# Draft

# WETLANDS RE-EVALUATION DOCUMENT

# SEATTLE-TACOMA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT MASTER PLAN UPDATE IMPROVEMENTS

PREPARED BY: PORT OF SEATTLE

August 1999

1127

# WETLANDS RE-EVALUATION DOCUMENT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	I
	<ol> <li>Background and Need for Re-Evaluation</li></ol>	1 4
II.	PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED WETLAND IMPACTS	6
	<ol> <li>Previously Identified Impacts to Wetlands</li> <li>Original JARPA Mitigation Program</li> <li>Relocation of Miller Creek</li> </ol>	7 9 12
III.	REFINED IDENTIFICATION OF AFFECTED WETLANDS AND STREAMS	14
	<ol> <li>Wetland Identification Process</li></ol>	14 15 26 28
IV.	REFINED WETLAND IMPACT ANALYSIS	30
	Permanent Impacts     Temporary Construction Impacts     Indirect Impacts     Cumulative Impacts     Impact Avoidance and Mitigation	32 38 42 44 44
v.	HYDROLOGY AND SEISMIC STABILITY	47
	<ol> <li>Mechanically Stabilized Earth</li></ol>	47 47 49 51
VI.	MITIGATION PROGRAM	53
	<ol> <li>On-Site (In-Basin) Mitigation</li></ol>	53 59
VII.	CONCLUSION	66

i

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

•

08/19/99 50111601.03

.

#### Chapter I

# INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This Wetlands Re-evaluation Document addresses new information on wetlands and other aquatic resources that would be affected by the planned new runway and other improvements at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. This information was not available during preparation of the 1996 Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions (1996 FEIS) and the 1997 Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Master Plan Update Development Actions (1997 FSEIS). This document was prepared by the Port of Seattle (Port) to report the Port's assessment of the new information on affected wetlands and determination that the existing environmental analyses under the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) remain adequate. As a result of this re-evaluation of wetland impacts, the Port, as lead agency under SEPA, has determined that no additional environmental analysis is required. This conclusion was based on the Port's findings that the newly discovered areas of adverse wetland impact either were not environmentally significant, in light of project changes and mitigation measures, or were adequately covered by the analyses of wetland impacts in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS.

Chapter 1 of the report contains an introduction and summary. Chapter 2 summarizes identification of affected wetlands in the 1996 FEIS, the 1997 FSEIS, and the 1996 Joint Aquatics Resources Project Application (JARPA). Chapter 3 contains the refined identification of affected wetlands based on new information. Chapter 4 presents a refined wetland impact analysis and recent changes to the project to minimize wetland impacts. Chapter 5 focuses on the hydrologic and seismic impacts of the runway embankment and retaining walls. Chapter 6 describes and explains the planned wetland mitigation measures, on-site and off-site.

#### 1. Background and Need for Re-Evaluation

In the late 1980's, the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) and the Port jointly initiated a regional study and decision-making process, known as the Flight Plan Project, to address the growing demand for air travel and impending shortfall in commercial transportation airport capacity in the Puget Sound region. In October 1992, the PSRC and the Port issued a Final Environmental Impact Statement (Flight Plan EIS) for the Flight Plan Project. This EIS was a non-project, programmatic EIS that comparatively analyzed the potential environmental impacts of a wide range of alternative strategies for addressing impending severe constraints on air travel capacity in this region.

The culmination of the Flight Plan Project, after nearly a decade of study, was a regional decision to pursue a new air carrier runway at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA or Airport), among other strategies. The Port (as operator of STIA), in cooperation with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), then initiated a planning process to develop and environmentally analyze a Master Plan Update for the Airport. In February 1996, the FAA and the Port issued the <u>Final</u>

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport108/18/99Wetlands Re-evaluation Document50111601.03

Environmental Impact Statement for Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions (FEIS). The FEIS was a project-level, site-specific EIS that examined the potential environmental impacts of the planned development actions. Shortly thereafter, following review of new information regarding aviation forecasts, the FAA and the Port decided to prepare a supplemental EIS. Accordingly, in May 1997, the FAA and the Port issued the Final Supplemental EIS for the Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (FSEIS). The 1996 Master Plan Update FEIS and 1997 FSEIS were prepared in accordance with the requirements of NEPA (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.) and SEPA (Ch. 43.21C RCW).

In 1997, following the issuance of the FSEIS, the Port approved the Master Plan Update, and the FAA issued a Record of Decision authorizing development of the new runway and other improvements at STIA. The Port then initiated the process of acquiring the property necessary for the development of the Third Runway and other development actions, estimated in the Final EIS to be approximately 388 single family houses, 260 condominiums and apartments, and 105 businesses.

Prior to gaining access to the properties, the Port estimated the location and areas of wetlands and other waters to be affected by the development of the new runway and other Master Plan Update actions. These estimates were made by studying aerial photographs, National Wetland Inventory maps, and local government sensitive area maps, and by making observations from public rights-of-way. However, as documented in the FEIS and FSEIS, lack of access precluded on-the-ground wetland delineations in the acquisition area. The Port, as it acquired properties and conducted on-the-ground wetland delineations, discovered that the quantity of wetlands in the acquisition area potentially affected by the proposed airport improvements was greater than previously estimated. This new information on affected wetlands and project changes since the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS were issued is described in detail below.

The Port has assessed the new information on affected wetlands under the very similar and functionally equivalent standards of SEPA and NEPA governing when supplementation of an FEIS for an ongoing proposal is required.

The Washington SEPA Rules require a supplemental EIS if there are:

- substantial changes so that the proposal is likely to have significant adverse • environmental impacts [not considered in the previous EIS]; or
- new information indicating a proposal's probable significant adverse environmental impacts.1

2

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

50111601.03

08/18/99

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> WAC 197-11-600(3)(b) and (4)(d).

The Washington courts have deferred to agency application of these criteria, rarely overturning an agency determination that EIS supplementation is or is not required.<sup>2</sup> In all but one of the Washington cases, the courts have upheld agency decisions that supplementation was not necessary.<sup>3</sup> In one case, an agency determination requiring EIS supplementation was upheld.<sup>4</sup> In another case, where the court held that sweeping revisions of a comprehensive plan were required, appropriate revision of the nonproject EIS on the plan also was required.<sup>5</sup>

In the leading case, <u>Barrie v. Boundary Review Board</u>,<sup>6</sup> the court, in holding that a supplemental EIS was not required, recognized the functional equivalence of NEPA and SEPA standards for supplementation, and relied on a federal NEPA case that stressed the importance of finality:

Any project, although it may undergo no "change" during its evolution, will, undoubtedly, generate "information" as it progresses. This new regulatory provision must be considered contemporaneously with NERA's mandate as enforced through the EIS record. This means that in order for "new circumstances or information" to attain the status of "significant" these must reach that level where, reasonably, it becomes necessary to focus attention once more upon the environmental aspects of a project. That is, a "hard look" must again be taken in the light of the "new circumstances or information." An otherwise unguarded reading of this subpart could unleash a procedural plague repeatedly impairing worthwhile projects even though there might be environmental data sufficient for the "hard look." (Citation omitted.)<sup>7</sup>

The federal Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations interpreting NEPA require a supplemental EIS when:

• the agency makes substantial changes in the proposed action that are relevant to environmental concerns; or

6 Supra note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See RICHARD L. SETTLE, THE WASHINGTON STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, A Legal and Policy Analysis § 14(a)(iii) at note 151a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>Citizens for Clean Air v. Spokane, 114 Wn.2d 20, 785 P.2d 447 (1990); Nisqually Delta Association v. DePont, 103 Wn.2d 720, 696 P.2d 1222 1985); Barrie v. Boundary Review Board, 97 Wn.2d 232, 643 P.2d 433 (1982); West 514 v. Spokane County, 53 Wn. App. 838, 770 P.2d 1065 (1989); SEAPC v. Cammack II Orchards, 49 Wn. App. 133, 920 P.2d 1207 (1996).</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Keiwit Constr. Group v. Clark County, 83 Wn. App. 133, 920 P.2d 1207 (1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Diehl v. Mason County, 94 Wn. App. 645, 972 P.2d 543 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Barrie, supra. note 3, 97 Wn.2d at 235-36 (quoting <u>National Indian Youth Council v. Andrus</u>, 501 F. Supp. 649, 663-64 (D.N.M. 1980).

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport 3 08/18/99 Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 50111601.03

• there are significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impacts.<sup>8</sup>

In Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resource Council, 490 U.S. 360 (1989), the U.S. Supreme Court rejected a challenge to a Corps of Engineers' decision not to prepare a supplemental EIS. In so doing, the Court interpreted NEPA's supplementation standards on the basis of the "rule of reason:"

... an agency should apply a "rule of reason" .... [A]n agency need not supplement an EIS every time new information comes to light after the EIS is finalized. To require otherwise would render agency decisionmaking intractable, always awaiting updated information only to find the updated information outdated by the time a decision is made. On the other hand ... NEPA does require that agencies take a "hard look" at the environmental effects of their planned action, even after a proposal has received initial approval. ... Application of the "rule of reason" thus turns on the value of the new information to the still pending decisionmaking process. In this respect the decision whether to prepare a supplemental EIS is similar to the decision whether to prepare an EIS in the first instance: If ... the new information is sufficient to show that the remaining action will "affec[t] the quality of the human environment" in a significant manner or to a significant extent not already considered, a supplemental EIS must be prepared.<sup>9</sup>

The purpose of this re-evaluation document is to take a "hard look" at the potential environmental consequences of the Master Plan Update development actions in light of new information on wetland impacts and several project changes. Based on this analysis, the Port has concluded that another supplemental EIS is not required. The greater area of affected wetlands and minor project changes will not result in unmitigated significant adverse environmental impacts that are substantially different from the impacts considered in the previous FEIS and FSEIS. The additional wetlands to be filled and several project changes, viewed in light of the Port's mitigation obligations and commitments, will not affect the quality of the human environment in a significant manner or to a significant extent not already adequately addressed in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS.

#### 2. Summary of New Information on Affected Wetlands

The analysis of wetland impacts in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS was based on wetland delineations that have been revised recently as the Port has acquired, and gained access to, approximately 390 parcels of land where Master Plan Update improvements will be located. Such properties could not be acquired until the Port had decided to construct and the FAA had approved the Master Plan Update improvements, including a new all-weather runway. The Port and FAA could not act on the proposed airport improvements until an EIS had been prepared and considered, in compliance with SEPA and NEPA. In preparing the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS, the Port and FAA sought permission to enter land not owned by the Port. But most landowners denied the right to enter. As a result, the identification of the nature and extent of affected

<sup>9</sup> 490 U.S. 373 – 374.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 4



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 40 C.F.R. §1502.9(c)(1). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regulations implementing NEPA adopt the CEQ standard for determining whether to prepare a supplemental EIS. 33 C.F.R. § 230.13(b).

wetlands on these parcels could not be determined by on-the-ground inspection. Instead, the identification and delineation of wetlands on such parcels was done by means of aerial photography, topographical maps, and visual observation from adjacent public rights-of-way and Port-owned land. Through these means, the FSEIS identified a total of 12.33 acres of wetlands that would be affected by Master Plan Update improvements. Of this total, 7.38 acres were identified as affected by the Runway (including embankment and borrow sources), 2.34 acres by the Runway Safety Areas, and 2.51 acres by terminal and landside improvements.

Upon completion of the EIS process, the Port decided to proceed with the Airport improvements and received the approval of the FAA. The Port then initiated acquisition of property. As land was acquired and on-the-ground wetland studies were conducted, the Port found that the Third Runway project would affect more wetlands than previously identified in the 1997 FSEIS. Based on the refined identification of wetlands in the study area, a revised impact analysis was prepared. Under the revised wetland impact analysis, the wetland acreage affected by the project had increased from 12.23 acres to 18.28 acres. Of this revised total, 15.39 acres would be affected by the runway (including embankment and borrow sources), .14 acre by the Runway Safety Areas and 2.73 acres by South Aviation Support Area (SASA) improvements. The refined analysis also identified 2.17 acres of wetlands that would be temporarily affected by construction activities and 16.43 acres of wetlands that would be modified, primarily beneficially, as a result of wetland mitigation measures. Because the value of wetlands is determined more by their environmental function than their acreage, the revised wetland impact analysis contained in this report focuses on impacts to wetland functions rather than simply the affected acreage.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 5

#### **Chapter II**

# PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED WETLAND IMPACTS

In 1996, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), as lead NEPA agency, and Port of Seattle (Port), as lead SEPA agency, issued the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Master Plan Update Development at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. Prior to issuance of the Record of Decision, the FAA revised its forecast of aviation demand at Sea-Tac. As a result of the revised aviation forecasts, the FAA prepared a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement to assess the consequences of accelerating the development of terminal and landside improvements and delaying completion of the Third Runway until 2004. In May 1997, the FAA issued the Final Supplemental EIS (FSEIS) and, in July 1997, the Record of Decision.

In December 1996, the Port submitted an application to the Army Corps of Engineers for a permit to fill wetlands for the Master Plan Update improvements in compliance with the Clean Water Act, § 404. The § 404 permit application was submitted as part of a Joint Aquatic Resources Project Application (JARPA) and was accompanied by a report entitled "JARPA Application for Proposed Improvements at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport" dated December 1996. These documents are hereby incorporated by reference.

The purpose of this chapter is to summarize the analysis of wetland impacts contained in the 1996 Final EIS, JARPA, and the 1997 Final Supplemental EIS.

As shown in **Table 2-1**, the 1996 FEIS identified about 10.4 acres of wetlands that would be filled in order to complete the Master Plan Update improvements. Prior to issuance of the Final SEIS, the Port refined its evaluation of the projects affecting wetlands, documented its review of in-basin mitigation options, and further defined plans for development of an off-site wetland mitigation site in Auburn. As a result, the 1997 FSEIS identified 12.23 acres of wetlands that would be filled.

6

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

#### TABLE 2-1

# Prior Studies – Wetland Impacts (acres)

Project Element	Final SEIS	Final EIS
Runway impacts Embankment Borrow Source impacts Runway Safety Areas 16L/R	5.46 1.92 2.34	5.48 2.38 Included above 0.00
Runway 34R Extension	0.00	0.00
N. Employee Parking lot Development in SASA	0.81 <u>1.70</u> 12 23	0.81 <u>1.70</u> 10.40
Total	12.23	.0.40

Source: Final Supplemental EIS for the Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, FAA, May 1997.

The following sections summarize the wetland impact analysis contained in these previous environmental documents.

# 1. Previously Identified Impacts to Wetlands

The 1996 Final EIS (Chapter IV, Section 16) stated:

Approximately 40 percent of the detailed study area is occupied by Sea-Tac Airport and is characterized by frequently mowed grassland bisected by service roads and taxiways. This area provides little wildlife habitat value. Wildlife habitat surrounding the airfield consists of fragmented habitat, which is composed of forest, shrub, and grassland with scattered wetlands. These areas are subject to a variety of airport-related disturbances as well as increasing residential, commercial, and industrial development. Each of the "With Project" alternatives would remove approximately the same amounts of vegetation (about 712 acres total). Of that total, the majority is managed grassland (about 303 acres), which provides little wildlife habitat value. In addition, about 269 acres of forest, 78 acres of shrub, 52 acres of unmanaged grassland, and 10 acres of wetlands would be removed under each "With Project" alternative. (Italics added)

About 3,700 feet of Miller Creek and its tributaries would require realignment and relocation to complete the runway. About 200 feet of Des Moines Creek would require relocation due to the 600 ft extension of Runway 34R. About 2,200 feet of open channel on Des Moines Creek would require relocation due to the South Aviation Support Area. The 200-foot section of Des Moines Creek that would be affected by the extension of Runway 34R is within the area that would be realigned as mitigation for SASA. Proposed mitigation would reduce potential impacts on the hydrology, water quality, and aquatic habitat and biota of Miller and Des Moines Creeks and Puget Sound.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 7

Implementation of the improvements was identified as impacting all or portions of 36 wetlands. The total area of wetland impact was identified in the Final Supplemental EIS at 12.23 acres. Most impacts would occur during the first phase of implementation (then planned to occur before year 2000). Wetland mitigation would compensate for all anticipated wetland impacts attributed to full implementation of the Master Plan Update improvements.

#### The 1997 Final SEIS stated:

"Due to similarities in vegetation, many of the affected wetlands serve similar physical and biological functions and have been grouped for ecological assessment. Wetlands within the impact area occur in the Des Moines Creek and Miller Creek drainage basins, where natural habitats (including wetlands) are fragmented by urban development. In addition to substantial fragmentation of habitat, the small size of most impacted wetlands suggests that they function independently rather than as a natural ecological system.

According to the Washington State Natural Heritage Program information system and field studies, no rare plants, high-quality native wetlands, or high-quality native plant communities occur in the study area. Nineteen vegetation communities were identified in the proposed Master Plan Update study area, including nine (9) wetland and ten (10) upland vegetation communities. The wetland vegetation communities include forested wetland, shrub wetland, and emergent wetland."

In the 1997 Final SEIS, the functions and values of the wetlands to be affected were identified.

