

*LTW*  
*Tho*

**RT:skamons:thom**  
From: Martha Choe [MarthaC@CTED.WA.GOV]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2000 9:39 AM  
Cc: TFIT461@ECY.WA.GOV  
Subject: follow up to my phone message

*file*  
*SEA TAC*  
*3rd Runway*  
*T*

hi tom, i wanted to let you know about a meeting i had with the port of seattle and bob drewel last Friday to discuss concerns they are having about the 401 permit on the third runway. i think they contacted me because i have worked with them on other issues in my previous life and this also came up when mic and bob and i were in china.

by the way, i understand FAA (federal aviation administration) has now taken over the ESA review from the corps of engineers. the port is concerned because of FAA's lack of familiarity with any ESA related issues. i passed this information on to curt switch per the port's request and asked if he could talk to will stell to expedite the review given the uncertainty FAA presents.

the port is watching the king county completion of storm water issues with some concern even though there was agreement that the county should be the lead on this. the county has not moved as expeditiously as expected, and they have had discussions with county exec sims to get his help. the port also just learned that the format the county was using was different from the one the state requires. the feedback they have received is that the quality of the work is excellent and they referred to tom luster's mentioning he didn't see anything that would preclude DOE from issuing the permit. they are reworking the county information to fit the format required for the state but this has resulted in lost time.

i had shared a perception that i had heard that their response was piecemeal, and that might have been the cause for delay. they are earnest in their desire to be coordinated in ensuring their information is packaged correctly and complete but are concerned they may be faced with additional or new requirements, or in the case of formats, additional information that will cost them some time.

one of the reasons for their concern is the impact of a post July 21 401 permit issuance. the third runway is part of the metropolitan transportation plan for the puget sound region, required for federal funding. its renewal is slated for later this year, and if there is reason the believe the third runway will not happen, bob drewel does not

count the votes on the PSRC to approve keeping the third runway in. one of the reasons is because of chris vance (who sits on the PSRC) and will most likely use this as a platform to advance his challenge to congressman adam smith. vance has been a vehement opponent of the third runway.

having sat through several years of the approval for the third runway as a PSRC member, i think the region would be faced with a repeat of opening up the process to consider another alternate site for an airport.

so, lots of things are swirling.

i suggested that it might be helpful to explore with your staff a weekly meeting between the port and doe to make sure the port's ducks are lined up and there is clarity about what is expected. don't know if something like a checklist would also be helpful to make sure all parties know what is expected.

let me know if can be of any help.

one last thing you should be aware of. the port has requested a meeting with the gov. they will bring labor and awb to discuss this. it's something you should probably be at as well.

martha

AR 023715

DOE, G/S/M 0138

*LT*  
*Tho*

**Fitzsimmons, Thomas**  
From: Martha Choe [MarthaC@CTED.WA.GOV]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2000 9:39 AM  
Cc: TFIT461@ECY.WA.GOV  
Subject: follow up to my phone message

*file*  
*SEN TAC*  
*3rd Runway*  
*T*

hi tom, i wanted to let you know about a meeting i had with the port of seattle and bob drewel last Friday to discuss concerns they are having about the 401 permit on the third runway. i think they contacted me because i have worked with them on other issues in my previous life and this also came up when mic and bob and i were in china.

by the way, i understand FAA (federal aviation administration) has now taken over the ESA review from the corps of engineers. the port is concerned because of FAA's lack of familiarity with any ESA related issues. i passed this information on to curt smitch per the port's request and asked if he could talk to will stell to expedite the review given the uncertainty FAA presents.

the port is watching the king county completion of storm water issues with some concern even though there was agreement that the county should be the lead on this. the county has not moved as expeditiously as expected, and they have had discussions with county exec sims to get his help. the port also just learned that the format the county was using was different from the one the state requires. the feedback they have received is that the quality of the work is excellent and they referred to tom luster's mentioning he didn't see anything that would preclude DOE from issuing the permit. they are reworking the county information to fit the format required for the state but this has resulted in lost time.

