Nye, Roger

Works for us in TCP. We will continue to treat this as one of many sites we are working while maintaining an increased level of detail/frequency of communication through RMT.

A couple of other related issues for your consideration as TCP pursues completion of the A.O. work scope:

1. TCP timelines and the Governor's Certification. For TCP we don't feel driven by the G.C.; rather, we set schedules through our own prioritization process in collaboration with POS. It is my understanding that the G.C. is something which may come up between the POS and FAA, however, it would only involve TCP and would be in the context of how can we speed up the completion of the A.O. work scope. If there is something more we need to consider, let us know.

2. The scope of the A.O. only looks at contaminant sources within the footprint of the A.O.M.A. Does anyone outside T.C.P. need/want to know about known/suspected sites outside the A.O.M.A.?

--Original Message-Hellwig, Raymond From: Saturday, October 21, 2000 8:02 AM Sent: Alexander, Steve (ECY) RE: Port of Seattle-SeaTac International Airport (POS-STIA) Agreed Order (A.O.) and Remedial Action Grant (RAG) To: Subject:

Looks good. Thanks for meeting with Elizabeth.

We discussed the A.O. briefly yesterday a the 3RW meeting, I'm still comfortable not including the A.O. as an element of the 401. And that was the consensus of the group.

-Original Message-

From: Alexander, Steve (ECY)

Friday, October 20, 2000 5:53 PM Sent:

Wang, Ching-Pi; Wietfeld, John; Nye, Roger; Gusdal, Lavona (ECY); Singer, Diane To:

Colburn, Gail; Cargill, Dan; Hellwig, Raymond; Pendowski, Jim; Luster, Tom; Wilson, Mary Sue (ATG) Cc:

Subject: Port of Seattle-SeaTac International Airport (POS-STIA) Agreed Order (A.O.) and Remedial Action Grant (RAG)

All: I have committed John, Ching-Pi, Roger and myself to a closed meeting with POS-STIA (Elizabeth and Paul) at their location for the week of 11/13. We will coordinate with Lavona on our availability and she will set up a meeting through Roberta at POS. At this point, the meeting agenda consists of confirming the adequacy of work completed under the A.O., agreeing on the remaining scope and who completes it, and identifying workscope/RAG related issues (see 5 & 6, below).

The following are action items, with suggested due dates-lead, which I see occurring between now and the November meeting with POS:

1. Notify Ray of final draft Responsiveness Summary release to POS. (10/23 -Steve)

2. Transmit copy of draft Responsiveness Summary to POS. (10/24-27 -Roger)

3. Discuss A.A.G. support with Mary Sue. (>10/24 -Steve)

- 4. Request (by letter) updated RAG billing from POS. (10/27 -Diane)
- 5. Determine/write up POS and TCP A.O. tasks performed to date and adequacy of POS submittals. (11/1 -Roger&John)
- 6. Determine/write up remaining A.O. work scope (both TCP and POS) and timeline for completion.(11/1-Roger&John)

1

AR 023667

7. Brief Ecology 401 Certification team on 4 & 5, above. (>11/6 -Roger, John, Steve)

8. Update stakeholders on 4 & 5, above. (11/7-10 -?)

9. Brief Jim P. on all of the above. (>11/7 -Steve)

## AR 023668