"Impacts associated with the Master Plan Update improvements are to small (<0.5 acre) wetlands that are isolated from other significant aquatic or semi-aquatic habitat, and occur in a landscape fragmented by streets, commercial, residential, or airport development. Therefore, for most functions, the wetlands were not considered to provide high function. Emergent wetlands (some with associated shrub habitat) were rated low for the following functions: export of production; baseflow support; and control of floodflow. Forested wetlands (some with associated shrub habitat) received a low functional value for export of production and stormwater runoff storage functions.

The wildlife habitat functions are generally significant to the local vicinity (rather than to a larger landscape or watershed) because urban development isolates the area for many species of wildlife, and the size of many of the wetlands are smaller than the habitat requirements of many mammal and bird species. The biological functions of wetlands are further limited by the lack of permanent open water, the short duration of seasonal ponding or soil saturation, and the high occurrence of non-native plant species in some emergent wetlands. The wildlife habitat value increases where trees and/or shrubs are adjacent to the grass-dominated emergent areas."

Hydrologic functions (such as floodflow storage, groundwater discharge, and storm water detention) are potentially important at the watershed level, because, when present, they may affect hydrologic and habitat conditions in off-site locations, especially fish habitat in Miller and Des Moines Creeks. Forested wetlands, on groundwater seeps adjacent to Miller and Des Moines Creeks, help to support the baseflow of the creeks by providing seasonal or perennial sources of water. Some of the forested wetlands associated with the creeks temporarily store floodwaters, which alleviates the severity of downstream flooding, and streambank erosion. Other wetlands help reduce peak flows by collecting and storing storm runoff, reducing the rate and volume of water that reaches the stream systems during storms. The on-site wetlands have a limited ability to provide these functions, largely due to their small size, the lack of direct connections to the creeks, or topographic conditions that limit seasonal detention of stormwater.

The groundwater recharge function of wetlands appears to be limited throughout much of the site. Many wetlands occur on compact till soils (Alderwood Series) above the Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek ravines. The wetlands have formed in shallow depressions where a perched water table has developed on low

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

08/18/99 50111601.03

permeability till. Due to the low permeability of the till layer, it is unlikely these wetlands contribute significantly to recharge of groundwater."

The 1996 Final EIS and 1997 Final SEIS, along with the JARPA all acknowledged that until the Port obtained ownership or access to the land on which the embankment would be built, the precise quantity of wetlands that would be affected could not be finalized. For example Page 5-5-2 of the Final Supplemental EIS states: "The quantity of wetland to be filled is based on the best information available at this time. The Port and FAA do not have access to all property to be acquired for construction of the Third Runway. It is possible that some additional wetland areas could be identified when access is available to all property in the acquisition area."

# 2. Original JARPA Mitigation Program

In the JARPA and accompanying report, the Port proposed a mitigation program designed to add more wetland functions and values than would be lost as a result of the planned new runway and other Airport improvements. It was not possible to provide all such mitigation "onsite," that is, within the watershed where the affected wetlands were located, for three reasons:

- "Wildlife attractions" within 10,000 ft of the edge of any active runway are not recommended; and wildlife control activities in wetlands near the airport would conflict with wetland habitat mitigation goals.
- Land in the watersheds that is greater than 10,000 feet from the runways is unsuitable for mitigation because of steep topography, lack of water, or presence of forest vegetation (which agencies discourage removing for wetland mitigation).
- Beyond 10,000 feet from the runways, most of the area surrounding the Airport is developed, and not enough available land exists in the watershed to create compensatory mitigation wetlands without relocation of additional business and residences;

Under the mitigation program, the Port would mitigate all impacts to the <u>hydrologic</u> functions of affected wetlands at the Airport within the watershed of the affected wetlands. However, it was not possible to mitigate impacts on wetland <u>habitat</u> functions at or near the Airport because wetland features located within 10,000 feet of any runway that would attract wildlife, particularly birds, may jeopardize aircraft safety. The Port cannot commit to maintaining in perpetuity wetland habitat features that may cause aircraft safety hazards. If such dangers materialized, the Port would be compelled to remove the hazardous feature, including flora and fauna.

The off-site mitigation necessitated by potential wildlife attraction hazards would be provided on a 69-acre parcel located within the City of Auburn immediately west of the Green River. The undeveloped parcel has been farmed in the recent past and currently supports a mix of upland pasture grasses and forbs that are common to abandoned

agricultural land in the Puget Sound basin. Approximately 4.3 acres of emergent wetland was delineated during previous site investigations and is included in the 47-acre portion of the site proposed for mitigation (only 0.27 acres of these wetlands would be affected by the mitigation). The wetland mitigation would be located a minimum of 200 ft west of the ordinary high water mark of the adjacent Green River.

The overall wetland mitigation goal on the Auburn site is to compensate for unavoidable wetland impacts by in-kind replacement of habitat. This would be accomplished by creating a diverse replacement habitat with a net gain in functional value and acreage. Specifically, this offsite mitigation of lost wetland habitat functions would attain the following goals:

- 1 Create about 21 acres of palustrine forested, scrub/shrub, and emergent wetland at an average replacement ratio of 1.5:1;
- 2 Consolidate impacts of many lower functioning wetlands into one large wetland ecosystem on a single site with long-term protection. Maximize habitat value of the new wetland by providing habitat connections or corridors to other significant habitat areas;
- 3 Provide in-kind wildlife habitat replacement while maximizing public safety and minimizing wildlife hazards to aircraft; and
- 4 Mitigate all adverse impacts on hydrologic functions (water quality, flood storage, and stormwater storage) within the Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek watersheds, with an overall replacement ratio of at least 1:1.

Table 2-2 lists the goals of the mitigation site. The off-site wetland mitigation site is designed to provide in-kind replacement of wetland habitat functions affected by the improvements. Although not related to impacts of the Master Plan Update improvements, additional Green River floodplain storage capacity would be created as part of the design process.

In 1998, the Port completed a SEPA checklist, and a Determination of Non-Significance for the construction of the wetland mitigation site in Auburn.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 10

#### TABLE 2-2

# Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetland Re-Evaluation Document

# SUMMARY OF WETLAND IMPACTS AND COMPENSATORY DESIGN OBJECTIVES

	Compensatory Design	Potential Acreage	Compensation Ratio
. <b>.</b> .	Objectives	Provided	
Project Impact	Provide in kind penlacement		
Fill of 7.34 acres of forested	of forested wetland	14.68 acres of forested	2.0:1
wetland and loss of	verention cover and increase	wetland	
associated wildlife habital.	overall wildlife habitat value.		
	Browide in-kind replacement		
Fill of 2.01 acre of shrub	of shub wetland vegetation	2.01 acres of shrub	1.0:1
wetland and loss of	cover and increase overall	wetland	
associated wildlife habital.	wildlife habitat value		
60.00 f	Drouide in-kind replacement		
Fill of 2.88 acres of emergent	of emergent wetland	4.32 acres of emergent	1.5:1
wetland and loss of	of emergent weather	wetland	
associated wildlife habitat.	wildlife hebitat value		
	On site replacement of		NA
Loss of water quality	Oll-Sile replacement of	Best Management	
functions.	would be included in the	Practices for stormwater	
	engineering design of the	mulity would be	
	Master Dan Lindate	followed.	
	improvements. The design	101101101	
	feetings would include 3		
	celled wemonds (with a		
	maximum 48-hour		
	detention) wet vaults		
	biogurales and detention as		
	proswares, and determine, as		
	all DMDs		
	A dditional mitigation to	Approximately 30 to 60	NA
	Autilional mitigation to	acre-ft of flood storage	
	conscitu in the Green River	canacity	
	dminage basin	capacity.	
I of down day method	In kind replacement for		NA
Loss of degraded weughd	unland buffer impacts and	Approximately 3 acres	
Dutters.	additional mitigation for	of forested upland	
	wildlife using both wetland	buffer.	
	and non-wetland habitats	v === v = .	
	and non-wenand nativals.		

<sup>1</sup> Acreages of mitigation and compensation ratios are identified as potential since verification of wetland impacts is in process and because ratios would be subject to negotiation.

NA = Not applicable.

Source: Parametrix, December 1996.As reported in the 1997 Final Supplemental EIS.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

11

## 3. Relocation of Miller Creek

The new runway embankment would directly affect three areas in the Miller Creek watershed. The Miller Creek basin encompasses about 8 square miles and includes a small portion of the Airport, as well as parts of the cities of SeaTac and Burien. The Airport covers an estimated 5 percent of the entire basin. The Miller Creek watershed consists of drainage channels that originate at Arbor, Burien, and Tub lakes; surface water and seep drainages from the north end of Sea-Tac Airport; and overflows from the Miller Creek Stormwater Detention Facility and Lora Lake. The creek generally flows south and southwest toward Puget Sound. The areas of this basin that would be affected include:

- Area 1: approximately 980 feet of Miller Creek. The affected portions extend approximately 1,000 feet south of Lora Lake.
- Area 2: Class III drainage channels totaling 2,080 feet, that originate as seeps in the Airport Operations Area (AOA) then flow west to Miller Creek.
- Area 3: 200 feet of the Class III headwaters of Walker Creek. These waters, which originate from seepage and storm water runoff at the corner of 12th Avenue South and South 176th Street, flow northwest to SR 509.

The primary mitigation goal is to replace lost values and functions of the three portions of Miller Creek and its associated drainage channels that would be affected by the airport improvements.

The original mitigation plan was designed to ensure that present beneficial uses of Miller Creek will not be reduced and that other beneficial uses will be added or enhanced. Beneficial use criteria provide design standards and require consistency with the overall mitigation plan. The following impact compensation goals were to be attained by the original mitigation program.

#### Miller Creek Goals

- Goal 1: The creek would continue to provide base flow conveyance.
- Goal 2: The new Miller Creek channel would provide improved fish habitat.
- Goal 3: The mitigation would accommodate peak flows up to the 100-year flow; no net reduction of 100-year floodplain storage or floodway conveyance.
- Goal 4: Minimum flow velocity should minimize fine sediment deposition.
- Goal 5: The channel would replace or increase riparian habitat.
- Goal 6: The channel cannot include expansive, long-standing water pools or wetlands that could potentially attract wildlife.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 12

08/18/99 50111601.03

Goal 7: The proposed Miller Creek corridor should accommodate passive recreational uses. such as walking trails

#### Drainage Channel Goals

- Goal 1: The mitigation drainage channel would continue to provide adequate flow conveyance.
- Goal 2: The mitigation drainage channel would collect seepage to maintain base flows.
- Goal 3: The new drainage channel would provide an open channel of equivalent length as the existing drainage channels.

The creek relocation site was chosen because it is relatively close to the edge of the third parallel runway embankment, and therefore, requires the shortest stream relocation length. Also, extremely flat site conditions dictate that the proposed channel be as short as possible to provide the maximum possible channel slope. The proposed realigned creek would be located as close to the base of the fill slope of the Third Runway as possible. The downstream end of the channel would connect with the existing Miller Creek channel at the closest possible point to minimize stream relocation impacts. The channel edge would be a minimum of 25 feet from the base of the slope, to accommodate a riparian buffer. However, because of the limited space between Lora Lake and the embankment, narrower buffers might be required in this area. To compensate for the restrictive high flow area, flows in excess of channel capacity will be diverted from the main channel of Miller Creek into Lora Lake and then reintroduced at the lake outlet channel.

The drainage channel mitigation site was selected as the only appropriate option for recreating the equivalent drainage length for the filled drainage channels. The existing channels could not be left undisturbed or reconstructed on the fill slope because of fill stability requirements.

Approximately 9,630 cubic yards of floodplain storage would be lost in the fill area due to the Master Plan Update improvements. Approximately 10,000 cubic yards of floodplain storage and floodway conveyance would be created, not including storage for the proposed stream channel.

\* \* \*

Potential environmental impacts of relocating Miller Creek and its tributaries were discussed in an attachment to the JARPA 404 permit application titled "Miller Creek Relocation Plan for Proposed Master Plan Update Improvements at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport" dated December 1996. This document, which included a detailed mitigation plan, was submitted as part of the § 404 permit for the wetland mitigation site and Miller Creek relocation.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

#### Chapter III

# REFINED IDENTIFICATION OF AFFECTED WETLANDS AND STREAMS

Since the completion of the 1997 Final Supplemental EIS (FSEIS), the Port of Seattle has begun to initiate development of some of the Master Plan Update improvements, including the Third Runway. One of the first steps in development of the Third Runway was acquisition of parcels on which the embankment supporting the new runway will be placed. As acknowledged in both the 1996 Final EIS (FEIS) and 1997 FSEIS, identification of wetland impacts could not be determined with precision until completion of a formal wetland delineation and survey of property not then owned by the Port. As such property subsequently was acquired, and more precise on-the-ground delineation was conducted, the Port identified additional wetlands or wetland area that would be affected by the Airport improvements. In response to this new information, the Port conducted a study, re-evaluating wetland impacts, that is reported in this Wetland Re-Evaluation Document. This chapter summarizes the new information on the nature and extent of the wetlands that would be affected by Airport improvements. **Table 3-1** compares the affected wetlands as presently identified with the affected wetlands identified in the 1997 FSEIS.

#### 1. Wetland Identification Process

As is noted in the following description, the primary differences between the wetlands presently identified and those identified in the Final EIS/Final Supplemental EIS relate to access to property for purposes of identifying and delineating wetlands.

# (A) Wetland Identification in 1996 Final EIS and 1997 Final Supplemental EIS

As is noted in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS, the development of the Third Runway embankment necessitated the Port's acquisition of about 390 parcels of land located directly west of the existing airfield. To avoid public perception of prejudicing the outcome of the environmental review, the Port did not begin acquisition of these properties until after receipt of the FAA Record of Decision approving the proposed Airport improvements. As a consequence, access to the parcels for the purpose of surveying the conditions and delineating wetlands could not be conducted without permission from the property owners. During preparation of the 1996 Final EIS, letters were sent to such land owners seeking access for the purpose of identifying resources, including wetlands. Right-of-entry was not granted by nearly all of the property owners. As a result, no direct access was available at the time of the Final EIS/Final Supplemental EIS to nearly all of the potentially affected parcels. Therefore, the delineation of wetlands was based on interpretation of aerial photography, topographic maps, and visual inspection from public rights-of-way or other parcels owned by the Port.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 14

# (B) Refined Wetland Identification After Property Acquisition

In July 1997, the FAA issued the Record of Decision, and the Port initiated the acquisition process immediately thereafter. By mid 1998, the Port had gained possession of about 30 properties and had initiated a wetland delineation and survey process for these parcels. At that time, it became apparent that more or larger wetlands were present. The Port then initiated an accelerated program of gaining access agreements to the remaining parcels that were to be acquired. On-the-ground delineation of wetlands on these parcels was then conducted.

Field investigations for wetlands were completed for properties not previously accessible between March 1998 and February 1999. During these site visits, properties were inspected for wetland characteristics and other related drainage features. Project staff identified and delineated wetlands in the study area using the Routine Determination Method outlined in the Washington State Wetland Identification and Delineation Manual and the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Throughout this document, the refined analysis reflects the delineations completed after access to most of the acquisition area had been obtained.

The U.S Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) has verified the wetland delineations on all properties within the impact area that are either currently owned by the Port, or to which the Port has been granted access. Note that wetland delineations have not been conducted on two parcels, comprising about 3.5 acres, where access has not been granted (parcels 305, and 177). See Tables 3-1 and 3-2. To estimate probable wetland impacts on these parcels, wetland identification was conducted by visual inspection from adjacent properties, review of topography, and review of aerial photography. Wetlands on parcel 177 have been delineated but not surveyed, because access to the site was revoked following identification of wetlands on the parcel. Observations from off-site locations, and other information indicate low probability of wetland occurrence on Parcel 305. The wetland impact analysis assumes the existence of approximately one additional acre of affected wetlands to account for these uncertainties and ensure that wetlands are not underestimated in this re-evaluation.

### 2. Wetlands in the Study Area – Comparison of Original Identification of Affected Wetlands With Refined Identification of Affected Wetlands

The 1997 FSEIS delineated 55 wetlands in the Airport study area totaling about 140 acres and ranging in size from 0.02 acres to 30.3 acres. The refined delineation included more than ninety wetlands, ranging in size from 0.01 to 35.32 acres. Wetlands comprise a total of about 170 acres in the airport vicinity and include palustrine forested, scrub-shrub, emergent, and open-water wetland habitat.

Table 3-1 lists the wetlands identified in the Airport study area. During the refined delineation, the majority of new wetlands identified were small wetlands occuring on undeveloped portions of residential property. Wetlands 1 through 55 were identified during the earlier study. Fifty-

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport	15
Wetlands Re-evaluation Document	

five additional wetlands were identified by the refined study, ranging is size from 0.01 acres to 4.33 acres – the average being 0.22 acres. Ten of the wetlands identified were farmed wetlands. Eleven (11) of the already identified wetlands were found to be smaller than originally estimated, while twelve wetlands were found to be larger. Three wetlands dominate the increase in acreage in the refined delineation wetlands (wetlands 18, 28, and 37). Other Waters of the U.S. within the study area include Miller and Des Moines Creeks, as well as several drainage channels that convey natural runoff to these creeks. While many of the wetlands are small, degraded by past and ongoing human disturbance, and isolated from significant habitat, they provide some ecological functions that will be replaced through mitigation.

