ST459

RCAA NORMANDY PARK, WA 98166-4043

DEC __ 1998 **Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report**

RECEIVED

DEC 01 1998

DEPT. OF ECOLOGY

Seattle-Tacoma International Airport

for

for the period June 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998

Port of Seattle

November 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	LIST OF TABLES		
LIST	LIST OF FIGURESiv		
LIST	OF AC	RONYMSv	
EXE	CUTIVI	E SUMMARY ES-1	
- 1.0	INTF	RODUCTION 1-1	
·2.0	MET	HODS2-1	
	2.1	GENERAL2-1	
	2.2	DESCRIPTION AND CATEGORIES OF SUBBASINS	
	2.3	SAMPLING LOCATIONS	
	2.4	STORM SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND ANALYTES2-3	
. 3.0	SAN	IPLING RESULTS	
	3.1	GENERAL	
	3.2	GRAB SAMPLE RESULTS	
,	3.3 ₽	COMPOSITE SAMPLE RESULTS. 3-5 3.3.1 Suspended Solids and Turbidity 3-6 3.3.2 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅) 3-6 3.3.3 Ammonia 3-7 3.3.4 Surfactants 3-8 3.3.5 Metals 3-8 3.3.6 Deicing Event Samples 3-12	

November 1998

F

Ì

Ē

ŧ

F

Ì.

۲

ī

976079 23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

11

4	.0	PERI	FORMANCE OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
		4.1	GENERAL4-1
		4.2	SDN2 BMPs4-1
		4.3	SDS1 BMPs
		4.4	SDE4 BMPs
		4.5	TAXI YARD BMPs
		4.6	SUBBASIN SDE4: POLLUTANT SOURCE TRACING
	-	4.7	RUNWAY SKID MARK MATERIAL REMOVAL
		4.8	OTHER BMPs
. 5	5,0	CON	ICLUSIONS
e	6,0	IDEN	ITIFIED NEW INITIATIVES
7	7.0	REF	FRENCES

LIST OF TABLES

November 199	6 ji	976079.23
¢		
TABLE 6	OTHER METALS (TOTAL RECOVERABLE, MG/I)	
TABLE 5	STORMWATER QUALITY COMPARATORS	
TABLE 4	POLLUTANT ANALYTES, METHODS, AND DETECTION LIMIT	S
TABLE 3	OFFSITE INFLUENCES IN STIA MONITORING LOCATIONS	-
TABLE 2	OUTFALL NOMENCLATURE CROSS REFERENCE	
TABLE 1	SUMMARY OF SAMPLING CHANGES UNDER NEW PERMIT	

AR 022395

Ì

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

- TABLE 7 DECREASES IN POLLUTANTS IN SDS1 STORMWATER AFTER BMPs
- TABLE 8 SDE4 SOURCE TRACING RESULTS
- TABLE 9 METALS IN AIRCRAFT TIRE SKID MARK MATERIAL REMOVED FROM STIA RUNWAYS

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1	COMPREHENSIVE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM PLAN AND D	ESIGN
FIGURE 2	FOG FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 3	TPH (IR) COMPARED IN BOX PLOT FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 4	TPH-DX COMPARED IN BOX PLOT FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 5	IMPROVEMENTS IN SDN1 DATA ABOVE PUBLIC ROADS	
FIGURE 6	CHANGES IN SDS1 AFTER BMPs	
FIGURE 7	FECAL COLIFORMS	
FIGURE 8	TSS FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 9	TURBIDITY FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 10	BOD ₅ FOR PERMIT HISTORY	
FIGURE 11	BOD₅ FOR CURRENT PERIOD	
FIGURE 12	AMMONIA FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 13	SURFACTANTS FOR CURRENT YEAR	
FIGURE 14	TOTAL COPPER FOR PERMIT HISTORY	
ì		070070 0

November 1996

iii

976079.23



LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

FIGURE 15 TOTAL COPPER FOR CURRENT YEAR

FIGURE 16 TOTAL LEAD FOR PERMIT HISTORY

FIGURE 17 TOTAL LEAD FOR CURRENT YEAR

FIGURE 18 TOTAL ZINC FOR PERMIT HISTORY

FIGURE 19 TOTAL ZINC FOR CURRENT YEAR

FIGURE 20 TOTAL GLYCOLS FOR CURRENT YEAR

FIGURE 21 TOTAL GLYCOLS FOR PERMIT HISTORY

FIGURE 22 TOTAL GLYCOLS BY REPORTING YEAR

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	HYDRAULIC AND HYDROLOGIC ESTIMATIONS
APPENDIX B	SUMMARIZED ANALYTICAL DATA FOR MONITORED STORM EVENTS
APPENDIX C	FIELD QUALITY CONTROL DATA
	BMP TABLES AND FIGURES

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym	Definition
AMA	Aircraft Movement Area (mainly runways, taxiways)
BMP	best management practice
BOD ₅	5-day biochemical oxygen demand
DMR	discharge monitoring report
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FOG	fats, oils and grease
GC	gas-chromatographic
IR	infrared absorbance
IWS	industrial waste system (including the piping)
MDL	method detection limit
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
Port	Port of Seattle
ppb	parts per billion, same as µg/l or ppm/1000
ppm	parts per million, same as mg/l
RPD .	relative percent differnce
SRES	Stormwater Receiving Environment Study
STIA	Seattle-Lacoma International Airport
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
IPH	total petroleum nyurocardons
155	Iotal suspended solids
WAG	wasnington Administrative Code

6

ħ

....

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report has been prepared pursuant to Special Condition S2.E of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Port of Seattle's (Port) Seattle-Tacorna International Airport (STIA). Special Condition S2.E of the permit states: "On or before October 1¹ of each year, the Permittee shall submit a report to the Department summarizing the results of the stormwater monitoring conducted pursuant to Special Condition S2.B or S3.E of this permit during the preceding twelve (12) month period from July 1 through June 30. The report shall present the analytical data, the Port's conclusions as to what is being learned from the data, and any new initiatives to be undertaken as part of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for Airport Operations required in Special Condition S12." Special Condition 2SB also requires inclusion of specific storm events and hydraulic information.

The required hydraulic and hydrologic data are included in Appendix A. Analytical results are tabulated and summarized for each outfall in Appendix B. Field quality control data are presented in Appendix C.

The Port's stormwater data are compared to other generally accepted reference comparators. Box plots are used to present the sampling data. Box plots provided in numerous figures illustrate the central tendency, spread, and skew of the data.

In summary, STIA stormwater quality is better than regionally comparable runoff quality. Results continue to demonstrate that stormwater quality at the airfield outfalls under typical conditions is consistently better than regional commercial and industrial areas. Results also show that there are differences in stormwater quality between landside and airfield subbasins. However, the data tend to indicate that runoff from non-Port public roadways unfavorably biases STIA stormwater, especially in the landside outfall

A request for submittal extension until November 30, 1998 was granted to the Port by Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology).

November 1998

1

ES-1

976079.23



samples. Nonetheless, overall STIA results are generally lower than results for roadways and commercial areas.

Monitoring in the past year indicates improvements in stormwater quality after best management practices (BMPs) were implemented, especially those BMPs that rerouted drainage from the storm drain system to the IWS. BMPs implemented over the past year and performance data are discussed in Section 4.

Evaluation of the stormwater discharges at STIA is an ongoing process. A key factor in attaining improved water quality is implementation of BMPs. BMPs are evaluated as part of the SWPPP and are part of the NPDES permit requirement. Based on the data and conclusions presented in this report, as well as other knowledge regarding STIA activities, the following potential new initiatives have been identified.

- Evaluate monitoring requirements in the permit and request modifications as appropriate, based on the effectiveness of BMPs or other changes at STIA.
- 2. Continue to investigate possible sources of fecal coliforms in SDE4 discharges.
- 3. Explore rerouting of drainage from several minor SDS3 drain inlets beneath the overhangs of the C Concourse that could be responsible for isolated elevated BOD₅ concentrations in SDS3.
- 4. Continue to monitor glycols in SDS1 discharges to verify the effectiveness of two capital BMPs designed to reduce and eliminate glycols and other pollutants by rerouting drainage to the IWS or sealing minor inlets.
- Require contractors to implement source control and BMP related to construction activities.
- Continue to evaluate tenant activities.

11

7. Revise and update the SWPPP on a regular basis.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report has been prepared pursuant to Special Condition S2.E of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the Port of Seattle's (Port) Sea-Tac International Airport (STIA). Special Condition S2.E of the permit states: "On or before October 1¹ of each year, the Permittee shall submit a report to the Department summarizing the results of the stormwater monitoring conducted pursuant to Special Condition S2.B or S3.E of this permit during the preceding twelve (12) month period from July 1 through June 30. The report shall present the analytical data, the Port's conclusions as to what is being learned from the data, and any new initiatives to be undertaken as part of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for Airport Operations required in Special Condition S12."

Additionally, the permit requires in Special Condition S2B that: "The permittee shall include the following data for each storm event in the Annual Stormwater Monitoring Summary Report...: date, duration, the number of dry hours preceding the storm event, total rainfall during the storm event (inches), maximum flow rate during the rain event (gallons per minute), and the total flow from the rain event (gallons). The Permittee shall also include a monthly summary of daily rainfall...".

This report summarizes and discusses the required data, the conclusions, and potential new initiatives to be undertaken. Some of these initiatives have also been identified in the STIA Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

This report consists of the following sections:

Chapter 2 presents the methods used to comply with reporting requirements
 including background information on the sampling requirements and subbasin descriptions

¹ A request for submittal extension until November 30, 1998 was granted to the Port by Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology).



- Chapter 3 presents the sampling results including a discussion of the data
- Chapter 4 presents a summary BMP performance
- Chapter 5 provides conclusions based on the data
- Chapter 6 includes a discussion of potential new initiatives at STIA
- Chapter 7 contains documents cited and used in the preparation of this report.

Ð

1-2

November 1998

976079,23

2.0 METHODS

2.1 GENERAL

The following describes the methods used to gather information required in this report. The STIA stormwater monitoring program has been in place since 1993 pursuant to the NPDES permit. The permit was renewed in early 1998 and replaced with permit number WA-002465-1, issued February 20, 1998, and effective March 1, 1998. The Port conducted the required monitoring activities according to the specific guidelines and criteria of the Procedure Manual for Stormwater Monitoring (Port 1998a).

The new permit effective 1 March 1998 changed the sampling frequencies and parameters. Table 1 outlines the changes to the sampling program.

2.2 DESCRIPTION AND CATEGORIES OF SUBBASINS

Subbasin names are coded according to location: EY = engineering yard, TY = taxi yard, SDS1 - storm drain South number 1, SDW3 = storm drain West number 3, etc. The NPDES permit refers to outfalls by number; however, this report refers to subbasins and their outfalls by location (see Table 2). The Port identifies all manholes according to an alphanumeric scheme, some of which are referred to in this report.

Figure 1 shows the individual stormwater drainage subbasins and the STIA stormwater management boundaries. STIA stormwater subbasins have been classified into the general categories listed in Table 2. These categories group subbasins together that have similar land use and other characteristics. These categories include "landside," "airfield," and other non-specific, low-activity areas. Airfield subbasins SDS3, SDS4, SDN3, and SDN4 drain the Aircraft Movement Area (AMA), which includes the airport runways, taxiways, and open space. Airfield subbasins represent approximately 65

Þ

November 1998

l

976079.23

percent of the total STIA storm drainage area. Drainage area calculations are included at the end of the hydraulic and hydrologic estimates included in Appendix A.

In previous reports, the SDS1 subbasin was included in the "terminal" category. However, several stormwater diversion projects were undertaken near the terminal as a best management practice (BMP). SDS1 now drains mostly rooftops, minor ramp areas, and the currently expanding drainage from South 188th Street.² Therefore, it falls into neither category.

The remaining subbasins (SDE4, SDN1, EY, and TY) are associated with the activities on the "landside" of the airport, primarily public roads, parking, and passenger vehicle areas. Although 11 percent of the total impervious area of SDE4 drains portions of Taxiways A and B, the "landside" designation is appropriate because roads, parking, and other vehicle areas make up more than 50 percent of the total impervious area. Outfall SDN2 now discharges to the Industrial Waste System (IWS) via two pump stations constructed as BMPs in 1997.

2.3 SAMPLING LOCATIONS

The Port monitors stormwater discharges at 14 locations, one for each subbasin within the boundary of the permit. Figure 1 shows the location of the outfalls and monitoring locations.

Four monitoring locations (subbasins SDE4, SDN1, EY, and TY) are upstream from the final discharge point. Runoff contributions from other, non-STIA sources enter these storm drains and therefore necessitate monitoring at the first location, often a manhole, upstream of the majority of offsite inputs. Table 3 lists these offsite influences. Eliminating all offsite runoff is not possible for sampling stations in SDE4, SDS1, SDS2, and SDS3.

976079.23

² Drainage from recent S. 188th Street improvements, outside the Port's jurisdiction, is increasing the SDS1 drainage area.

2.4 STORM SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND ANALYTES

The Port's Procedure Manual for Stormwater Monitoring (Port 1998a) describes the criteria for sampling storm events, and describes all relevant sampling, programming; and handling necessary to comply with requirements of the permit. Table 4 lists required sampling frequencies, pollutant analytes, methods, and detection limits.



3.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

3.1 GENERAL

Data are discussed separately for results from grab samples, composite samples, and deicing event (glycol) samples because of the differences in sampling protocols (i.e., grab samples versus composite samples) and because some rainfall events sampled did not meet the "storm" standards. Following these discussions is a summary of data relating to BMP performance.

The required hydraulic and hydrologic data are included in Appendix A. Analytical results were validated according to the representativeness standards described in the Port's Procedure Manual for Stormwater Monitoring (Port 1998a). Analytical results are tabulated and summarized for each outfall in Appendix B. Field quality control data are presented in Appendix C. It should be noted that data previously submitted to Ecology in the monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) represent samples collected from strictly those storms and sampling routines that fully met the standards of the Procedure Manual. This report summarizes all data collected at storm drain outfalls.

3.1.1 Method of Data Presentation and Comparisons

This report compares the Port's stormwater data to other generally accepted reference comparators listed in Table 5. In general, the reference comparator was selected as the more conservative of two City of Bellevue studies because they were comprehensive, local studies, and had similar sampling protocols.

Appendix A summarizes daily rainfall on a monthly basis graphically and in tabular form. In addition, the storm event information, including total rainfall, maximum flow rate, and total flow is included in Appendix A. In the past 13 months ending June 1998,

November 1998

3-1

976079 22





rainfall meeting "storm" standards³ occurred on 29 occasions. One month, July 1997, had no rainfall that qualified as a storm. The Port sampled 18 (62 percent) of these "storms," plus three other rainfall events ("non-storms") that did not meet the 0.20-inch minimum rainfall. To meet permit sampling requirements, it was necessary to sample a high proportion of all "storms." Despite incomplete, and therefore non-representative composite samples that resulted in these cases, the grab samples can still provide useful information.

"Non-storm" grab samples were collected on the same basis as grab samples taken from true "storms"; usually within minutes of the onset of runoff. Therefore, given the consistent sampling protocol, all grab sample results can be aggregated regardless of total rainfall.

Box plots (Figures 2 through 23) are used to present the sampling data. Box plots illustrate the central tendency, spread, and skew of the data. The bold line within a box represents the median value, while the bottom and top of a box show the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. In other words, 50 percent of the time the data fall within values highlighted by the box. SPSS software was used to generate the box plots (SPSS 1993).

