

Public Notice of Application for Permit

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2001

DEPT OF ECOLOGY

Regulatory Branch Post Office Box 3755 Seattle, Washington 98124-3755 Telephone (206) 764-3495 ATTN: Jonathan Freedman, Project Manager

Public Notice Date: 27 December 2000 Expiration Date: 16 February 2001 Reference: 1996-4-02325 Name: Seattle, Port of

SECOND REVISED PUBLIC NOTICE

Interested parties are hereby notified that a revised application has been received for a Department of the Army permit in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act for certain work described below and shown on the enclosed drawings. The work has been circulated twice previously for public comment under the same application reference number (1996-4-02325), 19 December 1997 and 30 September 1999. The Corps and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) have held joint public hearings in connection with each public comment period, the first on 9 April 1998; and the second on 3 November 1999. Copies of the public notices and transcripts of the public hearings are available at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) office as noted on page 3 of this public notice. Revisions to the applicant's proposed project are described below and shown on sheets 1 – 38.

Comments should be restricted to revisions in the applicant's proposal since the previous public comment periods of December 1997 - April 1998, and September through November 2000, or to update previously submitted comments. All written comments received by the Corps during these previous comment periods remain a part of the record for this application and will be given full consideration in making a permit decision.

APPLICANT - Port of Seattle

17900 International Blvd., Suite 402 Seattle-Tacoma International Airport SeaTac, Washington 98188-4236 ATTN: Ms. Elizabeth Leavitt Telephone: (206) 433-7203

<u>LOCATION</u> - In the Miller Creek, Walker Creek, and Des Moines Creek watersheds and in wetlands at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA), located in and within the vicinity of the city of SeaTac, King County, Washington; and in wetlands at the mitigation site in Auburn, King County, Washington.

<u>WORK</u> - Fill all or portions of 50 wetlands totaling approximately 18.37 acres¹, and temporarily impact 12 wetlands totaling 2.05 acres. Fill 14.23 acres of wetlands to construct an 8,500-foot parallel third

¹ At the date of the 1997 and 1999 public notices for this project, the applicant did not have access to all parcels impacted by the applicant's proposed action. Accordingly, the Corps was unable to complete verification of all wetlands in the project area. The applicant has since gained access to all acquired properties and delineated new wetland areas impacted by the project. The Corps has verified these new wetland boundaries. The verification of all wetland boundaries impacted by the applicant's proposal in this public notice is, therefore, complete.

runway west of the existing runways at STIA, and relocate the South 154th/S. 156th Way bridge. Excavate and perform land clearing on 1.10 acres of jurisdictional wetlands at onsite borrow sources located south of the existing runways to provide fill material for the third runway. Fill 0.14 of an acre to construct two new Runway Safety Areas (RSAs) on the north end of the existing runways. An additional 2.78 acres of fill will be placed in wetlands 1 mile south of the existing terminal to construct the South Aviation Support Area (SASA) for airport support and maintenance facilities.

Implementation of the work involves development and/or redevelopment of approximately 700 acres in uplands outside Corps jurisdiction. Fill in wetlands will include about 8.17 acres of forested wetlands, 2.98 acres of scrub-shrub wetlands, and 7.22 acres of emergent wetlands. This impact represents an increase of 0.04 of an acre from the first revised public notice of 3 November 1999.

The proposed work would also require filling and reconstruction of approximately 980 linear feet of Miller Creek (0.25 of an acre), about 1,290 linear feet (0.13 of an acre) of drainage channels in the Miller Creek basin, and 100 linear feet (0.01 of an acre) of drainage channel in the Des Moines Creek basin. The amount of creek and channel impacts is unchanged since the 1999 public notice.

In addition to permanent impacts totaling 18.37 acres, up to 2.05 acres of wetlands (1.15, 0.46, and 0.44 acres of forest, shrub, and emergent wetlands, respectively) would be subjected to temporary impacts during construction of the Master Plan improvement projects. Temporary wetland impacts would result from construction of stormwater management facilities (sediment fencing, conveyance channels, and sedimentation ponds) to protect downslope water quality. Wetland areas impacted by construction would be restored to shrub and or forested wetlands following construction. These potential temporary impacts have been reduced from 2.17 acres as cited in the first revised public notice of 1999, due to design refinements which have resulted in the elimination of impacts to Wetlands 3, 48, and B15.

Construction of onsite wetland and stream mitigation (described in the Mitigation section below) would occur in about 17.2 acres of jurisdictional wetlands. Modification of these wetlands would be temporary and, following implementation of the mitigation, are intended to result in restoring or enhancing water quality, flood storage and other wetland functions to the areas.

Implementation of offsite mitigation in the city of Auburn would result in temporary impacts to 21.64 acres of historically farmed and emergent wetlands. These impacts would include temporary disturbances related to installing enhancement plantings, a temporary irrigation system, temporary access, construction staging, excavation of low quality emergent wetlands to provide a connection to the 100-year floodplain, and excavation to create a diversity of wetland types and functions. About 0.12 of an acre of wetlands would be considered permanently filled due to the construction of an access road to the mitigation site.

The location of wetlands and the extent and nature of wetland impacts are discussed in: (a) Wetland Delineation Report, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Master Plan Update Improvements (December 2000) and (b) the Wetland Functional Assessment and Impact Analysis Report- Master Plan Update Improvements, Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (December 2000). Copies of these and other documents referenced in this notice are available at the following locations:

- The Port of Seattle Neighborhood Field Office at 19639 28th Avenue South, SeaTac WA 98188. Building E, Room SC4-1011A;
- Burien Public Library, 14700 6th Avenue Southwest, Burien WA 98166.

- Des Moines Public Library at 21620 11th South, Des Moines WA 98198.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District Law Library, Room 2131A; 4735 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, WA 98124-2255

More Detailed Information on Other Projects in the Vicinity: Since circulation of the previous public notices, more detailed information on other proposed projects at and in the vicinity of Sea-Tac airport is now available to the Corps. This information is cited because it may be relevant to the consideration of potential cumulative impacts. Projects sponsored primarily by entities other than the Port of Seattle (Port) include, among others, the Des Moines Creek Regional Detention Facility; the State Route 509 extension/South Access roadway; the Regional Transit Authority Light Rail Project; and city of SeaTac land use planning activities. Projects sponsored by the FAA, independent of the Master Plan projects include navigation improvements (an air traffic control tower and Terminal Radar Approach Control (TRACON). Projects sponsored primarily by the Port, apart from Master Plan Update projects include, among others, Industrial Wastewater System Lagoon 3; the South Terminal Expansion Project; the Part 150 noise study; and the aircraft hydrant fueling system; and replacement of a water tower in the Gilliam Creek basin. The project would not add new impervious surfaces to the Gilliam Creek watershed.