Exhibits 3-1 and 3-2 show the location of each wetland listed in the table.

#### TABLE 3-1

#### Comparison of Wetlands In Study Area (Acres)

		Size of Wetland (Acres)		Project Fill	
			Original		Original
Wetland	Classifications	Refined	FSEIS	Refined	FSEIS
<u></u>	Other Waters of U.S.	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	Forested	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.07
2	Forested	0.73	0.74	0.00	0.74
3	Forested	0.56	0.56	0.00	0.19
4	Forested	5.00	5.02	0.00	0.46
5	Forested/Scrub-Shrub	4.63	4.58	0.14	1.69
6	Scrub-Shrub	0.86	0.87	0.00	0.00
7	Forested/Open Water/Emergent	6.68	6.70	0.00	0.00
8	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent	4.95	4.95	0.00	0.00
9	Forested/ Emergent (40/60)	2.83	2.85	0.03	0.13
10	Scrub-Shrub	0.31	0.31	0.00	0.00
11	Forested/Emergent (80/20)	0.50	0.50	0.34	0.47
12	Forested/Emergent (20/80)	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
13	Emergent	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
14	Forested	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
15	Emergent	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28
16	Emergent	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06
17	Emergent	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03
18	Forested/Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (50/20/30)	3.56	0.12	2.60	0.12

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 16

08/18/99 50111601.03

		Size of Wetland (Acres)		Project Fill	
		<u> </u>	Original		Original
Watiand	Classifications	Refined	FSEIS	Refined	FSEIS
10	Eorested	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.57
20	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (90/10)	0.57	0.06	0.57	0.06
20	Forested	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
27	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (10/90)	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
23	Emergent	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.78
24	Emergent	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
25	Forested	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
26	Emergent	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00
28	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent/Open Water (65/15/20)	35.32	18.10	0.07	0.06
29	Forested	0.74	0.74	0.00	0.74
30	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (80/20)	0.88	0.50	0.00	0.50
31	Emergent	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00
32	Emergent	0.09	0.05	0.00	0.05
33	Forested/Shrub- Scrub/Emergent/Open Water	17.60	17.60	0.00	0.00
34	Open Water	1.40	1.40	0.00	0.00
35	Forested/Emergent (40/60)	0.67	0.21	0.67	0.18
36	Forested/Emergent	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.00
37	Forested/Emergent (70/30)	5.74	2.41	4.08	1.68
38	Emergent/Shrub Scrub 3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39	Forested	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00
40	Scrub-Shrub	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.09
41a	Emergent/Open Water	0.35	NA	0.35	NA
41b	Emergent	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08
43	Forested/Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (estimated -50/30/20)	30.30	30.30	0.00	0.00
<b>4</b> 4	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (70/30)	3.04	0.70	0.26	0.00
45	Emergent	5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
46	Open Water	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00
47	Open Water	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.00
48	Forested/Emergent (20/80)	0.46	0.02	0.14	0.00
49 1	Emergent	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03
<del>5</del> 0 1	Shrub-Scrub	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.12
51	Forested	16.00	2.41	0.00	0.48

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 17

		Size of Wet	land (Acres)	Proje	ct Fill
			Original		Original
Wetland	Classifications	Refined	FSEIS	Refined	FSEIS
52	Forested/Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (80/20/20)	4.90	1.00	0.54	1.00
53	Forested	0.60	0.60	0.55	0.60
54	Shrub-Scrub/Open Water	25.70	25.70	0.00	0.00
55 1	Shrub-Scrub	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04
A 1	Forested/Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (15/15/70)	4.51	NA	0.59	NA
A 2	Scrub-Shrub	0.05	NA	0.00	NA
A 3	Scrub-Shrub	0.01	NA	0.00	NA
A 4	Scrub-Shrub	0.03	NA	0.00	NA
A 5	Emergent	0.03	NA	0.03	NA
A 6	Forested	0.27	NA	0.27	NA
Α7	Forested	0.30	NA	0.30	NA
A 8	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (30/70)	0.48	NA	0.48	NA
A 9	Scrub-Shrub	0.04	NA	0.00	NA
A 10	Scrub-Shrub	0.01	NA	0.00	NA
A 11	Scrub-Shrub	0.02	NA	0.00	NA
A 12	Scrub-Shrub	0.11	NA	0.02	NA
A 13	Forested	0.12	NA	0.00	NA
B 1	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (30/70)	0.27	NA	0.00	NA
B 10	Forested	0.02	NA	0.00	NA
B 11	Emergent	0.18	NA	0.18	NA
B 12	Scrub-Shrub	0.07	NA	0.07	NA
B 14	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (70/30)	0.78	NA	0.78	NA
B-15a	Shrub	0.21	NA _	0.19	NA
B-15b	Shrub	0.02	NA	0.02	NA
B 4	Scrub-Shrub	0.07	NA	0.00	NA
B 5	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (40/60)	0.08	NA	0.00	NA
B 6	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (30/70)	0.55	NA	0.00	NA
B 7	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (30/70)	0.03	NA	0.00	NA
B 9	Forested	0.05	NA	0.00	NA
E 1	Forested	0.23	NA	0.00	NA
E 2	Forested	0.04	NA	0.04	NA
E 3	Forested	0.06	NA	0.06	NA
FW 1	Farmed Wetland	0.03	NA	0.00	NA

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 18

08/18/99 50111601.03

		Size of Wet	and (Acres)	Proje	ct Fill
			Original		Original
	Classifications	Refined	FSEIS	Refined	FSEIS
wetiand	Classification	0.09	NA	0.00	NA
FW 2		0.59	NA	0.00	NA
FW 3	Famel Wetland	0.08	NA	0.08	NA
FW 5		0.07	NA	0.07	NA
FW 6		0.03	NA	0.00	NA
FW 8		0.01	NA	0.00	NA
FW 9	Farmed Wetland	0.02	NA	0.00	NA
FW 10	Farmed wettand	0.11	NA	0.00	NA
FW 11	Farmed Wetland	0.05	NA	0.05	NA
G 1	Emergent	0.00	NA	0.02	NA
G 2	Emergent	0.02	NA	0.06	NA
G 3	Emergent	0.00	NΔ	0.04	NA
G 4	Emergent	0.87	NA	0.87	NA
G 5	Emergent	0.01	NΔ	0.00	NA
G 6	Emergent	0.01		0.50	NA
G 7	Forested/Scrub-Shrub (30/70)	0.50		0.00	NA
G 8	Emergent	0.04	NA NA	0.00	NA
R 1	Emergent	0.17	NA	0.13	NA
R 10	Forested	0.03	NA	0.00	NA
R 2	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (70/30)	0.12	NA	0.00	NA
R 3	Scrub-Shrub	0.02	NA	0.00	NA
R 4	Emergent	0.11	NA	0.00	NA
R 5	Emergent	0.05	NA	0.00	NA
R 6	Forested/Emergent (25/75)	0.21	NA	0.00	NA
R 7	Forested	0.04	NA	0.00	NA
R 8	Scrub-Shrub/Emergent (40/60)	0.06	NA	0.00	NA
R 9	Forested	0.38	NA	0.00	NA
W 1	Emergent	0.10	NA	0.10	NA
W 2	Forested/Emergent (20/80)	0.22	NA	0.22	NA
Auburn 4	Emergent	5.58	NA	0.02	NA

<sup>1</sup> These areas were incorporated into Wetlands B11, B4, and 52, respectively.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

				Fill	Vegetation Types Impacted		
Wetland	Ecolog Rating	y HGM Class	Classification	Impact	Forested	Shrub	Emergent
Runway Safety	Area						
5	III	Slope	Shrub	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.00
•		-	Subtotal	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.00
New Third Ru	nway						
9	ш	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02
11	ш	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.34	0.27	0.00	0.07
12	ш	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.21	0.04	0.00	0.17
13	ш	Slope	Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
14	ш	Slope	Forested	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00
15	m	Slope	Emergent	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.28
16	ш	Depression	Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
17	ш	Depression	Emergent	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
18	п	Slope	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	2.60	1.30	0.52	0.78
19	ш	Slope	Forested	0.56	0.56	0.00	0.00
20	П	Slope	Shrub/Emergent	0.57	0.00	0.51	0.06
21	ш	Slope	Forested	0.22	0.22	0.00	0.00
22	III	Slope	Emergent/Shrub	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.05
23	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.77
24	111	Depression	Emergent	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14
25	III	Depression	Forested	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00
26	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
W1	ш	Depression	Forested/Emergent	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10
W2	III	Depression	Forested/Emergent	0.22	0.04	0.00	0.18
35a-d	III	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.67	0.27	0.00	0.40
37a-f	11	Slope	Forested/Emergent	4.08	2.86	0.00	1.22
40	III	Depression	Forested	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
41a and b	III	Depression	Emergent *	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.44
44a and b	п	Slope	Forested	0.26	0.18	0.08	0.00
A1	11	Depression, Riparian	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.59	0.09	0.09	0.41
A5	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03
A6	ш	Slope	Forested	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.00
A7	III	Slope	Forested	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.00
A8	III	Slope	Forested/Shrub	0.48	0.14	0.34	0.00
A12	ш	Slope	Shrub	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00

# Table 3-2. Summary of wetland impacts for Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Master Plan Update improvements by construction project (all values are in acres).

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document



				Fill	Vegeta	non Types	Impacted
<b></b>	Ecology	HGM Class	Classification	Impact	Forested	Shrub	Emergent
Wetland	Kanng	Depression	Farmed Wetland	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.15
FWS and 6	1 V	Riparian	* metering				
R1	ш	Riparian	Emergent	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.13
K1		•	Subtotal	13.94	6.8	1.60	5.54
South Aviation	Support A	Area (SASA)					
52	п	Slope	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	0.54	0.54	0.00	0.00
53	m	Depression	Forested	0.55	0.00	0.10	0.45
55 F2	ш	Slope	Shrub	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00
E3	m	Slope	Shrub	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.00
<u>C</u> J	īV	Slope	Shrub (Slope)	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00
Gi	TV	Slope	Emergent	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
63	īv	Slope	Emergent	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.06
G/	īv	Slope	Emergent	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04
G	īv	Slope	Emergent	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.87
67	Π	Slope	Forest/Shrub	0.50	0.13	0.37	0.00
07		21071	Subtotal	2.73	0.67	0.62	1.44
Borrow Area an	d Haul F	load					
28	II	Depression, Riparian	Emergent	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07
48 <sup>b</sup>	п	Slope	Forest/Emergent	0.14	0.03	0.00	0.11
B11	m	Depression	Emergent	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18
B12	п	Slope	Forested	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00
B14	III	Depression	Shrub	0.78	0.00	0.55	0.23
B15a and b <sup>b</sup>	III	Slope	Shrub	0.21	0.00	0.21	0.00
_		-	Subtotal	1.45	0.03	0.83	0.59
Mitigation							
Auburn 4	ш	Depression	Emergent	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
		-	Subtotal	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
TOTAL				18.28	7.57	3.12	7.59

<sup>a</sup>Includes 0.18 acre of open water habitat

<sup>b</sup> These wetlands extend off-site.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

Project	Category II	Category III	Category IV	Total
PSA	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.14
Third Punway	8.10	4.87	0.97	13.94
Romow Area 1	0.28	1.17	0.00	1.45
Bollow Alca I	0.60	1.15	0.98	2.73
Mitigation	0.00	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.00	0.02
TOTAL	8.98	7.35	1.95	18.28
IUIAL	3.70			

Table 3-3. Summary of permanent wetland impacts by project and wetland category \* (in acres).

\*Ecology (1993)

<sup>b</sup> Impacts result from a permanent access road in an emergent wetland at the Auburn mitigation project.

Table 3-4.	Summary of temporary construction impacts to wetlands in the proposed STIA Master Plan
	Update improvement area.

						Subtotal	
Wetland	Rating	HGM <sup>a</sup> Class	Vegetation Types	Total	Forest	Shrub	Emergent
Runway Sa	fety Are	a Extension					
3	II	Slope	Forested	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00
4	п	Slope	Forested	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00
5	ш	Slope	Shrub	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.00
Third Run	way						
9	III	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02
11	III	Slope	Forested/Emergent	0.13	0.10	0.00	0.03
18	II	Slope	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.36	0.18	0.07	0.11
37	п	Slope	Forested/Emergent/Shrub	0.71	0.50	0.10	0.11
44	п	Slope	Forested	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.00
<b>A</b> 1	11	Depression. Riparian	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.03
A12	Ш	Slope	Shrub	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
A13	III	Slope	Forested	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
Borrow Site	e 1 Wetla	ands					
48	Π	Slope	Forested	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00
B15	III	Slope	Shrub	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00
South Avia	tion Sup	port Area					
52	II	Slope	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	0.10	0.00	0.05	0.05
TOTAL				2.17	1.31	0.51	0.35

<sup>a</sup> Hydrogeomorphic classification system used to evaluate wetland functions.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

.

08/18/99 50111601.03

Table 3-5.	Summa	TY DI WEGANUS SUDJEET			Veren	non Tyme	Impacted
			Veneri T.met	Total	Forest	Shrub	Emergent
Wetland	Rating	HGM* Class	vegetation Types				
Miller Creek	Buffer/Vac	ca Farm Mitigation Pr	ojects (on-site)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
18	п	Slope	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.91	1 71	0.00	0.00
37a	п	Slope	Forested/Emergent	1./1	1.71	0.00	2.62
A1	Ш	Depression, Riparian	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	4.08	0.90	0.50	0.00
A2	IV	Depression	Shrub	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00
A3	IV	Depression	Shrub	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
A4	IV	Depression	Shrub	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
A10	IV		Shrub	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
A11	ш	Slope	Shrub	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
FW 1, 2, 3,	IV	Depression	Farmed Wetlands	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
5, 6, 8, 9,							
and 10	d d -						
Riparian We	tiands	Dimarian	Emergent	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.17
RI	111 177	Ripatian	Shrub/Emergent	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12
R2	111	Riparian	Shrub	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
R3	111	Riparian	Emergent	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11
R4	111 	Ripanan	Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
R5	111 	Riparian	Emergent	0.21	0.05	0.00	0.16
R6	111	Riparian	Forested	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
R7	111	Kiparian	Forested	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.04
R8	111	Riparian	Shrub/Emergent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R9	III	Riparian	Forested	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
R10	ш	Riparian	rorested	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.00
Tyee Valley	Golf Cours	e Mitigation Project (or	n-site)	4 60	0.00	0.00	4 50
28	п	Depression, Riparian	Emergent	4.30	0.00	0.00	4.50
Auburn Miti	gation Proj	ject (off-site) '	_		0.00	0.00	0.70
Auburn 1	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.29
Auburn 2	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
Auburn 4	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14
Auburn 5	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09
Auburn 7	IV	Depression	Emergent	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.17
Auburn 8 b	IV	Depression	Emergent	2.20	0.00	0.00	2.20
TOTAL				16.43	4.00	0.72	11.71

#### Commercy of wetlands subject to mitigation activities. . .

<sup>a</sup> Hydrogeomorphic classification system used to evaluate wetland functions.

<sup>b</sup> Impacts to this area result from converting existing ditches and farmed wetland to a wetland drainage channel that connects the mitigation project to the 100-year floodplain.

<sup>c</sup> Mitigation activities at the Auburn site will result in excavation and replanting of approximately 0.31 acre of existing emergent wetland.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

23





# 3. Characterization of Wetlands

A variety of wetland conditions are present within the project impact area. These wetlands range from small highly modified wetlands, subject to on-going human disturbance, to less modified wetlands that are gradually recovering from past logging or farming activities and perform a variety of wetland functions. Moderate to high value habitat function occurs in larger wetlands (for example Wetland 37, A-1, and 30) where native vegetation is recovering from past disturbances. Low value habitat functions typically occur in numerous smaller wetlands that are subjected to ongoing disturbance. Hydrologic and water quality functions of wetlands vary depending on their landscape position and numerous site-specific factors. Several wetlands (Wetland 52, Wetland 37, and Wetland 44) appear to provide groundwater discharge functions that enhance baseflow in adjacent creeks. Wetland A-1 and Wetland 28 provide high function for reducing floodflow and for water quality enhancement.

The ecological functions of these wetlands are discussed in more detail below. In general, the functions and values of the affected wetlands remain the same as those identified in the EIS and FSEIS.

#### **Biological Functions**

The refined delineation identified additional affected wetlands but did not identify any new or unrecognized biological functions in the area. Wildlife use of the study area and its associated wetlands is largely limited to species tolerant to disturbance. The study area is fragmented by urban development, limiting access to the area for most large mammals. Faunal diversity is frequently limited in wetlands because they are too small to meet habitat requirements for many wildlife populations. The high degree of urbanization within the area may limit the numbers and diversity of amphibians present. No federal or state-listed threatened or endangered wildlife species use the areas planned for Master Plan Update improvements. Coho salmon, a federal candidate species, occurs in Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek.

The forested wetlands within the study area lack true aquatic habitat, and the wildlife function of these wetlands is similar to that of upland areas with comparable vegetation communities. Small passerine birds use forested habitat in the study area for nesting and feeding. Forested areas are also used by small mammals for breeding and cover. Some amphibians may use portions of the wetlands for resting, foraging, and breeding.