The size of the box shows the variability, and the "whiskers" show the largest values that are not considered statistical outliers. When summarizing data to compare typical values, outliers usually represent unusual conditions, atypical of what one could expect on a day-to-day basis. SPSS reports two types of outliers: those more than 1.5 box-lengths from the 75th percentile as "o", and those more than 3.0 boxlengths as "*" each captioned with the date of occurrence (SPSS 1993). General box plots showing difference between runoff quality for each of the three subbasin activity types (airfield, terminal, and landside) may have smaller scales than the box plots showing the data of each outfall. The general box plots show the overall difference between the subbasin

A "storm" event is defined as having total rainfall of at least 0.20 inch, separated by more than 12 hours of dry weather from past or subsequent events, and preceded by a period of 48 hours with no more than 0,10 inch rainfall from discrete events.



La Carlo Car

¢

3

categories while the outfall box plots have increased scales as appropriate to show outlying values.

Although outliers and anomalies exist in the data, the following discussion of the data focuses on the median values of the sampling results and the observed trends.

3.2 GRAB SAMPLE RESULTS

The following discussion includes results from all grab samples collected in the past year. The entire data set for grab sample results comprises 224 samples from "storms", plus 8 results from samples of other rainfall events that did not reach the minimum rainfall standard of 0.20 inches.

3.2.1 Fats, Oils, and Grease (FOG) and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH)

The renewed NPDES permit changed several analytical parameters. The TPH method was changed from an infrared absorbance (IR) method (WTPH 418.1) to a gaschromatographic (GC) method (NWTPH-Dx.) Because the new TPH method became effective 9 months into the current reporting cycle, data from both methods are presented in this section.

The results from the current year presented in Figures 2 and 3 continue to demonstrate that concentrations of petroleum-type pollutants in STIA stormwater are consistently less than in stormwater from other urban areas. The following bulleted items present a discussion of these results.

 STIA stormwater overall continues to have less petroleum-type pollutants than typical urban runoff. During the past year, more than 95 percent of STIA results were less than the Bellevue 1996 median of 3.7 miligrams per liter (mg/l), and only a single sample exceeded this value. The overall STIA median was

November 1998

976079.23



0.5 mg/l for TPH (IR), and 0.7 mg/l for TPH (GC). Overall, TPH was not detected above 1 mg/l in the majority of samples [65 percent of a total of 54 samples analyzed for TPH(IR)].

- Airfield stormwater (SDS3, SDS4, SDN3, and SDN4) contains far less FOG and TPH concentrations than runoff from the landside subbasins (SDE4, SDN1, and TY.) TPH was not detected in 73 (92 percent) of the 79 airfield outfall samples collected in the past four years.
- Most of the TPH detected in landside runoff is likely attributable to cars and trucks. Figure 4 shows that motor oil represents the majority of the TPH at these outfalls (SDE4, SDN1, and TY.)
- The IWS effectively isolates aviation-related fuel spills and drips from the storm drains. Detectable TPH concentrations are infrequent and low in stormwater from SDS subbasins, which are contiguous with aircraft service (IWS) areas.
- In the box plots, "SDN1" refers to samples collected at manhole SDN1-27.
 "SDN1up" refers to samples collected from manhole SDN1-22, upstream of offsite runoff from 9.9 acres of public roads.⁴ Moving the SDN1 sampling station to a point above the influences of offsite runoff (non-Port, public roadway) decreased FOG and TPH concentration results in SDN1 outfall data and removed a high bias imparted to previous samples. This is shown graphically in Figure 5.
- FOG and TPH concentrations detected in SDS1 samples seem to be decreasing. Figure 6 shows a decrease in the ranges and median of FOG and TPH concentrations for samples collected after completion of two BMPs (discussed in Section 4) that rerouted stormwater in aircraft services area.



⁴ With Ecology's concurrence, in October 1996 the Port changed the sampling location for SDN1 from manhole SDN1-27 to manhole SDN1-22, upgradient from public road runoff.

November 1998

976079.23

AR 022409

3.2.2 Fecal Coliforms

Overall, the median value for fecal coliforms in 187 samples to date was 30 per 100 ml, with 75 percent of the results less than 230 per 100 ml. These results indicate that STIA stormwater contains fewer fecal coliforms than typical urban stormwater. More than 75 of the airfield subbasin samples showed fecal coliforms less than the comparative value of 201 per 100 ml.

Small animals and birds inhabit many of the respective drainage areas and are believed to be the sources of these infrequent findings. Urban stormwater often contains fecal coliforms in elevated numbers, and sanitary sewage is not always implicated.

In past reports, the Port showed that fecal coliforms were found principally in the landside subbasin SDE4. Current results for 5 of 10 SDE4 samples showed elevated results greater than or equal to 500 per 100 ml. However, four samples showed fecal coliforms less than this comparator. The 10th sample is not representative due to holding time being exceeded by 9+ hours. The Port is conducting a source tracing study intended to identify potential sources of contamination. Preliminary results, included in Section 4.6, do not indicate sanitary sewage as a source in storm or baseflows. Uncontaminated baseflow samples indicate that there is no continuous source of fecal coliform bacteria. Investigations are ongoing and results will be presented in subsequent Annual Stormwater Monitoring Reports.

3.3 COMPOSITE SAMPLE RESULTS

Results from composite samples are segregated from grab samples which represent only instantaneous values. Composite sample results, especially those from samples that comprise the entire hydrograph, represent an average value over a longer time period.

November 1998

2.5

976070 22



3.3.1 Suspended Solids and Turbidity

STIA outfalls continue to discharge typically less total suspended solids (TSS) and turbidity than urban areas. In the 4 year sampling history at STIA, more than 85 percent of the 230 TSS samples and 191 turbidity samples were below the comparative values of 50 mg/l, and 29 NTUs, respectively. As shown in Figures 8 and 9 results for the past year continue to be consistently low. Because of this consistency with past findings, only current year data are shown in the box plots.

The airfield outfalls continue to produce less TSS and turbidity than the landside subbasins (SDE4, SDN1 and TY). Results from all but two of 36 samples from the principal airfield subbasins (SDS3, SDN3, and SDN4) were less than one-half the regional comparative median values. Because these airfield outfalls represent about 61 percent of the total SDS area, the majority of STIA runoff is much lower in suspended material than runoff from comparable regional urban areas. Vehicle roadways and parking lots predominate in the landside subbasins and are surmised to be a principal source of suspended material.

3.3.2 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅)

At STIA, principal sources of BOD_5 have been aircraft deicing glycols and ground (runway, taxiway, and roadway) deicing chemicals. Results for the past year continue to indicate overall low levels of BOD_5 in STIA stormwater. The median of 5.4 mg/l from 39 samples collected in the past year was below the 6.6 mg/l regional urban comparator (Bura 1984, see Table 5). Airfield outfalls (SDS3, SDS4, SDN3, and SDN4) continued to be generally lower in BOD_5 concentrations than landside outfalls SDE4 and SDN1. These observations are visible in overall data collected in the past 4 years (see Figures 10 and 11). These figures show that data from the past year are lower than previous results, notably for SDS1. ;;

Ð

07E070 73

Principal sources of BOD₅ concentrations in the past were associated primarily with major winter weather episodes and the accompanying deicing events. Acetate-based ground surface deicers were the primary sources of BOD₅, with isolated indications of aircraft deicing glycols. All known direct sources of glycols have been eliminated from the storm drains.

In the past year, only two limited periods of winter weather (January 3, 1998 and January 9-13, 1998) occurred where the Port applied chemicals to ground surfaces (primarily runways and taxiways.) Compared to past years, snowfall and chemical usage, including aircraft glycols, was far less (Port 1998b, Port 1997c.) During the January 12, 1998 event, BOD₅ results ranged from non-detectable to 213 mg/l at the five outfalls sampled. Because glycol concentrations were either very low or not detected in these samples, the elevated BOD₅ concentrations were attributable to the acetate-based runway (ground) deicing chemicals.

It is important to note that the entire drainage area of outfall SDN2 was re-routed to the IWS in 1997 as a result of two BMPs, discussed in Section 4 of this report. These BMPs in SDN2 (two pump stations) eliminated drainage from areas that had been previous sources of BOD₅ resulting from aircraft and ground deicing materials. As a direct result of these BMPs, the vast majority of the runoff from SDN2 for the past year was pumped to the IWS. No discharges to the SDS were recorded during the 11-13 January snow event.

3.3.3 Ammonia

The current permit deleted ammonia from the list of required sample analytes. The principal source of ammonia in past stormwater samples was the urea applied as a runway deicer. The Port completely discontinued the use of urea by the end of 1996.

In the past year, ammonia concentrations in 20 samples from seven STIA outfalls continued to be well below any acute toxicity standard (see Figure 12). Because of the

consistency with past findings, only current year data are shown on Figure 12. More than 75 percent of all data were below the regional comparator of 0.17 mg/l. In addition, ammonia was not detected in 35 percent of the samples. The maximum value detected was 0.24 mg/l at SDE4 on 16 December 1997. The current data show that ammonia concentration has decreased to background levels airport-wide.

3.3.4 Surfactants

The current permit deleted surfactants from the list of required sample analytes. Results from samples collected in the first eight months of the past year are included in Appendix B.

In the past year, 99 percent of the 20 sample results were less than 1 mg/l, and more than 70 percent were less than 0.2 mg/l. The maximum surfactant detected was 0.95 mg/l. Surfactant concentrations continue to be below levels of concern. This information is consistent with past reports. Because of the consistency with past findings, only current year data are shown in Figure 13.

3.3.5 Metals

L .- 4000

This report presents total recoverable metals data for stormwater discharges from STIA outfalls as required in the NPDES permit. The discussion below focuses on copper, lead, and zinc; The remaining metals results are summarized in tabular form in Appendix B.

Washington State Water Quality Standards (WAC 173-201A) apply to the receiving waters, not to the discharges from a particular outfall. Stormwater discharges are diluted in receiving waters. Therefore, it is inappropriate to compare outfall sample results directly with Ecology or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards.

976079.23

The Washington water quality standards for copper, lead, and zinc are based on the dissolved fraction of the metal. The dissolved fraction is generally used to determine potential toxicity, an approximation of what is actually available (i.e., the bicavailable fraction for uptake by aquatic organisms).

3.3.5.1 <u>General Results</u>. General results are discussed below; more detailed discussion follows under the bullets of the three predominant metals: copper, lead, and zinc. In addition, a summary of other metal data is provided as a final bullet.

Although copper concentrations detected in STIA outfalls exceed associated with typical urban sources, the concentrations are less than those associated with Interstate 5 runoff.

Airfield outfalls continue to contain less lead and zinc concentrations than typical urban sources. In the four-year permit sampling history, over 95 percent of the results for lead and zinc in airfield outfalls were below the median for comparable regional data for commercial areas. In addition, the entire data set for lead and zinc in 73 samples from airfield outfalls was less than the mean concentrations for highway runoff⁵. This is significant given that the commercial/industrial comparators cited (see Table 5) are conservative and reflect instream sample concentrations after outfall discharges mixed with receiving waters.

It should also be noted that lead and zinc concentrations detected in STIA airfield outfalls were far lower in lead and zinc than the landside outfalls. This is likely due to the amount of passenger vehicle usage in the landside areas, much of which is beyond the Port's jurisdiction. Finally, in the past four years, 98 percent of all lead results from the airfield outfalls were less than the acute standard.

November 1998

⁵ The 1980-81 highway study median value for lead is 0.47 mg/l (Chui, Horner and Mar, 1982.) Since this study, the sources of lead in stormwater discharges nationwide have decreased considerably due to the phase-out of leaded gasoline.

Copper. Copper concentrations in STIA stormwater continue to be lowest in discharges from two of the airfield outfalls, SDN3 and SDS4. Copper concentrations have declined significantly in SDS1 runoff since the rerouting of the storm drainage to the IWS in June 1997. As shown in Figures 14 and 15, the landside outfalls SDE4 and SDN1 display higher copper as well as do the airfield outfalls SDS3 and SDN4. However, these figures also show that the majority of STIA copper data were lower than in runoff from Interstate 5 (see Table 5). In addition, copper in urban runoff commonly exceeds the receiving water standards as demonstrated by several regional studies. Copper concentrations detected in landside outfall samples is likely related to the heavy vehicle activity within SDE4 and SDN1.

Unlike the other airfield outfalls, subbasin SDS3 has elevated copper concentrations.

- Lead. Lead concentrations continue to be lowest in the airfield subbasins as shown in Figures 16 and 17. Overall, more than 75 percent of STIA lead data was lower than comparable regional data, shown by the upper reference line in Figure 16. In addition, more than 80 percent of the lead concentrations in STIA samples were well below the acute toxicity standard of 0.016 mg/l for total lead. This standard is calculated at 28 mg/l total hardness, a conservative value that represents the 10th percentile recorded for the SRES (Port 1997b.)
 Landside subbasins SDE4 and SDN1 tended to contain higher concentrations of lead than other outfalls (see Figure 18). The Port believes that vehicle activity in these subbasins is a potential source of lead. Much of this non-industrial vehicle activity takes place on public roadways that drain to the Port's outfalls and monitoring locations.
- <u>Zinc</u>. At all outfalls, zinc concentrations observed at STIA during the past four years of monitoring were considerably lower than the comparative value for highways (0.638 mg/l), and current data continue with these patterns as shown in Figure 19.

November 1998

3-10

976079.23

Zinc concentrations continue to be lowest in the airfield subbasins as indicated by the reference line in Figure 18. The majority (97 percent) of zinc data for the four airfield outfalls was lower than the median (0.161 mg/l) from the City of Bellevue's 1996 study. Total zinc concentrations for landside outfalls SDE4 and SDN1 were higher than those of the airfield outfalls (see Figure 18). The landside subbasins experience considerable vehicle traffic where tire wear is a likely source of zinc (EPA 1993). Roads and parking areas constitute more than 50 percent of the impervious surfaces draining to SDE4 and SDN1.

In October 1996, the Port changed the sampling location for SDN1 from manhole SDN1-27 to manhole SDN1-22, upgradient from public road runoff. The changing of SDN1 sampling station resulted in generally lower zinc concentrations (see Figure 18). This difference indicates that runoff from Highway SR518 elevated zinc concentrations in samples collected at the downgradient location. This apparent difference in SDN1 data suggests that data collected prior to altering the location should be considered to contain a high bias.

In terms of potential toxicity, STIA monitoring results indicate that over 63 percent of the data from the four airfield outfalls was less than the toxic standard for total zinc calculated to be 0.04 mg/l using a highly conservative hardness value. In contract, the Bellevue 1996 study showed 61 percent of the 178 zinc samples taken exceeded the EPA standard. Given that all comparative regional zinc data in Table 5 are median values, most regional data would also exceed the standard.

All zinc results for landside outfalls SDE4 and SDN1 exceeded this standard. Again, comparing STIA outfall results directly to any water quality standard for surface water is extremely conservative since no account is made for mixing or the mitigating effects of the receiving water. The Port considers that roadway runoff is responsible for the elevated zinc values in the landside outfalls.

November 1998

3-11

076070 00



Finally, zinc concentrations have decreased considerably for outfall SDS1 discharges in the period since the Port rerouted 1.8 acres of aircraft service area to the IWS.

Other Metals. Table 6 shows a summary of results for other metals analyzed in recently collected samples. These data are from samples collected between June 1997 and March 1998. Analysis requirements for these metals were deleted in the new NPDES permit. The vast majority of results for these other metals were non-detectable. Although nickel was detected, the 95th percentile of 0.017 mg/l was nearly 30 times less than the acute toxic standard for total recoverable nickel.

