## POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

1

2

4	·		
5	AIRPORT COMMUNITIES COALITION,	) No	
6	Appellant,	ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF REISSUED/AMENDED SECTION 401	
7	v.	) CERTIFICATION, INCORPORATING ) ACC'S PRIOR NOTICE OF APPEAL	
8	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY; and THE PORT OF SEATTLE,	AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS AND DOCUMENTS	
9		) ) (Department of Ecology "Order No. 1996-	
10	Respondents.	4-02325 (Amended - 1)," Issued	
11		) September 21, 2001)	
12	Durant to the Agreement and Orde	r Re Rescission of 401 Certification, signed by the Board	
13	Pursuant to the Agreement and Order Re Rescission of 401 Certification, signed by the Board		
14		01-133 and 01-150, and pursuant to the Department of	
15	Ecology's rescission and reissuance of the (a	amended) Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification Orde	
16	No. 1996-4-02325 (Amended - 1) on Septer	nber 21, 2001 ("Amended Certification"), the Airport	
17	Communities Coalition hereby files its Noti	ce of Appeal of the Amended Certification. A copy of the	
18	Amended Certification is attached to this N	otice of Appeal.	
19 20	•	by reference ACC's previous Notice of Appeal and	
21		d with the Board (PCHB No. 01-133) and served on the	
22		ontemplated on page 3 of the Board's September 20 Order	
23			
24	In general, changes reflected in the Amendo	ed Certification reduce or eviscerate already inadequate	
25	ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF AMENDED SECTIO 401 CERTIFICATION - 1	N HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP Rachael Paschal Osborn  1500 Puget Sound Plaza Attorney at Law  1325 Fourth Avenue 2421 West Mission Ave.	

"protections" provided under the original 401 Certification. ACC therefore submits the following supplemental grounds for appeal:

- 1. The Amended Certification was issued in violation of applicable regulations in WAC Chapter 173-225, including but not limited to WAC 173-225-030(1) (public notice requirements), 030(2) (opportunity for public comment), and -030(3) (requirement for departmental determination concerning public hearing), and without opportunity for comment by the public and agencies with jurisdiction.
- 2. The Amended Certification is invalid for failure to comply with applicable federal regulations, including but not limited to 40 C.F.R. §121.2(a)3 and §121.2(b).
- 3. The Amended Certification has been limited to "Port 404 projects," withholding all of the "protections" (which have fundamental defects in any event) touted in the Certification from significant portions of the overall work which the Port proposes to perform at the site. This change violates the requirement for reasonable assurance that the project as a whole will not result in a violation of state water quality standards.
- 4. The Amended Certification further improperly limits its scope (including its geographical, operational, and temporal reach), all in violation of Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, and in doing so fails to address "direct and indirect, short and long term, upstream and downstream, construction and operation" impacts, all of which must be a part of the State's 401 certification review and order. In doing so, the Amended Certification allows the Port to unilaterally commence activities impacting the waters of the state without Section 401 review and certification.

ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF AMENDED SECTION 401 CERTIFICATION - 2

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Ave. Spokane, WA 99201 

- 5. The Amended Certification violates the requirement in WAC 173-201A-160(3)(a) and (b), that "violation of water quality criteria shall be prevented."
- 6. The Amended Certification impermissibly limits the protections afforded under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act by stating the 401 conditions will be superseded "by any future Ecology-approved NPDES permit for the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA), ... as determined in that permit." See, e.g., Amended Certification at p. 4, § 1.f.
- 7. The Amended Certification dispenses with the requirement for pre-construction hydrologic monitoring (underscoring Ecology's failure to require adequate baseline data) and further impermissibly reduces monitoring and buffering protection for wetlands, all necessary for reasonable assurance that water quality standards will not be violated with regard to wetlands.
- 8. The Amended Certification further reduces the application of the already-deficient conditions (including testing, content and locational criteria) for acceptance of fill in violation of the Clean Water Act, eliminating even the pretense of reasonable assurance. It reduces Ecology's time and authority to review proposed fill, eliminating as a practical matter the ability to prevent placement of contaminated fill, all inconsistent with reasonable assurance necessary for 401 certification. See, e.g., Amended Certification at pp. 14-19, Condition E.
- 9. The Amended Certification substitutes fill criteria and fill acceptance conditions which further weaken the inadequate conditions in the original Certification. See, e.g., Amended Certification at pp. 14-19, Condition E.

ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF AMENDED SECTION 401 CERTIFICATION - 3

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Ave. Spokane, WA 99201

25

- 10. The Amended Certification renders even more illusory the original Certification's purported stormwater retrofit condition by adding new conditions which waive such retrofits when they are not consistent with the Port's project schedule as reflected in the Port's Stormwater Management Plan. Further, through artful amendment, the conditions on discharge of stormwater including but not limited to discharges generated by operation of new pollution-generating impervious surfaces have been further weakened, eliminating any basis for reasonable assurance required for certification. See, e.g., Amended Certification at p. 27, Condition J(2)(a).
- 11. The Amended Certification similarly reduces protection even further below the level of reasonable assurance in its limitation of prior Condition J(2)(f) concerning overtopping of stormwater facilities and in a subtle wording change in Condition K(2), eliminating protection from pollution for pond waters.

## RELIEF SOUGHT

As relief, appellant requests:

- (1) A stay of the September 21, 2001, Amended Section 401 Certification and CZMA concurrence based both on a likelihood of success on the merits and on the irreparable harm that will occur if the project is allowed to go forward while this appeal is pending.
- (2) An order of the Board determining that the Amended Section 401 Certification No. 1996-4-02325 is invalid and vacating the Department of Ecology's issuance of the Certification.

ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF AMENDED SECTION 401 CERTIFICATION - 4

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Ave. Spokane, WA 99201

1	(3) An order directing the Department, upon proper application by the Port, to commence a	
2	new Section 401 process that assures Appellant and the public the opportunity to submit informed	
3 4	comments.	
5	Appellant reserves the right to amend its appeal in any respect, and to plead and present	
6	additional legal theories and errors over those alleged herein, and to request that the pleadings be	
7	amended to conform to the evidence.	
8	DATED thisday of October, 2001.	
9	HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP	
10		
11	By:	
12	Peter J. Eglick, WSBA #8809 Rachael Paschal Osborn Kevin L. Stock, WSBA #14541 WSBA # 21618	
13 14	Michael P. Witek, WSBA #26598 Attorneys for Appellant Attorneys for Appellant	
15	Attorneys for Appendix	
16		
17		
18	g:\lu\acc\pchb\noticeofappeal-100101.doc	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	ACC'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF AMENDED SECTION HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP Rachael Paschal Osborn	
25	401 CERTIFICATION - 5  1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509  Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Ave. Spokane, WA 99201	