Habitat functions of shrub wetlands include nest and cover habitat for songbirds and small mammals. Shallow areas of seasonal ponding in shrub wetlands are uncommon, but, when present, they provide habitat for amphibian breeding. Shrub wetlands lack the woody debris that are desirable to terrestrial amphibians, such as ensatina.

Emergent wetlands in the study area provide habitat for songbird species that use the vegetation for nesting and foraging. Small mammals forage on emergent vegetation. In

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport 26 Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

certain wetlands (Wetland A-1) amphibian species may use emergent vegetation that occurs in standing water for egg mass attachment. Many of the emergent wetlands in the study area are small, isolated, and recently disturbed by human activities. Wetlands located within the current airfield and Tyee Valley Golf Course are mowed several to many times per year. This mowing limits their function as wildlife habitat. Most emergent wetlands have intermittent surface flows or seasonal standing water which also limits the overall value of their habitat function.

The wildlife habitat functions of the affected wetlands are generally significant only to the local vicinity (rather than to a larger landscape or watershed) because urban development isolates the area from other large undeveloped habitat areas. The size of most of the wetlands are smaller than the habitat requirements of many native mammal and bird species. The biological functions of wetlands are further limited by the lack of permanent open water, the short duration of seasonal ponding or soil saturation, the high occurrence of non-native plant species in some emergent wetlands, and the fragmented habitats. The wildlife habitat function increases where trees and/or shrubs are adjacent to the grass-dominated emergent areas.

#### **Physical Functions**

The physical functions provided by the newly identified affected wetlands are of the same general quality and significance as those identified in the FSEIS. Hydrologic functions (flood storage, groundwater discharge, and storm water detention) affect hydrologic and habitat conditions in both on-site and off-site locations (especially fish habitat in Miller and Des Moines creeks). Riparian wetlands on groundwater seeps adjacent to Miller and Des Moines creeks support stream baseflow by providing seasonal or perennial sources of water and moderate stream temperatures. Wetlands associated with the Miller Creek Regional Detention Facility function by temporarily storing floodwaters, which may reduce downstream flooding and streambank erosion. Other wetlands help reduce peak flows by collecting and storing storm runoff, thereby reducing the rate and volume of water that reaches the stream systems during storms. Many of the isolated on-site wetlands have a limited ability to provide hydrological functions, because of their small size, lack of direct connections to streams, or topographic conditions that limit the amount and duration of seasonally detained stormwater.

The groundwater recharge function of most of the wetlands appears to be limited because many of them occur on low permeability till soils (Alderwood Series). The wetlands have formed in shallow depressions where a perched water table has developed. Due to the low soil permeability, evapo-transpiration, and the short duration of soil saturation, it is unlikely that these small wetlands contribute significantly to recharge of groundwater.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 27

#### 4. Location of Miller Creek

As noted in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS, the northern end of the runway embankment requires the relocation of a portion of Miller Creek. Another portion of Miller Creek was identified in close proximity to the near center point of the runway embankment. The FSEIS (Section 5-5), concluded that a retaining wall would avoid relocation of the creek in that area. During the wetland survey for newly delineated wetlands, the location of Miller Creek throughout the acquisition area was also surveyed. The creek was found to be 83 feet closer to the runway embankment than previously indicated. Exhibit 3-3 shows the original location of the creek relative to the Third Runway, and compares that location with the newly identified location. As a consequence of this new information on the creek's location, the Port undertook a detailed engineering study to examine various options for avoiding relocation of this portion of the creek. The following chapter discusses the changes that were made to the embankment to avoid relocating the creek.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 28





Exhibit 3-3. Surveyed location of Miller Creek (1998) and location identified in the FSEIS (1997).

### Chapter IV

# REFINED WETLAND IMPACT ANALYSIS

The previous chapter described the new information on the nature and extent of wetlands and other waters of the United States that would be affected by the Airport improvements. The new information obtained after previously inaccessible properties became accessible was referred to as the "refined" wetland and stream "delineation" or "identification." The refined delineations of affected wetlands and streams were compared qualitatively and quantitatively to the "original" delineation in the 1997 FSEIS and 1996 JARPA. See Table 3.1.

This chapter reports the Port's re-evaluation of the <u>environmental impacts</u> associated with the new information on the nature and extent of wetlands and stream areas that would be affected by the Airport improvements. The re-evaluation analyzed permanent, temporary, indirect, and cumulative impacts on newly-discovered wetland and stream areas.

Permanent impacts result from the direct filling of wetlands to transform their use. Temporary impacts result from short-term construction and will be rectified upon program completion. Indirect impacts are largely associated with potential changes to wetland hydrology, increased noise, and increased human disturbance in wetland areas. Cumulative impacts refer to impacts associated with this project in combination with other projects planned in the area.

Each of these categories of impact was analyzed on the basis of key elements of Airport improvements: the third runway, borrow areas, runway safety areas (RSA), south aviation support area (SASA), and mitigation areas. The general categories of impact also are subdivided on the basis of the various wetland and stream functions affected and the State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Wetland Categories.

The re-evaluation of wetland and stream impacts also explicitly takes into account several changes in the proposed project that were made in response to new information on the exact location of Miller Creek and certain wetlands in relation to the proposed third runway embankment. Actual on-the-ground surveys revealed that Miller Creek was closer to the proposed embankment than previously determined and identified additional wetlands near the embankment. As a result of this new information, the Port decided to utilize a retaining wall to reduce the horizontal reach of the embankment. This design change avoided the necessity to relocate a portion of Miller Creek and eliminated impacts on the creek buffer and newly discovered wetlands. Utilizing the retaining wall also reduced the amount of fill needed for the third runway by 250,000 cy. Table 4-1 compares the quantity of fill for the third runway estimated in the 1997 FSEIS with lower current estimates as a result of the design change incorporating the retaining wall.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 30

#### Table 4-1

#### Runway Embankment Fill Quantity

	Current Estimated Quantity(CY)	FSEIS Estimated Quantity(CY)
1 Project Requirements		
Tetal Broject Embandment	16,500,000	17,250,000
On Site Common Excavation	2,400,000	2,900,000
Total Project Import Required	14,100,000	14,350,000
2. Material Imported To Date		
1997 Stockpile Project	370,000	
1998 Embankment Project	870,000	
Stockpile North of 154 <sup>th</sup> Street	* 200,000	
Total Imported Thu 1999	1,440.000	
Total Import Remaining (as of 1999)	12,660,000	

Material is currently being placed at this site and therefore the quantity is an approximate estimate only.

*Note:* The estimated quantities are based on three-dimensional computer modeling and a review of material placed to date. All quantities are in-place and do not account for any material that may be imported from the Port-owned borrow sources.

The runway embankment fill quantity estimate contained in the FSEIS assumed 2:1 fill slopes without retaining walls. Since completion of the FSEIS estimate, the embankment requirements have been recalculated to incorporate current design concepts, including drainage benches along the 2:1 slopes and retaining walls in three locations along the embankment. Incorporation of the current design elements resulted in additions to and subtractions from the estimated fill requirements. However, as shown in the above table, the net result is a modest reduction in the quantity of fill.

In identifying the impacts to wetlands, the following Department of Ecology rating categories were used:

#### Category I

These wetlands are the "cream of the crop". Generally, these wetlands are not common and would make up a small percentage of the wetlands in the state. These are wetlands that: (1) provide life support function for threatened or endangered species that has been documented, and the wetland is on file in databases maintained by state agencies; (2) represent a high quality example of a rare wetland type; (3) are rare within a given region; or (4) are relatively undisturbed and contain ecological attributes that are impossible to replace within a human lifetime, if at all. We cannot afford the risk of any degradation to these wetlands. Examples of the latter are mature forested wetlands that may take a

 Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport
 31
 08/18/99

 Wetlands Re-evaluation Document
 50111601.03

AR 042662

century to develop, and bogs and fens with their special plant populations that have taken centuries to develop.

#### Category II

These wetlands are those that: (1) provide habitat for very sensitive or important wildlife or plants; (2) are either difficult to replace; or (3) provide very high functions, particularly for wildlife habitat. These wetlands occur more commonly than Category I wetlands, but still need a high level of protection.

#### Category III

These wetlands provide important functions and values. They are important for a variety of wildlife species and occur more commonly throughout the state than either Category I or II wetlands. Generally these wetlands will be smaller, less diverse, and/or more isolated in the landscape than Category II wetlands. They occur more frequently, are difficult to replace, and need a moderate level of protection.

#### Category IV

These wetlands are the smallest, most isolated, and have the least diverse vegetation. These are wetlands that we should be able to replace and, in some cases, be able to improve from a habitat standpoint. However, experience has shown that replacement cannot be guaranteed in any specific case. These wetlands do provide important functions and values, and should to some degree be protected. In some areas, these wetlands may be providing groundwater recharge and water pollution prevention functions and, therefore, may be more important from a local point of view. Thus, regional differences may call for a more narrow definition of this category.

Washington State Wetlands Rating System, Washington State Department of Ecology Publication 93-74, August, 1993, pp. 3-4.

#### 1. **Permanent Impacts**

Permanent impacts will occur on about 18 acres of wetlands within the project area. Of the wetlands subject to permanent impacts, 7.58 acres are emergent, 7.63 acres are forested, and 3.07 acres are scrub-shrub wetland. The permanent impacts are summarized by project elements and Ecology categories in Table 4-2:

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

32

#### TABLE 4-2

Table 4-2. Summary	of permanent wetland in	apacts by project and v	wetiand category . (in ac	res).
Project	Category II	Category III	Category IV	1012
PSA	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.14
Third Runway	8.10	4.87	<b>0.9</b> 7	13.94
Domony Area 1	0.28	1.17	0.00	1.45
DUIIOW ALCA I	0.60	1.15	0.98	2.73
JAJA Minimatian	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
TOTAI	8.98	7.35	1.95	18.28

\* Ecology (1993)

Emergent wetland impacts result from a permanent access road to the Auburn mitigation project. Source: Parametrix, 1999.

Taking into account the refined delineation of wetland and stream areas affected by the proposed Airport improvements, the permanent impacts on such areas were re-evaluated, as follows. The re-evaluation separately analyzed the permanent impacts of the various elements of the proposed Airport improvements and the wetland categories and functions affected.

**Runway Safety Areas** - Permanent wetland impacts associated with extension of the RSAs on existing runways are limited to about 0.14 acres of Wetland 5. This impact will remove forest from a Category III wetland and shrub vegetation that provides habitat for small mammals and songbirds. The affected portion of Wetland 5 is on a moderate slope where groundwater discharge occurs most of the year. Because of the slope of the wetland, this area does not detain or store stormwater. The groundwater discharge supports wetland hydrology in downslope portions of the wetland, and ultimately base flow in Miller Creek.

The design of retaining walls to minimize fill in Wetlands 3, 4, and 5 will incorporate internal drainage systems that allow groundwater to continue to discharge in this area, and this function will not be lost or significantly diminished. The area may provide limited water quality enhancement functions. However, stormwater runoff from upslope areas is channelized limiting the water quality functions this wetland may provide through biofiltration.

*Third Runway* - The embankment needed to support the Third Runway will have permanent impacts on about 13.94 acres of wetlands. These wetlands vary from lower quality Category IV farmed wetlands to higher quality Category II wetlands.

• <u>Habitat Functions</u> - About 8.10 acres of Category II wetlands will be permanently affected by the runway, including portions of Wetlands 18, 20, 37, 44, and A-1. These wetlands typically contain a mix of early successional forested, blackberry and willow dominated shrub, and non-native emergent wetland plant communities. With the exception of Wetlands 18, 37, and A-1, these wetlands are not riparian to Miller Creek. Portions of Miller Creek will be relocated in conjunction with the filling of a portion of Wetland A-1. The riparian wetlands protect and provide fish habitat in

33

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document Miller Creek through shade and detrital input that supports invertebrate food production within the stream.

Several Category III wetlands will be permanently affected by the runway embankment. These wetlands are typically dominated by young deciduous forest, blackberry and willow shrubs, or non-native emergent plant species. The wetlands provide habitat to birds and small mammals, but because they are generally small in size, poorly buffered, and subjected to past or on-going disturbance, they represent lower quality habitat than the Category II wetlands. The wildlife habitat functions of these wetlands will be lost but replaced by mitigation measures.

Several Category IV wetlands (Wetlands 23, 26, A-5, FW-5, and FW-6) are dominated by non-native grasses or plowed. These wetlands typically provide habitat for a limited array of wildlife including waterfowl, pigeons, and crows (Wetlands FW-5 and FW-6). Most other Category IV wetlands are mowed lawn, and support fewer wildlife species that are typical of disturbed urban environments (robin, sparrow, starling).

• <u>Hydrologic Functions</u> - Wetlands permanently affected by the Third Runway embankment occur on gentle slopes, shallow depressions, and riparian areas along Miller Creek. These geomorphic positions control, in part, the hydrologic functions the wetlands provide. Some of these functions will be eliminated by the fill for the Third Runway embankment, and replaced by mitigation measures.

Most slope and depression wetlands are saturated during the winter and spring months when rainwater appears to perch on till soils. These wetlands provide winter baseflow support to Miller Creek, but do not support low summer base flows because they are dry by late summer and early autumn. The wetlands provide some detention functions and desynchronize stormwater runoff by reducing runoff rates. This function is limited by the small storage provided by the shallow depressions or the lack of storage in slope wetlands.

The wetlands also provide water quality functions in that they receive untreated runoff from adjacent streets and lawns and potentially remove pollutants. Depression wetlands are likely to provide high water quality functions due to longer storage times that promote contaminant removal. Slope wetlands have short retention times and provide fewer water quality benefits.

Several slope wetlands are areas of groundwater discharge (Wetlands 15, 18, 37) that are saturated throughout the year. These wetlands convey groundwater downslope to Miller Creek. The presence of surface water in the wetlands throughout the summer indicated the wetlands provide base flow support functions to Miller Creek. Wetland impacts from borrow site development are limited to Borrow Area 1, where small areas of Category II and Category III wetlands are altered. These wetlands are dominated by shrub and forest vegetation and provide habitat functions as described

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport3408/19/99Wetlands Re-evaluation Document50111601.03

in **Table 4-3.** The largest wetland impacted in the borrow area (Wetland B-14) is a shrub dominated wetland that is in an abandoned residential neighborhood. This wetland provides limited habitat for small mammals and songbirds. Since standing water and saturation are of short duration, the wetland does not provide aquatic habitat for amphibians or other organisms.

Wetlands 48 and B-12 and B-15 occur on the west side of the borrow area and extend off-site and downslope to Des Moines Creek. These wetlands convey stormwater and other runoff from the previously developed areas of the borrow site downslope to Des Moines Creek. They provide some biofiltration functions. Due to the shallow depth of the depression, Wetland B-14 provides biofiltration and limited stormwater detention functions.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

35



Table 4-3. Ratings for wetland functions impacted by fill for construction of Master Plan Update improvements at STIA.

