

Record of Communication

4/85

TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION

INCOMING OUTGOING

INDIVIDUAL _____

PHONE NO. _____

ORGANIZATION _____

LOCATION _____

PMX STAFF MEETING

CLIENT/AGENCY CONSULTATION

MEETING LOCATION _____

PARTICIPANTS _____


PROJECT NO. _____

PROJECT NAME _____

DATE _____ TIME _____

BY _____

ROUTE TO:



- Ecology would need to review SAP & QAPP before work commenced.
- - would be reviewed by Norm Glen's group in the Environmental Assessment Program.
- Draft WER guidance from Ecology? need to call Gary Bailey (360) 407-6433
- Further discussions & meetings re mixing zones? Ken wants to see where we are with ~~the~~ treatment BMPs for existing outfalls first

- called Gary Bailey - will be sending out draft WER guidance right away -
- went to the regions on Monday (5/24/99) for review.

AR 024752

Record of Communication

4/85

TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION

INCOMING OUTGOING

INDIVIDUAL: Kevin Fitzpatrick
PHONE NO.:
ORGANIZATION: Ecology
LOCATION:

PROJECT NO. 55-2912-01(61)
PROJECT NAME: P65
DATE: 5/28/99 TIME: 11:00
BY: Linda Logan

ROUTE TO: Paul Fendt, Ken Ludwig

PMX STAFF MEETING

CLIENT/AGENCY CONSULTATION

MEETING LOCATION:

PARTICIPANTS:

Project File.

Re: Clean Techniques
Called Kevin to discuss logic behind needing to use clean sampling and analysis techniques on Port's discharges.
Kevin agreed that technique not applicable if Cu concs orders of magnitude higher than the criterion (he mentioned 48 ppb)
However, did think there was utility in finding out how much of Cu in discharge was due to contamination if clean techniques were to be used on the receiving streams. That is making sure we compare apples to apples & not apples to oranges.
Would also be useful to conduct use clean techniques for those out falls where Cu concs hovering around criterion.
Kevin was open to discuss further following closer look at discharge data by PMX & POS.

AR 024753