The Port also proposes to construct a temporary interchange at SR 509, in the vicinity of 170th Street, to facilitate truck and construction vehicle access to the construction site. This work according to the Port, would not have any direct impacts on wetlands or waters of the United States. The Corps reverified wetland boundaries in the vicinity of the Interchange site at Wetland 44, but has not reviewed final project plans for the interchange as of the date of this public notice.

Information on these and other projects is available from the Corps, the applicant, the FAA, and at selected public libraries at the addresses listed elsewhere in this public notice. The public is invited to submit comments on the potential cumulative impacts of these other projects, together with the proposed work described in this notice.

Changes in Impact to Waters of the United States: Changes in wetlands affected by the project and associated mitigation since the 1999 public notice include:

- A total of 15.61 acres of additional palustrine emergent wetlands have now been delineated and verified at the offsite mitigation site in Auburn. Approximately 1.60 acres of these wetlands extend off the site into the temporary and permanent construction easements, and approximately 19.50 acres are located within the boundary of the 67-acre Auburn mitigation site. The previous verified wetlands delineation at the offsite mitigation area in Auburn identified about 6 acres of wetland at the Auburn mitigation site.
- A 100-foot buffer has been added around the entire Auburn mitigation site, portions of which are wetlands.
- Approximately 3.20 additional acres of riparian and non-riparian wetlands, on recently acquired property near Miller Creek, will be enhanced as part of the Miller Creek in-basin mitigation actions.
- A 100-foot buffer along a portion of the West Branch of Des Moines Creek with enhanced wetland plantings has been added.

- Temporary construction impacts to wetlands have been reduced by 0.12 of an acre.
- Impacts to Wetlands 48 and B15 in Borrow Area 1 have been eliminated.

<u>PURPOSE</u> - The project purpose is to meet the public need for an efficient regional air transportation facility to meet anticipated future demand. The purpose is also described in the original and first revised public notice and remains the existing purpose of record for this application. Additional discussion about analysis of alternatives is found below in the additional information section. The applicant proposes to accomplish this by implementing specific measures at Sea-Tac which are summarized as follows:

- Third Runway. Improve the poor weather airfield operating capability to accommodate aircraft activity with reduced delay in aircraft takeoffs and landings. As aircraft operations at Sea-Tac have increased over the years, aircraft delay, particularly during poor weather conditions, has worsened. Recent forecasts predict continued increases in aircraft operations and continued worsening of aircraft delay during poor weather conditions. A third runway would allow Sea-Tac to operate two runways for landing during times of poor weather.
- Runway Safety Areas (RSAs). Provide RSAs that meet current Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) standards. An RSA is the surface surrounding a runway suitable for reducing the risk of injury/damage in the event that an airplane undershoots, overshoots, or veers off the runway. The RSAs on the two existing runways at Sea-Tac do not meet current FAA standards.
- South Aviation Support Area (SASA). Develop an additional South Aviation Support Area (SASA) to accommodate aircraft maintenance facilities and air cargo facilities. Expansion of main air terminal Concourse A and development of the new North Terminal would displace existing maintenance and air cargo facilities. These terminal facilities are required to accommodate projected passenger demand.

<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u> – In 1992, the Puget Sound Regional Council and the Port issued the Flight Plan Project Final State EIS, pursuant to the Washington State Environmental Policy Act. In 1992 the FAA and the Port also issued the South Aviation Support Area Final Federal Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act.

An EIS for this project was prepared under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) by the FAA (the NEPA lead agency) and the Port (the SEPA lead agency). The Corps participated as a cooperating agency under NEPA. The document, entitled "Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport" was issued in February 1996. A supplement to the EIS, entitled "Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Master Plan Update Development Actions at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport" was issued in May 1997. The Master Plan Update is a comprehensive analysis of long-term needs for Sea-Tac airport and the regional transportation network in general. A full range of alternatives was addressed in the EIS, including alternative modes of transportation, construction of a new airport or modifications to an existing airport, improvements in systems management, development alternatives at Sea-Tac, and no action. After review of the alternative courses of action to address poor weather aircraft operating delay, the FAA, the Puget Sound Regional Council, and the Port concluded that the only practicable course of action to achieve the project purpose was to construct a third parallel air carrier runway and other air transportation facilities at Sea-Tac. Following review of alternative courses of action to bring the runways into compliance with FAA standards, the FAA and the Port of Seattle have concluded that it is necessary to construct extensions of the RSAs. The FAA and the Port of Seattle have also concluded that it is necessary to construct the SASA.

As a cooperating agency under NEPA, the Corps concluded that a number of upgrades and improvements at Sea-Tac proposed as part of the Master Plan update including, but not limited to, proposed terminal improvements, extension of runway 34R, parking and access improvements, and relocation, redevelopment and expansion of support facilities; would not involve the filling of wetlands or other waters of the United States and, therefore, would require no Department of the Army permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Individual permits issued by this office are normally valid for 3 years from the date of issuance. The work proposed by the Port of Seattle in this Public Notice is expected to take considerably longer than 3 years. Pursuant to the requirements of 33 CFR 325.6(c), the District Engineer hereby establishes the duration of a permit for this work, if one were to be issued, to be 7 years from the date of issuance.

<u>MITIGATION</u> - To compensate for unavoidable project impacts to streams and wetlands, the applicant has proposed onsite and offsite mitigation described in the *Natural Resource Mitigation Plan, Seattle Tacoma-International Airport Master Plan Update Improvements (December 2000).* In response to public review, agency comment, and new information collected since circulation of the first revised public notice dated 30 September 1999, the applicant has made the following changes to the mitigation plan.

Mitigation at the Vacca Farm Site has increased from about 11 to 17.15 acres. This mitigation area includes new Miller Creek in-stream habitat enhancements, enhanced prior converted cropland (6.60 acres), enhanced emergent scrub-shrub and forested wetlands (3.64 acres), enhanced shoreline and aquatic habitat in Lora Lake (3.06 acres). The mitigation also includes enhanced stream, shoreline, and wetland buffers associated with Miller Creek (4.85 acres).