cliandFishPasserine BirdsVatarfowlAmphibiansSmall MammalsCarbonExchange5LowLowLowLowLow-ModerateModerate-HighLow-ModerateHigh9LowModerate-HighLowModerate-HighLow-ModerateLow11LowModerate-HighLowModerate-HighLowLow12LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLow13LowModerateLowLowLowLowLow14LowNoderateLowLowLowLowLow15LowNoderateLowLowLowLowModerate16LowLowLowLowLowLowModerateLow17LowLowLowLowLowLowModerateLow18ModerateLowLowLowLowLowModerateLow19LowLowLowLowLowModerateLowLow10LowNoderateLowNoderateLowLowLow11LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow11LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow11LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow12LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow1		Resident/ Anadromous					Exports Organic	Groundwater		Nutrient/ Sediment
5LowLowLowLowLowModerateModerateHighLow-ModerateHigh9LowModerate-HighLowLow-ModerateLowLowLow11LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow12LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow13LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow14LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow15LowModerateLowLowLowLowModerate16LowLowLowLowLowLowModerate17LowLowLowLowLowLowModerate18ModerateLowLowLowLowModerate19LowLowLowLowLowLow10LowLowLowLowLowLow11LowLowLowLowLowLow12LowLowLowLowLowLow13ModerateLowModerateLowLowLow14LowLowLowLowLowLow15LowLowLowLowLowLow16LowLowLowLowLowLow17LowLowLowLowLowLow18Moderate<	etland	Fish	<b>Passerine Birds</b>	Waterfowl	Amphibians	Small Mammals	Carbon	Exchange	Flood Storage	Trapping
9         1.ow         Moderate-High         Low         Iow-Moderate         Iow-Moderate         Low         L	~	Low	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate	High	Low	Moderate
11LowModerate lighLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowModerateLowLowLowLowModerateLowLowLowLowModerateLow<	6	I.ow	Moderate-High	Low	Low-Moderate	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate	Low	lligh	Moderate
	=	Low	Moderate-High	Low	wo.1	I.ow-Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
3LowLow-ModerateLowModerate6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowModerateLowModerate6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowModerateLowModerate9LowNoderateLowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLowLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow9LowModerateLowModerateLowModerateLow10LowModerateLowLowLowLowLow11LowModerateLowLowLowLowLow12LowModerateLowLowLowLowLow13LowNoderateLowLowLowLowLow14LowLowLowLowL	7	l.ow	Moderate-High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	I.ow	worl
4LowModerate-lighLowLowModerate5LowLowLow-ModerateLowLowModerate6LowLow-ModerateLowLowLowNoderate7LowI.ow-ModerateLowLowLowNoderate8ModerateLowModerateLowModerateLowNoderate9LowModerateLowModerateModerateNoderateNoderateNoderate1LowModerateLowModerateNoderateNoderateNoderateNoderate1LowModerateLowModerateLowNoderateNoderateNoderate1LowModerateLowModerateLowNoderateLow1LowModerateLowNoderateLowNoderateLow1LowModerateLowNoderateLowLowLow2LowModerateLowLowLowLowLow3LowNoderateLowLowLowLowLow4LowNoderateLowLowLowLowLow5LowNoderateLowLowLowLowLow6LowLowLowLowLowLowLow7LowLowLowLowLowLowLow8HighLowLowLowLowLow<	m	l.ow	Low-Moderate	Low	l.ow	I,ow	Low	Low	l.ow	I.ow
5LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowIngh6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow8ModerateLowModerateLowModerateLowLowLowLow9LowModerate-HighLowModerateNoderateLowLow1LowModerate-HighLowModerate-HighHighHigh1LowModerate-HighLowModerateLowNoderate2LowModerate-HighLowLow-ModerateLow-ModerateLow3LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow4LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow5LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow6LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLow<	4	Low	Moderate-High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low
6Low<	s	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	High	l.ow	Moderate
7     Low     Iow-Moderate     Low     Low     Noderate     Low     Noderate     Low     Noderate     Low     Noderate     High     High       8     Moderate     High     Low     Moderate     Moderate     High     High       9     Low     Moderate     Low     Moderate     Moderate     High     High       1     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate     Low-Moderate     Noderate     High       1     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low       2     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Low-Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low     Low       3     Low     Low     Low     Low-Moderate     Low     Low     Low       4     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       5     Low     Moderate     High     Low     Low     Low       6     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       7     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       8     High     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     Low     Lo	9	wo.1	Low-Moderate	Low	l.ow	Low	Low	Low	wal	Low
8     Moderate     High     Low     Moderate     Moderate     High     High       0     Low     Moderate-Iligh     Low     Moderate     Moderate     Iligh       0     Low     Moderate-Iligh     Low     Moderate     Moderate     Iligh       1     Low     Moderate-Iligh     Low     Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low       2     Low     Moderate-Iligh     Low     Low-Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low     Low       3     Low     Moderate-Iligh     Low     Low-Moderate     Low-Moderate     Low     Low       4     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       5     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       6     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       6     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       7     High     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       8     High     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       <	7	Low.	I.ow-Moderate	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	I.ow	Moderate
0LowModerateModerateModerateHighHigh1LowHighLowModerateModerateHighHighHigh1LowModerate-HighLowModerateLow-ModerateLowLow2LowModerate-HighLowLowModerateLowLow3LowModerate-HighLowLowLowModerateLow4LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow5LowModerateLowLowLowLowLowLow6LowModerateLowLowLowLowLowLow8HighLowModerateHighLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow8HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow9LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow9LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow9LowLow </td <td>80</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>High</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>High</td> <td>High</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>Moderate</td>	80	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	Moderate	Moderate
0LowHighHighHighHigh1LowModerate-HighLowModerate-HighHighHigh2LowModerate-HighLowLow-ModerateLow-ModerateLow3LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLowLow4LowLowLowLowLowLowLow5LowNoverate-HighLowLowLowLow6LowModerate-HighLowLowLowLow8HighLowLowLowLowLow8HighLowLowLowLowLow7HighLowLowLowLowLow9LowLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow1	6	l.ow	Moderate-High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	lligh	Low	Moderate
1     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Moderate     Low       3     Low     Low     Low     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Moderate     Low       4     Low     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       5     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       6     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       8     High     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     High     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       9     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       10     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       10     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       10     Low     Low     Low     Low	0	Low	High	Low	Moderate	Moderate-High	High	High	I.ow	l.ow
2LowModerate-HighLowLow-ModerateLow-ModerateLow-ModerateLow3LowLowLowModerateLowLowLowLow4LowLowModerateLowLowLowLowLow5LowModerateLowLowLowLowLowLow6LowModerateLowLowLowLowLowLow8HighLowModerateHighNoderateHighLowLow7HighLowModerateLowLowLowNoderateNoderate9LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowNoderate1HighLowModerateLowLowLowLowNoderateNoderate1HighLowModerateLowLowLowLowNoderate1HighLowLowLowLowLowNoderateNoderate1HighLowLowLowLowLowLowNoderate1HighLowLowLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLowLow1HighLowLowLowLowLow<	_	Low	Moderate-High	Low	Low-Moderate	I.ow-Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low	I.ow	No.I
3Low<	7	Low	Moderate-High	Low	Low-Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low	l,ow	wo.1
4       Low       High       Low       Low       High       Low       Low       High       Low       Low       High       Low       Low <td< td=""><td>•</td><td>Low</td><td>Low-Moderate</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low-Moderate</td><td>lligh</td></td<>	•	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	lligh
5     Low     Moderate-High     Low     Low <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>Low</td><td>Low-Moderate</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>I.ow</td><td>Low</td><td>I.ow-Moderate</td><td>lligh</td></t<>	4	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	Low	I.ow	Low	I.ow-Moderate	lligh
6     Low Low-Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low       8     High     Low-Moderate     High     Moderate     High     Low       5     Low     Low     Low     Low     Moderate     Moderate       7     High     Low     Moderate     Moderate     Moderate     Moderate       0     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low       1     Noderate     Low     Moderate     Low     Low	\$	Low	Moderate-High	Low	L.ow	Low	Low	I,ow	I.ow-Moderate	High
8     High     Low-Moderate     High     Moderate     High     Low     Low     High       5     Low     Low     Low     Low     Low-Moderate     Moderate       7     High     High     Low     Moderate     Moderate     Moderate       0     Low     Moderate     Low     Low-Moderate     Low     Low       1     Low     Moderate     Low     Low     Low     Low	9	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	wo.	I.ow-Moderate	High
5 Low Low Low Low Low Low Low-Moderate Moderate 7 High High Low Moderate Moderate-High High High 0 Low Moderate Low Low-Moderate Low	~	High	Low-Moderate	High	Moderate	High	Law	High	lligh	lligh
7 High High Low Mnderate Moderate-High High High 0 Low Moderate Low Low-Moderate Low Low Jow-Moderate Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Ś	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	Moderate	wo.1	lligh
0 Low Moderate Low Low-Moderate Low Low Low Jow 1 Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	2	High	High	Low	Mnderate	Moderate-High	High	lligh	1.ow	Moderate-Hi
i inv invAnderste inv inv fow inv	0	Low	Moderate	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	w0,1	I.ow-Moderate	High
	_	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	Low	l.ow	wo.1	1.0w-Moderate	High
4 Low-Moderate Moderate-High Low Moderate Moderate-High High High	4	Low-Moderate	Moderate-High	Low	Moderate	Moderate-High	lligh	lligh	I.ow	Moderate-Hi
bort 36	eattle-Tacoma International Air, Be-evaluation Document	ternational Air	port				36			

lligh	Low-Moderate	wo.1	Low	Moderate	Low	LOW	MINUCIAIC	LUW	*
High	Low-Moderate	wo.]	Low	I.ow		MO.1	Madanta		
Moderate-High	High	Moderate-High	lligh	Moderate	wo,1		Low-Moderaic	LOW	17
I.ow-Moderate	1,ow	lligh	Low	l.ow	Low .		Nioucraic	L LUW	; 6
I.ow-Moderate	Low.	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	LOW	1.0W	3 6
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	I.ow-Moderate	LOW	гом	5 8
Low-Moderate	wo.1	Moderate	Low	Low	I.ow	Low-Moderate	F.GW		3 8
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	row .				5 8
High	ugu	TOW	• · ·			I nw.Moderate	an l	low	C2
			- I	Low	Low	Moderate	l.ow	Low	FW5, 6
mol	- A	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	EJ
h	- And	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	E2
l.ow-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	I.ow	I.ow-Moderate	BI5
High	Low-Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Lnw	wo.1	Low	B14
I.ow-Moderate	Vov.1	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate	B12
llich	Low-Moderate	Low	I,ow	I.ow-Moderate	Low	No.1	Low-Moderate	Low	811
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	1.ow	Low-Moderate	Low	A8
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate-High	Low	Α7
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate-High	Low	<b>V</b> 6
Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	A5
l,ow	Low	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low-Moderate	AIZ
High	High	Low	High	Moderate-High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	ugui .	N :
High	Low-Moderate	I.ow	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate-High	Low	<u>г</u>
Moderate-11igh	Moderate	lligh	lligh	Moderate-High	Low-Moderate	Low	Low-Moderate	Moderate-High	77
Low-Moderate	Low	Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low-Moderate	Low	Low-Moderate	Low	48
Nutrient/ Sedincut Trapping	Flood Storage	Groundwater Exchange	Exports Organic Carbon	Small Mammals	Amphibians	Waterfowl	Passerine Birds	A nadromous Fish	Wetland
								Resident/	

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

66/61/80 66/61/80

37

**South Aviation Support Area (SASA)** - Wetlands in the SASA area are typically dominated by early successional deciduous forests and shrub wetlands, or are emergent wetlands plated as golf course greens. The golf course wetlands (Wetland 52, G-1, G-2, G-3, G-4, G-5, G-6, and G8) provide limited wildlife habitat to foraging waterfowl and songbirds.

Most wetlands affected by SASA are slope and depression wetlands that are seasonally saturated. They likely provide biofiltration to stormwater runoff and limited stormwater detention functions. They provide baseflow support to Des Moines Creek during the winter months, but are dry during the late summer months when low flows occur. An exception to this is Wetland 52 where groundwater discharges throughout the summer. This wetland provides baseflow support to the creek during low flow periods. Project impacts to the wetland are limited to a bridge crossing, and the groundwater discharge functions will not be impacted.

## 2. Temporary Construction Impacts

The re-evaluation of temporary (construction) impacts to wetlands are reported in this section. Specific construction activities that temporarily affect wetlands are summarized in **Table 4-4** by the wetland affected and the nature of the impact.

**Runway Safety Area Extension** - Wetlands 3, 4, and 5 are located near the north end of the existing runways where required runway safety area extensions will be constructed. Temporary disturbance to small portions of these wetlands (about 0.25 acres) could result from placement of silt fences and required temporary erosion and sediment control (TESC) actions. Minor siltation could occur within the 0.25 acre disturbance area during construction.10

During the relocation of S. 154<sup>th</sup> St., temporary disturbance to wildlife is likely to occur in Wetlands 3, 4, and 5. Wildlife in these wetlands, are tolerant of aircraft noise from existing runways and roadway noise from SR-518 and the existing S. 154<sup>th</sup> St. Additional disturbance to wildlife is likely to be minor, and limited to the south edges of the wetlands.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> TESC BMPs are implemented prior to construction of all Master Plan projects and their effectiveness is strictly monitored. The adequacy of these BMPs is reviewed by Ecology through approval of stormwater pollution and prevention plans prior to implementation. During 1998-1999 embankment construction, no water quality violations (including sediment discharge to wetlands) occurred.

Wetlands	Temporary Impacts
Runway Safety Area Extension	
Wetlands 3, 6, 7, and 10	Wildlife could possibly be disturbed by construction noise near Wetlands 3, 6, 7, and 10; however wildlife is already tolerant of air traffic and roadway (SR 518 and S 154th St.) noise.
Wetlands 4 and 5	Temporary disturbance is possible to small portions of wetland along southern border of Wetlands 4 and 5 adjacent to retaining wall.
	Siltation could cause impacts along southern wetland boundaries.
	Construction activity and noise could cause disturbance to wildlife.
Third Runway	
Wetlands 9 and 11	A small portion of Wetland 9 and the remaining portion of Wetland 11 could be disturbed.
	Siltation could cause impacts within the southern portion of Wetland 9 and the remaining portion of Wetland 11.
	Wildlife could be disturbed by construction activity and noise.
Wetlands R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, and	Construction impacts will be minimized because of a 50-foot setback from Miller Creek.
R10	Disturbance will be in limited areas including the S 156 <sup>th</sup> St. bridge crossing area (Wetlands R1 and R2) and the stormwater outfall location (adjacent to Wetland R6).
	Siltation could cause impacts at the bridge crossing area (Wetlands R1 and R2).
	There could be disturbance to wildlife from construction activity and noise, especially in the bridge crossing area (Wetlands R1 and R2) and stormwater outfall location (adjacent to Wetland R6).
Wetlands A5, A9, A10, A11, A12, and A13	Temporary disturbance is possible to small portions of Wetland A12 outside the footprint of fill slope and Perimeter Road.
	Siltation is possible within portions of Wetlands A5, A6, A8, and A12 that are immediately adjacent to the footprint of fill slope and Perimeter Road.
	Construction activity and noise could cause disturbance to wildlife.
Wetlands 18 and 37	Disturbance (0.17 acres) is possible from the construction of temporary construction stormwater management facilities (e.g., detention pond) in Wetland 37. (Note: Permanent stormwater management facilities will be located outside of wetland areas.)
	A narrow band of temporary disturbance (0.38 acres) is immediately adjacent to the fill pad footprint and roadbed for the Perimeter Road (outside of temporary stormwater facility areas). This disturbance will come within 30 ft of Miller Creek in Wetland 37.
	There may be limited areas of siltation within Wetlands 18 and 37.
	Construction activity and noise could cause disturbance to wildlife.
	Temporary disturbance is possible to wetland drainage patterns/hydrology in Wetland 37 due to the construction of the temporary stormwater management facilities.

Table 4-4. Summary of temporary impacts to wetland: from the STIA Master Plan Update improvements.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

39

08/19/99 50111601.03

Wetlands	Temporary Impacts
Wetland 44a	Temporary disturbance of a limited area immediately adjacent to the fill pad footprint and the roadbed for the Perimeter Road is possible.
	Limited areas of siltation are possible immediately bordering the fill pad footprint.
	Construction activity and noise could cause disturbance to wildlife.
Staging Areas	No temporary impacts are expected. All staging areas will be a minimum of 50 ft from Miller Creek and placed outside of wetland areas.
	In wetlands bordering intended staging areas, wildlife may be disturbed by activity and noise during construction of each staging location.
Borrow Area 1	
Wetlands B1 and 32	Excavation will avoid Wetlands B1 and 32; all other wetlands will be permanently impacted by excavation or dewatering.
	Interruption in hydrology for Wetlands B1 and 32 is not anticipated; buffers will maintain seasonal perched water regime.
	Wildlife will be disturbed by excavation activities and noise.
Borrow Area 3	
Wetlands 29, 30, B5, B6, B7, B9, and B10	All wetlands are being avoided and 50-foot setback maintained. Wetland hydrology will be maintained by preserving conditions in watershed basin upgradient and immediately surrounding each wetland: no alteration to site hydrology will occur.
	Wildlife will be disturbed by excavation activity and noise.
South Aviation Support Area	
Wetland 52	Disturbance of wildlife from construction activity and noise.
	Potential minor sedimentation or water quality impacts.
Mitigation Area	
Farmed wetlands and	Wetlands will be excavated, graded, and replanted with native vegetation.
Wetland A1 in Vacca Farm;	Temporary disturbance of wildlife due to human activity and construction noise.
emergent wettands on the Auburn site.	Temporary sedimentation and water quality impacts.

**Third Runway:** Wetlands 9 and 11 lie at the northern end of the Third Runway. During the relocation of South 154<sup>th</sup> St. for the runway safety area, small portions (0.03 acres) of Wetland 9 and the remaining portion (0.16 acres) of Wetland 11 will be disturbed by construction activity. Minor siltation within these wetlands during construction could occur. Wildlife will likely be eliminated from remaining portions of Wetland 11 during construction and be disturbed near the south edge of Wetlands 9 by construction activity and noise.

Temporary disturbance will occur in portions of Wetlands 18 (0.36 acres), 37 (0.71 acres), and 44 (0.29 acres), located outside the footprint of the fillslope and the perimeter road. Minor siltation could occur in limited portions of these wetlands as a result of installing silt fences and up-slope construction. Physical disturbance to Wetlands A9, A10, A11, and A13 is not proposed however temporary disturbance to wildlife could result from construction activity and noise.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport4008/19/99Wetlands Re-evaluation Document50111601.03

Temporary impacts to Wetland 37, Wetland 18, and Wetland 44 include disturbance from the construction of temporary stormwater management facilities, including detention ponds, during the construction phase of the Third Runway. These stormwater facilities will be removed and the wetland area restored after the completion of the Third Runway. Permanent stormwater facilities will be located outside of wetland areas.

Disturbance to riparian wetland will occur in three limited areas: at the proposed S 156<sup>th</sup> St. bridge crossing (affecting the southern edge of Wetland R1 and the northern edge of Wetland R2, and a stormwater outfall that will lie adjacent to Wetland R6. Minor siltation could occur in the temporarily disturbed portions of Wetlands R1 and R2. Disturbance to wildlife from construction activity and noise could occur in all riparian wetlands, but is most likely in Wetlands R1, R2, and R6 because in these areas construction will be near the wetland edge.