3.3.6 Deicing Event Samples

3.3.6.1 <u>Background</u>. The Port's Annual Glycol Reports (Port 1996, 1997c, 1998b) detail the history of glycol application airport-wide. These reports summarize data reported by the airlines for the volumes of both ethylene and propylene glycol applied and number of aircraft treated each day. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) authorizes only ethylene and propylene glycols for aircraft deicing and anti-icing. Port tenants perform all glycol application at STIA (applied by airlines or their ground service providers). However, to ensure public safety, aircraft pilots make the ultimate decision on whether to apply glycols or not.

As of June 1997, all ramp areas where aircraft are routinely deiced drain to the IWS. Prior to this date, drainage from several aircraft service areas of limited extent flowed to the SDS. As a result, the Port completed necessary SWPPP actions by implementing seven BMPs that rerouted this drainage to the IWS from the four affected SDS subbasins (SDE4, SDS1, SDS3, and SDN2.)

976079,23

The glycol data discussed below encompass mostly composite samples collected during periods of aircraft deicing, representing average values during a storm event discharge.

3.3.6.2 <u>Results</u>. Overall, the 1997-1998 deicing season was much less severe than in the past two seasons. In contrast to the past, only a few inches of snow fell, which melted rapidly, during the single snow event of 12 January 1998. Little or no snow was plowed from aircraft service areas. As a result, about 30 percent fewer aircraft were deiced than in previous years, using from 65 to 81 percent less glycol than in the previous 12 month periods (Port 1998b.)

Comparing current year data to past years shows considerably lower glycol concentrations in STIA discharges (see Figure 20 through Figure 22). The 1998 data show much lower glycol concentrations due to: (1) less deicing activity as a result of recent warmer and drier weather patterns, and (2) multiple BMPs instituted to remove aircraft.service areas from the storm drainage system.

In the past year, glycols were analyzed in 30 stormwater samples from seven outfalls. The majority of samples were collected at the monthly sampling locations (SDE4, SDS3, and SDN4.) Total glycol concentrations ranged from non-detectable to a maximum of 32 mg/l. The majority of these results (83 percent) were below the detection limits. Glycols were not detected during nine of the 11 "routine" aircraft deicing events sampled, with a maximum concentration of 32 mg/l detected in the 8 March 1998 sample from SDS3.

4.0 PERFORMANCE OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

4.1 GENERAL

The following sections describe how recent stormwater monitoring data support the positive performance of many best management practices (BMPs) implemented in the past two years. Conclusions regarding BMP performance are presented below. Lists of completed overall BMPs and completed capital BMPs are included in Appendix D, Table D1 and Table D2, respectively. These tables are also included in the SWPPP.

4.2 SDN2 BMPs

Recent flow data show that the two pump stations in the former SDN2 area are effective. The entire drainage area of SDN2 was effectively rerouted to the IWS by late 1997. Two BMPs are likely responsible for this change:

- The North Cargo Pump Station, completed and online in July 1997, removing 39.8 acres of taxiways, hardstands, and Cargo area 2.
- 2. The North Snowmelt Pump Station, completed and online in late 1997, removing drainage from the remaining 6.6 acres of SDN2, a small fraction of which is used to store snow plowed from nearby areas.

The Port has elected to operate these two pump stations continuously, well beyond the sole need to remove sources of glycols and BOD₅ from SDN2. These BMPS were originally intended to operate only in winter weather during cargo aircraft deicing, and when snow was plowed and melting in the storage area. Because of continuous operation, the majority of runoff is prevented from discharging at SDN2.

Continuous flow monitoring data at these pump stations and the SDN2 outfall show that only a single bypass occurred between 1 March and 30 June 1998. This was the only occasion that

976079,23



stormwater discharged from SDN2. The bypass occurred when rainfall exceeded the design rate of about 0.22 inches/hour. The bypass was less than an hour in duration, representing the peak of the hydrograph. Because no aircraft-deicing occurred in the SDN2 subbasin during or immediately before this bypass, sampling was not required per permit condition S2.4.

4.3 SDS1 BMPs

In the past two years, the Port rerouted drainage from storm drains to the IWS from two aircraft service areas totaling 35 acres in SDS1. The objective was not only to reduce glycols, but also to remove other potential pollutants that may be present in drainage from aircraft service areas. The effects of reducing glycols are discussed in Section. 3.3.6.

The first area rerouted drained about 1.8 acres of ramp near gate B12. Previous stormwater samples collected at the SDS1 outfall contained glycols at elevated concentrations during cold weather. As a result, the Port rerouted drainage from inlets SDS1-98 and SDS1-99 to the IWS via a structural reroute from manhole SDS1-100 to manhole IWS-190B.

The second area rerouted, about 16.8 acres, drained mostly ramp areas near the A and B concourses. This area previously drained to SDS1 only when higher peak flows surcharged manhole structure IWS-510 (designated SDS1-110 prior to the reroute). Monitoring data in the IWS510 outlet to SDS1 showed the resulting bypasses to SDS1 were of relatively short duration directly tied to periods of intense rainfall. The data showed that these bypasses occurred when rainfall exceeded about 0.2 inches/hour. Otherwise, all drainage from this second area normally discharges to the IWS.

Because of the unpredictability of these high-flow bypasses from IWS-510 to SDS1, there were few opportunities to collect samples explicitly for purposes of comparing data for conditions before and after this BMP was implemented. As a result only three of 20 storms monitored in the past 4-year period took place during these bypasses. Therefore, insufficient data is available to evaluate the effect this latter BMP had upon SDS1 discharges.



November 1998

1

976079.23

Ð

In recent samples, glycols were not detected in four of five samples collected at SDS1. Importantly, glycols were not detected in samples from the January 12 snow event where six aircraft were deiced in the area previously draining to SDS1. A minor amount of propylene glycol (6.1 mg/l) was detected in the 8 March 1998 sample. The Port is investigating removing the remaining ramp area of approximately 1 acre.

Figure D1 (in Appendix D) illustrates that prior to the drainage rerouting, glycols in SDS1 discharges were associated with deicing events involving a single aircraft. Sampling after the BMPs were implemented (Figure D1) shows that no glycols were detected for the two deicing events where more than 10 aircraft were deiced in the vicinity of the former SDS1 drainage area. Comparison of these two figures to past information shows the improvement. Comparing data from the five storm samples collected subsequent to the first BMP discussed above, with the results of the 15 samples collected prior to this BMP shows a decrease in other pollutants, especially metals (see Table 7 and the Box Plot in Figure D3, Appendix D).

Future samples from ongoing monitoring at SDS1 should help to determine the presence and degree of reduction in these parameters. Next year's data set should allow more statistical analysis for significance testing of these differences.

4.4 SDE4 BMPs

Between 1994 and 1997, the Port completed four BMPs in the SDE4 subbasin, rerouting drainage to the IWS from a total of over 17 acres. In order of completion, these BMPs include reroutes from SDE4 to the IWS for the following:

. 1. A flush gutter near gates D6-D9, removing approximately 5.3 acres of aircraft service area.

2. A flush gutter near Air Cargo 4, removing approximately 4.4 acres of aircraft service area.

November 1998

976079.23

AR 022421

- A new pump station for flush gutter drainage near the North Satellite, removing 6.6 acres of ramp located intermediate between gates N11-N16 and the taxiways.
- 4. The North snowmelt pump station, removing 0.75 acres of snow storage area.

Because of these multiple changes on different dates, it is difficult to split the SDE4 data into "before" and "after" conditions. The net effect of these BMPs was about a 10 percent reduction in the total SDE4 subbasin area, and about a 13 percent reduction in impervious surface area. Removing these areas from SDE4 eliminated the remaining known aircraft service areas from the SDS. Similar to SDS1, the recent weather pattern has not yielded conditions sufficient to discern effects attributable to the many BMPs implemented in past years. However in the past year, glycols were detected in only one of a total of 8 samples collected. Glycol concentrations in this sample, collected during the only winter weather period in the past year, were very low (11.1 mg/l.) Though aircraft and runway deicing occurred during this event, it is not comparable to those of past years.

4.5 TAXI YARD BMPs

Data support favorable effects of various BMPs implemented at the Taxi Yard (TY). These BMPs include the use of oil-absorbent media in the catch basin insert "socks" ("Streamguard" units), and increased vigilance by the STITA Taxi Association, which leases this site.

Recent data continue to show low indicators of petroleum products in discharges. The median concentration for FOG in recent samples continues to remain below the comparative value of 3.7 mg/l. The TPH results from the first two samples collected pursuant to the current permit show very low values of just over 1 ppm. Data for TSS continue to be less than one half the BURP median of 50 mg/l.

The Port also built a car wash facility in the TY. The facility drains to the sanitary sewer and effectively separates vehicle washing from the storm drain system.

November 1998

4-4

976079,23

4.6 SUBBASIN SDE4: POLLUTANT SOURCE TRACING

As stated in the report (Section 3.2.2), fecal coliforms occasionally exceed levels typical of stormwater. The Port began a source tracing effort early in 1998 to identify the potential sources. Initial storm samples did not indicate distinct sources, and do not indicate gross contamination from sanitary sewage. Two baseflow samples, one each collected during the wet and dry season, were not contaminated, and had very low to non-detectable results. Table 7 summarizes the data for these initial samples. Manhole SDE4-47 is the NPDES sampling "outfall" location for SDE4. Other locations listed in the table are upgradient of this location.

According to the literature, surfactants, fluoride, ammonia and potassium are suitable indicators of potential contamination. Results can be compared to ranges indicating various sources of contamination. Ratios of ammonia to potassium of 0.9 and greater can be used to indicate the presence of sanitary wastewater (Lalor, Pitt, and Field, 1993.) Except for one occasion, results in Table 8 show these ratios at far less than 0.9. Fluoride concentrations indicate the presence of domestic water in baseflow samples, yet other parameters show that these baseflows are not contaminated with fecal coliforms, ammonia, or surfactants.

The source tracing effort is currently focusing more detail on the SDE4 drainage system by sampling up to 12 different progressively upgradient locations sequentially. These sampling locations isolate drainage sub-areas.

4.7 RUNWAY SKID MARK MATERIAL REMOVAL

To maintain proper braking friction for aircraft, the Port periodically removes accumulated material from skid marks deposited by landing aircraft. About biannually, this material is pressure washed from the runways, collected, and disposed as solid waste. About 7 cubic yards (5 m³) of solids are removed annually. The waste was analyzed using toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) for metals to ensure that it is properly disposed in accordance with applicable regulations.

November 1998

4-5

976079.23

AR 022423



Table 9 shows the results from recent composite samples of this particulate waste material, and estimates total annual mass removed for copper and zinc. Because lead was not detected, no estimates are provided. In terms of total mass, these estimates show that this process removes about 68 pounds (31 kg) of zinc, and 12 pounds (5.5 kg) of copper annually. Considering only the leachable fractions, much less is removed. Nonetheless, this practice amounts to a BMP for metals abatement, taking place primarily in SDS3 and to a lesser extent in SDN3 and SDS4.

4.8 OTHER BMPs

Other operational and source control BMPs are used at STIA including: employee, contractor, and tenant training in stormwater pollution prevention, implementation of a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan, implementation of Integrated Pest Management BMPs, pavement sweeping and periodic runway rubber removal, inspections for illicit connections and designation of the stormwater management team. The effects of these activities are difficult to quantify but are likely to have an impact on stormwater pollution prevention.



November 1998

976079.23

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Overall, STIA stormwater quality is better than regionally comparable data. Results continue to demonstrate that stormwater quality at the airfield outfalls under typical conditions is consistently better than regional commercial and industrial areas. Results also show that there are differences in stormwater quality between landside and airfield subbasins. However, the data tend to indicate that runoff from non-Port public roadways unfavorably biases STIA stormwater, especially in the landside outfall samples. Nonetheless, overall STIA results are generally lower than results for roadways and commercial areas.

Monitoring in the past year indicates improvements in stormwater quality after BMPs were implemented especially as those BMPs that rerouted drainage from the SDS to the IWS. BMPs implemented over the past year and performance data were discussed in Chapter 4.

976079.23

6.0 PROPOSED NEW INITIATIVES

Evaluation of the stormwater discharges at STIA is an ongoing process. A key factor in attaining improved water quality is implementation of BMPs. BMPs are evaluated as part of the SWPPP and are part of the NPDES permit requirement. Based on the data and conclusions presented in this report, as well as other knowledge regarding STIA activities, the following potential new initiatives have been identified.

- 1. Evaluate monitoring requirements in the permit and request modifications as appropriate, based on the effectiveness of BMPs or other changes at STIA.
- 2. Continue to investigate possible sources of fecal coliforms in SDE4 discharges.
- 3. Explore rerouting of drainage from several minor SDS3 drain inlets beneath the overhangs of the C Concourse that could be responsible for isolated elevated BOD₅ concentrations in SDS3.
- 4. Continue to monitor glycols in SDS1 discharges to verify the effectiveness of two capital BMPs designed to reduce and eliminate glycols and other pollutants by rerouting drainage to the IWS or sealing minor inlets.
- 5. Require contractor to implement source controls and BMP related to construction activities.
- 6. Continue to evaluate tenant activities.
- 7. Continue to revise and update the SWPPP on a regular basis.

November 1998

976079.23

7.0 REFERENCES

American Public Health Association. 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 19th Edition. American Public Health Association, WA DC.

Booth and Horner, 1995. Fundamentals of Urban Surface Water Management, Chapter IV. Course manual for class offered in cooperation with University of Washington Engineering Professional Programs, Seattle, WA. September 1995.

Chui, T.W., Horner, R.R., and Mar, B.W. 1982. *Pollutant Loading Model for Highway Runoff.* Journal of the Environmental Engineering Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol 108, No. EE6, December, 1982.

Lalor, M.M., Pitt, R.E., and Field, R. 1993. Analysis of NPDES Stormwater Permit Field Screening Data to-Identify Inappropriate Discharge Sources in Residential and Commercial Land Use Areas. Paper AC93-042-004. Presented at the 66th Annual Conference and Exposition of the Water Environment Federation, Anaheim, California, October 3-7, 1993. Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, VA.

Port of Seattle. 1996. Annual Glycol Report. Memorandum dated May 17, 1996 from Port of Seattle to WDOE (Lisa Zinner).

Port of Seattle. 1997a. Annual Stormwater Monitoring Report for the period July 1, 1996 through May 31, 1997. Scott Tobiason, Port of Seattle, September 29, 1997.

Port of Seattle. 1997b. Stormwater Receiving Environment Monitoring Report for NPDES Permit No. WA-002465-1. Port of Seattle, June 1997.

Port of Seattle, 1997c. Annual Glycol Report. Memorandum dated April 30, 1997 from Port of Seattle to WDOE (Lisa Zinner).

Port of Seattle. 1998a. Procedure Manual for Stormwater Monitoring. Port of Seattle, May 21, 1998.

Port of Seattle. 1998b. Annual Glycol Report.

SPSS. 1993. SPSS for Windows, Base System User's Guide Release 6.0. SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, © 1993.

November 1998

Ð

7-1

976079.23



United States Environmental Protection Ágency. 1993. Stormwater discharges potentially addressed by Phase II of the NPDES Program. Draft report to Congress. October, 1993.



