Feet	5	5	5	5
Denth to Screen in Feet	53	19	53	16.5
Depth to Aquitard in Feet	56.5	23	59	21.75
Depth to Water in Feet	53.04	18.28	47.04	17.6
Depth to Sandnack in Feet	51	17	50	14
H in East	3 46	4.72	11.96	4.15
	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
n r e in Eest	0.083	0.083	0.167	0.083
	0.333	0.333	0.500	0.333
r_will Feel	0.195	0 195	0,167	0.195
	4 96	5	5	3.9
	4.96	5 72	10.96	3.9
L_win Feel	9.50	0.07	0.6	0.33
y in reel	10	20	0	0
thin Seconds	0.01	. 0.001	0.001	0.062
yz in Feel	32	, 0.001	43.5	2500
	14 00	15	10	11.7
L_e/r_w	14.00	20	18	10
A	2.0	2.0	1.0	03
В	0.3	0.3	1.2	13
С	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.9
Fully Penetrating Well				
in(R_e/r_w)	2.001	2.088	2.106	1.804
K in cm/s	3.3E-02	2.3E-02	2.6E-02	1.8E-04
F	-M SAND	Fine SAND	Med. SAND	Fine SAND

Table C-1 - Hydraulic Conductivity Calculations for Wells in Unconfined Aquifer Proposed Borrow Area 3

Notes:

Bold values to be entered manually.

A, B, and C coefficients are calculated using regression equations of Van Rooy, 1988.

Hart Crowser 497852/BA3&4Tables.xts - Table C-1

Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Time for A3-B13-99



497802\core/M3-B13 Area3stug.xts

AR 052276

8/99

497802\coreM3-B15 Area3shug xis

# Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Time for A3-B15-99



HARTCROWSER J-4978-02 8/99 Figure C-2

497802\core/A3-B16 Area3slug xls

Í



Log of Normalized Drawdown vs. Time for A3-B16-99



8/99

497802\coreA3-B17 Area3shug xis



October 2, 2001

Ms. Ann Kenny Washington Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Re: Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Washington Department of Ecology § 401 Water Quality Certification Order #1996-4-02325 Condition F.1

Dear Ms. Kenny:

The Port of Seattle presents the attached documents to the Washington Department of Ecology in satisfaction of the above noted Order, Condition F.1. Condition F.1 requires, among other things, that the Port prepare "proposed construction BMPs to prevent interception of contaminated ground water by utility corridors and a plan to monitor potential contaminant transport to soil and ground water via subsurface utility lines".

Please review the two attached documents, Proposed Construction BMPs To Prevent Interception of Contaminated Ground Water by Utility Corridors, and Plan to Monitor Potential Contaminant Transport to Soil and Ground Water via Subsurface Utility Lines. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to refer comments and questions to Paul Agid, 206-439-6604, agid.p@portseattle.org.

Sincerely,

Chear

Elizabeth Leavitt Manager, Aviation Environmental Programs

xc: Agid, Newlon

Seattle - Tacoma International Airport P.O. Box 68727 Seattle, WA 98 168 U.S.A. TELEX 703433 FAX (206) 431-5912

# AR 052280

⊛
## Seattle Tacoma International Airport §401 Water Quality Certification #1996-4-02325 Condition F.1

#### Proposed Construction BMPs To Prevent Interception of Contaminated Ground Water by Utility Corridors

In accordance with the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Water Quality Certification for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Public Notice 1996-4-02325, Condition F. 1, the Port of Seattle (Port) submits this proposal for Best Management Practices (BMPs) for prevention of migration of contaminated ground water via subsurface utility lines at the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA). A draft of this BMP proposal is due to Ecology no later than September 30, 2001.

Best Management Practices for prevention of migration of contaminated ground water by newly constructed utility corridors will consist of, and will be implemented by modification of standard utility construction design guidelines and specifications. The following construction techniques will be specified for future construction of subsurface utilities below paved areas in the principal aviation operations and maintenance area (AOMA) of STIA. Subject subsurface utilities include, but are not limited to, electrical and communications ductbanks, and pipelines for carrying fuel, water, sanitary sewage, stormwater, and Industrial Waste System drainage.