**Construction Staging Areas** - Construction impacts to wetlands in the staging areas are not expected because all staging activity will be placed outside of any wetland areas and a minimum of 50 feet from Miller Creek. In wetlands bordering intended staging areas, wildlife will likely be disturbed by traffic activity and noise

**Borrow Areas** - Within Borrow Area 1, Wetlands B-1, B-4, and 32 will be avoided and protected with a minimum 50-foot buffer. Indirect impact to wildlife using these Category III wetlands may occur once the Third Runway is in operation. Other wetlands in Borrow Area 1 will be permanently affected by excavation. Borrow Area 3 has been redefined to protect all wetlands with a 50-foot buffer. Temporary impacts to wildlife using Category II (Wetlands 29, 30) and Category III (B-5, B-6, B-7, B-9, B-10) could result from construction noise and other human activity. Since the borrow areas will be greater than 200 feet from Des Moines Creek, no impacts to the creek are anticipated.

**South Aviation Support Area** - Wetland 52, a Category III wetland adjacent to the SASA, would be temporarily affected by construction. Impacts to this wetland would include temporary disturbance to wildlife due to construction noise and other human activities. Construction impacts to the wetland also could include minor sedimentation or soil disturbance resulting from construction of the taxiway bridge connecting SASA to the airfield.

*Mitigation Impacts* - Several wetlands would be temporarily affected during construction of on- and off-site wetland mitigation. In general, these impacts occur to Category III or Category IV wetlands that are farmed, or dominated by non-native vegetation, and would not displace significant numbers or types of wildlife. Wetland A-1 (a Category II riparian wetland would be temporarily disturbed by construction associated with the relocation of Miller Creek. Following implementation of the mitigation projects, wetland areas will be restored to higher quality Category II wetlands by improved hydrologic conditions and greater diversity of plant types.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

41

08/19/99 50111601.03

#### 3. Indirect Impacts

Indirect impacts include potential long-term effects of construction and operation of the Master Plan Update projects near wetlands. These include potential alteration of wetland hydrology and ongoing disturbance of wildlife by aircraft noise and human disturbance.

**Runway Safety Area Extension** -Eight wetlands (Wetlands 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10) are near the north end of the existing runways. The relocation of S 154<sup>th</sup> St. to accommodate the RSAs will decrease the amount of wetland buffer. Increased traffic noise may disturb wildlife using these wetlands. This impact is not expected to be significant because wildlife species in these wetlands already are tolerant of high levels of noise from aircraft and automobile traffic on SR 518.

Other operational impacts could occur from changes to wetland hydrology as a result of construction near the wetlands. The retaining wall used to minimize wetland fill and creek relocation will include an internal drainage system that will allow ground water to continue to enter the wetland. Stormwater runoff (water quality and quantity) conditions will be improved because the new roadway will include detention and water quality treatment.

**Third Runway:** Wetlands near the north end of the Third Runway will be subjected to greater amounts of aircraft noise which may cause increased disturbance of wildlife. The relocation of S 154<sup>th</sup> St will decrease the amount of wetland buffer which could result in increased disturbance of wildlife using these wetlands because of greater traffic noise. This impact is not expected to be significant because wildlife species in these wetlands are tolerant of high levels of noise from aircraft and automobile traffic on SR 518. This potential impact would be offset by elimination of humans and pets from the overall area which will improve the habitat value of the wetlands. The sparse vehicular traffic on the safety and perimeter roads will not adversely affect wildlife.

Operational impacts could occur from changes to wetland hydrology as a result of construction near the wetlands. Retaining walls will allow ground water to continue to enter the wetlands. Stormwater runoff (water quality and quantity) conditions will be improved because the new facilities will include detention and water quality treatment.

Long-term indirect impacts to several isolated Category III wetlands and three Category II wetlands could result from changes to the amount and timing of water entering the wetlands. The potential impacts to the hydrology of these wetlands will be minimized using several approaches that will maintain ground water flow to the wetlands, provide surface water flow to the wetlands, and allow flexibility in the amount of water directed to the wetlands. These measures are expected to provide ground and surface water necessary to maintain the wetlands.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 42



Potential impacts to water quality in the wetlands would not occur. Any stormwater entering the wetlands will be treated using water quantity and water quality best management practices (BMPs). Since the existing area lacks water quality and quantity treatment BMPs, a net improvement may occur.

Wetlands occur on hillslopes immediately west of the existing fill that continue to be wet following the expansion of the airfield during the early 1970s. The wetlands (Wetlands 19 and 20) contain no field evidence that wetland size has been reduced since the 1970 airport expansion. For example, no relic hydric soils were observed and no remnant facultative-wetland or facultative plant communities dominate the area outside the existing wetland boundaries as would be expected if hydrologic conditions had been recently altered. This indicates that these wetlands have remained stable even with the excavation and fill activities immediately to the east.

Ten small wetlands (Wetlands R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, and R10) lie immediately adjacent to Miller Creek along the western periphery of the Third Runway expansion area. Negative impacts to the riparian wetlands will not occur because the wetlands will be protected with 50-foot minimum buffers. Most of these areas currently lack buffers. Moreover, runoff from all new facilities must include management for stormwater quality and quantity. Under current development, runoff is untreated. Impacts from humans and pets will be eliminated from the overall area, which will improve the habitat value of the area. The sparse vehicular traffic on the safety and perimeter roads will not adversely affect wildlife since it will be over 50-feet from the wetlands. No increased level of disturbance to wildlife is expected in Wetlands R1 and R2 at the new 154<sup>th</sup> St. bridge crossing since this new bridge will simply replace an existing bridge.

Staging Areas - Long-term impacts from construction staging would not occur since these are temporary land-uses that would be removed following project construction.

**Borrow Areas** - Two wetlands in Borrow Area 1 (Wetlands B-1 and 32) will be avoided. All remaining wetlands will be permanently impacted by excavation or dewatering (Wetland B-4). Setbacks will maintain the current seasonal perched water regime for Wetlands B-1 and 32. No long-term impacts are expected.

All wetlands in Borrow Area 3 will be avoided, and a 50-foot setback will be maintained. Wetland hydrology will be maintained by preserving conditions in the watershed basin upgradient and immediately surrounding each wetland. Groundwater analyses indicate that groundwater movement is from northwest to southeast. The areas west and northwest of the wetlands will remained undisturbed.

South Aviation Support Area (SASA) - The SASA will be designed to avoid significant impacts to Wetland 52 by avoiding the wetland and providing a 75 foot buffer. This wetland will be subjected to greater amounts of aircraft noise which may increase

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

08/19/99 50111601.03

disturbance of wildlife. This impact is not expected to be significant because wildlife species in these wetlands are tolerant of noise from aircraft.

Operational impacts to the wetlands could occur from changes to wetland hydrology as a result of construction near the wetlands. Stormwater runoff (water quality and quantity) conditions will be improved because the SASA facility would be built with water quantity and quality treatment BMPs that would replace golf course and parking areas that lack stormwater management facilities.

#### 4. Cumulative Impacts

Additional impacts to wetlands could occur as a result of other projects planned in the vicinity of the Airport. These projects include Washington Department of Transportation's proposed SR-509/South Access Freeway, the Des Moines Creek Regional Detention Facility, the LINK light rail project, and potential redevelopment of Borrow Areas.

Each of these projects may have direct or indirect impacts to wetlands near the airport and result in some unknown cumulative loss of wetland area and functions. SEPA, NEPA, and  $\S$  404 review for these projects are required to evaluate options that avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands and the aquatic environment. Under  $\S$  404, mitigation must be provided for unavoidable impacts to wetlands.

#### 5. Impact Avoidance and Mitigation

To the extent feasible and practical, the development projects have been designed and redesigned to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands. Over 170 acres of wetlands are known to exist near the Airport, and it is likely that un-inventoried wetlands exist on private property that will not be affected by the project. Un-inventoried wetlands are likely to include numerous small wetlands in developed and partially developed residential areas. These wetlands are likely to be similar in character and function to many of the smaller wetlands occurring within the acquisition area.

While a number of small wetlands would be affected or eliminated by the Master Plan improvements, several large wetland complexes would not be affected by the improvements. These wetlands contain physical and biological features that indicate a variety of wetland functions at high to moderate levels. A 30-acre wetland (Wetland 43) occurs between Des Moines Way and SR 509 immediately north of S 176 St. This wetland contains a diversity of vegetation types, including forested, shrub, emergent, and open water wetlands. Walker Creek flows through the wetland. The diversity of plant types, the presence of permanent open water, and hydrologic connections to Walker Creek indicate the wetland provides moderate to high biological functions for a variety of wildlife groups (resident fish, passerine birds, small mammals, amphibians, and waterfowl). Its location near the headwaters, the presence of adjacent developments, and topographic conditions in the depression the wetland occupies suggest it also provides substantial physical functions, including baseflow support, surface runoff storage, sediment trapping, and water quality benefits.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

A 17-acre wetland (Wetland 33) occurs south of Sunset Park and includes Tub Lake. This wetland contains forested, shrub, emergent, and open water wetland classes, and Miller Creek flows through the wetland. The diversity of wetland classes, the presence of permanent open water connections to other undeveloped land, and hydrologic connections to stream habitat result in moderate to high biological function for a variety of wildlife groups (resident fish, passerine birds, small mammals, amphibians, and waterfowl). The location near the headwaters of Miller Creek, presence of upslope development, and topography of the basin indicate the wetland provides major physical functions, including baseflow support, surface runoff storage, sediment trapping, and water quality benefits.

Bow Lake is a 25-acre wetland (Wetland 54) located east of SR 99 and north of S 188th St. This wetland contains open water and shrub vegetation classes, and forms the headwaters of the East Branch of Des Moines Creek. The biological functions of the wetland are limited by the proximity of adjacent commercial and residential development. However, the wetland probably provides moderate biological function for passerine birds, small mammals, waterfowl, and amphibians. Likely physical functions provided by the wetland include groundwater recharge, storage of runoff, and water quality improvement.

Wetland 28 is adjacent to the Tyee Golf Course and is about 35 acres. The wetland is composed of open water, emergent, and shrub wetland habitat. A tributary of Des Moines Creek flows through the wetland. The presence of open water, habitat diversity, and hydrologic connections to stream habitat result in moderate to high function for a variety of wildlife groups (resident fish, passerine birds, small mammals, amphibians, and waterfowl). The wetland is a headwater of the West Branch of Des Moines Creek, is downslope of developed areas, and is in a favorable topographic setting to provide physical functions, including baseflow support, surface runoff storage, sediment trapping, and water quality benefits.

A series of wetlands (Wetlands 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9) totaling about 25 acres comprise the Miller Creek Detention Facility. The wetlands consist of open water, emergent, shrub, and forested wetlands that are hydrologically connected to Miller Creek. The diversity of wetland classes, permanent open water, and hydrologic connections to stream habitat indicate the wetland provides moderate to high biological function to a variety of wildlife groups (resident fish, passerine birds, small mammals, amphibians, and waterfowl). The location near the headwaters, presence of adjacent developments, and topographic conditions suggest

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

45

08/19/99 50111601.03

Minor fill impacts (0.14 acres) occur in this wetland. Because this fill will be located above the floodplain, near disturbed areas, and along the perimeter of the wetland, significant impact to the functions of this wetland is not expected.

the wetland also provides physical functions such as baseflow support, surface runoff storage, sediment trapping.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 46



#### Chapter V

#### HYDROLOGY AND SEISMIC STABILITY

Upon gaining access to the properties on which the embankment will be developed, the Port was able to conduct additional geotechnical explorations. These studies have clarified a number of issues that were raised in the public hearing process on the 404 permit application conducted in 1998. The following subsections address the impact of the development of the embankment and associated retaining walls on area hydrology and slope stability, including:

- Mechanically Stabilized Earth
- Fill Zones and stability
- Impact on Hydrology
- Mitigation of Post-Construction Hydrogeology

#### 1. Mechanically Stabilized Earth

During the past two years, Port staff and consultants have completed geotechnical, hydrologic and wetland studies, to identify alternatives and verify that proven mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) technology can provide safe and relatively cost-effective construction of retaining walls for soil conditions at the site. A large number of embankment slope and retaining wall alternatives were considered to avoid or reduce impacts to Miller Creek and adjacent wetlands. MSE retaining walls were selected as the recommended alternative to be developed, as follows:

- At the north end of the embankment, MSE walls will be used to limit the impact to Miller Creek and the extent of filling of Wetlands A-1 and 9.
- Near the middle of the west side of the embankment, an MSE wall will be used to avoid filling a significant part of Wetland 37a, and to avoid relocating part of Miller Creek.
- Near the south end of the new runway, an MSE wall will be built to limit the extent of filling of Wetland 44a.

MSE is a method of constructing earth embankments using a combination of compacted soil and reinforcing elements. MSE technology includes a range of steel and polymer (plastic) products (mesh, strips, and grids) used to retain and reinforce soil, and provides a number of advantages over other types of retaining walls. The MSE technology improves soil strength by incorporating reinforcing strips or sheets (geogrids or geotextiles) into the soil embankment.

#### 2. Fill Zones and Stability

Native soils, which will provide a suitable foundation to support the embankment, have been observed at depths ranging from zero to around 20 feet below the existing ground surface across the site. Available information generally indicates very little subgrade preparation will be needed on

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

47

AR 042678

most of the site. Wetland soils and other unstable soils in some specific areas will have to be improved or replaced to support the fill and MSE walls.

Existing subgrade soils which are unsuitable to provide structural support for the embankment (because they are soft, wet, or contain organic materials), will be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill, or improved in situ. The unsuitable subgrade material that is removed will be reused in non-structural areas of the embankment, so there will be no need to export and dispose of any waste soils.

The Third Runway embankment will be designed as a zoned embankment, with different types of soil and/or degrees of compaction used in specific areas to meet strength, compressibility and drainage requirements. These zones include:

- **Pavement Subgrade.** High-strength, low-compressibility granular soil used in the upper few feet immediately below airfield pavements.
- Drainage Material. Free-draining fill used in the underdrain and in areas of overexcavation to improve foundation support.
- **Pavement Support Fill.** Low-compressibility embankment fill used below the pavement subgrade zone A-1.
- MSE Reinforced Backfill. High strength granular soil used in the reinforced zone behind retaining walls.
- Common Embankment Fill. Moderate strength compacted fill.
- Non-structural Fill. Soil removed from foundation areas because it is unsuitable for foundation support.

Construction of a zoned embankment in this manner provides significant environmental benefits, including:

- Seasonal accommodation of relatively silty soils in wet weather will reduce erosion and sediment control problems;
- Regional conservation of high quality gravel resources by use of relatively silty soils as "fair weather fill" for common embankment construction; and
- Ability to construct an embankment underdrain which collects infiltration and seepage, for controlled discharge to promote infiltration, and preserve groundwater recharge to downgradient wetlands and Miller Creek.

In light of new retaining wall concepts, and further information about the soil stability in the area, the Port conducted "proof of concept analyses" of embankment slope stability, as well as representative MSE wall sections in, or adjacent to, wetlands for both the north and west areas. These analyses were conducted to re-verify suitability of the embankment slopes and retaining walls, and to assess base preparation required to avoid instability.

The analyses confirmed that the safety target factors could be attained for the Wetland 37 Wall and, with proper soil replacement or *in situ* improvement, safety target factors could be attained for the

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document



wall slope combinations analyzed for the north end of the embankment (in the area where Miller Creek will be relocated).

#### 3. Impact on Hydrology

Post-construction effects of the embankment on the Miller Creek drainage were analyzed. These effects include the extent to which infiltration into the new embankment and from the existing airfield will recharge groundwater. While the relative amount of runoff will increase in new paved areas and embankment slopes, infiltration is anticipated to increase on about 80 acres of relatively flat grass land between the runway and taxiway pavements.

In the area affected by construction, specific groundwater recharge contributions to Miller Creek will include:

- Infiltration into the top surface of the new embankment;
- Infiltration into the side slopes of the new embankment and management of runoff from the side slopes;
- Maintenance of existing shallow interflow below the embankment; and
- Flow from the Shallow Regional Aquifer into Miller Creek.

Infiltration into the unpaved portion of the top surface of the new embankment will exceed existing on-site infiltration in the same area for the following reasons:

- Large area (about 80 acres) of relatively flat grass land between runway and taxiway pavements will permit greater infiltration compared to pre-construction sloping ground in the same areas;
- Post-construction grass area between pavements will have less evapo-transpiration (ET) compared to scrub forest on the pre-construction slopes; and
- Soil conditions within the embankment will promote infiltration in some areas and have better average groundwater transmission characteristics compared with the underlying native soils (glacial till, glacially overridden silty advance sand, and hard silt units).

The depth of the embankment (ranging from essentially zero on portions of the western edge to a maximum height of about 165 feet) provides significant buffering of storm water infiltration, increasing the available groundwater recharge and short-term storage before seepage reaches Miller Creek.