November 1998

976079.23


TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING CHANGES UNDER NEW PERMIT

Change	Outfalls Affected
Increase sampling frequency from quarterly to monthly	SDE4 (002), SDS3 (005), SDN1 (006), SDN4 (011)
Decrease sampling frequency from quarterly to semi-annually	EY (012), TY (013)
Decrease sampling frequency from quarterly to annually	SDS1 (003), SDS4 (009), SDN3 (008)
Delete parameters: NH₃, surfactants, FOG	all
Change TPH method from WTPH 418.1 to NWTPH-Dx	ail
Bypass sampling required (for N. Cargo and N. Snowmelt IWS Pump Stations)	SDN2 (007)



November 1998

976079.23

TABLE 2 OUTFALL NOMENCLATURE CROSS REFERENCE

November 1998

Outfall Number in	Port	
Permit	Nomenclature	Category
002	SDE4	landside
003	SDS1	none
004	SDS2	none
005	SDS3	airfield
006	SDN1	landside
007	SDN2	Drains to IWS
008	SDN3	airfield
009	SDS4	airfield
010	SDW3	лопе
011	SDN4	airfield
012 -	EY	landside
013	TY	landside
014	В	none
015	D	поле

· ...

Ð

976079.23

Offsite Total Percent Area Outfall Area Comment Offsite (ac) (ac) (manhole) Offsite area of SR99. <1% 0.6 149 SDE4 Offsite area of S. 188th St. (SDE4-47) 47% 5.1 10.7 includes area added by City in Fall SDS1 (outfall) 1997 Offsite 16th Ave S., S. 188th St, 21% 2.9+ 13.2 and possible non-Port commercial SDS2 (outfall) area. Approximate offsite area of <1% 462 3 SDS3 S. 188th St. Former SDN1 location includes (outfall) >40% 9.9+ SDN1 24+ public road runoff. Additional 49 acres enters below this point. (manhole Air cargo road is about 1/2 of SDN1-27) 0% 0 13.8 SDN1up SDN1. (SDN1-22)

Note:

(a). .All area estimates are as of 27 October 1998 and subject to change.

.

.

TABLE 3 OFFSITE INFLUENCES IN STIA MONITORING LOCATIONS^(*)

Ŕ

T				SL	bbasins	
Analyte	Method ^(a)	Detection limit (MDL) mg/l	SDE4, SDS3, SDN1, SDN4	EY TY, SDN2	SDS1, SDN2	SDS1, SDS2,SDN3, SDS4, SDW3, B, D
pH	150.1	0.10	<u>X</u>	<u> </u>	X	·
FOG (Oil and Grease)	413.1	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TPH (IR)	418.1 mod ⁽⁹⁾	1.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TPH (GC)	NWTPH-Dx	0.15	x	x	x	X
Fecal coliforms	9221 E	2	X	[ļ	X
TSS (total suspended solids)	160.2	0.50	x	x	X	<u>x</u>
Turbidity	180.1	0.10	X		X	<u> </u>
BOD ₅	405.1	4.0	<u>X</u>	<u> </u>	X	
Total Ammonia	350.2S	0.010	n/a	<u>n/a</u>	n/a	
Total Glycols ^(c)	GC FID	4	X	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Recoverable copper, lead, zinc ⁽⁰⁾	200	varies	x			
Surfactants	425.1	0.10	<u> </u>			

TABLE 4 POLLUTANT ANALYTES, METHODS AND DETECTION LIMITS

(a) Method refers to EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1979. Fecal coliform method refers to 18th edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, or as revised.

(b) Washington State Department of Ecology method WTPH-418,1 Modified.
(c) Analyzed by Gas Chromatograph, Flame Ionization Detector.

(d) Lead by atomic absorption (AA) fumace, copper and zinc by ICP.

ö

			<u></u>	Study	γ		
Pollutant	Units	NURP, 1983	BURP, 1984	Metro, 1982	Bellevue, 1996 ^(b)	Highway Runoff	WA State Standard ^(c)
рH	std units		5.2 - 7.4		7.2 - 7.8		6,5 - 8,5
FOG	mg/l		2.5	7.8	3.7	30 ^(d)	no criteria
TPH	mg/l				3.7		no criteria
Fecal coliforms	mpn per 100 mi	1000 to 21000	980		201		50
BOD ₅	mg/l	9	6.6				no criteria
TSS	mg/l	100	50		82.3	106 ^(e) ·	no criteria
Turb	mg/l		19		29.4		based on background
NH3 ⁰⁾	mg/l		0.17		0,58		6.8 - 32.6 ⁽⁹⁾
glycols	mg/l	no	t analyze	d in any	of these st	udies	no criteria
Surf	mg/l				<mdl< td=""><td></td><td>no criteria</td></mdl<>		no criteria
Cd (TR) ^(h)	hðy			0.7	1		0.93 ⁽ⁿ⁾
Cr (TR)(h)	µg/l			7	6.9		612 ^(h)
Cu (TR) ^(h)	µg/l	34		20	10.4	43 ^(e)	5.3 ^(h)
Pb (TR) ^(h)	µg/l	144	170	210	26.3	466(*)	16 ^(h)
Zn (TR) ^(h)	µg/l	160	120	110	161.4	638 ^(e)	40 ^(h)
As (TR) ^(h)	µg/l	1		13			360 ^(h)
NI (TR) ^(h)	µg/l	1		11	7.3		483 ^(h)
statistic re	eported:	median	mean [®] , <i>median</i>	mean	log- normal median	mean	metais criteria ^(h) at hardness = 28 mg/l

TABLE 5 STORMWATER QUALITY COMPARATORS^(a)

Notes:

- (a) Comparative Values in bold. Blank space means no data available, reported, or applicable.
- (b) Bellevue, 1996 data for "Sturtevant Creek, downstream" site.
- (c) Standards are for class AA receiving waters, see WAC 173-201A.
- (d) Highway runoff in England (see Booth and Homer, 1995).
- (e) Highway runoff from an 15 location in Seattle with 57,000 ADT, 43 to 54 storm samples in 1980-81 (Chui, Mar, and Horner, 1982).
- (f) Ammonia values and standards expressed as total ammonia, not as ammonia-nitrogen.
- (g) Ammonia standards for pH 6.5 to 8.0 and temperatures 5° to 20°C.
- (h) Total recoverable metals. WA State acute standards expressed as total recoverable, calculated at 28 mg/l hardness using Ecology's "TSDCALC6.XLW" spreadsheet. The hardness value is the 10th percentile for the receiving waters (source: Stormwater Receiving Environment Monitoring Report, Port, 1997b). Hardness can vary between season.
- (i) For Turb, Cr, Cu, Pb, and Zn, BURP 1984 data was mean of grab samples, therefore Bellevue, 1996 data are better comparators because they represent median.



2 52,05,0

November 1998

976079.23



November 1998

TABLE 6 OTHER METALS (TOTAL RECOVERABLE, MG/I)

		Sb	AS	Be	Ca	Cr	Hg	NI	Se	Ag	П
1998 Data set co	ount	17	17	17	18	17	17		· 17	17	17
me	dian	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.007	0.002	0.0005	0.001
	95th	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.0009	0.006	0.0003	0.017	0.004	0.0005	0.001
-	75th	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.012	_0.002	0.0005	0.001
	25th	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.003	0.002	0.0005	0.001
#non-dete	cted	17	13	16	14	16	14	6	14	17	16
%non-dete	cted	100%	76%	94%	78%	94%	82%	35%	82%	100%	94%
acute (@ 28 ppm		9	0.36	0.13	0.0009	0.612	0.002	0.483	0.02	E000.0	1.4

Acute criteria derived from Ecology's worksheet "TSDCALC6.xis".

976079,23



P

TABLE 7

DECREASES IN POLLUTANTS IN SDS1 STORMWATER AFTER BMPS

Outfall SDS1 (003)	TSS	BOD5	Cu	РЪ	Zn
Pre-BMP mean	22.4	26.8	0.062	0.020	0.178
Pre-BMP median	17.0	16.5	0.042	0.013	0.188
sample size	15	16	15	15	15
CV, %	4%	4%	60%	108%	38%
Post-BMP mean	11.2	5,0	0.023	0.010	. 0.102
Post-BMP median	9.0	5.5	0.022	0.005	0.082
sample size	4	4	5	5	5
CV, %	10%	12%	45%	98%	29%
% change in mean	-50%	-81%	-62%	-50%	-43%
% change in median	-47%	-67%	-48%	-62%	-56%

Changes assume independence between concentrations from concurrent storm events.

ø



976079 23

November 1998



Ĩ

TABLE 8

SDE4 SOURCE TRACING RESULTS

	l	}	fecals, #/100ml	fecais, #/100ml			×.		Manut	PODE	Cont	
Data	event	location	(MF)	(MPN)	Fl-, mg/	NH3, mg/	mal	NH3/K+	mal	mal	una,	surr,
5-Jan	rain	SDE4-47	420		0.22	0.042	1.08	0.04	26.5	<12	56	n/a
5-Jan	rain	SDE4-43	80		0.06	0.094	0.629	0.15	34.2	412	57	n/a
5-Jan	rain	SDE 3-91	960		0.09	0.223	1.54	0.14	38.6	48	104	'n/a
5-Jan	rain	SDE4-31	1460		0,14	0.019	0.25	0.08	14.1	<12	.13	n/a
5-Jan	rain	SDE 3-93	540		0,12	0.027	0.848	0.03	21.8	<12	40	n/a
												1110
9-Jan	baseflow	SDE 4-47	2		0.7	0.027	1.1	0.02	49.1	4	104	0.053
9-Jan	basedow	SDE4-43	na flow		no flow	no flow	no tow	no flow	no fow	no flow	ne tow	no fow
9-Jan	basefow	SDE 3-91	no flow		no flow	no flow	no flow	no flow	no fow	no fow	no iow	no fow
9-Jan	basefow	SDE4-31	2		1.0	0.005	0.619	0.01	34.4	4	65	€0.025
9-Jan	basefow	SDE 3-93	4		0,4	0.005	2.3	0.00	71.6	4	162	0.049
												0.010
15-Jul	rain	SDE4-47		>1600								
14-Aug	basefow	SDE4-47	70		1.07	0.021	1.01	0.02	31.5	₫.0		0.036
16-Aug	storm	SDE4-47	1220	500		0.169	1.58	0.11	35.4		101	0.203
18-Sep	rain	SDE4-47	10600	500								0.200
24-Sep	storm	SDE4-47		>1600	0.325	0.953	1.48	0.64	37.4		111	0 477
3-0ct	stonn	SDE4-47	>186000	>1600				The state of the s				



Nor

November 1998

P,

976079.23

			Metal	······································
		<u> </u>	PD	
sample				
results	TCLP1 (mg/l)	0.042	0.025	6.25
	TCLP2 (mg/l)	n/a	0.05	n/a
	avg TCLP (mg/l)	0.042	0.0375	6.25
	total (mg/kg)	1294	93.4	7390
	sample density (g/cc)		0.847	
mass				
estimates	kg/m3 (TCLP)	0.0007	0.0006	0,11
	kg/m3 (total)	1.1	0.1	6.3
	ib/yd3 (total)	1.9	0.1	10.6
	relative fraction leached	0.06%	0.80%	1.69%
	approx volume removed**, m3		5	
	approx mass removed (TCLP), kg	0.004	0.003	0.53
	approx mass removed (total), kg		0.40	31.3

METALS IN AIRCRAFT TIRE SKID MARK MATERIAL **REMOVED FROM STIA RUNWAYS**

TABLE 9

ŀ

Ē

[

Ē

P

1,

[:

November 1998

*iead not detected in both TCLP analyses. 1. Sampled by Scott Tobiason 8/14/98, analyzed by Aquatic Research, Inc 2. Sampled by Sarah Olson 9/10/98, analyzed by Philip Environmental ** estimate of total solids volume removed and

976079 23



FIGURE 2 FOG FOR CURRENT YEAR



November 1998

976079.23



FIGURE 3 TPH (IR) COMPARED IN BOX PLOT FOR CURRENT YEAR



976079.23



FIGURE 4 TPH-DX COMPARED IN BOX PLOT FOR CURRENT YEAR

November 1998





FIGURE 5 IMPROVEMENTS IN SDN1 DATA ABOVE PUBLIC ROADS



976079.23



FIGURE 6 CHANGES IN SDS1 AFTER BMPS

November 1998

976079.23









976079.23



FIGURE 9 TURBIDITY FOR CURRENT YEAR



November 1998

976079.23



FIGURE 10 BODs FOR PERMIT HISTORY



¹ Because of the scale shown, 8 outlying values from data taken in previous years are not visible. Six of these occurred during major winter-weather deicing periods, and were related to ground deicing chemicals as explained in past Annual Stormwater Reports. One other outlier on 9/13/94 in SDN1was probably due to an inappropriate connection, since corrected, which was discussed in the 1997 Annual Stormwater Report.

November 1998

976079.23





November 1998

976079.23

ø



FIGURE 12 AMMONIA FOR CURRENT YEAR

ember 1998

976079.23

ø



November 1998

FIGURE 13 SURFACTANTS FOR CURRENT YEAR

976079.23



FIGURE 14 TOTAL COPPER FOR PERMIT HISTORY

ø,



976079.23



FIGURE 15 TOTAL COPPER FOR CURRENT YEAR



November 1998

976079.23



FIGURE 16 TOTAL LEAD FOR PERMIT HISTORY¹²

¹ With Ecology's concurrence, in October 1996 the Port moved the sampling location for SDN1 from manhole SDN1-27 to manhole SDN1-22, upgradient from public road runoff. The previous downgradient location was more convenient for sampling access, yet incorporated runoff from these non-Port areas. This report and the 1997 Annual Stormwater Report show considerably less FOG, TPH, and Zinc in samples taken at the location upgradient of this non-Port property.

² Because of the scale shown, 3 outliers at SDE4 are not visible: they are 0.104 mg/l on 2/3/96, 0.098 mg/l on 4/16/96, and 0.076 mg/l on 1/16/97. A single outlier at SDS1 is also not visible, 0.088 on 4/15/96.

November 1998

976079.23





FIGURE 17 TOTAL LEAD FOR CURRENT YEAR



976079.23





FIGURE 19 TOTAL ZINC FOR CURRENT YEAR

November 1998

976079.23





FIGURE 21 TOTAL GLYCOLS FOR PERMIT HISTORY



976079.23



FIGURE 22 TOTAL GLYCOLS BY REPORTING YEAR

November 1998

976079.23

Appendix A

Hydraulic and Hydrologic Estimations

• • •



APPENDIX A

HYDRAULIC AND HYDROLOGIC ESTIMATIONS

This appendix presents hydraulic information required by the STIA NPDES permit. Paragraph 2 of Section C of NPDES permit special condition S3 states "The Permittee shall submit the following data for the storm event used: date, duration, the number of dry hours preceding the storm event, total rainfall during the storm event (inches), maximum flow rate (gallons per minute), and the total flow from the rain event (gallons)." Table A1 presents a summary of monitored storm events. Tables A2 and A3 present estimates of runoff volumes and peak discharge rates. Daily runoff values are presented in Table A4 and illustrated in the attached bar graphs shown as Figure A1.

Peak discharges presented in Table A3 are estimated by the "rational method" for each storm event sampled in the preceding year. The peak rate of each storm depends upon the time-of-concentration, or T_c , for the particular subbasin and the rainfall distribution of the particular storm. The WATERWORKS model was used to develop the T_c values presented in Table A5. A peak discharge, Q_p , is then estimated by the rational method using the following equation:

 $Q_p (gpm) = \frac{C \times I \times A \times 43560 \text{ ft}^3/\text{ac} \times 7.48 \text{ gal/ft}^3}{12 \text{ in/ft} \times 60 \text{ min/hr}}$

where:

November 1998

 $C = runoff coefficient = (0.90(A_i) + 0.25(A_o))/A$

where ;

 A_{I} = the impervious area in acres, and

A_p = the pervious area in acres

I = peak intensity in inches/hour

A = subbasin area in acres

The Port's rain gauge records rainfall at 5-minute intervals, thereby resolving rainfall rates, or "intensities" for periods as short as 5-minutes. The rainfall record for the storm of interest is examined to determine the peak intensity for the time

A-1

976079.23

span that matches the time-of-concentration. The rain gauge allows the user to aggregate rainfall for multiples of the 5-minute recording interval that best approaches the times of concentration desired. This basin-specific intensity was then translated to an hourly peak intensity using the following equation:

 $I = i \times 60/T_{c}$

where:

- i = maximum rainfall depth (inches) of a time equal to the time of concentration
- T_c = the time of concentration, displayed in Table A5.