- Standard construction specifications will be developed for application to all construction projects located in areas within the AOMA where contaminated ground water is present at the designed construction depth.
- The standard specification will include a requirement for the contractor to dewater utility trenches and other construction excavations that contain contaminated ground water, and to appropriately manage the water removed by disposal to an appropriately licensed facility or similar option.
- 3. The standard specification will include a requirement that utility backfill be constructed such that any ground water present at the utility depth not be transported along the utility, within the utility backfill material acting as a preferential flow pathway. The potential for transport in backfill will be minimized by use of construction techniques and/or materials that reduce utility backfill permeability. Generic engineering designs for preventing transport will be offered as examples, such as:
  - a. Construct backfill by placing controlled density fill (a lean concrete mixture), or similar low permeability material, into the entire utility trench, to the bottom of the pavement base course layer.
  - b. Construct backfill by placing standard pipe bedding material for a maximum depth of 6" plus one-half of the diameter of the utility pipe (except as noted below); backfill the remainder of the trench to the bottom of the pavement base course layer with controlled density fill or similar low permeability material; at a maximum interval of 500' along the utility alignment, eliminate the pipe bedding material and construct full trench profile concrete dams. (Illustrations of typical utility installation construction drawings consistent with option 3.b. are provided in Figure 1.)

Project-specific construction designs will be developed consistent with the standard specifications to meet the site-specific engineering requirements of the planned construction.



AR 052282

## Seattle Tacoma International Airport §401 Water Quality Certification #1996-4-02325 Condition F.1

# Plan to Monitor Potential Contaminant Transport to Soil and Ground Water via Subsurface Utility Lines

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

In accordance with the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Water Quality Certification (WQC) for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Public Notice 1996-4-02325, Condition F. 1, the Port of Seattle (Port) submits this plan to monitor for potential contaminant transport via subsurface utility lines (SULs) at the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA). A draft of this Subsurface Utility Line Monitoring Plan (SUL Monitoring Plan) is due to Ecology no later than September 30, 2001.

Ecology has requested this plan in response to concerns expressed by members of the public commenting on the proposed issuance of the Water Quality Certification. The commenter assert that the permeable backfill with which subsurface utilities are sometimes constructed may act as preferred pathways for migration of contaminated ground water to the Third Runway Embankment drain layer, and from the drain layer to area surface waters.

A related document, *Draft Technical Memorandum, Analysis of Preferential Ground Water Flow Paths Relative to Proposed Third Runway, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport,* prepared by Associated Earth Sciences, Inc. dated June 19, 2001 (AESI, 2001) provides the foundation and supporting data for the development of this SUL Monitoring Plan. The SUL Monitoring Plan presents a methodology to further evaluate the nature of SULs at appropriate contaminant d ground water sites and the potential that these SULs act as preferential contaminant transport pathways. The planned monitoring approach will, in a first phase, evaluate contaminated sites, associated ground water presence and flow properties, and the properties of constructed SULs. The evaluation will demonstrate the probabilities that contaminated sites could act as contaminant sources to SULs, and that SULs could act as migration pathways for those contaminants. The second phase of the monitoring program will be developed at the conclusion of the first phase evaluation. Under the second phase, the Port will develop and implement field monitoring activities that are demonstrated appropriate by results of the first phase. The second phase plan will be provided to Ecology for review and approval.

## 2. SITE EVALUATION

## a. Ground Water in Perched Zones and in the Qva Aquifer

The SUL Monitoring Plan will focus on the potential that select contaminated sites act as sources of contamination to SULs. The typical as-built construction depth of STIA SULs is between 5 to 10 feet below ground surface. The SUL Monitoring Plan will therefore concentrate on sites that contain impacted perched ground water that could enter SULs.

Sites that contain perched ground water provide the greatest probability for SUL transport of contamination. Perched ground water occurs in isolated, discontinuous zones. Perched zones are typically found within the range of about 10 – 35 feet below ground surface. Due to the shallow depth of perched zones, perched ground water has the greatest potential to intersect SULs and move along permeable backfill material

Transport along SUL backfill of contaminated ground water in the regional Qva aquifer is improbable for several reasons:

ì

- Ground water levels in the Qva aquifer at STIA are typically at a depth between 55 to 90 feet below ground surface, which is well below the depth of typical SULs.
- Impacted Qva ground water has been well documented and is contained within the AOMA; the maximum migration of impacted ground water is no greater than 550 feet in length from its contaminant source area.
- Ground water data generated from monitoring wells completed downgradient from known Qva impacted ground water sites are below Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) standards and, therefore, provide a defined plume boundary.

Therefore, monitoring for contaminant transport by SULs in the Qva aquifer is not planned.

#### b. SUL Monitoring Plan Site Selection

Locations with contaminated ground water that may have a reasonable potential for migration by SUL are defined by the following criteria:

- Site contains perched ground water;
- Perched ground water is impacted above MTCA standards;
- SULs intersect the site footprint.