Seasonal infiltration into the embankment soil mass will occur until the soil reaches a condition referred to by soil scientists as "field capacity." Additional infiltration will then percolate downward into the embankment. This percolating water will eventually intercept the embankment underdrain at the base of the fill, and most of this seepage will then flow to the west. About 10 percent of the total infiltration is expected to continue to percolate downward to recharge the Shallow Regional Aquifer directly below the embankment.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

49



Infiltration into the new embankment side slopes (nominal 2 horizontal to 1 vertical) is anticipated to be slightly less than existing infiltration over the "foot print" area of the side slopes (38% of rainfall, down from 50% for pre-construction infiltration). The reduction is mainly the result of the increased slope causing increased runoff which is mitigated somewhat by improved infiltration capacity of the embankment fill relative to the existing glacially overridden soils, and reduced evapotranspiration.

Infiltration into the new embankment side slopes will percolate downward until it is also intercepted by the underdrain discussed above. This seepage will be increased slightly by additional infiltration along storm water swales which collect runoff from the embankment slopes.

In addition to intercepting seepage infiltration downward from the top of the embankment, the embankment underdrain also provides a means for existing seepage in the filled area to continue to flow downgradient to the west. The existing ground surface below the embankment will largely be left undisturbed prior to fill placement, as discussed later in this report. Shallow interflow seeps, expressed where silty soil perching layers outcrop on the slope, will be able to continue to discharge into the underdrain, or will continue to flow downslope below the underdrain.

Where soft soils need to be removed to provide embankment foundation support, these areas will be backfilled with free-draining sand and gravel hydraulically connected to the underdrain. In this way existing seepage into wetlands which are filled will continue to be available as seepage through the underdrain downgradient to the west.

The drain layer enables beneficial discharge of water that infiltrates into the embankment from above or below. The completed underdrain will be separated from the surface of the airfield by the full thickness of the embankment. In the event of a contaminant release (such as an airfield fuel spill), there would be substantial opportunity to accomplish source control and remediation because of the long flow path before any contaminants could reach Miller Creek.

A geotechnical analysis was used to assess whether the weight of the embankment would significantly reduce the amount of existing base flow from the Shallow Regional Aquifer to Miller Creek. Experience with earth dams shows seepage under an embankment is typically not reduced by the weight of the fill, and grout curtains or sheet pile cutoffs are typically constructed where control of seepage is necessary below embankments. None the less, Hart Crowser calculated the effect of the embankment on seepage below the new fill.

These calculations indicate that the void ratio within the Shallow, Intermediate, and Deep Aquifers in the area immediately underlying and adjacent to the embankment would be reduced by roughly 1 to 3 percent due to the maximum weight of the embankment. For perspective, this corresponds to about a 4-inch maximum change in thickness for the 50-foot-thick Shallow Aquifer. The magnitude of the change in void ratio would diminish rapidly both laterally and as a function of depth. There would be no effect in the Shallow Aquifer more than 50 feet from the edge of the embankment, and no effect in the Deep Aquifer more than about 500 feet from the edge of the embankment.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

Reductions in permeability on the order of 2 to 5 percent corresponding to the change in void ratio are estimated immediately below the embankment, with the effects decreasing with depth. The estimated 2 to 5 percent change is insignificant, given that differences in permeability are usually evaluated in terms of orders of magnitude (powers of 10).

Effects of the magnitude estimated could conceivably produce a slight groundwater mounding in the Shallow Regional Aquifer on the upgradient side of the embankment (i.e., below the existing airport), but this would probably not be measurable. Baseflow to Miller Creek located west of the embankment is not likely to be affected, since the effect of the mounding would be to locally increase the groundwater flow gradient resulting in no net loss of baseflow.

No impacts are anticipated to drinking water resources in the Intermediate and Deep Aquifers. The effect of the embankment weight diminishes with increasing depth and distance from the fill. There are no wells within the affected area.

#### 4. Mitigation of Post-Construction Hydrogeologic Impacts

The following actions will be undertaken to minimize hydrogeologic impacts upon completion of construction:

Management of Storm Water Runoff - Storm water runoff from the embankment will be collected and handled as described in the following documents: (a) Natural Resource Mitigation Plan, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Master Plan Update Improvements, prepared by Parametrix, dated August 1999; and (b) Comprehensive Stormwater Management Plan. Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Master Plan Improvements, by Parametrix, dated August 1999. Both of these documents are hereby adopted by reference. Copies are available during regular business hours at the office of Aviation/Project Management Group, Kilroy Building, 17900 International Blvd., Sea-Tac, Washington 98188. Storm water runoff from the sloping face of the embankment will be collected in a permanent swale alongside the security road and conducted to detention facilities below the toe of the slope. The swales provide some opportunity for infiltration. These swales will be rock-lined or otherwise protected against erosion along the toe of MSE walls. Infiltration in this area will recharge the Shallow Regional Aquifer and enhance groundwater discharge into wetlands and Miller Creek.

**Discharge of Seepage from the Embankment Underdrain** - Most seepage collected from the embankment via the underdrain will discharge into a collection swale at the toe of the slope or below the toe of the MSE wall. The remainder will infiltrate directly into the Shallow Regional Aquifer under the embankment footprint. Seepage into the swale is likely to occur discontinuously along the length of the embankment, with flow concentrating at topographic low spots or in areas where there are pre-existing seeps.

The purpose of the swale is to collect seepage from the underdrain and conduct it laterally along the toe of the embankment for surface discharge to wetlands. Additional infiltration to recharge shallow interflow and the Shallow Regional Aquifer, will occur along the swale. Facilities to enhance infiltration can be constructed at specific locations to augment water supplies for existing

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

wetlands that are left undisturbed beyond the area of impact for the project. Facilities will be designed to infiltrate water from the drainage layer into the shallow subsurface soils that form the delineated wetlands.

**Post-Construction Base Flow to Miller Creek and Riparian Wetlands** - The embankment underdrain plays a key role in collecting percolating water that has infiltrated into the surface and facing slopes of the embankment. The underdrain intercepts percolation and enables some control of groundwater recharge for the Shallow Regional Aquifer beneath the embankment. Without recharge to the Shallow Regional Aquifer, the component of baseflow to Miller Creek from the Airport area would be reduced by as much as 50 percent. However, by collecting and re-infiltrating seepage from the underdrain as described above, the impact of runway construction on baseflow to Miller Creek will be substantially mitigated.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 52

#### Chapter VI

#### MITIGATION PROGRAM

The Port has committed to comprehensive mitigation measures designed not only to fully compensate for adverse impacts to wetland and other aquatic resource functions, but also to positively augment, improve, and enhance the wetland and other aquatic resource functions. This chapter describes and explains all mitigation measures incorporated into the Master Plan Update improvement projects that will avoid, minimize, rectify, or compensate for adverse impacts to wetlands and other aquatic resources. Some of these mitigation measures have been developed and added to the Port's commitments very recently as a result of the new information on the nature, extent, and location of affected wetlands and other aquatic resources. Table 6.1 summarizes such mitigation actions and their relationship to NEPA, SEPA, and the Clean Water Act. Table 6.2 summarizes on-site and off-site compensatory mitigation for watershed, wetland, and stream impacts of the proposed Airport improvements.

As a result of the Port's mitigation commitments, including recent additional mitigation commitments in response to new information on affected wetlands and other aquatic resources, all significant adverse impacts to such resources will be mitigated below the level of significance.

As explained in Chapter II, it is not possible to mitigate impacts on the habitat function of affected wetlands within the same watershed or basin. Wetland habitat attracts birds and, thus, presents potential aircraft dangers if located within 10,000 feet of active runways. Beyond 10,000 feet from the runways, but within the same watershed, adequate suitable land for the mitigation of adverse impacts on habitat functions is not available. Consequently, adverse impacts on most wetland functions (hydrologic, water quality, fish habitat) will be mitigated within the same watershed ("on-site" or "in-basin"). But adverse impacts on wetland bird habitat functions must be mitigated outside of the watershed on a 69-acre parcel in the City of Auburn immediately west of the Green River and within 6 miles of the airport.

#### 1. On-Site (In-Basin) Mitigation

In-basin mitigation to compensate for potential impacts to the hydrology and aquatic habitat of Miller and Des Moines creeks will create significant stormwater management facilities, restore riparian buffers, restore segments of the Miller Creek channel and streams, establish a watershed trust fund, and improve base flows. This mitigation plan focuses on potential in-basin stream impacts by improving hydrology, water quality, and aquatic habitat in both creeks.

Mitigation for wildlife habitat (bird and small mammals) is provided out-of-basin in a large, highquality wetland system in the City of Auburn. At this location the mitigation complies with the FAA Advisory Circular regarding wildlife attractants near airports. In basin mitigation in the Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek basins are summarized in the following Sections and **Tables 6-1 and 6-2**.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

53

08/19/99 50111601.03

# Miller Creek Floodplain Buffer Enhancements

A buffer area will be established along the east side of the relocated segment of Miller Creek between the creek and the new 154<sup>th</sup> Street. The buffer will be a minimum of 50 ft wide and will provide soil stabilization functions and also reduce human intrusion into the riparian zone.

A 25-ft buffer will be established around the west and north perimeter of Lora Lake. This mitigation action is intended to avoid existing impacts from residential uses (e.g., structures, lawn, and lawn chemicals) next to Lora Lake, and to establish woody vegetation around the lake. Existing features, such as houses, outbuildings, driveways, and other structures, will be removed. The 25-ft buffer will be established from the edge of ordinary high water mark (OHWM) landward surrounding the north and west sides of Lora Lake; it will be enhanced with native trees and shrubs to provide approximately 0.60 acre of shoreline buffer. This buffer will reduce waterfowl habitat by eliminating lawn areas used as foraging habitat.

A buffer between the floodplain enhancement area and Des Moines Memorial Drive will be established and enhanced. This area will be planted with native upland vegetation to provide a physical buffer between the road and the enhanced shrub floodplain wetland and relocated creek. The width of this buffer will vary between 20 and 50 ft.

#### Miller Creek Buffer Enhancement

Downstream of the floodplain enhancement areas, on the west side of Miller Creek a 100-ft buffer will be established along the west side of approximately 6,500 linear ft of Miller Creek (within the acquisition area). The buffer enhancements will improve creek habitat and eliminate yard chemicals, untreated stormwater runoff, and septage from reaching the creek. They will enhance water quality and aquatic habitat.

This buffer enhancement project will protect a total of about 24 acres of riparian habitat along Miller Creek. Buffer averaging will be used on the east side of the creek, where a minimum 50-ft buffer will be established. Where the embankment design allows, buffers will be increased so the average buffer width is 100 ft. Stormwater facilities will be included in the calculation of average buffer widths because they will receive infrequent human use and are protective of riparian functions.

The planting approach along the length of the buffer will vary depending upon the existing condition of the buffer, in sections of the buffer that are primarily lawn, areas will be planted with native trees and shrubs. Areas which contain some native and some non-native vegetation, would be enhanced by either inter-planting native species to produce a continuous tree canopy or under-planting native shrubs beneath an existing canopy that lacks understory vegetation. Some areas that contain invasive species (such as Himalayan blackberry and Japanese knotweed) will be cleared, graded, and also planted with native woody vegetation.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 54



#### In-Stream Habitat Features

In-stream habitat enhancement will occur at four locations within Miller Creek (see Figure 4.1-1). The first will occur south of the Vacca Farm site, enhancement will include removal of rock riprap from portions of Miller Creek, removal of footbridges, and removal of trash. Large woody debris would be placed throughout these sections of the creek and ditch. The associated wetland and upland areas along the creek will be planted with native wetland and upland vegetation species.

Approximately 200 ft north of S 160<sup>th</sup> Street the second enhancement project would include installing large woody debris in the creek channel, grading a small section of the west bank of the creek to create a gravel bench in the flood plain, and planting the upland area with native trees and shrubs.

South of the S 160th Street culvert, the third enhancement project would consist of grading a section of the west bank to re-establish a floodplain along the creek. Additional enhancement in this location includes removing a rubber tire bulkhead and installing large woody debris in the creek and on its banks. The buffer areas will be planted with native trees and shrubs.

In the southern portion of Miller Creek, east of 8th Avenue S., enhancement will be similar to that described for the S. 160<sup>th</sup> Street project, above, except that grading will occur on both the east and west banks. Footbridges and portions of concrete block walls will be removed.

In addition to these specific enhancements, debris such as tires, garbage, and fences will be removed throughout the entire stretch of Miller Creek from the Vacca Farm site south to Des Moines Memorial Drive. In areas where access is readily available, large woody debris will be selectively placed throughout the creek to improve in stream habitat conditions.

#### **Drainage Channel Mitigation**

Approximately 1,460 linear feet of drainage channels located west of the airfield will be filled to accommodate the Third Runway embankment. The functions of these channels will be replaced by a drainage channel located between a perimeter road, and the Third Runway embankment. The drainage channels will be revegetated with native grass and low growing shrubs.

#### **Restoration After Temporary Impacts**

Approximately 2.70 acres of forested, emergent, and shrub wetland located west of the Third Runway embankment, north of relocated S. 154<sup>th</sup> Street and west of the Miller Creek relocation project will be temporarily filled or disturbed during construction of the embankment and several retaining walls designed to minimize permanent impacts to these wetlands.

After construction activities are complete, fill material will be removed, pre-disturbance topography will be recreated, and the wetlands will be planted with native shrub vegetation. All of these areas will be monitored.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

55

# Tyee Valley Golf Course Wetland Restoration

To improve water quality and riparian habitat within the Des Moines Creek Basin. approximately four acres of emergent wetland area, located within the existing and active Tyee Valley Golf Course, will be restored to a native shrub vegetation community. The restoration actions will be integrated into plans to construct a regional detention facility (RDF) on the golf course. The enhancement will convert the existing turf wetland to native shrub wetland community. Planting a native shrub community on the golf course will reduce chemical runoff reaching aquatic environments and fish populations in Des Moines Creek, increase nutrient removal and recycling in the riparian zone, and decrease wildlife attractants within 10,000 feet of the airfield (as required by FAA).

Integration of the wetland restoration into the RDF design also will increase flood storage and water quality enhancement functions that the wetlands currently provide. Shrub communities planned for the wetland will be tolerant of the planned hydrologic regime of the final RDF design.

#### In-Basin Stormwater Mitigation

The Port will construct the necessary stormwater conveyance, detention, and treatment facilities to manage runoff from both newly developed project areas and existing airport areas. These facilities will not only mitigate new construction impacts, as required by current stormwater regulations and mitigation goals identified during the environmental review process, but they will also help to reduce current flood peaks in these basins to further mitigate the impacts of airport stormwater discharges.

# Stormwater Detention Based on Higher Stormwater Standards

Detention storage provided would exceed that normally required by local regulations, and result in additional mitigation of stormwater impacts from Master Plan Update improvement project areas. To reduce the peak stormwater runoff impacts on Miller and Des Moines creeks, the flow control standards adopted by the Port will comply with the approved Master Plan Update FEIS/FSEIS, the Governors Certificate, the King County Surface Water Design Manual, and SMMPS (Ecology 1992).

At a minimum, stormwater detention from Master Plan Update development projects will be designed to a "modified Level 1 standard" (e.g., control of the 2-, 10-, and 100-year peak flows to pre-developed conditions)<sup>11</sup>, as measured at the points of discharge to the streams and at downstream locations on Miller and Des Moines creeks.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

<sup>11</sup> All hydrologic analyses are performed using the Hydrologic Simulation Program - FORTRAN (HSPT) model.

The total volume of proposed new stormwater detention storage is 76.6 acre-feet, to be constructed in 8 separate facilities.

## Retrofit existing airport areas with stormwater detention

To further reduce stormwater peak flows and flow volumes, and to comply with the redevelopment provisions of Ecology's stormwater manual that requires retrofitting of stormwater detention to existing airport areas, the Port has committed to achieving Level 2-type streamflows in Miller and Des Moines Creeks (e.g., control of flow duration between 50 percent of the 2-year and 50-year events to pre-developed conditions).

On Miller Creek, storage in the existing Miller Creek Regional Detention Facility will be expanded by 16.4 acre-feet. This should achieve the target watershed flow regime for all areas draining to that facility. Stormwater detention facilities that drain to lower Miller Creek, which includes a large portion of the Third Runway, will be designed to King County's Level 2 standard because the Miller Creek Detention Facility cannot achieve the target watershed flow regime in that portion of the stream.

On Des Moines Creek, the proposed Des Moines Regional Detention Facility will retrofit detention storage to mitigate the impacts of past development. The facility also will achieve the target watershed flow regime in Des Moines Creek under full Master Plan Update development, through on-site facilities designed to the modified Level 1 standard. In cooperation with King County and the cities of SeaTac and Des Moines, the Port is providing financial assistance and property for the proposed regional facility.

#### Maintain base flows

To lessen the impacts of new impervious surfaces, which reduce groundwater recharge and result in decreased base flow rates, existing water rights along Miller Creek will be acquired to eliminate current surface water diversions from that stream. On Des Moines Creek, King County is planning a flow augmentation project with the support of the Port, to provide supplemental water to the stream during critical low-flow summer months.

#### **Provide** infiltration at stormwater detention facilities

Further improvements to base flows can be achieved by infiltrating stormwater at the detention facilities. Because site conditions must be favorable for infiltration to be feasible, the Port will evaluate infiltration during the project design phase. Infiltration will be incorporated into constructed facilities when geologic conditions permit.