For example, the T_c for SDE-4 is 21 minutes; therefore, the rainfall record for the storm of interest is examined to find the one period of 20 minutes that has the greatest rainfall depth.

As additional information, Table A6 provides changes in boundaries or percent of impervious surfaces.



976079.23

Appendix A

Tables

0

۰.

ين. -



TABLE A1

MONITORED STORM EVENTS

	Depth,	Dur,	48hrant,			
Stormdate -	'n.	hr	Ŀ.	dryant, hr	Event Type*	Comment
06/03/97	0.26	16	0	76 1	JPDES	
06/16/97	0.36	28	0	135 1	VPDES	
06/21/97	0.27	11,8	0.12	68 1	NPDES	
08/25/97	0,2	10.5	0.07	96 1	VPDES	
10/28/97	0,47	10.8	0.08	26 h	VPDES	
11/06/97	0.16	4.4	0,01	72 0	VPDES	
11/16/97	0,47	12.6	0	222 N	VPDES	
11/19/97	0.65	39	0.12	24 N	VPDES	rain data missing: ant data estimated
12/15/97	*	33	0	87 N	VPDES	I
01/12/98	1.13	48	0	123 N	VPDES	~2" snow preceded event
01/29/98	0.2	14	0	107 1	VPDES	•
03/01/98	0.98	86	0.07	6 7	UPDES	
03/08/98	0.86	27	0	132 N	VPDES	
04/07/98	0.03	0.5	0.04	87 n	ton-storm	non storm
04/09/98	0.09	17	0	62 n	ton-storm	non storm
04/23/98	0.46	20	0	264 h	VPDES	
05/09/98	0.12	ω	0	360 л	non-storm	non storm
05/14/98	0.21	8	0.01	125 N	VPDES	
05/24/98	0.58	11	0	87 N	UPDES	
06/10/98	0.28	10	0	288 N	UPDES	
06/24/98	0.43	4	0	288 A	UPDES	
* see criteria	in Proced	jure Ma	nual for St	ormwater N	Ionitoring (PO	S 1998a)
"dur" is rainfa	ill duratic	n in hou	Jrs			
"48hrant" is t	he total	rainfall ì	n the 48 h	iours preced	Ing the event	monitored
"dryant" is th	e duratio	n of the	anteceder	nt dry perioc	d to the last m	easurable rainfall

ø

November 1998

-	<u> </u>	
	•	•
	-	٠.
		- E
		đ
	-	л
-	- A	1

11





ESTIMATED RUNOFF VOLUMES FOR STORM EVENTS MONITORED JUNE 1997 THROUGH JUNE 1998

L.				Dunoff V.	dumes fo	r Sea-Ta	c Airport	Sub-Ba	isins, gal	lons			
Monitored		003	1 YUU	005	006	008	600	010	011	012	011	014 4	015
Event Kainfall		202-1-202	SDS-2	SDS-3	SDN-1	SDN-3	SDS-4	SDW-3	SDN-4	μ	≿	20	
	253 000	-		548,000	31,000				89,000	000 6	5,000		
00/02/3 / 0.50		17 000								12,000			2021
06/16/97 0.35	000,800	2007-1-1				53.000							
06/21/97 0.27				ŀ			26.000						
08/25/97 0.20	1			1 222 7 22 2	1 000 007				160.000				
10/28/97 0.47	1,056,000	32,000		2,291,000	128,000 1	1 200				5,000			
11/06/97 0.16						100 001	241 000				000'6		
11/16/97 0.47						222/221							
11/19/97 0.65	5	51,000			1000000				340.000				
12/15/97 1.00	0 2,739,000	85,000		000 000 0	230,000	002,000	807 NOD		384.000				
01/12/98 1.1:	3 3,153,000	000 66		6,982,000			26,000			7,000	4,000		
01/29/98 0.20	0			264,000	1 000 000				333 000				
03/01/98 0.9	8 2,677,000			5,807,000	323,000		000 203		292,000	27,000	17,000		
03/08/98 0.80	6 2,309,000	71,000		4,921,000	2/9,000			T	2221222				
04/07/98 0.0	3				0								
04/09/98 0.0	0 6			000 200 .	0 000 000 1				1.005.000				
04/23/98 0.4	6 1,005,000			1,000,600,1	000'enn't								
05/09/98 0.1	2 15,000			15,000	000 11 1								
05/14/98 0.2	1 141,000			141,000	141,000				1,493,000	19,000			
05/24/98 0.5	8				200 000						6,000		
06/10/98 0.2	8			306,000	nnnans				1.466,000				
06/24/98 0.5	7 1,466,000					Tan Air	tro						
Rainfall data from Natio	nal Weather	Service ar	od/or Port	of Seattle rai	n gage at Si	קווא טום ו-65	10						
Runoff volumes based i	upon basin-sp	ecific eng	ineering n	nodeis		لدر مسط ملاطر	800						
Annual sampling require	ements for ou	falls SDS	2, SDW3	B, and D to	be satisfied	ייר היו היוויי							
Note: equations built int	o embedded	functions	above ap	oly tor rainrai		N-2	Ϋ́Υ	14	30		-	20	34
Basin Areas, Ac	149	÷	13	462	14	1 000 668	1 701 AED	380 134	820.002	40,186	21,179	1,346,759	920,466
max runoff, gal/in	4,045,708	290,531	358,412	12,544,409	100,000	2000'00E'I	20.6	7	8	 	-	-	3.2
Impervious, Ac	197	9.2		224	10.2	27	42.6	2	23	0	0	48	30.7
Pervious, Ac	52	<u>;</u> ;	7.71	220	0'0 V 1	0 50	0.46	0.58	0.41	0.78	06.0	0.27	0.31
ö	0.67	0.81	0.30	10.0	771 680	951 692	797.466	218.577	339,133	31,225	19,061	358,955	286,594
Crest motf, dal/in	2,723,386	235,004	101,202	1,000,404	2221123								

تم

r

November 1998

3.2 30.7 0.31 286,594

Cr est runoff, gal/in


ESTIMATED PEAK RUNOFF RATES FOR STORM EVENTS MONITORED JUNE 1997 THROUGH JUNE 1998

Ð

TABLE A3

Monitored	Peak RI		م	sak Ru	noff R	ates fc	or Sea-	Tac Al	rport S	ub-Ba	sins,	gpm		
Event	(in/hr)	002	003	004	005	900	008	600	010	011	012	013	014	015
Date		SDE4	SDS-1	SDS-2	SDS-3	SDN-1	SDN-3	SDS-4	SDW-3	SDN-4	Ε	₹	ß	۵
06/03/97	0,06	2723	235	107	7087	272	951	797	219	339	31	19	359	287
06/16/97	0.07	3176	274	125	8269	317	1110	930	255	396	36	22	419	33 S
06/21/97	0.06	2723	235	107	7087	272	951	197	219	339	31	6	359	287
08/25/97	0.05	2269	196	68	5906	226	262	664	182	283	26	16	299	239
10/28/97	0.07	3176	274	125	8269	317	1110	930	255	396	36	ន	419	334
11/06/97	0.06	2723	235	107	7087	272	951	797	219	339	5	6	359	287
11/16/97	0,18	8168	705	322	21262	815	2854	2392	656	1017	94	57	1077	860
11/19/97	0.15	6806	587	268	17718	679	2378	1993	546	848	78	48	897	716
12/15/97	0.10	4538	392	179	11812	453	1586	1329	364	565	52	32	598	478
01/12/98	0.24	10890	940	429	28349	1086	3806	3189	874	1356	125	76	1435	1146
01/29/98	0.06	2723	235	107	7087	272	951	797	219	339	31	191	359	287
03/01/98	0.09	4084	352	161	10631	407	1427	1196	328	509	47	29	538	430
03/08/98	0.05	2269	196	89	5906	226	793	664	182	283	26	16	.299	239
04/07/98	0.03	1361	117	54	3544	136	476	399	109	170	16	10	179	143
04/09/98	0.02	908	78	36	2362	91	317	266	73	113	10	9	120	96
04/23/98	0.03	1361	117	54	3544	136	476	399	109	170	16	10	179	143
05/09/98	0.02	908	78	36	2362	91	317	266	73	113	10	9	120	96
05/14/98	0.05	2269	196	89	5906	226	793	664	182	283	26	16	299	239
05/24/98	0.06	2723	235	107	7087	272	951	797	219	339	ल	19	359	287
06/10/98	0.03	1361	117	54	3544	136	476	399	109	170	16	2	179	43
06/24/98	0.14	6353	548	250	16537	634	2220	1860	510	791	2	4	837	669
Rainfall date	a from Port of	Seattle	and/or h	Vational 1	Weather	Service	rain ga	ge at Se	a-Tac Air	port				
Peak runoff	rales pased l	ar noqi	ittonal mu	ר notna	- کاک									
														Ī

"A" Rasin Areas. Ac	149	1.1	ς Γ	462	14	2	63	14	90	-	1	20	34
			ŭ		10	55	50	ΨU	50	5	5	80	80
"I", I Ime of Concentration	2 V	P	8	3	2	3				Ţ.	1		1
"Ai". Area Impervious. Ac	97	9.2	-	224	10.2	27	20.8		×	-	-	-	2 7
"An" Area Pervicits Ac	52	1.5	12.2	238	3.3	43	42.6	7	23	0	0	48	30.7
"C" rinoff roofficient =/ (0.67	0.81	030	0.57	0.74	0.50	0.46	0.58	0.41	0.78	06.0	0.27	0.31
A ' Initiali constituterit A													

1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT

Day	Jun-97	Jul-97	Aug-97	Sep-97	Oct-97	Nov-97	Dec-97	Jan-98	Feb-98	Mar-98	Apr-98	May-98	Jun-98
Rainfall in Incl	185												
1	0.01	0.01	0	0.03	0.59	0	01	0.58	0.08	0.59	0	0.01	0.01
2	0.01	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0.01	0.1	0.17	0	0.01	0
3	0.25	0	0	0	0.59	0,16	0	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.01	0
4	0	0	Ō	0	0.49	O	0	0.31	0.1	D	D	0	0.01
5	0	0.13	0	0	0	0.15	0	0,95	0.03	0	0	0	0
6	0	0.02	0	0	0.2	0.22	0.01	0.37	0.01	0	0.01	0	Ō
7	0	0.21	0	0	0.11	0.7	0.14	0.3	0.01	0	0.1	0	0
8	0	0.5	0	0	0,69	0	0.01	0.01	0,18	0.19	0	0.01	0.01
9	0	0.02	0	0	0.02	0	0.08	0	0	0.7	0.03	0.04	0.23
10	0	0.32	0	0.01	0.07	0	0.06	0	0.17	0.15	0.09	0.01	0.08
11	0.06	0.01	0	0.01	0	0	0	0.01	0.33	0	0.14	0.01	0
12	0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0.22	0,5	0.01	0.02	0.01	0
13	0	0	0	0.3	0.02	0	0	0,16	0,18	0.01	0.01	0.02	. 0.01
14	0	0	0	0.04	0.1	0	0.01	0.8	0.1	0	0	0.23	0.01
15	0	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.57	0.05	0.03	0.06	D	0.01	0
16	0.02	0	0	0.81	0.01	0.49	1.17	0.52	0.03	0.04	0	- 0	0
17	0,36	0	0	0.74	0.21	0.11	0.03	0,18	0.13	0,01	0	0.01	0
18	0	0	0	0.03	0	0.01	0	0.39	0.31	0	0.01	0.01	0
19	0.02	0	0	0	0	0.65	0.02	0.02	0.1	0	0.01	0.01	0
20	0,18	0	-0,07	0	0	0.01	0.18	0.07	0.18	0	0	0.01	Ō
21	0.09	0	Ō	0	0	0.01	0	0.31	0.13	0.19	0.01	0.01	0
22	0.01	0	0	0	0.02	0.21	0.08	0.19	0.03	0,79	0	0	0.01
23	0.02	0	0.08	0	0.01	0.46	0.02	0.88	0	0.43	0,5	0.01	0.07
24	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.11	0	0.27	0.01	0.25	0.08	0.65	0.45
25	0	۵	0.07	0.37	0	0.01	0	0.19	0.16	0,16	0	0.14	0.01
26	0	0	0.41	0.44	0.08	0	0.04	0,01	0.01	0.01	0	0.37	0.01
27	0	0	0.25	0.01	0	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01	0	0.52	0.01
28	0,45	0	0.03	0.01	0.9	0.18	0.01	0.04	0.66	0	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	1.2	0.35	0	0.23		0	0	0.01	0
30	0.01	0	0	0.16	0	0.03	0	0.01		0.06	0	0.01	· 0
31		0			0	0	0.1	0		0.12		0	
daily max	0,45	0,5	0.41	0.81	1.2	0.7	1.17	0,95	0.66	0.79	0.5	0.65	0.45
total .	1.49	1.22	1.03	3.45	5.51	3.8/	2.56	7.20	3.67	4.0	1.04	2.13	0.92
% avg	99%	161%	90%	184%	1/1%	66%	43%	134%	92%	113%	45%	125%	61%
yta	1.49	2./1	3./4	/.19	12/	16,57	19.13	20.33	30	34	35.04	37.17	new year
%avg*	99%	120%	110%	136%	149%	116%	94%	102%	101%	102%	99%	100%	new year
avg"	1.5	0.76	1,14	1.88	3.23	5.83	0.97	0.38	3.99	3.54	2.33	1./	1.5
	1.5	2.26	3.4	<u>1 5.28</u>	1 8.51	14.34	20.31	20.09	29.08	33.22	1 30.00	31.25	inew year
# "storms"	4	0	1	2	. 2	. 5	2	4	1	3		2	2
# sampled	3	0	1		1 0.00	3	1	2	0	2	1 0.50	2	2
month max	3.82	2.39	4.59	5.95	8,95	10.71	11.65	12,92	9.11	0.4	0.53	4.76	3.82
month min"	0.13		0.01		0.31	U.74	1.37	0,58	0.35	0.5/	0.33	0.12	0,13

*Source: National Weather Service (http://161.55.224.1/smith/climate/search.html)

29 possible "storm" events

18 Sampled events (in bold in table) 3 non-"storms" sampled (grabs only)

November 1998

l

976079,23

1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT





11

1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT



1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT

1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT



1997-98 RAINFALL AT SEA-TAC AIRPORT



976079.23

AR 022471

November 1998



Subbasin	Outfall	Ap	A _i	Total Area	С	Tc
	Number	(acres)	(acres)	(ac)		(min)
SDE-4	002	52	97	149	0.77	21
SDS-1	003	0	6	6	.90	TBD
SDS-2	004	5	0	5	.25	60
SDS-3	005	222	202	424	0.56	78
SDN-1	006	0	14	14	.90	10
SDN-2	007	7	29	36	.77	50
SDN-3	008	43	17	60	.43	55
SDS-4	009	32	25	57	0,54	50
SDW-3	010	14	10	24	.52	38
SDN-4	011	20	6	26	0.40	TBD
Eng Yard	012	0	1.5	1,5	.90	5
Taxi Yard	013	0	2	2	.90	5
Subbasin B	014	40	0	40	0.25	TBD
Subbasin D	015	35	2	[*] 37	0.29	TBD

SUMMARY OF SUBBASIN HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS

976079,23

Appendix A

Figures

Appendix B

Summarized Analytical Data for All Monitored Storm Events

.