Data indicate that five sites within the STIA principal aviation operations and maintenance area (AOMA) contain impacted perched ground water that has exceeded MTCA Method A or Method B clean up standards (AESI, 2001). Sites that are impacted by previous fuel releases and contain fuel related compounds in the perched ground water system elevated above MTCA standards include the United/Continental Fuel Farm, Pan Am Avgas Tanks, Northwest Airlines Bulk Fuel Farm, and the Delta Auto Gas Cluster. In addition two areas in the AOMA, the Northwest Airlines Former Hangar Tanks and Monitoring well AGC-5 at the Delta Autogas Cluster site, represent areas that contain solvent impacted perched ground water. Each of the five sites meets the criteria listed above and are proposed for further detailed evaluation regarding shallow contaminant transport mechanisms via SULs.

## 3. SUBSURFACE UTILITY LINE INFORMATION

As part of previous evaluations, SULs have been identified throughout STIA and compiled on a base map (AESI, 2001). SULs that have been identified include: existing and proposed fuel lines, electric lines, Industrial Waste System (IWS) lines, sewer lines, storm drains, water lines, and Satellite Transit System (STS) and Baggage Tunnels. A number of these SULs are constructed within the boundaries of impacted perched ground water of the five sites presented in Section 2. The following additional detail will be compiled from available documentation for SULs at each of the subject sites.

- a. <u>Utility line depth</u> Typical utility depth is 5 to 10 feet below ground surface, with a typical maximum depth of 20 feet below ground surface. Engineering drawings will be researched to identify the as-built construction depth of each SUL intersecting the subject sites.
- b. <u>Utility line backfill composition</u> Information on the type of backfill material used for infill of the SUL will be compiled, if available.
- c. <u>Utility line excavation slope</u> The elevation of the as-built SUL excavation will be researched and information compiled, if available.

d. <u>Construction Observations</u> - Records will be researched to determine if observations were recorded during construction activities regarding soil or ground water contamination, saturated soil conditions, soil type, SUL condition, etc. Observations of recent capital improvement construction projects (e.g., those associated with the South Terminal Expansion Project (STEP)) will provide useful information regarding observed subsurface conditions in the vicinity of historic contaminated sites and older SULs. Available information will be summarized for each subject site.

## 4. GEOLOGIC/GROUND WATER CONDITIONS

Existing data and field observations of the geologic and ground water conditions at each of the subject sites will be evaluated in detail in regards to its influence on potential contaminant migration pathways. Cross sections will be developed for each site to graphically depict the relationship of geologic and ground water conditions in relationship to SULs. The analysis will focus on the following elements:

- a. <u>Fill or Native Soil Types in Relation to Utility Line</u> The soil conditions surrounding SULs at each site will be evaluated. Interpretations will be developed based on surrounding soil borings and well logs regarding the nature of fill or native soil types. This information will be evaluated in relation to the as-built construction depth of the SULs.
- b. <u>Slope of Till or Impervious Surface</u> The slope of the glacial till surface or any identified impervious surface will be evaluated. The effect of the slope of the low permeability surface will be analyzed regarding its effect on the control of perched ground water flow directions.
- c. <u>Depth to Perched Ground Water</u> The depth to perched ground water will be compiled from shallow monitoring well water level data and observations made on associated environmental and soil boring logs. This data will be correlated to a common vertical datum to allow for the calculation of the elevation of the ground water surface.
- d. <u>Perched Ground Water Flow Direction</u> For each site evaluated, the perched ground water flow directions will be determined and a contour map showing the flow directions will be developed. Typical wet season and dry season perched ground water elevations will be used to determine any change in flow direction as a result of seasonal precipitation fluctuations.
- e. <u>Relationship of Perched Ground Water to Utility Line Excavation</u> The depth to perched ground water will be compared to the as-built excavation depth of various SULs intersecting subject sites. An evaluation will be made concerning the ability of the SULs to act as a potential contaminant transport pathway. Particular consideration will be made during the evaluation of the ability of the SULs to transport contaminant via perched ground water towards the proposed Third Runway Embankment project area.
- 5. Report

A report will be developed which presents the findings outlined in the SUL Monitoring Plan. The report will present graphical maps which show ground water and geological conditions in relation to SULs, tabulated information on select SULs, and an evaluation regarding the potential of the SULs to act as preferential pathways for contaminant transport. Conclusions will be developed and an appropriate scope of work and work plan for any appropriate follow-on monitoring will be developed for Ecology review and approval.