#### Watershed Basin Trust Funds

Watershed trust funds will be established, to enhance aquatic habit in Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek. These trust funds will provide \$150,000 for restoration projects in each basin for projects that comply with the FAA Advisory circular regarding wildlife attractants near airports.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

57

08/19/99 50111601.03

Examples of projects eligible for trust fund monies will be defined by the Des Moines Creek Basin plan, the Stream Survey Report for Miller Creek, or other projects that meet the key criteria used to evaluate proposals. Requests for monies must be made by King County, City of SeaTac, City of Des Moines, City of Burien, City of Normandy Park, special districts, tribal governments, non-profit organizations, or combinations of such governments through interlocal agreements.

#### Water Quality Mitigation

The Master Plan Update improvements are not expected to affect existing water quality because:

- 1. the quality of runway stormwater has been shown to be comparable to or better than regional urban stormwater, and
- 2. in contrast to existing land uses, all projects will be served by BMPs in compliance with the Stormwater Management Manual for the Puget Sound (bioswales, filter strips, wet vaults, infiltration).

Since both Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek drain urban watersheds, both are subject to inputs of heavy metals, oils and grease from nearby urban highways, fecal coliforms from failing residential septic systems and adjacent farms, suspended solids and litter carried in urban runoff, and increased levels of phosphorus and nitrogen from fertilization of cultivated areas. These impacts are typical of an urban environment supporting an assortment of residential, commercial, and industrial activities. Sources of many of these pollutants will be removed as part of implementing development within the approximately 258-acre acquisition area. Because actions to mitigate impacts to water quality will be in place, the quality of stormwater runoff in the future will be equal to or better than, current stormwater quality.

The following actions will be undertaken by the Port to mitigate potential impacts to future water quality impacts.

- Employ source identification and control (sweeping, rooftop coatings, etc.) to reduce sources of particulates and the leaching of pollutants entering surface waters.
- Divert de-icing compounds with snowmelt facilities to the Industrial Wastewater System (IWS).
- Construct erosion and sedimentation controls to reduce the impacts of suspended and settleable solids to the streams.
- Enhance wetlands in both Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek to improve water quality by trapping particulates and assimilating dissolved pollutants.
- Restore and enhance stream channels and buffers in Miller Creek to improve biofiltration of runoff from areas adjacent to the stream.
- Restore and enhance buffers in Miller Creek to provide shade that will reduce stream temperature and increase dissolved oxygen capacity.
- Implement level 2 hydrologic controls (larger stormwater vaults) to reduce erosive flows, thereby reducing sediment supply to downstream reaches.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

## 2. Off-Site Avian Habitat Mitigation

Off-site mitigation of impacts to wetland avian habitat function is proposed because FAA regulations prohibit the siting of potential wildlife attractants (including wetland mitigation) within 10,000 ft of active runways. The Port has concluded that potential wetland habitat mitigation sites are not available in either the Des Moines Creek or Miller Creek watersheds. These watersheds are almost totally within the 10,000-foot exclusion area for wildlife habitat mitigation. The areas of the watersheds that are more than 10,000 feet from existing runways are not suitable for mitigation due to their small size, developed nature, forested condition, or the lack of hydrologic conditions necessary to support wetlands.

To mitigate loss of wildlife habitat on site, the Port will construct a 34.7-acre wetland mitigation area on a 67-acre parcel in the city of Auburn. This wetland mitigation area will replace lost wetland functions at a 2:1 ratio by providing a diverse wetland habitat. Approximately 26 acres of forest, 3.4 acres of shrub, 5.2 acres of emergent, and 0.1 acres of open water wetland habitat will be created at the Auburn site. About 6 acres of emergent wetland will be enhanced by planting native tree and shrub vegetation within the wetland. The wetland will be protected by a minimum of 15 acres of upland buffer.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

59

Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-Evaluation Document

TTTUEITUET							A second statements
Table 6-1.	Summary of mitigation actions and their re	elation to	NEPA, S	SEPA, and	Clean	Water	Act mingstion
	sequencing requirements.						

Mitigation Requirement	Proposed Mitigation Action
New Third Runway	
Avoid the impact by not taking a certain action or parts of an	Avoid fill in wetlands and Miller Creek by designing the runway to meet the minimum operational, engineering, safety, and maintenance standards.
action.	Locate, where feasible, permanent stormwater detention ponds in uplands. Avoid excavation within 50-feet of Category II and III wetlands in Borrow Area 3.
	Avoid wetlands in Borrow Area 1 where practical.
Minimize the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action.	Construct retaining walls at the northwest end of the runway to reduce impacts to Miller Creek and Category II wetlands (Wetlands 8, 9, and A-1) located at the north end of the project.
	Install a retaining wall near the west central portion of the embankment to reduce impacts to Category II Wetlands 18 and 37 and avoid relocation of Miller Creek.
	Place a retaining wall near the southwest end of the runway to reduce impact to a Category II wetland (Wetland 44).
	Design Borrow Areas 1 and 3 with a 200-foot minimum setback from Des Moines Creek to minimize potential impact to the creek and its buffers.
	Implement stormwater pollution prevention plans (SWPPPs) prior to any construction project.
Rectify the impact by restoring the affected environment.	Remove temporary stormwater management facilities located in wetlands following construction. These disturbed areas will be restored to pre- construction conditions.
Reduce the impact over time by preservation and maintenance actions during the life of the	Establish a 100-ft average (minimum 50-ft) buffer on the east side of Miller Creek with a 100-ft buffer on the west side of the creek to reduce potential construction and operational impacts to the creek.
action	Provide water quantity and water quality mitigation to protect aquatic habitat in Miller Creek from stormwater impacts during operation.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

60

Seattle-Tacoma International Airport	ì
Wetlands Re-Evaluation Document	

	Proposed Mitigation Action
Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or	Restore the Vacca Farm wetland/floodplain area. including creating new floodplain, restoring wetland vegetation, and providing protective buffers
providing substitute resources.	Restore and enhance Miller Creek stream habitat in the Vacca Farm area.
	Enhance Miller Creek and Miller Creek buffers for fish habitat at three locations between S 160 <sup>th</sup> St. and Des Moines Memorial Drive.
	Restore Miller Creek instream habitat south of the Vacca Farm site to Des Moines Memorial Drive.
	Restore wetlands on the Tyee Valley Golf Course including restoring wetland vegetation to reduce wildlife hazards and improve water quality.
	Provide a trust fund to enhance fisheries habitat in Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek.
	Create replacement wetlands at an off-site location for the loss of wildlife habitat within 10,000 feet of the airport runways.
Monitor the impact and take appropriate corrective actions.	Monitor mitigation projects for compliance with performance standards as other permit conditions.
	Monitor stormwater runoff for compliance with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements.
	Monitor remaining wetlands for indirect impacts to wetland hydrology.
away Safety Areas	
Avoid the impact by not taking a certain action or parts of an action.	Construct retaining walls to support a relocated S 154 <sup>th</sup> St. and avoid permanent fill in Wetlands 3 and 4.
Minimize the impact by limiting the degree or	Construct retaining walls to support a relocated S 154 <sup>th</sup> St. and reduce permanent fill and temporary impacts in Wetland 5.
magnitude of the action.	Implement SWPPPs prior to any construction project.
Rectify the impact by restoring the affected environment.	Restore wetland areas temporarily impacted by required temporary erosion and sediment control facilities.
Reduce the impact over time by preservation and maintenance actions during the life of the action	Provide water quantity and water quality mitigation to protect wetlands an other receiving waters from stormwater impacts during operation.
Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or	Restore the Vacca Farm wetland/floodplain area to provide hydrologic and water quality functions.
	Create replacement wetlands for wildlife habitat (greater than 10,000 feet

.

Seattle-Tacoma International Airpol	п
Wetlands Re-Evaluation Document	
	_

.

Mitigation Requirement	Proposed while the for indirect impacts to hydrology.	
Monitor the impact and take	Monitor remaining wetlands for nomeliance with performance standards a	
appropriate corrective actions.	Monitor mitigation projects for compliance with performance summer as other permit conditions.	
	Monitor stormwater runoff for compliance with NPDES requirements.	
th Aviation Support Area		
Avoid the impact by not taking a certain action or parts of an action.	Redesign the SASA footprint to avoid relocation of Des Momes Creek.	
Minimize the impact by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action.	Redesign the SASA to avoid direct impacts to forested wetland (Wetland 52) that provides groundwater discharge functions.	
Rectify the impact by restoring the affected environment.	Restore potential temporary impacts to Des Moines Creek and non-fores areas of Wetland 52.	
Reduce the impact over time by preservation and maintenance actions during the life of the action.	Design water quantity and water quality mitigation to protect wetlands fi stormwater impacts.	
Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources.	Restore wetlands on the Tyee Valley Golf Course to provide water quali and hydrologic benefits to replace lost wetland functions.	
	Construct replacement wetlands for wildlife habitat (greater than 10,000 from the airport runways at the Auburn site).	
	Provide a trust fund for enhancement of fisheries habitat of Des Moines Creek.	
Monitor the impact and take	Monitor Wetland 52 for indirect impacts to wetland hydrology.	
appropriate corrective actions.	Monitor mitigation projects for compliance with performance standards other permit conditions.	
	Monitor stormwater runoff for compliance with NPDES requirements.	
e-site Borrow Source Areas		
Avoid the impact by not taking a certain action or parts of an action.	Redesign development areas within Borrow sites 1 and 3 to avoid excavation of nine wetlands (Wetlands B1, B4, B5, B6, B7, B9, B10, 29 and 30).	
Minimize the impact by limiting the degree or	Establish a minimum 100-ft buffer between Borrow site 1 and Des Moin creek to minimize impacts to creek hydrology.	
magnitude of the action.	Follow a TESCP to eliminate siltation reaching wetlands or Des Moines Creek from excavation activities.	

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

62

Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-Evaluation Document

Mitigation Requirement	Proposed Mitigation Action Maintain Best Management Practices (BMPs) throughout the operating period to ensure adjacent wetlands will be protected from adverse construction related activities.	
Reduce the impact over time by preservation and maintenance actions during the life of the action		
Compensate for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources.	Restore wetlands on the Tyee Valley Golf Course to compensate for water quality and hydrologic support functions impacted in Des Moines Creek basin.	
	Provide a trust fund for enhancement of fisheries habitat of Des Moines Creek.	
Monitor the impact and take appropriate corrective actions.	Monitor Wetlands B1, B4, B5, B6, B7, B9, B10, 29, and 30 for potential indirect impacts to wetland hydrology from excavation activities.	
	Monitor stormwater runoff and TESC for compliance with NPDES requirements.	

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act

SEPA = State Environmental Policy Act

.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

08/19/99 50111601.03

•

-

STIA.		
Description of Impact	Mingation Action	Explanation/Comment
<b>On-Site Mitigation</b> *		
Permanent Impacts		
Fill approximately 980 linear ft of Miller Creek channel to accommodate third runway embankment.	Relocate approximately 1,080 ft of Miller Creek channel.	Channel relocation will enhance aquatic habitat by providing stream buffers, instream habitat features, and increase channel length by approximately 100 ft.
		Establish a buffer around the channel relocation project with native trees and shrubs. (This buffer extends into the floodplain area.)
Fill drainage channels to accommodate third runway embankment.	Create new drainage channel and establish protective buffers.	Create approximately 1.290 ft of new drainage channel(s) with associated buffer habitat.
Fill approximately 8,500 cy of Miller Creek floodplain to accommodate third runway embankment and S 154 <sup>th</sup> St. relocation.	Replace lost floodplain.	Excavate approximately 9.600 cy to achieve storage of 5.94 acre-ft from the Vacca Farm site, providing an excess of 0.7 acre-ft of floodwater storage.
Impact approximately 18.28 acres of wetland during construction of the third runway embankment and other construction related projects.	Restore Vacca Farm to historic floodplain shrub wetland.	Approximately 11 acres of prior converted wetland and farmed wetland will be planted with native trees, shrubs, and emergent species. Restoration of the area will stabilize soils, improve water quality, and enhance Miller Creek habitat. It will reduce wildlife habitat attractants and conform to FAA mandates regarding wildlife attractants for airport
	Establish 50-ft buffer between the floodplain enhancement area and Des Moines Memorial Drive.	safety. The buffer will be established and enhanced by planting native upland trees and shrubs to provide approximately 1.89 acres of upland buffer.
	Restore wetlands on the Tyee Valley Golf Course.	Plant approximately 4.5 acres of historic peat wetlands on the Tyee Valley Golf Course with native shrub communities. This enhancement will be coordinated with Des Moines Creek Basin Committee planned RDF. The enhancement and RDF will improve hydrologic functions of the watershed, reduce wildlife attractants near the airfield, and restore a peat wetland.
Temporary Impacts *		
Construct temporary stormwater management ponds and other construction impacts, which may impact up to 2.17 acres of wetland.	Restore wetland areas after construction is complete.	Wetlands that will be temporarily filled or disturbed will be restored. Restoration will include establishing pre-disturbance topography and planting with native shrub vegetation.

# Table 6-2. Summary of on- and off-site compensatory mitigation for watershed, wetland, and stream impacts at

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document 64

Description of Impact	Mitigation Action	Explanation/Comment
Indirect and Cumulative Impacts		
Filled wetlands near Miller Creek that reduce aquatic habitat value of the creek.	Establish and enhance buffers along Miller Creek corridor between S 156 <sup>th</sup> St. and Des Moines Memorial Drive.	Establish a 100-ft buffer on the west side of Miller Creek and a 100 ft average (50-ft minimum) buffer on the east side of the creek. These buffers will provide approximately 24 acres of riparian buffer habitat.
	Establish a 25-ft buffer around Lora Lake.	Approximately 0.60 acre of buffer around Lora Lake will be converted from lawn to native shrub vegetation.
Additional development in the watersheds could result in additional cumulative impacts.	Participate in developing and implementing Miller Creek and Des Moines Creek basin plans.	These planning processes will identify effective, long-term solutions to restore additional fish habitat to Miller and Des Moines creeks. The Port will contribute both staffing resources and funds, and work with other cooperating jurisdictions to plan and implement appropriate watershed restoration projects.
The runway fill may eliminate water sources that contribute to remaining wetlands down slope of the	Design internal drainage and conveyance channels.	Subsurface and surface conveyance channels will continue to collect and distribute groundwater currently surfacing near 12 <sup>th</sup> Ave. S to Miller Creek and associated wetlands.
ninway.	Monitor wetlands adjacent to the third runway embankment.	Wetlands subject to potential indirect impacts will be monitored to determine if unmitigated indirect impacts have occurred. If significant new wetland impacts are verified, corrective actions will be implemented.
Off-Site Mitigation		
Permanent Impacts		
Loss of approximately 18.28 acres of wetland wildlife (avian) habitat	Replace avian habitat function off-site at an overall ratio of 2:1	Due to conflicts with avian habitat and aviation safety concerns, new wetlands habitat will be created at a 69-acre site in Auburn, Washington. This wetland creation will increase overall avian and other wildlife use and diversity in an area that will not compromise aviation safety.

All mitigation areas (including, but not limited to, streams, wetlands, buffers, and floodplains) located within 10,000 ft of a runway shall be subject to the provisions of the Port of Seattle's Wildlife Hazard Management Plan for the management of wildlife and wildlife attractant areas.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document

65



#### Chapter VII

#### CONCLUSION

Until recently, the Port had not been able to gain access to several hundred parcels of land that would be affected by the third runway and other improvements at STIA. Previous identification of wetlands on inaccessible land, for the analyses in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS, by necessity, was based on aerial photography, topographical maps, and visual observation from adjacent public rights-of-way and Port-owned land.

The recently refined wetland delineation, on the basis of on-the-ground inspections and surveys of previously inaccessible properties, identified some previously unobserved isolated wetlands and ascertained that some previously identified wetland areas were larger and some smaller than had been determined by the earlier delineations. The net result of the more refined delineation and several project design modifications, was an increase in wetlands that would be affected by the planned Airport improvements. Quantitatively, the area of affected wetlands increased from 12.23 to 18.28 acres plus temporary and indirect impacts. Qualitatively, the affected wetlands virtually all fell into the poor to average categories of wetland function established by the state Department of Ecology.

NEPA and SEPA do not require any formal process or documentation of agency consideration of whether new information on environmental impacts requires a new supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS). Nevertheless, the Port, in the interest of assuring a systematic "hard look" at the new information and providing a public record, has conducted a study re-evaluating wetland impacts in light of the refined wetland delineations. After this systematic reassessment of wetland impacts, the Port, as SEPA lead agency, has concluded that preparation of a new SEIS is not required by SEPA or NEPA.

While the new information reveals that a greater total area of wetlands would be affected by the projects, the functions of the additional wetlands are essentially the same as those analyzed in the 1996 FEIS and 1997 FSEIS. Most importantly, the Port's extensive mitigation commitments, including new mitigation measures and project design-modifications in response to the new information, will fully compensate for all impairment of wetland functions and may result in a net increase in wetland functions. Since the project incorporates mitigation measures that will avoid or compensate for all significant adverse wetland impacts, including those related to the new information, there will be no net significant adverse impacts to wetlands and no warrant for preparation of a new SEIS.

Draft - Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Wetlands Re-evaluation Document **66** 