The principal features of mitigation at Vacca farm remain: Relocation and enhancement of a 980-linear-foot reach of Miller Creek around the footprint of the proposed improvements; enhancement of fisheries habitat in relocated sections of Miller Creek; and excavation of new floodplain/wetland enhancement at Vacca Farm to compensate for floodplain areas filled.

 Mitigation in the Miller Creek buffer downstream of Vacca Farm has increased from 24 to 39.4 acres. This increase results from establishing 100-foot buffers from the outer edge of the riparian wetlands (versus the ordinary high watermark of the creek), and by including a buffer averaging approach to increase buffer size where the embankment and easements occur within 100 feet of Miller Creek or riparian wetlands.

The mitigation work downstream of Vacca Farm still includes the following major features:

a. Removal of existing development (including removal of septic tanks, underground storage tanks, ornamental vegetation, invasive species, and water uses);

b. Establishment of buffers vegetated with native woody vegetation along about 6,500 linear feet (about 40 acres) of Miller Creek. These buffers include enhancement of about 7.40 acres of wetlands in the Miller Creek buffer;

- c. Restoration of in-stream habitat at four locations in the Miller Creek channel;
- d. Installation of large woody debris along approximately 6,500 linear feet of the Miller Creek channel.

Onsite and in-basin mitigation for filling of 14.37 acres of wetlands in the Miller Creek watershed, described above, will occur on approximately 57 acres of property around Miller Creek that would be placed in native growth protection easements or an equivalent restrictive covenant. Additional in-basin mitigation would result from the establishment of a \$150,000 Trust Fund to promote enhancement of aquatic habitat in Miller Creek.

 Mitigation on the Tyee Valley Golf Course has increased from 4.5 to 10.46 acres. This results from the addition of increased buffers on the Tyee Valley golf course enhancement project, which would convert a managed golf course to scrub-shrub wetland habitat, bringing the total to 6.07 acres, and by adding buffers to a portion of the West Branch of Des Moines Creek (4.39 acres), and enhancing them with native shrubs.

The major details of mitigation for filling of 3.88 acres of wetlands in the Des Moines Creek watershed remain as from the first revised public notice and will occur on over 10 acres of property that would be placed in native growth protection easements or an equivalent restrictive covenant. Additional in-basin mitigation would result from the establishment of a \$150,000 Trust Fund to promote enhancement of aquatic habitat in Des Moines Creek.

• Out-of-basin mitigation in Auburn has increased from 51 acres to over 65 acres. This increase results from an increase in the buffer width from 50 to 100 feet. The project now includes 15.9 acres of buffer, 19.5 acres of wetlands enhancement, and 29.98 acres of wetlands creation or restoration.

The major features of the out-of-basin mitigation, on a site within the city of Auburn, adjacent to the Green River remain as in the 1999 public notice. This mitigation is located more than 10,000 feet from active runways at STIA, and consistent with provisions of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-33, which limits wildlife habitat mitigation near airports, would provide habitat mitigation. The mitigation plan consists of the following elements:

- a. In-kind replacement of wetlands at a mitigation ratio of 2.1:1 (about 17.20 acres).
- b. In-kind replacement of scrub-shrub wetlands at a ratio of 2:1 (about 6.00 acres).

c. In-kind replacement of emergent wetlands at a ratio of 0.9:1 (about 6.80 acres, which includes 0.60 of an acre of open water).

d. Enhance approximately 19.50 acres of existing wetlands with native tree and shrub species.

Protect the replacement wetlands with 100-foot-wide forested buffers and other upland forest areas. About 11.9 acres of buffer would protect the mitigation wetlands. About 4 acres of upland forest would provide habitat for upland wildlife in the interior portion of the site.

Consolidate impacts to many small, isolated, lower functioning wetlands into a larger, ecologically diverse wetlands ecosystem. The wetlands would provide increased habitat function in comparison to that provided by the impacted wetlands.

The Auburn wetland mitigation site consists of upland and palustrine emergent wetlands. About 10.40 acres of palustrine emergent wetlands dominated by pasture grasses would be regraded and replanted with native

wetland species. Approximately 9.10 acres of emergent wetlands (areas dominated by pasture grass) would be temporarily impacted by installation of plantings and temporary irrigation. About 1.60 acres of offsite emergent wetlands would be temporarily disturbed during construction of the temporary construction road. About 19.50 acres of existing emergent wetlands dominated by pasture grasses would be enhanced and restored to native forested wetlands habitat. Finally, about 2.20 acres of seasonally saturated emergent wetlands (dominated by reed canarygrass, pasture grasses, and/or row crops) could be altered by construction of channels connecting the mitigation site to the 100-year floodplain of the Green River. About 0.12 of an acre of wetlands (dominated by emergent pasture grasses) would be permanently impacted by construction access to the Auburn mitigation project. The total wetlands area affected at the Auburn mitigation site, including construction access, would be about 23 acres.

<u>ENDANGERED SPECIES</u> - The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA), as amended, requires assessment of potential impacts to listed and proposed species. Puget Sound chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and the Coastal/Puget Sound bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) have been listed as threatened in the State of Washington. These two species occur downstream of the proposed project area in the Miller and Des Moines Creek estuaries and do occur in the Green River (located approximately 200 feet east of the proposed offsite wetlands mitigation area in Auburn, Washington). Critical habitat has been designated for chinook salmon. The Miller and Des Moines Creek estuaries are in designated critical habitat areas for the species. The bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucoephalus*), listed as threatened in Washington, occurs in the project area. Overwintering bald eagles may use the Green River as a forage area; however, construction of the mitigation project will occur during the summer months when eagles are unlikely to be present. Upon completion, the mitigation site may provide additional roosting and forage habitat for eagles.

In 1995, during preparation of the EIS, a biological assessment (*Appendix K – STIA Airport Master Update Final EIS Biological Assessment, April 1995*) and addendum (*Addendum to Biological Assessment STIA Airport Master Plan Update Final EIS, December 1995*) were completed for the proposed work. At that time, the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucoephalus*), and peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), were listed as threatened in Washington. Based on the biological assessment and addendum, the FAA, the lead Federal agency, determined the proposed action was not likely to adversely affect bald eagles or peregrine falcons. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service concurred with this determination on 6 December 1995. After that time, the peregrine falcon was formally delisted under ESA.