				-	_			_																																	
		commenta					taken for afrerañ deieing only, grab falled (NLD)		0						LULTLE ANNUAL SAMPLE NUM I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	backup monthly sample in case 3/1/96	sample didn't quality under new permit					makeup comp for 98Qw non-rep comp. nas extra grab									pood QC duplicate			ackin munhilv samila in rase 3/1/08	eway mounty sample in case of use emple didn't qualify under new permit			
	Γ	ភ	0.122	0.108	0.162	0.196		0.312	0.299	0.095	0.119	0.152	0.082	0.08		1200	0.055	0.045	0.034	TANO	0.118	0.06	0.044	0.039	0.012	0.211	0.222	0.191	0.084		0.38	0.048	0.048	0.04	5	770.0		0.018	029	027	1010
		đ	0.0332	0.0184	0.0307	0.0052		0.0415	0.0376	0.0132	0.027	0.0107	0.0043	0.0028		2000		0.0065	0.0015	1004	0.0032	0.0018	0038	0.0021		0274	0168	0.013	10000	0103	0088	150001	.0019	0.002	0018		STATES -	CODOS -	0005	19000	1484
		Cu	0.035	0.208	0.024	0.003		0.075	0.062	0.024	0.038	0.03	0.013	0.013	220.0	1000	0.028	0.034	0.037	1041	0.076	0.068	0.032 C	0.018 0	0.016	0.038 0	0.019 0	017		053 0	026 0	014 00	.018 0	1	039 0	0 100		049 00	081 100	0.03 20	の語とう
		otal cole		5.00	22112	2015		2440			61.1	5 1 1 2 3 1	200				88	18110	31.7	TELES 1			F			Ï	-						a						0		1
	n, mg/l	5 5 5 7 8 7 8			51 S			(***)U	1.500			- 1			-		4.2		8,7	TEAN DIFFERENCE	A NH S									-	-			91 I.V.V.V							-
	entratio	ol glyp. Sylp			1.00			1111			20705		10		3				ឡ	ALC: NO.				_				30 2 10	-							11					
	la cono	r Bryc.		AND B	9 (21)				6 143			3 19 10 10		A SUSPECT		2 4	2							2		~				-				PECONDE					CHRONE T		
	analy	3 Sur	59 0.36	/8 0.07	10.1						5	10 B5	0.30	0.38	200		6 0.05				-		3 0.27	6 0.09	6 D.03	9 0.34	5 0.08	90 ⁰ 0	+		L	5 0.03	6 0.08	6 0.03	0.04				_		
		ž ž	A 0.0	2.0	2 0.2	¥.		8	.	9	5 16 0.04	00008		5 5 7	5 00	0.0.0	5 40:01	5	0			6	8 0.03	4 0.05	0.03 23 23	9 0.21	4 0.21	0.22				202020	0.0	0.01				_			
Ŋ		008	0.2	¥ -	0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2		7 20	11 11	5 4.9	4	3		P.0	ſ	15	1	8	38.	a	6.3	8	5,3	4.5	题题	-17.		4			9.8		T. F. S.		1.30	10.000		4	5.4	2.0	
		Turb (VTV)		*	<u>8</u>	~		4	2	5 	~	4		2		2	2	-	5		9.6	42	6	ň	5.7	30	5	17		31	1	10	4	8		19		0	3.5	0,1	1
•	L	d 7SS	ľ.			35		ý	8(3	ñ	-	ļ		ļ	3.6	3.6	5	3.5	7.3	7		104	3	3.8	17	<u>ڳ</u>			1	8	2.2	12	=				3.2		~	
		groun delce	2	20	2	2	ou	5	ou	ог С	5	2	2	2		2	2	Q	e 2	00	02	22	01	2	ou-	ou	5	2	2 2	2 2	Ę	10	2	8	2	3 2	2	2	2	2	
		report	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1008	1988	1996	1898	1998	1998	1995	1998	1998	1998	1998	4005	1998	1908	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1008	1998	1998	1998	1998	1898	1998	1998		1998	1998	ROOT	
		(qo	PDES	PDES	DES	DES	PDES	DES	PDES	DES	DES	DES	DES	UES DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES		DES	DES	DES	DES	DES		DES		DES			
		날 별 별	N 92	28 N	87 N	2	132 N	264 N	125 N	288 N	136 N	28 28	24 N	137 N	78 N	26 N	107 N	6 NI	132 NI	284 Ni	126 NJ	288 Ni	86 NF	222 NI	132 NF	78 NF	28 N	1 N 1 0	DRAINE	125 NF	288 NF	68 NF	222 NF	87 NF	20 NF			132 NP		UN NP	
	tics	dur, hrs	\$	10.8	33	88	27	20	~	₹	2		8	35	; ie	10.8	4	86	27	R	60	10	10.5	12,6	27	₽	10,8	8	8 8		9	11.8	12.6	2	9.01	38		51	2	=	
•	Iracterie	48hr ant, in.	°	0.08		0.07	0	0	0.01	٩		0.08	0.12	20		0.08	P	0.07	0	a	0.01	0	0.07	•	0	0	80.0	0	3	0.01	0	0.12	0	•	80'0	0.07		8	T	-	ŗ
	orm chi	rain,	0.26	0.47	Ē	0,98	0.86	0.46	0.21	0.43	8			0.86	0.26	0.47	62	0.98	0.86	0.48	0.21	. 0.28	0.2	4	0.86	0.28		- 00	0.48	0.21	0.28	0.27	0.47	-	•	0.98		0.88	0.48	0.00	2
	st	event	29/6/8	10/28/97	12/15/97	3/1/98	3/8/98	4/23/98	5/14/98	8/24/98	6/16/97	16/82/01	JAIALILL	3/8/98	8/3/97	10/28/97	1/29/98	3/1/98	3/8/98	4/23/98	5/14/98	8/10/98	8/25/97	11/16/97	3/8/98	6/3/97	10/28/87	/RIGLIZE	4/73/08	5/14/98	6/10/98	8/21/97	11/16/87	12/15/97	10/28/9/	3/1/98		3/8/98	4/23/98	0/24/98	
	omposites only	sample 10	SOE4 080397	SDE4 102897	SDE4 121697	SDE4 030198	SDE4 030998	SDE4 042388	SDE4 051498	SDE4 082498	SDS1 061797	5051 10289/	180711 1000	SDS1 030898	SDS3 060397	SDS3 102897	SDS3 013098	SDS3 030198	SDS3 030998	SDS3 042398	SDS3 051498	SDS3 061098	SDS4 082497	SDS4 111797	SDS4 030998	SDN1 080397	SDN1 102697	1212121 112128/	SDN1 042388	SDN1 051498	SDN1 061098	SDN3 062197	SDN3 111787	SDN3 121897	SUN4 102697	SDN4 030198		50N4 030998	SDN4 042498	2004 002408	
	1998 C	outfall	SDE4	SDEA		SDE4	SDE4	SDE4	SDE4	SDEA	1902			SDS1	SDS3	<u>5063</u>	SDS3	SDS3	6DS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	PSOS	1SOS	PSOS	INOS	IN IS	INNO	INU	INOS	INC	SNO3	CNO	ENO		DNA		DNA	ž	SNC	

edition

100

Page 1

•				Ī						extra grab		,							•	0						•								י י י			•				な思いなどで
			ments							Qw, has																															
,			CON							mp for 98																		-													
										keup col																															
	'		5							Ë	-					5.3	F	162	308	248	0	8	F	82	45	19	20	2	្រ	0	8	Ξ	70		7						
		i	2							╉							ŀ	03 Å .	9 9 9 9	135 O. 116 O.	0	ž		05 0.C	24		3	2		2 0.0	8 0.06	30.0	8 5 7	°							
				+	-	_	-			+				- 				5	0 0	0 0 7	0			00			5	٥		0.0	0.0	0,0		60							
		_ 4		-	┦	-	_		_	╀								2 0.03	0.18	8 0 0 0		8		0.02	0.036	0.014	•	š	Ē	0.045	0.079	0.070	0	<u>0</u> %							
	y/Bw	tota															ľ					100		~	60 (4 64		YOR	6	101	27	₽ ₹	<u>t</u> 0	80%							
	tration,	P. Afrol								ŀ							ŀ	1.0		<u>,</u>	70007	Vini	-		0 -		* 100	R DB	5		c o ~	47 KG	5	ğ				•			
	concen	elvcol		T		T	T	T		1						11 N 123	┢	2.1	- 0.1	ļ —	7 1004		5	 .	- -	•	5		2	~	0, 4 F	.	3	2							
	analyte	Surf	ſ	T		1	Ť	T	1-				i celena		- 	· · ·	67	6-0-7		5	~ 실	;		400	2.2	10	•		5	53	5.2		•	×							
		CHN	ſ	1	T	Ť	t	╋	t		1.2362							0,18 0.24	12.1	0.12	2%		2	5 5	5	5	۔ چ ۲			53	5 8	5	N 2								
		3005	F		Ť	╋	t	\uparrow			··						~	5.4 7.8	8.7	- 	4%		-	0 C			 			сі с с, ч	5 G	0	ء د 0	ò						•	
		lurb NTU)	┠	<u> -</u> 	╞	$\left \right $	╏	╞	T	-	ور و المحمد الم		1	11			~ ;		5.5	0,0	, % 1		+	<u>.</u>	80	o, o	22 % C		8	20 20 20	2 4	15	2	5						Para	
		TSS (72	2	12	26	12	58	5	2	25								5	0 c 0	%		+	27	32		ن ب د			0 2 	;ġ	=	- 2	5	•						
-	T	jce?	-				┢		$\left \right $	-	1000	I L					unt) Ian	6th 20	211 2	Sth 4	ad 0			3	th 15	si E T	6 9		ੇ ਦਾ	18	Ŧ	h 12.									
		ort de	00 88 UO	00 BB	98 00	98 no	98 IIO	98 no	86 no	04 99								6	~ (2) Jefetaci	-datect	ŀ	Cou	98	22	25 Anterte	detecta			196 197	192	251	detecter detecter								
		repo	110	19	18	19	18	18	19		Althi	, ,	-							#nor	Y non					inon.	Xnon-						Ynon.								
		B	VPDES	IPDES	PDES	PDES	PDES	PDES	PDES	2	a inte						ų .						5						s												
Γ	È	i F	135	721		87 IV	78 N	222 N	132 N								<u>.</u>											5]	•						
ristics	ł					F	18	12.0	24			and the second second		800 fa	DS2	1	e have	197. Ants for		Idity at]														•					
Aracte	Ashr	ant, In		3)` 3 `		<u> </u>	ן ן			Ί		1		nder ne	ients; S B (014).		ns) the	July 19 Guham	Il outfa	ind turb.																					
orm ch	rain.	=	2		1020		87.0	10.0	0.28	1		A DESCRIPTION	(ne 07.	npled u	equirem (010), [p statio	12 BINCE Nais re	lers at a	istais, a																					
ľ		event 8/48/071	11/0/01	1/20/08/	BOV PC/)	BM/03	Value V	2/0/0/2	110/98				erlod Ch	2000 \$50	porting n		MS pum	ans bedo	parame	13), 10 m																					
노 ఎ			+	ť	ſ	7	f	-			12 MEL		ortha p	not yel t	1 Brind (19) 54 (009)		BMPs ()		sample	HN) ejuc						:	•														
10 80		ample	10897	13088	52598	10387	1787	0008	1098				Tênî rep	s have i	aunpung JB), SDV		everal .	JES per	nposite), ammc																					
ion.			EY1	EV 0	EVO	177.08			17,06				hote: The cur) putfall	DO) ENC		Lamole:	N NPL	ding con	Tue (sur). Y only,											•								•		
1998 (outfa	<u>[</u>	X	 }	ŀ	2	2	2	ALC: NO.			As of	llowing	() ()	15). Berner		The p	follow Solice	and T																					

2

	Γ							•									•				•												•			•			10.1		
		comments															•		•					-		-														Ċ	
ſ		Zn Z	3	0.039	0.044	0.042	0	30	F	0.222	0.498	0.381	0	%D	5	0.048	0.049	0.043	• ;	×0	F	0.024	0.028	0.019	0	%0	0				<u></u>]	°					•			
		đ	e	0.002	0.003	0.003	100.0	33%	ŀ	0.010	0.024	0.015	0	70	9	0.002		0.001	-	33%	~	0.001	0.002	0.001	n	43%	0						°								
		S	Ø	0.019	0.031	0.026	0.017 0	%0	ŀ	0.038	0.060	0.054	0	%0	6	0.014	0.018	0.012	•	%0	~	0,039	0.078	0.030	0	ž	P	I													
		iotal ycols	ŀ	- 0	2	2	N 7	100%	-	- 0	• ••	~ 1	N	100%	ŀ	2	N 0	1 01	-	100%	9	n ere	64 (01 0	1 10	100%	P	•					ľ				•				
	lon, mg/l	P- ycol gl			• •••	-	+ * *	100%		- 0,		97	2	100%	ŀ	1.0	0.0 * 1	22	-	100%	j.	. . .	0.1	0 C	<u>,</u> 10	100%	c	,					0	•							
	ncentrat	E. lycol gl	ŀ	1 4 41	•	-		100%		- 0	0	0 :	- -	100%	ŀ	1.0		2.0	-	100%	4	, <u>,</u>	1.0		j n	100%	c	,					P	I							
	naiyte co	Surf g		n -	0.3	0.2	0.0	8		? .	. 0	0.2	0 -	%	ľ	0.0 20.0	0.06	. 0.03	٥	3	ŕ	0.03	10.0	0.04	70.0	50%	c	,	100				c	•						_	-
	ñ	CHN		8 <u>7</u> 8	0.05	0.05	0.03	2%		5 C	0.23	0.22	0.22	8	ľ	0.02	0,05	0.03	-	33%	ŕ	0.02	0.02	0.02		50%	c	2	121-121-021-021-021-021-021-021-021-021-				ſ	I				ŀ	0	.(-	
		. 9008		60 4 1	1 10	5,0	2.5	33%		~ a	16.4	11.3	9.4 7	° %0	F	2.0	2.0	20	5	100%	ĥ	4	6.9	5.3	4 4	14%	ſ	>					ſ	• • , • ,	JUBON		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		Page		
		Turb (NTU)		е с с	0.45 88.9	64.5	11.5	0 %			24.02	34.5	20.2	° %	ľ	28.0	40.4	34.0	0	%0	Î	5.5	14.4	6.1	8.0	×0		5					5							•	•
	L	TSS		3	21.0 1.10	67.5	4.8	- % 		1 7	n 34.0	90.0	h 21.7	9 W	6	и 11.0 11.0	h 11.9	h 11.5	5	2		33.7	h 13.1	th 3.9	5.7				uh 85.1	th 37.5		¥о Р		an 23.0	90 41	5 5	200				
		grọund renort delce?		coun	inecular 951	750	260	itnan-delecte 2 non-delecte		Linos	media	192	251	Winon-detecte %rion-detecte		cour	198	194	anon-detecte	%non-detecte		Course Liberra	98	76	32	#non-detecte		COL	56	76	25 #non-detect	Knon-detect		medi	8	25	#non-detect				
		14C	5	tso:						IND	•					ENOS						SDN4						EY						<u>}</u>							-
				<u> </u>						<u>م</u>					-								•										-								
	stics	'np																																					,		
•	aracteri	48hr ant In																																							
	storm ch	lin -	.∎																																						
		1	event event					•																																(
)	voosites oniv		sample ID																													,									
	1998 Con		outtall											-																											