i had shared a perception that i had heard that their response was piecemeal, and that might have been the cause for delay. they are earnest in their desire to be coordinated in ensuring their information is packaged correctly and complete but are concerned they may be faced with additional or new requirements, or in the case of formats, additional information that will cost them some time.

one of the reasons for their concern is the impact of a post July 21 401 permit issuance. the third runway is part of the metropolitan transportation plan for the puget sound region, required for federal funding. its renewal is slated for later this year, and if there is reason to believe the third runway will not happen, bob drewel does not

count the votes on the PSRC to approve keeping the third runway in. one of the reasons is because of chris vance (who sits on the PSRC) and will most likely use this as a platform to advance his challenge to congressman adam smith. vance has been a vehement opponent of the third runway.

having sat through several years of the approval for the third runway as a PSRC member, i think the region would be faced with a repeat of opening up the process to consider another alternate site for an airport.

so, lots of things are swirling.

i suggested that it might be helpful to explore with your staff a weekly meeting between the port and doe to make sure the port's ducks are lined up and there is clarity about what is expected. don't know if something like a checklist would also be helpful to make sure all parties know what is expected.

let me know if can be of any help.

one last thing you should be aware of. the port has requested a meeting with the gov. they will bring labor and awb to discuss this. it's something you should probably be at as well.

martha

AR 023717

DOE 6/8/00 0138

*LRM*  
*TRW*

**From:** Martha Choe [MarthaC@CTED.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 25, 2000 9:39 AM  
**Cc:** TFIT461@ECY.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** follow up to my phone message

*file*  
*SEA TAC*  
*3rd Runway*  
*T*

hi tom, i wanted to let you know about a meeting i had with the port of seattle and bob drewel last Friday to discuss concerns they are having about the 401 permit on the third runway. i think they contacted me because i have worked with them on other issues in my previous life and this also came up when mic and bob and i were in china.

by the way, i understand FAA (federal aviation administration) has now taken over the ESA review from the corps of engineers. the port is concerned because of FAA's lack of familiarity with any ESA related issues. i passed this information on to curt smitch per the port's request and asked if he could talk to will stell to expedite the review given the uncertainty FAA presents.

the port is watching the king county completion of storm water issues with some concern even though there was agreement that the county should be the lead on this. the county has not moved as expeditiously as expected, and they have had discussions with county exec sims to get his help. the port also just learned that the format the county was using was different from the one the state requires. the feedback they have received is that the quality of the work is excellent and they referred to tom luster's mentioning he didn't see anything that would preclude DOE from issuing the permit. they are reworking the county information to fit the format required for the state but this has resulted in lost time.

i had shared a perception that i had heard that their response was piecemeal, and that might have been the cause for delay. they are earnest in their desire to be coordinated in ensuring their information is packaged correctly and complete but are concerned they may be faced with additional or new requirements, or in the case of formats, additional information that will cost them some time.

one of the reasons for their concern is the impact of a post July 21 401 permit issuance. the third runway is part of the metropolitan transportation plan for the puget sound region, required for federal funding. its renewal is slated for later this year, and if there is reason the believe the third runway will not happen, bob drewel does not

count the votes on the PSRC to approve keeping the third runway in. one of the reasons is because of chris vance (who sits on the PSRC) and will most likely use this as a platform to advance his challenge to congressman adam smith. vance has been a vehement opponent of the third runway.

having sat through several years of the approval for the third runway as a PSRC member, i think the region would be faced with a repeat of opening up the process to consider another alternate site for an airport.

so, lots of things are swirling.

i suggested that it might be helpful to explore with your staff a weekly meeting between the port and doe to make sure the port's ducks are lined up and there is clarity about what is expected. don't know if something like a checklist would also be helpful to make sure all parties know what is expected.

let me know if can be of any help.

one last thing you should be aware of. the port has requested a meeting with the gov. they will bring labor and awb to discuss this. it's something you should probably be at as well.

---

martha

AR 023719

DOE 6/8/00 0138