

February 19, 2002

Pony Ellingson

Joe Brascher

Paul Fendt

Keith Smith

Felix Kristandovitch

Nick Stackelburg

Kelly Whiting

Ray Hellwig

Ed Abbasi

- Embankment model:

- Figure 5-4, Appendix B

- Flashy - why?

Slice I model

(deepest part of embankment)

Pony - reason - type 1 fills used -
MSE wall 100 feet -

- assumed infinite permeability

- very coarse fill.

- defined in the P66 report.

Figure 5-1 - 3 cells on west side.

- no vertical attenuation for these cells.

- Table 3-1 - In-Flow mass-balance -

- includes 7 yr. wet-up period

- if table is revised don't include 7 yr.

- include corres. outflow.

Fill Modeling Footprint Area:

- provided copy of map showing surface lgw changes (11/19/2007)
- helped clarify changes observed.

Miller Creek -

- land cover changes.
- point of compliance needs to be adj. reaches. 16.
- current one doesn't grab all the basins
- Pos agrees that changing point of compliance is necessary + appropriate.
- Portland SO discharges

Calibration -

- Pos has addressed Kelly's concerns - still showing no impact in Miller Crk.

Walker Creek -

- Filling Analysis -
- low flow rates - there was an error - prepared new flow frequency

Des Moines Co.

- Validation Report.
- Start date -

Monitoring -

- will monitor for PH.
- Pos - after concrete has cured fully shouldn't be a prob - but will monitor.