In early 2000, following the listing of Puget Sound chinook, and Coastal/Puget Sound bull trout, the FAA, as the lead Federal agency for ESA consultation, reinitiated consultation for all currently listed fish and wildlife species. A biological assessment has been prepared addressing potential impacts to chinook salmon, bull trout, marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), and bald eagle. The FAA has initiated formal consultation under Section 7 of the ESA with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The Services are currently preparing biological opinions. The Corps will not proceed to a permit decision until ESA consultation has been completed.

<u>CULTURAL RESOURCES</u> - The District Engineer has reviewed the latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places, lists of properties determined eligible and other sources of information. The following is current knowledge of the presence or absence of historic properties and the effects of the undertaking upon these properties:

An historic properties investigation has been conducted within the permit area. One site, the Sunnydale School, is potentially eligible for the National Register and, while not within the permit area proposed herein, would be indirectly affected by the operation of the third runway. The applicant is preparing a Memorandum

of Agreement for the sound insulation of the school in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. That process includes review and coordination by the Washington State Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, as appropriate.

A cultural resource survey has been conducted for the permit area. No archeological or cultural resources were identified. The applicant proposes monitoring of portions of the project area by a qualified archaeologist during construction. If necessary, coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) would occur per the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act prior to a Corps permit decision.

The District Engineer invites responses to this public notice from Federal, State, and local agencies, historical and archeological societies, Indian tribes, and other parties likely to have knowledge of or concerns with historic properties in the area.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING</u> – Two joint public hearings will be held by the Corps for the Department of the Army permit application and the Washington State Ecology's Washington State Water Quality Certification for the proposed project.

- Dates and Times: 26 January 2001 5:30 p.m. 10 p.m.; 27 January 2001 9 a.m. – 5 p.m.
- Location: Washington State Criminal Justice Training Center 19010 - 1st Avenue South Burien, Washington 98148

The purpose of the hearings is to obtain public views and opinions on the proposed project that are relevant for consideration in making a permit decision for this proposed project.

<u>HEARING FORMAT</u> – The forum will be two formal hearings with verbatim recording. Oral and/or written comments can be presented. The hearings will be conducted in accordance with procedures set forth in 33 CFR, Part 327 (see Federal Register, Vol. 51, Number 219, dated November 13, 1986, page 41249 et. Seq.), and requirements of the State of Washington at 173-225 WAC. A brief description of the proposal, including changes from the prior project reviewed in the public hearing of 3 November 1999, will be presented. Following this, interested parties may present specific information on the proposal, potential impacts, alternatives, or other related concerns.

During the afternoon session of 26 January 2001, 4 p.m. to -5 p.m., and from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. at the beginning of the 27 January 2001 session, Corps and Ecology staffs will conduct question and answer sessions to discuss procedural issues and concerns that the public may have about the permit process. Discussion will be limited to procedural issues only. Comments or questions of a technical nature on the applicant's proposal must be given as formal comment during the testimony portions of the hearings.

Testimony should be restricted to changes in the applicant's proposal from that presented in the public hearing of 3 November 1999, or to update previously submitted comments. All written and oral statements given during the previous hearings and accompanying comment periods remain a part of the record and will be given full consideration in making a permit decision.

8

All interested parties are invited to be present or to be represented at these public hearing. Oral statements will be heard, but for accuracy of the record, important testimony should be submitted in writing. Oral statements should be brief, and summarize any extensive written material so that there will be time for all interested persons to be heard.

<u>COMMENT AND REVIEW PERIOD</u> - The Corps and the Ecology will accept written comments on the proposed work for 20 days following the public hearing, until 16 February 2001. Comments for the Corps should be submitted to:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Branch Post Office Box 3755 Seattle, Washington 98124-2255 ATTN: Jonathan Freedman, Project Manager

Comments for Ecology should be submitted to:

Washington State Department of Ecology Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program 3190 - 160th Avenue Southeast Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452 ATTN: Ann Kenny, Environmental Specialist

<u>PROJECT BIBLIOGRAPHY</u> - The following is a non-inclusive list of documents, issued since the November 1999 public hearing, relating to this permit application. Additional information on this project is available in the project files located at the Corps Seattle District office.

I. Port of Seattle Projects-404 Permit Documents

- 1. Wetland Functional Assessment and Impact Analysis, Parametrix (December 2000)
- 2. Natural Resource Management Plan, Parametrix (December 2000)
- 3. Wetland Delineation Report, Parametrix (December 2000)
- 4. Comprehensive Stormwater Management Plan, Parametrix (December 2000)
- 5. Wildlife Hazard Management Plan (August 2000)
- 6. Seattle Tacoma Master Plan Update Low Streamflow Analysis, Earth Tech Inc., (December 2000).

II. Port of Seattle Projects-Other Documents

1. Industrial Wastewater System Lagoon #3 Upgrades and Expansions SEPA Determination of Non-Significance (December 22, 1999) and Environmental Checklist.

2. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Plan SEPA Determination of Non-Significance (October 20, 2000) and Environmental Checklist (October 16, 2000).

3. Water System Improvements Project Seattle-Tacoma International Airport SEPA Determination of Non-Significance (July 5, 2000) Addendum to Water System Improvements (June 27, 2000) and Environmental Checklist (March 8, 2000).

4. North Electrical Service Upgrade Seattle-Tacoma International Airport Final SEPA Determination of Non-Significance (August 7, 2000), SEPA Determination of Non-Significance (June 2, 2000) and Environmental Checklist (June 2, 2000).

III. Projects in the Airport Vicinity Initiated by Other Agencies

1. Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority: *Central Link Light Rail Transit Project* Final Environmental Impact Statement, Volume 1 and *Executive Summary Report* (November 1999).

2. Washington State Department of Transportation: Selected SR 509/South Access Road EIS Discipline Reports:

Geology and Soils	February 2000
Vegetation, Wildlife and Fisheries	March 2000
Wetlands	April 2000
Section 4(f)	August 2000
Water Quality	August 2000

3. City of Sea Tac: *SeaTac City Center Plan* Final Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (November 12, 1999).

4. Des Moines Creek Basin Committee: *Des Moines Creek Regional Capital Improvement Project Preliminary Design Report Alternative Analysis* (November 1, 1999).

