9/8/00

Delde RPP SMT <u> Fird Runway Update – talking points</u>

- 1. The Puget Sound Regional Council gave the go-ahead for the 3RW in <u>1996</u> without in-depth consideration of alternatives proposed in Snohomish and Pierce Counties.
- 2. ECY has been reviewing the runway and related STIA Master Plan Improvement proposals since '96.
- 3. Over this same period we have been to court regarding the validity and administration of the Port's <u>NPDES</u> Industrial Stormwater Waste Discharge Permit. We have prevailed over challenges to the Port's permit.
 - Note: this a.m. we received a copy of a 60 notice of intent to sue from RCAA/CASE to the Port of Seattle for numerous violations of the NPDES Permit and the CWA. A cover letter included the argument that ECY cannot consider a 401 Certification in light of the NPDES violations.
- 4. From the beginning, our issues/<u>primary areas of concern</u> have remained essentially the same:
 - Natural resource mitigation (a NRMP), primarily wetland mitigation (two sub-basins)
 - Wildlife hazard management (WLHMP) and wetland mitigation consistent with needs relating to BASH need for agreements with the FAA
 - Water Quality stormwater management (SWMP)
 - Consistency between the NRMP and the SWMP
 - Cumulative and indirect impacts from associated and/or nearby projects
 - Flow augmentation for Des Moines Creek
 - Clean fill criteria
 - ESA
 - CZM consistency
 - HPAs
 - Shoreline Permit Exemptions for off-site wetland mitigation in Auburn (65 acres)

Each major issue has multiple sub-issue and sub-sub-issue dimensions, some of which are considerable more serious than the totality of numerous other entire projects e.g., cumulative impacts associated with DOT SR-509 construction; flow augmentation and the need for a water right change.

- 5. Under great pressure we issued a 401 in 1998. Without adequate documentation, we heavily conditioned the 401 to protect our objectives and assure compliance with pertinent laws and regulations.
 - The Port first appealed, but then withdrew its application for certification.

- 6. ECY reconstructed its approach with Port in '98.
 - Changed players and process
 - Maximum clarity regarding our environmental objectives e.g., would not allow further degradation to sub-basins require in-basin/on-site wetland mitigation; Level-II SW detention; etc. (difficulty here is function of number and complexity of issues and the Port's adversarial nature.)
- 7. Capacity concerns.
 - Contracted with King County (the Port's dime) for review of the Port's SWMP.

8. <u>Problems in relationship</u> with the Port diminished, but fundamental problems remained.

POS culture is not conducive to non-adversarial nature – environmental staff are hired to second guess ECY/regulators every step of the way (can mean big bucks e.g., \$100 plus million for DM Creek RDF)

- Mission of the Port
- Port has continued to underestimate:
 - ECY resolve to achieve its environmental objectives
 - What is takes to provide <u>adequate documentation on time</u>
 - Pressure from/and effectiveness of the opposition
- <u>Political pressure</u> has only intensified from both directions (articles today in Highline News and Seattle Weekly).
 - Legislators
 - Mic Dinsmore and the Governor
 - The Gravel Studies (and Maury Island area-wide arsenic contamination spin-off)
 - ACC, RCAA, and CASE and individual citizens, constant barrages of letters challenging proposal (meetings this week), threatening law suits. Concerns include:
 - Project has changed, need to renotice
 - Proposals inadequate (ACC has big bucks too, hired consultants to challenge every aspect of the Port's proposal [NW HG, Rachael Pascal...])
- 10. <u>Current status</u>: The Port reapplied last September, and the current 401 clock runs out at the end of the month. <u>The issues are the same</u>, project review related problems have not gone away. It is not yet clear if we will continue the effort to make a defensible decision by the end of September, or we will advise the Port to withdraw (again) its application.
 - Meeting with King County yesterday.
 - We are close we are there on some concerns, working through remaining SW related concerns.....

AR 023948