•

Ψer**j**, ÷

> -18 P



sie (

11

و يېن کې ز

. •

Other 1	998 meta	ite data		tom c	harcteria	stics					Ĺ.				Γ						Π
order	outfall	di alonea	avent	rain,	ishr nt in h	p 'np	ryant,	blective	report	ground deice7	H	d8	51	B.	Cd	้ง	1 DH			<u> </u>	_
18	SDE4	SDE4 030198	3/1/9B	0.98	0.07	86	8	IPDES	1991	011											
19	SDE4	SDE4 042398	4/23/98	0.45	0	20	264	IPDES	199(2										ł	
20	SDE4	SDE4 051498	5/14/98	0.21	0 .0		125	(PDES	1996	22								Ì	Ť		
17	2006	0UC4 002430	014410			1 10		IPDES	1001	2 4	T	PAOLCOAR &	600.004Ea	MACIDAN	0.0008	XX0:0050	10:00:00	0.017	10:00:00	KO:006M	90,0005
AL DE	5051	SDS1 102897	10/28/97	147	0.08	10.8	26	IPDES	1996	22		0000	190909 19010		0.00124	19901989	1000000	0.017	0.0032	B D. 00051	0.6005
39	sos 1	SDS1 112097	11/19/97	0.65	0.12	39	24	IPDES	1991	92					1000000						
ę	SOS1	SDS1 121687	12/15/97	F	D	33	87	IPDES	1991	2 110	\square		0.0051	HOULD N	EGIEUDZE	150010244			100,000	Licoto XI	0.0005
+	SOS1	SDS1 030998	3/8/9/2	0.86	0	27	132	VPDES	1891	0 100											
69	SDS3	SDS3 060387	6/3/87	0.28	0	9	76,1	VPDES	- 199(2		FIODIS	19/0002024	0.002	0.00057	199912496	0.00017	0.015	21910010	10.0000	0,000
60	SDS3	SD53 102697	10/28/97	0.47	0.08	10.8	26	(PDES	109	2			10005			South and	1000010	0.007			
5	SDS3	SDS3 013098	1/29/95	0.2		-	1021	(PDES	1991	201	T		HURNERAL		SHAT IN STREET	the ATA BEE	Hailanyyyn		telin din 19		idin Trinini
29	2003	50050 020 080	BAILIE		2	00 20	0	IDDEC	1001	0110											
3	2000	5000 010000					146		100												
5 3	2002	CDC3 012300	5114/08	200	200		1351	IDDER	1991	100											
20 AA	SDS3	SDS3 061088	6/10/98	0.28		, I	286	PDES	1981	00	T	ſ					ļ				
	1054	SDS4 082497	8/25/97	0.2	0.07	10.5	96	4PDES	1891	2	Γ	280.00155	19/00/05	30000011	10(00026)	100010152	50 00015	0.012	20100151	<u>%0,000659</u>	2000/02
3		SUS4 111797	11/16/97	470	e	12 8	2221	IPDES	1992	1 00	Γ		H UQUA	2010 C	ACCESSION OF		部での記述	ATTENDED IN	611-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		E015038
59	SDSA	SDS4 030998	3/6/98	0.86		27	132	JPDES	1991	22	Γ				00002		100000		Rotto H		£000005
109	SON1up	ISDN1 060397	28/2/8	0.28	P	₽	761	IPDES	199(2		100000000	V (0100155)	10907.5	0.00059	0.01	0.00023	0.014	13000	10000000	<u>3000000000000000000000000000000000000</u>
10	SDN1up	SDN1 102897	10/28/97	0.47	0.08	10.8	28	(PDES	189(S no		a DOUR	1000	5 (0)0 dill	(Opposite)	10000		0.01	0.0034	(U) (U)	CONDIG
111	SDN1up	SDN1 121597	12/15/97	-	0	33	14	(PDES	1996) no		a provine	0.004	10.00	100000	SDATE:	COLORYION	0.005		XODUDOR	500000
112	SON1up	SDN1 030198	3/1/98	0.98	0.07	88	9	PDES	1661	0110											
113	SDN1up	SDN1 042396	4/23/98	0.46	ô	20	264	IPDES	199(l no		-									Τ
114	SDN1up	SDN1 051498	5/14/98	0.21	0.01	•0	125	(PDES	1996	01 0											
115	SDN1up	SON1 061068	6/10/98	0.28	ö	₽	288	IPDES	1881	8											
147	SDN3	SDN3 062197	6/21/97	0.27	0.12	11,8	99	(PDES	1996	2		S 00 00	10,00,16		10,00025	10000	0.00033	0.008	0000		20000
148	ENGS	SDN3 111797	11/18/97	0.47	•	12.0	222	(PDES	189(20			STOC OF		120000	500 020	6000010	0.008	80.09155		5000101
148	SUNS	SDN3 121697	12/15/87	-	0	33	14	(POES	1996	2		19100/083	0.0052	1100000000	00000255	Igoolo Mino	1000000	0.007	0.0048	Nobolio II	0,0014
154	SDNA	SDN4 102897	10/28/87	4.0	0.08	10.8	97	4PUES	ARL	2	Ţ		IN LAND					1.2.2			
155	SDNA	SDN4 121697	12/15/87			5	1/0	PDES	188	00		ELLANDINE .	teno'o	Comments		CALIBURA	BEANSTATING.	a a bharra	DEFRAIMS	H SINASJAN	0.1.7.1
8	- NOR	SUN4 030186	DRILIC I	02.0	5	8 6	1001		1001					T		T	T	T	T		
158	SDNA	SDN4 042498	4/23/98	0.46		20	26411	IPDES	1986	PR I			T				ſ	Ì			Γ
159	SDN4	SDN4 052698	5/24/98	0.58	0	=	871	IPDES	1996	2											
160	SDN4	SDN4 062488	6/24/98	0.43	0	Ŧ	286	PDES	1996	DO No											
				H			ŀ														
												Sb	5		- B	5	÷ F	-	•	5	
									ווים פו			17	4	17	18	4	Ę	₽	₽	=	1
											100	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.007	0.002	0.005	0.01
												0.002	0.005	0.01	0,0009	0,008	0.0003	0.017	0.0	0.000	0.0
											STT }	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.012	0.002	0.005	0.001
						_					20.01	0.002	0,002	0.001	0.0003	0.005	0.0001	0.003	a.002	0.005	0.001
	•										1000	\$	2	\$	2	9	Ŧ		7	5	<u>9</u>
Γ					Π		•			in the lite		100%	78%	84%	78%	84%	82%	35%	82%	100%	*
										1901	URB		0.36	0.13	0.0009	0.612	0.002	0.483	0.02	0:0005	2
	÷																			-]

Ţ

<u>,</u>0,

11/14/08

(.

reported_all metals 98 metals

) .			note						-		, 	- 		,	N (N (N -	2 - 1 - 1	N 		-7				* '	، م	* *	æ															
		:	Mg2+												32.9	31.1	33.9	30.2	34.7																				-				
			Ca2+												42.1	6.12	12.4	10.1	16.8																							•	
		:	\$[7.44	93.8	2.24	15.5	5.34				_											-		-		_					
· ,	tes, mg/l	total	glycols	1. 15 W. 1. 2	5 NV 2	2.14.4.1.5	ZIE WRIT	<u> </u>		3 E 11312	<u>11 7</u>	24142	2.24.16	11-12-14	11.1	S-11-11-12	- WU Xr2	2	11115 111111	9.6	510:34.54.2	N 10.0 10.2	<u> </u>	Sub-Fig-2	2001 S	7.1	31.7			THE WORLS	29年1月1月	241/11/12	1:10,6,44	06	2	7028	8 F.						
	Analy	<u>d</u> .	glycol		N PART		LAND ST		LI LE MARK					HANNER IN	5.1	14 22.2	に読むた	5	THE NE	4.2			にはない。			8.1	8.7			L SER			2 T 4 4 1 1	06	2	7020		3					
		ய்	glycol		1123761	1.101.1			- AND				1.1.1.1.1.1	1.181.112	9		· [1][[]][[]][][]][][][][][]][][][][][]][]	1499	LEATER ST	5,4		19392			到480%(23				「小花子	では、	1. F.	46	36	17		3					
			BODS	6.24	4.5	4.02	7,38	7.18	1.2.1.2	12:42	4.68	111111 2	4.68	6.44	213	120	以初始 6	17.3	法法性 8	13.5	5.4	2.032	8.2		4.06		38.3	· 德达拉2	20.8	9.4	11.1	6.32	5.2	90	1 0	1030	0.07	?					
		ground	delce?	0	5	02	2	P	10	5	2	5	2	2	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	ou	ę	по	00	uo	ē	6	Q	ę	20	2	5	20	Do		count			maximu					
			ample type	w-wt comp	w-wt comp	w-wt comp	w-wt comp	w-wt comp	www.comp	w-wt comp	ow-wt comp	w-wt comp	w-wt comp	ow-wt comp	rg of time co	ne comp'	ne comp	ne comp	ne comp	ow-wi comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow , wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp	ow-wt comp				•					•	
		Iryant,	hrs s	· 76 fic	135 Ac	26 Ac	26 Ac	26 ftc	24 ftc	87 Ac	87 fic	B7 Ac	87 #	87 ftc	123 ai	123 tir	123 Ur	123 Ur	123 th	107 11	. 6 fi	9	6 11	132 1	132 1	132 ft	132 fi	132 R	264 ft	264 1	125 1	125 8	87 11				EW PERMIT		RAB			•	
	tics	Bhrant d	ln.	0.	0	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.12	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.07	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0.01	0.01	0				UNDER NE		JEXTRAG				٦
	haracteris	depth. 4	ġ	0.26	0.36	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.65	1.0	1.0	1.0	0 ;	1.0	1.13	1.13	1.13	· 1.13	1,13	0.20	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.86	0,86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.46	0.46	0.21	0.21	0.58				T QUALIFY		COMP, HAS	nples.	/ period pr hr a/c		
	event c	48 hr alc	delced	2	5	12	12	12	27	39	39	. 3 9 ·	39	39	266	266	266	266	266	8	21	21	21	42	42	42	42	42	8	80	4	4	5			_	APLE DIDN'		ENTATIVE	glycol sar	and 2 day " and "48) 	
		24 hr B ^l c	delced	-	-	00	6	6	5	24	24	24	24	24	181	181	181	181	181	Ω,	11	11	=	15	151	151	15	15	9	9	4	4	e	ATION		AILED (NLD	11/98 SA		N.REPRES	berlod: all	ale delead	מיט עםייייי	
	sampies	event	date	76/E/9	8/16/97	10/28/97	10/28/97	10/28/97	11/19/97	12/15/97	12/15/97	12/15/97	12/15/97	12/15/97	1/12/98	1/12/98	1/12/98	1/12/98	1/12/98	1/29/98	3/1/98	3/1/98	B6/1/E	3/8/8	3/8/98	3/8/98	3/8/98	3/8/98	4/23/98	4/23/98	5/14/98	5/14/98	5/24/98	OD DUPLIC	omposite	ily, GRAB F.	LE IN CASE	LE RQMT	R 98QW NC	reporting F	roraft delce a la "o A br	III 67 UI 0	
	ata: 1998		outfall	SDE4	SDS1	SDE4	SDN4	SDS1	SDS1	SDE4	SDN1	ENDS	SDN4	SDS1	SDE4	SDN4	SDS1	SDS3	SDS4	SDS3	SDE4	SDN4	SDS3	SDE4	SDN4	SDS1	SDS3	SDS4	SDE4	SDS3	SDE4	SDS3	SDN4	ICATE: GO	hour time c	it delcing or	THLY SAMF	UAL SAMPI	POSITE FO	1997-98	nber of all	3 are usual 	200000000000
	ia event d		OI SC	060397	061797	102897	102897	102897	112097	121697	121597	121697	121697	121697	011398	011298	011198	011298	011298	013098	030198	030198	030198	030998	030998	030998	030998	030998	042398	042398	051498	051498	052598	OC DUPL	ple was 24	in for alcon	KUP MON	FILLS ANN	(EUP COM	. Data foi	The nur) sampling -1dif rol	elceu , 1a
	Deìcìn		PC PC	SDE4	SOSI	SDE4	SDN4	SDS1	sos1	SDEA	SDN1	ENDS	SDN4	SDS1	SDE4	SDNA	SDS1	SDS3	SDS	SDS3	SDE4	SDNA	SDS3	SDE4	SDN4	SDS1	SDS3	SDS4	SDE4	SDS3	SDF.	SDS3	SDNA	HAD	2. Sam	3. Lake	4. BAC	5. FUL	6. MAK	E	<u>N</u> .	21	5