5. Des Moines Creek Basin Committee: *Des Moines Creek Regional Capital Improvement Project Preliminary Design Report Alternative Analysis Addendum* (November 1, 1999).

6. Washington State Department of Ecology: *Sea-Tac Runway Fill Hydrologic Studies Report*. Northwest Regional Office, Bellevue Washington, (June 19, 2000).

The above documents will be available for public review at the following locations during the public comment period:

• The Port of Seattle Neighborhood Field Office at 19639 28th Avenue South, SeaTac WA 98188 Building E, Room SC4-1011A;

Burien Public Library, 14700 6th Avenue Southwest, Burien WA 98166.

Des Moines Public Library at 21620 11th South, Des Moines WA 98198.

• U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District Law Library, Room 2131A 4735 E. Marginal Way South, Seattle WA 98124-2255.

<u>EVALUATION</u> - The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact, including cumulative impacts of the proposed activity on the public interest. That decision will reflect the

national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefits which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the proposal must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors which may be relevant to the proposal will be considered, including the cumulative effects thereof; among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

The Corps is soliciting comments from the public; Federal, State, and local agencies and officials; Indian tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps to determine whether to issue, condition, or deny a permit for the work. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and the other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used to determine if supplemental documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) may be required, as appropriate. Comments may also used to determine the overall public interest of the activity.

The evaluation of the impact of the activity on the public interest will include application of the guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, under authority of Section 404(b) of the Clean Water Act. This evaluation will include an alternatives analysis.

<u>ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS</u> - A list of adjacent property owners is available for review at the Seattle District offices and from the Port of Seattle at the address listed on the first page of this notice.

The State of Washington is reviewing this work for consistency with the approved Washington Coastal Zone Management Program.

The State of Washington is reviewing this work pursuant to the State Hydraulic Project Code.

The State of Washington water quality certification for the proposed work is necessary under the provisions of Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

The State of Washington is reviewing this work for compliance with the State water quality standards. The Ecology will extend jurisdiction over 7.88 acres of lands as waters of the State considered as prior converted cropland by the Corps (non-jurisdictional under Federal law) on the Vacca Farm property. Accordingly, impacts being considered under water quality standards include an additional .92 of an acre of waters of the State to be filled at the Vacca Farm site, and an additional 6.92 acres of waters of the State temporarily impacted during construction of mitigation.


The FAA issued a Record of Decision on the SASA on 13 September 1994, and issued a Record of Decision for the Master Plan Update Development Actions on 3 July 1997.


The Port has concluded that the portion of this action at STIA is outside the jurisdictional authority of the Shoreline Management Act of 1971.

<u>COMMENT AND REVIEW PERIOD</u> - Comments on these factors will be accepted and made part of the record and will be considered in determining whether it would be in the best public interest to grant a permit. Comments should reach this office, Attn: Regulatory Branch, not later than the expiration date of this public notice to ensure consideration and refer to the following name and file number:


Seattle, Port of 1996-4-02325


Encl Drawings (38)











In the approximately 2,600-acre area that will be owned by the Port of Seattle after property acquisition is complete, there are approximately 117 delineated wetlands associated with Master Plan Update improvements totaling approximately 115 acres. Full implementation of the proposed Master Plan Update improvements will permanently impact approximately 18.37 acres of wetlands, including 8.17 acres of forested wetlands, 2.98 acres of scrub-shrub wetlands, and 7.22 acres of emergent wetlands. A complete description of wetlands in the impact area is included in the *Wetland Delineation Report* and *Natural Resource Mitigation Plan*.

Vetland		Indirect Impact Direct Impact	Total Impac	vegetatio	Vegetation Types Impacted (acres)		
Number	Vegetation Type *	(acres)	(acres)	(acres) ^b	Forested	Shrub	Emerger
Run	way Safety Area Extension						
5	Shrub	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.00
Thir	d Runway Project Area						
9	Forested/Emergent	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02
11	Forested/Emergent	0.16	0.34	0.50	0.40	0.00	0.10
12	Forested/Emergent	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.04	0.00	0.17
13	Emergent	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
14	Forested	. 0.00	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00
West Airfield							
15	Emergent	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.28
16	Emergent	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
17	Emergent	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
18	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.55	2.29	2.84	1.28	0.75	0.81
19	Forested	0.00	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.00	0.00
20	Shrub/Emergent	0.00	0.57	0.57	0.00	0.51	0.06
21	Forested	0.00	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.00	0.00
22	Shrub/Emergent	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.05
23	Emergent	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.77
24	Emergent	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.14
25	Forested	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00
26	Emergent	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
W1	Forested/Emergent	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10
W2	Forested/Emergent	0.00	0.22	0.22	0.04	0.00	0.18
West Acquisitie	on Area						
35a-d	Forested/Emergent	0.04	0.63	0.67	0.27	0.00	0.40
37a-f	Forested/Emergent	0.34	3.75	4.09	2.84	0.00	1.25
39	Forested	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00
40	Forested	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
41a and b ^c	Emergent	0.00	0.44	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.44
44a and b	Forested	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.18	0.08	0.00
A5	Emergent	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03
PURPOS	SE: MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND		NENT IMPACTS WETLANDS	A 4 T S F C A	N: SECTIONS 20 ND 33, TOWNSH E; SECTIONS 4, OWNSHIP 22N, SECTION 31, TO CANGE 5E COUNTY OF: KIN SPLICATION BY SEATTLE	HIP 23N, RA 5, AND 9, RANGE 4E; WNSHIP 22N NG STATE:	NGE N, WA

G:\Data\working\2912\55291201\03mpu\2000 JARPA\New Tables\Perm Dir-Indir Impact.doc

