

**401 Permit Decision-Making  
Sea-Tac International Airport, Third Runway**

**FINAL DRAFT MEETING NOTES**

**TECHNICAL MEETING**

**May 1, 2001  
1:00 – 4:30**

These draft meeting notes have been prepared by Rachel McCrea, Floyd & Snider Inc. Please reply to Rachel at [rachelm@floyd-snider.com](mailto:rachelm@floyd-snider.com) or call (206) 292-2078 with comments on the accuracy of these notes by 5pm, Wednesday, 5/9/01.

**ATTENDEES**

John Drabek, Dept. of Ecology  
Ann Kenny, Dept. of Ecology  
Keith Smith, Port of Seattle  
Kelly Whiting, King County

Paul Fendt, Parametrix  
Tom Atkins, Parametrix  
Alan Black, HNTB  
Rachel McCrea, Floyd & Snider Inc.

**AGENDA**

1. King County Feedback: Deliverable 5 (pink)
2. King County Feedback: Deliverable 6 (yellow)
3. King County Feedback: Deliverable 3 (yellow)
4. New Deliverable 9: Figures
5. Deliverable 7B (154<sup>th</sup> St. Relocation) Discussion
6. Governor's Certification Requirement #5
7. Schedule update and future meeting agendas

**DELIVERABLE 5 (PINK) KING COUNTY FEEDBACK**

King County provided preliminary feedback on Deliverable 5 (General). Additional feedback, if any, will be provided at the 5/7 meeting.

- The correction made to Table 4-6 is acceptable.
- Text edit to the new note on Page 7-9: the word "conservatively" will be removed.
- A ripple edit to the footnote on Page H-10 is acceptable.
- The Port will make the following revisions to Table A-3:
  - All "No" responses with the (g) footnote will be changed to a dash with the (c) footnote.
  - Runway 34R is a retrofit

ECY00013994

- For Phase 1 & 2 Taxiway, add the north-draining ponds (SDN3X, SDN2X, SDN4X) in the facility column. Add a footnote regarding phasing.
- Phase 3 (#1) facility column revisions: change SDS7 to SDS3A, and add SDN3A.
- Strike Air Cargo note "Increase...IWS."
- Add a Des Moines Tech Center comment: "Not included in SMP."
- The South Access Connector is both Retrofit and New.
- The Dual Taxiway 34R facility should be SDS4 (not SASA).
- Regarding the Expansion of NEPL to 6000 Stalls: If it is in the modeling, "Yes" should be under Permanent Stormwater Detention Required column. If it is not in the modeling, leave Year MPU in Service and Facility columns blank.
- No changes from the 12/00 SMP submittal are needed for the Phase 1 N.U.T. Parking (Transportation Center) project.
- SR509 Extension/S. Access: change "No" to a dash.

### **DELIVERABLE 6 (YELLOW) KING COUNTY FEEDBACK**

Revisions to the Maintenance Feasibility memo are acceptable and no additional review or edits are necessary.

### **DELIVERABLE 3 (YELLOW) KING COUNTY FEEDBACK**

The Port will revise the Deliverable 3 (Des Moines Creek) drawing to show the outfall pipe coming to the lowest contour in order to respond to King County's comment regarding the outfall pipe elevation. The figure will be resubmitted as a green, 3<sup>rd</sup> draft deliverable.

### **NEW DELIVERABLE 9: FIGURES**

The Port, King County and Ecology agreed to create a Deliverable 9 (Figures) in order to address basin map figure revisions required as a result of King County-requested revisions. All basin map figures will be included in the Deliverable 9 submittal after the remaining revisions in other deliverables are completed.

### **DELIVERABLE 7B (154<sup>TH</sup> STREET) DISCUSSION**

Meeting participants discussed the solution proposed by the Port on 4/23/01. It was agreed that the Port would move forward with the following two components:

- Remove part of the SDN2X basin that drains to the west and make it a part of MC1.

- Present a table showing impervious area to be removed in the buyout area and the impervious area of the portion of the roadway that is proposed to not drain to the regional facility (MC4) in order to compare impervious area changes.

The Port will also provide point of compliance results for the Des Moines Creek regional facility outlet for King County and Ecology review. Proposals other than removing the existing roadway from predevelopment land uses will be presented and discussed at the 5/7/01 meeting.

### GOVERNOR'S CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT #5

Ecology made recommendations regarding the type and format of materials that would assist an evaluation of compliance with Requirement #5 (re: hydrologic divide and average in-stream flows). The Port will consider these recommendations and prepare materials for Ecology review. A King County decision regarding concurrence with the SMP will not rely on these materials.

### SCHEDULE UPDATE

Deliverable	Date Scoped	Pink Submitted	KC Pink Feedback	Yellow Submitted	KC Yellow Feedback	Notes
D1 (ASR Site)	3/14	3/16	3/26	4/4	N/A	Done
D2 (Appendix B2)	3/14	3/22 & 3/28	3/28	3/30	N/A	Done
D3 (Des Moines Creek)	3/16	4/19 & 4/20	4/23	4/27	5/1	5/3t for green submittal
D4A (Walker Creek)	3/26	5/4t	5/14t			
D4B (Infiltration Feasibility)	3/26	5/8t	5/14t			Decoupled to accommodate schedule
D5 (General)	3/28	4/26	5/1 & 5/7t	5/10t	5/14t	
D6 (Maintenance Feasibility)	3/28	4/13	4/23	4/27	5/1	Done
D7 (Miller Creek)	4/2	5/11t	5/14t			
D7B (154 <sup>th</sup> St.)	4/16 & 4/23					
D8 (Volume 1)	4/2 & 4/9	5/4t	5/14t			
D9 (Figures)	5/1					

t = "large -d;" event occurs in the future.

N/A = not applicable; no feedback required.

"Pink" denotes the first draft of revised materials. "Yellow" is second draft. "Green" is third draft.

ECY00013996