96-4-02325

AR 034264

DECEMBER 2000


SHEET 5 OF 38

Wetland			Total Impact	Vegetation Types Impacted (acres)			
Number	Classification*	Indirect (acres)	Direct (acres)	(acres) ^b	Forested	Shrub	Emergent
A6	Forested	0.09	0.07	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00
A7	Forested	0.00	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.00
A8	Forested/Shrub	0.00	0.38	0.38	0.07	0.31	0.00
A12	Shrub	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.08	0.00
A 18	Shrub	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Vacca Farm Site							
A1	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.00	0.59	0.59	0.09	0.09	0.41
FW 5	Farmed Wetland	0.00	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
FW 6	Farmed Wetland	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07
Riparian Wetland							
RI	Emergent	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.13
• • • • •	Subtotal	1.29	12.94	14.23	6.73	1.87	5.63
	on Support Area (SASA)/T	-					
52	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.54	0.00	0.54	0.54	0.00	0.00
53	Forested	0.00	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.00	0.00
E2	Forested	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
E3	Forested	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00
Gl	Shrub (Slope)	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00
G2	Emergent	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
G3	Emergent	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.06
G4	Emergent	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04
G5	Emergent	0.47	0.40	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.87
G7	Forested/Shrub	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.13	0.37	0.00
	Subtotal	1.07	1.71	2.78	1.37	0.42	0.99
Borrow Area	and Haul Road						
28	Emergent	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07
B11	Emergent	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.18
B12	Forested	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00
B14	Shrub	0.00	0.78	0.78	0.00	0.55	0.23
	Subtotal	0.04	1.06	1.10	0.00	0.62	0.48
litigation ^d							
Auburn area 7	Emergent	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
Auburn area 9	Emergent	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03
Auburn area 10	Emergent	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07
	Subtotal	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12
FOTAL		2.40	15.97	18.37	8.17	2.98	7.22

^a All wetlands are palustrine, based on USFWS wetland classification system (Cowardin et al. 1979). ^b Values are rounded to two significant figures and may be subject to minor change. ^c Includes 0.18 acre of open water habitat. ^d Impacts result from access roads (see Sheet 33 of 38).

PURPOSE: MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR	PERMANENT IMPACTS TO	IN: SECTIONS 20, 21, 28, 29, 32,
EFFICIENT REGIONAL	WETLANDS	AND 33, TOWNSHIP 23N, RANGE
AIR TRANSPORTATION	(continuation of Sheet 5 of 38)	4E; SECTIONS 4, 5, AND 9,
FACILITY TO MEET		TOWNSHIP 22N, RANGE 4E;
EXISTING AND FUTURE		SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 22N.
DEMAND		RANGE 5E
		COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA
		APPLICATION BY: PORT OF
		SEATTLE
6-4-02325		SHEET 6 OF 38 DECEMBER 200

G: \Data\working\2912\55291201\03mpu\2000 JARPA\New Tables\Perm Dir-Indir Impact.doc



		Total Temporary		Vegetation Type	e Impacted (acres)
Wetland	Classification *	Impact Area (acres)	Forest	Shrub	Emergent
Runway S	afety Area Extension				
4	Forested ^b	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.00
5	Forested /Shrub ^b	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.00
Third Run	iway				
9	Forested/Emergent	0.16	0.11	0.00	0.05
18	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.22	0.04	0.07	0.11
37	Forested/Shrub/Emergent	0.71	0.50	0.10	0.11
44a	Forested/Shrub	0.28	0.18	0.10	0.00
A1	Forested/Shrub/Emergent ^b	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.03
A12	Shrub	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
A13	Forested	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
R2	Emergent	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
South Avia	tion Support Area				
52	Forested/Shrub/Emergent ^b	0.17	0.00	0.05	0.12
TOTAL		2.05	1.15	0.46	0.44

^a All wetlands are palustrine, based on USFWS wetland classification system (Cowardin et al. 1979).
^b Temporary impacts will be limited to installation of sediment fencing and other standard BMPs such as temporary seeding, straw mulch, interception swales, etc.

96-4-02325 SHEET 8 OF 38 DECEMBER 2000 G:Data/working\2912\33291201\03mpu\2000 JARPAWew Tables\Export Table Temp Impacts.doc

		Total Area	Vegetation Type Disturbed (acres)		
Wetland	Vegetation Types	(acres)	Forest	Shrub	Emergent
Temporary impacts temporary roads	to wetlands associated with in	nplementing mitig	ation that includ	les excavation or	installation of
FW 1, 2, 3, 8, 9,					
10, and FW 11 ^a	Farmed Wetlands	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.88
A1ª	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	3.74	0.56	0.56	2.62
A2 *	Shrub	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00
A3ª	Shrub	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
A4*	Shrub	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
Auburn Area 1 ^b	Emergent	1.55	0.00	0.00	1.55
Auburn Area 2°	Emergent	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.06
Auburn Area 3 ^c	Emergent	5.11	0.00	0.00	5.11
Auburn Area 4 ^c	Emergent	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.99
Auburn Area 5°	Emergent	3.27	0.00	0.00	3.27
Auburn Area 6 [°]	Emergent	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.35
Auburn Area 8 ^c	Emergent	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.60
Auburn Area 11 ^c	Emergent	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
Auburn ^d	Emergent	2.20	0.00	0.00	2.20
	Subtotal	18.85	0.56	0.65	17.64
Temporary impacts	in wetlands associated with en	hancement planti	ng		
18°	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	1.27	1.27	0.00	0.00
28 ^f	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	4.50	0.00	0.00	4.50
37a ^{c,i}	Forest/Emergent	1.96	1.50	0.00	0.46
A1 ^{e.i}	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	0.34	0.34	0.00	0.00
49 ^{e,i}	Shrub	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00
۹10 ^{۹, i}	Shrub	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
A11 ^{e,i}	Shrub	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
413 ^{e,i}	Forest	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.00
416 ^{e,i}	Shrub/Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
R1°	Emergent	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04
₹2 ^{€, i}	Shrub/Emergent	0.12	0.00	0.06	0.06
3 ^{e,i}	Shrub	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
۲4 ^{د. i}	Emergent	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.11
R4b ^{e,i}	Forest/Emergent	0.11	0.03	0.00	0.08
ک^{و,i}	Emergent	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
Հշթ ^{ւ,i}	Forest/Emergent	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.05
۶6 ^{د, i}	Forest/Emergent	0.21	0.05	0.00	0.16
۶6b ^{د, i}	Emergent	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09
۲ ^{د.i}	Forest/Emergent	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
R7a ^{e.i}	Emergent	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
	0		v.v-1	0.00	0.00
8 ^{د, i}	Shrub/Emergent	0.40	0.00	0.20	0.20

COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE 96-4-02325 SHEET 9 OF 38 DECEMBER 2000		MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND	TEMPORARY IMPACTS TO WELANDS DUE TO WETLAND MITIGATION ACTIVITIES	APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE
--	--	--	---	---------------------------------

		Total Area	Vegeta	tion Type Disturbe	d (acres)
Wetland	Vegetation Types	(acres)	Forest	Shrub	Emergent
R9 ^{e,i}	Forest	0.38	0.38	0.00	0.00
R9a ^{e,i}	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.00
R10 ^{e,i}	Shrub	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
R11 ^{e,i}	Emergent	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.42
R12 ^{e,i}	Forest	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00
R13 ^{e.i}	Emergent	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.12
R14a ^{e,i}	Shrub/Emergent	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.00
R14b ^{e,i}	Emergent	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08
R15a ^{e,i}	Forest/Shrub/Emergent	0.79	0.25	0.40	0.14
۲155 ^{د.i}	Forest/Emergent	0.25	0.06	0.00	0.19
۲17 ^{¢,i}	Forest	0.31	0.31	0.00	0.00
Vaters B, V1, V2	Open Water	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05
Auburn ^b	Emergent	9.13	0.00	0.00	9.13
	Subtotal	21.64	4.91	0.75	15.98
TOTAL		40.49	5.47	1.40	33.62

Continued from Sheet 9 of 38

^a Temporary impacts associated with restoration activities at the Vacca Farm site (Sheets 14 and 19).

^b Temporary impacts result from constructing temporary roads to provide access to the mitigation site (Sheet 33).

Excavation in wetlands at off-site mitigation site to increase habitat diversity/complexity, construction of temporary roads to access the interior portion of the site to conduct monitoring and maintenance activities, and approximately 3 acres of temporary staging area.

^d Maximum of 2.20 acres of existing off-site ditches and farmed wetland will be converted to a wetland drainage channel that connects the mitigation site to the 100-year floodplain of the Green River (Sheet 33).

^c Enhancements in these wetlands may include excavation for temporary irrigation systems (Sheet 3).

^f Planting and removal of culverts in wetland located at the Tyee Valley Golf Course (Sheet 30).


⁸ Existing drain tiles will be removed and natural wetland topography restored.

^h Mowing, discing, and planting in existing meadow wetland.


Wetlands in the Miller Creek riparian buffer to be enhanced.

PURPOSE: MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND	TEMPORARY IMPACTS TO WELANDS DUE TO WETLAND MITIGATION ACTIVITIES (continuation of Sheet 9 of 38)	IN: SECTIONS 20, 21, 28, 29, 32, AND 33, TOWNSHIP 23N, RANGE 4E; SECTIONS 4, 5, AND 9, TOWNSHIP 22N, RANGE 4E; SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 22N, RANGE 5E COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE SHEET 10 OF 38 DECEMBER 2000
---	--	--

\KIRKLAND_1\VOL1\Data\working\2912\55291201\03mpu\2000 JARPA\New Tables\Mit Temp Impacts sheet.doc



291203_S11.DWG



291203_\$13.0W

Summary of Wetland Mitigation Areas

Mitigation	Mitigation Area (acres)
In-Basin	
Wetland Restoration	
Vacca Farm (prior converted wetland and other upland)	6.60
Wetland Enhancement	
Vacca Farm (Farmed Wetland, Other Wetlands, Lora Lake and Water Quality)	5.70
Wetlands in Miller Creek Wetland and Riparian Buffer	7.40
Tyee Valley Golf Course Wetland 28	4.50
Wetland in Des Moines Creek Buffer	1.01
Subtotal	25.21
Buffer Enhancement	
Miller Creek Buffer, South of Vacca Farm (not including enhanced wetlands)	32.00
Vacca Farm (Des Moines Memorial Drive and new Miller Creek channel)	4.58
Lora Lake	0.27
Tyee Valley Golf Course Mitigation Area Buffer ¹	1.57
Des Moines Creek Upland Buffer ²	3.38
Subtotal	41.80
Other Actions	
Miller Creek Channel Replacement	
Miller Creek Instream Enhancement Projects	
Miller Creek Drainage Channel Replacement	
Trust Fund of \$300,000 for Miller and Des Moines Creek Basins	••
Total In-Basin Mitigation	67.01 ³
Out-of-Basin	
Wetland Restoration	29.98
Wetland Enhancement	19.50
Buffer Enhancement	15.90
Total Out-of-Basin Mitigation	65.38
TOTAL MITIGATION	134.39


¹ This includes buffer around the 4.5 acres of wetland enhancement.


² This enhancement is located along the west branch of Des Moines Creek, south of the Tyee Valley Golf Course Mitigation Area.


³ Mitigation area in the Des Moines and Miller Creek watersheds is 10.46 acres and 56.55 acres respectively; in-basin mitigation area divided by wetland impact (18.37 acres) provides 3:1 aerial replacement ratio.

PURPOSE:	MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND	SUMMARY OF WETLAND MITIGATION	IN: SECTIONS 20, 21, 28, 29, 32, AND 33, TOWNSHIP 23N, RANGE 4E; SECTIONS 4, 5, TOWNSHIP 22N, RANGE 4E; SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 22N, RANGE 5E COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE
96-4-02325	f - f		SHEET 13 OF 38 DECEMBER 2000


G:\Data\working\2912\55291201\03mpu\2000 JARPA\New Tables\new mitigation area sheet.doc











Port of S	Seattle/556-2912-001/01(03) 12/00		
PURPO	DSE: MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND	SECTION VIEW	TYPICAL CROSS SECTION PROPOSED GRADING FOR MILLER CREEK RELOCATION AND FLOODPLAIN ENHANCEMENT AT VACCA FARM
	A: VERTICAL: KING COUNTY HORIZONTAL: SEA-TAC GRID		IN: SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 23N, RANGE 4E COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA
96-4-02325		VERTICAL SCALE 1" = 60' HORIZONTAL SCALE 1" = 150'	APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE SHEET 15 of 38 DECEMBER 2000
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		AR 034274

















1203_S21.DW







	4 	······································
		Wetland
		Existing vegetation to remain
		Existing non-native invasive plant
		Areas for partial non-native plant removal. Native coniferous trees will be planted to provide shading
RC	PDF SP RC DF	Riparian Floodplain Zone TREES
		A Red Alder OA Oregon Ash
	100 FT AVE BACE	SHRUBS Sitka Willow
		PW Pacific Willow
		A HW. Hooker's Willow
100 FT MINMUM 100 FT MINMUM 100 WEST STORE		Hydroseed Mix/Natural Colonization
		Upland Zone
SW SW		TREES
(RC)	DF	(RC) Western Redcedar
VM VM	RC	SP Sitka Spruce
RC HUMMING		(DF) Douglas Fir
(DF) (WINTSOF (PC)) (WINTSOF (DF) (ON WEST)	RC -	(A) Red Alder
	(OA) (R) (R)	C Cascara
(AC) (SP)		
SP-	DF) PW. FR. 1	SHRUBS
		Vine Maple
		CR CR Clustered Rose
Port of Seattle/556-2912-001/01(03) 12/00		·
PURPOSE: MEET PUBLIC NEED FOR EFFICIENT REGIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITY	PLAN VIEW	TYPICAL PLANTING PLAN FOR THE MILLER CREEK UPLAND AND RIPARIAN BUFFER
TO MEET EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND		IN: SECTIONS 20 AND 29, TOWNSHIP 23N, RANGE 4E
		COUNTY OF: KING STATE: WA
96-4-02325	SCALE 1" = 50'	APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE SHEET 24 of 38 DECEMBER 2000
		AR 034283





-







291203_527.DWG






SOURCE: HNTB 291203_S29.DWC








291203_S31.DWG



Prepared by Parametrix, Inc. File: seatac2/8x11auburn_grade_public.aml creating p8x11auburn_grade_public.gra Date: December 18, 2000







Prepared by Parametrix, Inc. File: seetac2/8x11auburn_plants.aml creating p8x11auburn_plants_public.gra Date: December 18, 2000

BC			м		
	Cottonwood/Willow Plant			Forest Plant Association	C 1 C
Trees	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood	Trees	Abies grandis	Grand fir
	Fraxinus latifolia	Oregon ash		Prunus emarginata	Bitter cherry
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple		Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood
	Alnus rubra	Red alder		Alnus rubra	Red alder
	Salix lasiandra	Pacific willow		Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir
	Picea sitchensis	Sitka spruce		Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple
Shruhs	Salix sitchensis	Sitka willow		Rhamnus purshiana	Cascara
omuos	Salix hookeriana	Hooker's willow		Thuja plicata	Western redcedar
	Lonicera involucrata	Twinberry		Crataegus douglasii	Black hawthorn
	Rosa nutkana	Nootka rose	Chruha	Acer circinatum	Vine maple
		Pacific ninebark	Shrubs		
	Physocarpus capitatus	Factific fillebark		Amelanchier alnifolia	Serviceberry Dald his mass
				Rosa gvmnocarpa	Bald-hip rose
r				Rubus parviflorus	Thimbleberry
RA				Corylus cornuta	California filbert
				Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum
Red Alo	der/Salmonberry Plant A			Symphoricarpos albus	Snowberry
Trees	Alnus rubra	Red alder		Berberis aquifolium	Tall Oregon grape
	Fraxinus latifolia	Oregon ash		Rosa nutkana	Nootka rose
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple		Sambucus racemosa	Red elderberry
	Picea sitchensis	Sitka spruce		-	*
	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood			
	Salix lasiandra	Pacific willow			
	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar			
Shruhe	Rubus spectabilis	Salmonberry	Wester	n Redcedar Plant Associ	ation
Smuos	Cornus stolonifera	Red-osier dogwood	Trees	Thuja plicata	Western redcedar
	Lonicera involucrata	Twinberry	Tices		Black cottonwood
	Rosa nutkana	Nootka rose		Populus trichocarpa Alnus rubra	Red alder
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow			Grand fir
	Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow		Abies grandis	
				Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas fir
	7.71			Acer macrophyllum	Bigleaf maple
, <i>'</i> , OA			<u>.</u>	Rhamnus purshiana	Cascara
			Shrubs	Acer circinatum	Vine maple
_ •	Ash Plant Association	_		Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark
Trees	Fraxinus latifolia	Oregon ash		Salix scouleriana	Scouler's willow
	Malus fusca	Pacific crabapple		Oemleria cerasiformis	Indian plum
	Populus trichocarpa	Black cottonwood		-	-
	Salix lasiandra	Pacific willow			
	Picea sitchensis	Sitka spruce			
Shrubs	Lonicera involucrata	Twinberry	EW		•
	Salix sitchensis	Sitka willow	EW		
	Rubus spectabilis	Salmonberry	Reaked	Sedge/Water Parsley Er	nergent Association
:	Cornus stolonifera	Red-osier dogwood	L'encu	Carex rostrata	Beaked sedge
				Oenanthe sarmentosa	Water-parsley
				Eleocharis palustris	Spike-rush
					Water smartweed
\$W-				Polygonum amphibium	Hardstem bulrush
11/211		.h. A		Scirpus acutis	
willow/	Red-osier Dogwood Shru			Scirpus microcarpus	Small-fruited buln
	Salix hookeriana	Hooker's willow		Sparganium emersum	Narrow-leaf burree
	Salix lasiandra	Pacific willow			
	Salix sitchensis	Sitka willow			
	Cornus stolonifera	Red-osier dogwood			
	Lonicera involucrata	Twinberry			
		•			
attia/556.201	12-001/01(03) 12/00				
	EET PUBLIC NEED FOR	TABLE			S FOR OFF-SITE
	FFICIENT REGIONAL AIR			WETLAND	MITIGATION
				IN: SECTION 31, TOW	NGHIP 22NI DANOT
- F	UTURE DEMAND	1		I IN SECTOR 31, TOW	NOTHE ZZIN, MAINUL

96-4-02325

.

AR 034295


APPLICATION BY: PORT OF SEATTLE


COUNTY OF: KING

SHEET 36 of 38

STATE: WA

DECEMBER 2000







STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Mail Stop PV-11 • Olympia, Washington 98504-8711 • (206) 459-6000

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Notice of Application for Certification of Consistency with the Washington Coastal Zone Management Program

Date: 27 December 2000

Notice is hereby given that a request has been filed with the Department of Ecology, pursuant to the requirements of Section 307(c) of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1451), to certify that the project described in the Corps of Engineers Public Notice No. <u>1996-4-02325</u> will comply with the Washington State Coastal Zone Management Program and that the project will be conducted in a manner consistent with that Program.

Any person desiring to present views on the project pertaining the project's compliance or consistency with the Washington State Coastal Zone Management Program may do so by providing written comments within 30 days of the above publication date to:

Permit Coordination Unit Department of Ecology P.O. Box 47703 Olympia, WA 98504-7703

AR 034298

51

0