<u>.</u>

à

98 data 98_deicing

AR 022479

11/14/98

		_	_			_		_	· · · ·					-		_	_	-	_	_	_				_		_		_		_	_		_					-	_			
Ò		comments										has melais results only -										takon for alrcraft deicing only, grab failed (NLD)																					FULFILLS ANNUAL SAMPLE ROMT
		Zn	0 105	22.12	0.337	0.263	0.778		0.204	0.361	0.32	0.11	0.243	0.138		0 148	0.090	0.122	0.106	0.162	0.196		0.312	0.299	0.095	0.234	0 175	0.304	0.29	0.211	0.116	25.0	0.082	0.106	0.188	0.209	0.096	0,112	0.253	0.162	0.082	0.08	0.075
		đ	800.0	20712	0.014	0.011	0.023		0.021	0.104	7780.0	0.011	0.018	0.0252	0.0289	00100	0.0232	0.0332	0.0164	0.0307	0,0052		0.0415	0.0376	0.0132	0.008	0.008	0.045	0.017	0.018	0.005	000.0	0.0077	0.0103	0.0127	0.015	0.0013	0.0273	0.0408	01010	0.0043	0.0028	0.0063
		S	1000		0.031	0.029	0.121		0.033	0.057	0.078	0.027	0.045	0.053	0.03	10.04	0.023	0.035	0.208	0.024	0.003		0.075	0.062	0.024	0.084	0.048	0.119	0.115	0.089	0.02	117	0.012	0.035	0.038	0.102	0.028	0.041	1/0.0		0.013	0.013	0.022
		otal /cola	12Artitle		212312			9.7		26	01616			<u>10185</u>	2000 D	10 40	IRANG	3	2002	11.12		CI.		2112			14	-	+				C. I.		0.10		8	33.4					
	l/Bw	eol et t	1910 4182			121515161		7.9	-	12 X715 005	23 8 14			2 5 6 6		2410 BL	52 A 1928		10125		建制度	261		19 B B	-	-	522	-	$\left \right $	-							2	33.4 					6.1
	itration,	- alv B	A STAN			2018		2,6	-	14 5 - 21 (2013)	210105		_	2.6 40		20 20									-		14 19 20	3			VATE (STORE	111110			24.11	_	215	245	2. (II) 2.			M_{1}	
	Concer	a P P			05	0.2 14 10	1.5		1-1-	0.1	123 101			15 61 44		100 000	510V1810	364 1012	078 80 6	119 10						0.5		0.6	0.8	0.9	0.1 0.2 million		134 134		127 (1994)	963	055 BWB	.05 201	574 BUS	100 I 100	101	391 日間	
	analyt	H3 Si			2.3 850	0.42	0.44		0.18	2.5	128 0.		_	182 0	304 0.		0.82	029 0.	178 0.	244 0.	_		-		-	11.0	80 0	3	0.29	0.14	11	240	023 0		014 0.	0 500	002 0				0	016 0.3	
		N N		- "	28	8	29		2	2	6.54 0.		22	7.06 0.				6.24 0.	4.02	10	5.4		20.8	11.1	4.96	4 2	194	1	15	13	5		9.28 0.	29	11.2 0.	12.5 40	40.5 00	29 10	21.2 <u>00</u>		23	8,44 0.	-
		C a		•	27	19	30	_	22	000	2 =			15		 00 00 00 00		6		30 (1111)	75		27	51	5	E	20 (17/2)	52	36	8.	÷.	• 14	2 (7)		6	2	2	2	12	0 0		.2	2
ē		Tur			18	16			4	01	12		8	2	2 2			52		20	53		54		2	5		34	-	26 8	9,0	1	17 8	Ξ.	17	15 7	8	6	6	012	-	8.6	9
		700											Ð			-					2					~	4					<u> </u>				·						+	
		ground		2		2 2	2	2	0	YES -		2	2	2	2	2	2 2	g		2	0	ę	0	õ	ç	2	20	229	2	2	g		2 2	2	9	9	0	2	2	2 2		0	0
		101-1		ICARL	19851	1995	1996	1996	1996	1996	19961	19961	19961	1997 (1997	1 LERL	1001	19981	199A	1996	1898	1998	1998	1998 /	19981	1995 /	19951	19661	1995	19961	10961	I SAST	19981	19861	1987	1897 1	1897 1	1997	1997		19981	1998	1998 /
		Ĩ			DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	ES	ES	OES	OES			DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	OES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES		Ad Ad	ES	DES	bY0	DES	DES			DES	DES	DES
		숨븉ӏ		10 01	262 NP	56 NP	NF	NP	52	Z 3	jz	SR	an S	78 NP	103 NF	10 PCL		76 NP	28 NP	87 NP	4N 9	132 NP	264 NP	125 NP	288 NP	120 NP	52 NP		384 NP	ΠN		Ż	201	SR	dN	325 Sti	44 NP	164 NP	UN L	135 NP	24 NP	87 NP	132 NP
	stica	,		ľ	\$ 2	- -	36	12	30	80	1	15	30	1.2	26	200	8	3 =	10.8	33	88	5	20	8	*	32	3	8 4	28	8	<u>2</u>		2	30	12	27	7.5	R	<u>2</u>	5 7 7 8	300	33	27
	aracter	48hr -1		20.0	5			0.01	0.01	ן ן	0.09		0.02				124		0.08		0.07	Ū		0.01	0		80	0	0	•			20.0	0.02	ľ	Î	0,16	٩	9.0		212		•
	torm ch	rain,		0.26	0.72	0.29	0.41	1.34	0.28	1.6	0.48	0.24	0.31	0.29	0.36		0.40	0.76	110	1	0.98	0.86	0.46	0.21	0,43	0.2	0.42		0.7	0.4	0.35	0.37	2.43	0,31	0.23	1.01	0.82	1.21	0.31	0.36	0.65	-	0.86
	ľ		NAM	11/11/94	1/7/15/11	4/10/95	7/26/95	8/16/95	10/25/95	2/3/98	4/15/96	5/17/98	5/21/98	96/C/6	12/19/98	1/16/9/	18/12/1	1910/0	10/28/07	12/15/97	3/1/98	3/8/88	4/23/98	5/14/98	6/24/98	10/19/94	11/19/94	CR/C1/7	E/4/95	8/8/82	10/15/95	1/13/96	4/15/90	5/21/96	7/3/86	8/2/96	12/4/96	1/16/97	4/13/97	8/16/97	11/19/97	12/15/97	3/8/98
۲	posite sample data			4 SDE4 111394	5054 010795	5D54 041095	1 SDE4 072695	I SDE4 081795	4 SDE4 102695	4 SDE4 020396	1 SDE4 041696	I SDE4 051798	50E4 052296	4 SDE4 090396	1 SDE4 122196	5054 011697	1 CDE4 012/8/	SDF4 060397	SDF4 107897	SDE4 121697	1 SDE4 030198	SDE4 030998	1 SDE4 042398	SDE4 051498	SDE4 062498	SDS1 101984	SDS1 111994	SU51 021695	SDS1 060495	SDS1 080795	SDS1 101695	SDS1 011498	SUS1 041696	SDS1 052286	SDS1 070496	SDS1 080295	SDS1 120498	SDS1 011697	SD51 041397 -	SDS1 081797	SUS1 102697	SDS1 121697	SDS1 030898
	E OO	4	5				SDE	SDE	Ś	Sol		SOF	SDE	SDE	Ś					Шğ	ШQ		SDE	SDE	SDE	ŝÖŝ	SOS		SOS	SOS 1	is si	S	190	SC.	190	DSU	051	1SCI	10S1	S		DS1	S

:

11

AR 022480

Page 1

(

4

(

		comments										civcols and BOD on labjob "J 014"																10	backup monhty sample in case 3/1/98			9	6					10		03	•		a la	2	
		u2								0.034	1000	0.108	0.058	0.044	0.069	0.037	*en'n	0.074	0.036	0.097	0.062	0.022	0.061	0.042	10.03	0.033	0.055	0.045	0.03	0.064	0.116	0.0	0.00	0.047	5100		00	0.015	0.02	0.01	_	0.03		00	
		đ	ſ							100 0		0000	0.002	0.002	0.005	0,002	200.0	0.0118	0.0011	0.0091	0.0158	0,0033	0.0141	0.0018	0.0015	0.0043	0.0019	0,0065	0.0015	0.0011	0.0032	0.0018	0.00	0.0	000	202.0	2000:00	0.00	0,001	90000		0.0054	31000 U	0.001	
		3	F						Ť	1100	0.04	0.027	0.016	0.041	0.087	0.032	820.0	0.046	0.035	0.115	0.08	0.025	0.368	0.029	0.018	0.054	0.028	0.034	0.037	0.081	0.076	0.068	0.02	0.036	0.017	10.0	0.008	0.02	0.023	0.018		0.041	0.035 0.36	0.024	
		total Neola							Concession of	A RUBBIN	Ì	AUNITARIA						STRUCTURE -					28				9.6		31.7	LATAN DA						HARREN					21.2			STUDIES STUDIES	
n. mall		P. Ived		T						N STA		SHEDIC ST		NEWS N	-					┢		12/10/14	9	1081		+	4.2	CHICAGO IN	8.7	N (Z) MAR					N TANK	NAMES OF A				DIG M.	7.2	1964.7		No. of the local distribution of the local d	31714-21E15
antratio		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		╉	┢					11210	┥	THE REAL FOR	HICKING HICK	1914						╉	f	a oracia	18	Nelley V			4		23	SPURSES N	10.14-14					L THOMAN	C HIVING	ŀ	ł	BHEIGH	14		1612.1.5	TENED	National States
ute cont		1		+			_	╎╴╎	ſ		0.3	0.1 10.11	120100	000	0.2	10,05	190101		200.0	0 107	0.048	001310	0033	0,645;	0.04	0.073	0.035	122.0					0.2	0.1	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	880.00	TOTOR	0.2	MOINE	N005			1010152	1000 C	1.44 H
lene		-		╈	┢	0.012					0.081	1.2	0.14 1	1.7	0.085	0.12	0.025 4	120.0	22	0.045		HDIODER	00.00	0.025 0	0.015	0.012	10000	AVINE					0.233	0.029		2	0. N	0.018	0.049	0.02	0.025	0.128	0.047		1.00'0
		-		F	-	15	GUND	31.14 12			8	5	2 14	-	8	5	8	200		- 0	2.1	000000000	34.2	9.78	2010-02-01	2.5	15.9	R C R	19.95		P.4	6.3	8	16	5	e E	A TOP IS A CONTRACT OF	đ		8	£1-	4.64	8.44	2	7
ļ))	Curb 1	(n) N	2	- 6	58	29 10	19	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (5.8	=	₽ C		15	3	7	E C	0.0	÷	2 9	1 2 1	9.2	0.7	2.5	9	6.3	e e	2 2	:	* 14	<u>.</u>	1.1	40 41		8.4	2	2 4		10	ĺ	Ŧ	8	Ţ	Ē
			221	2		52	37	16	32		4.5	6.7	20	THE REAL	20	2.2	1.6	Ŧ	2	2.7	p 6		16	5.6	3.4	1	3.6	0.0			<u>, '3</u>	<u> </u>	2.8	5.7		3.5		10		30		26	₽ ,	4.4	11
L		ground	oj report delce?	ES 1895 no	55 1995 no	1998 no	1001 1001	d 1997 no	g 1997 no	ES 1995 no	ES 1995 no	ES 1985 no	ES 1895 no	ES 1995/no	ES 1996 no	ES 1998/no	ES 1996 no	1998 no	ES 1996 no	S 1998 no		ES 199/ no	LO 1997 YES	ES 1997 no	ES 1997 no	ES 1898 no	ES 1898 no	ES 1998 no		1998 10	ES 1998 no	ES 1998/00	FS 1895/no	ES 1995 no	JES 1985 no	JES 1996 no	JES 1995 YES			150 1980 (IU	S 1996 YES	JES 1986 no	Ag 1998 no	S 1996 no	DES 1897 00 1
Г	1		ō	30 JN DD	Ody -			S4 StipA	05 StipA	DUPDI	18 NPD	BO NPD	52 NPD		U dN	NPD	NPD	Stip	NPO	SRE	25 NPU		72 SIN	S4 NPD	42 NPD	76 NPD	26 NPD	DAN 20		132 MHO	194 NPD	25 NPD	AN NPU	BOINPD	52 NPD	24 NPC	0dN 98		N N		SRF	D dN	Stip	SRE	튁
	5 5	tur, an	hrs hr	7.5 11				22	18 2	22	9	*	20	7 2 2 2	30	12	20		15	8	27 3			23 1	20	16	10.5	2		Ň	20	8	20	1	24	8	56	-		200		19	80	8	5
	acteristi	48hr (nt, in.	0	0.12			0	ľ	6	P	a	0.05	5	ſ	0	D	0	0.09	0.02	•				0.24	٥	0,08	0	20.0	5	0	0.01		o le	0.05	0.04	0	0.12				0.09	0	0.02	0
	inn char	rain,	ln .	0,12	0.2	2		121	0.4B	0,69	0.15	0.32	0.42	12.0		0.35	0.37	0.21	0.48	0.31	ē	0.29		121	61.0	0.26	0.47	0.2	0.88	0.86	0.48	0.21		21.0	0.42	0.3	1.1	0.2	7	0.35	20	0.49	2.83	0.31	0.23
	sto		event	58/8/92	5/11/85	6/10/95	CAICIA	12/4/85	2/11/97	9/8/94	8/13/84	10/13/94	11/19/94	1/1/195	7128/05	10/15/851	1/13/86	3/22/96	4/15/96	5/21/98	8/2/86	95/6/8	SB/12/0L	708/62/11	3/5/87	19/5/9	10/28/97	1/29/98	3/1/08	3/8/98	4/23/98	5/14/98	DR/DL/D	10/11/07	11/19/94	1/11/95	2/15/95	5/11/95	8/8/95	10/15/95	ORICIU	4/15/86	4/22/86	5/21/96	26/0/2
	ité (, , lo data		aampie ID	SDS2 051095	ISDS2 051195	SDS2 061095	SDS2 090585	SDS2 120496	SDS2 021197	SDS3 090894	SDS3 091494	SDS3 101394	SDS3 111894	SD63 010796	CDC3 079605	SD53 101695	SDS3 011496	SDS3 032298	SDS3 041686	SDS3 052296	SDS3 080298	SDS3 090356	10211 102196	SUS3 112396	5051 030597	SDS3 060397	SDS3 102697	SDS3 013098	SDS3 030198	SDS3 030898	SDS3 042398	SDS3 051498	SDS3 061096	PAPERN PORTS	SDS4 111994	SDS4 011295	SDS4 021695	SDS4 051295	SOS4 080795	SDS4 101685	SDS4 011496	SUSA UZUSSO	SDS4 042296	SDS4 052296	SDS4 070496
	Compos		outfall	SDS2	SDS2	50 52	3DS2	SDS2	SDS2	SOS3	<u>5053</u>	SDS3	SDS3	90S3	sons	CODS CONSTR	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	SD33	SDS3	SDS3	2023	SDS3	SOS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS3	SDS 3	SDS3	SUS	1202	SOS	SDS4	\$DS4	SDS4	SDS4	SDS4	SDS4		SDS4	SD54

Page 2

la i

اي، ويو.

)		commente	TSS, Turb not typical: high turbidity from	the frame start and internation sold						makeup comp for 98Qw non-rep comp.	has extra grab				areb missed		no grab semple taken			no grab sample for this event, equipment	malfunction	and an annual and an laugh	had UC dupe. no grab ampo (no injun) (delected), pairs with 4/19/97 grab	makeup for 98Q4 (3 unsuccessiui																Atra NPDES/Stip Ag	ktra NPDES/Stip Ag		form shreaded at MC3	
		Zn Z	0.228	0.032	0.024	0.02	0.038	0.044	0.039								•		0.041		n'n	0.028	0.017	0.023	8000	0.022	1.03	0.416	1 244					1728	0.404		0.400	0.16		0.298	0.569	0.508	8 2 2 2 2 2	
		5	0.0468	A 0.04 B	0.0016	0.0011	0.0029	0.0036	0.0021	DEFICIEN									0.0063	1000	ino'n	0.0019		0.0036	10:000	0.0061	0.008	900'0	0.04					0.00	0.00		n.uz	0 0111		0.0074	0.013	0,0104	0,0183	
		ទី	0.18	2000	1000	0.017	0.039	0,032	0,019		0.016								0.025	-	910.0	0.015	0,007	0.018	0.008	0.021	0.064	0.034	20.0	77.7				0.009	0.033	0.023	RLD'D	0.018		0.027	0.08	0.081	0.048	
	И.	total glycols		ETHERE ENTERING	P BITTARATILITA		EREFERENCE	N NUMBER OF STREET														-								81	6 HENRING C	5 CHARLES			_	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE								A DAY IN STATE
•	tion, mg	p. giycol		THE PARTY OF			NARMENT	1 (11 P. 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20		URNESS.																			GIDHRUS	A BREAKS	1012	14.54		10 11 11					1. I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I		FILTER		611448/S	PLANTAL
	oncentra	E. glycol					EXTERNAL	CHINESE		MERCEN																			1244		No. of the local division of the local divis		10 N 10		1					10000			12,672	
	alyte co	Surf	0.000			CUNUTA CUNUTA	1200	0.273	1000		9 0.035														-		1.3	5 0.2			- 12	5.5	8		1000	2 14/010		3 2 2 4	21	4 0.657	4 0.12	0	2 0.08	
	ā	NH3						A 0.033		20.0	00 00 00	20	*		0 10	,	1	-6			9	8		- C		20	1 0.02	8 0.	19		A WITTER	8 0.1	5 0.07	00.000	10.0	8.0	5	0.0		0.18	0.68	6 0.6	7 0.14	6 G G
		BODS			A PARAMAN	H.D		* C				-			CALL STREET			SISTERATION OF	Total States	H SHEEKS	5. 9	3.2		∓	THE REAL PROPERTY OF		51								G					9	- CA		9	S
<u> </u>		Turb NTU	0.200		6.5	7.7		212	3	5	5.7	310	55			2.6		2.4		2	9	35	23	35	5	25	9.4	10		00	3 5	17	7.6	8.2	18	1	150		0.4	73	8.3		18	2.1
		TSS		101	F	1	71	42	5	5	3.8	99	20	5.7	28	1.2		2.4	7 70	8	37	23	13	38		36	216	13		53	*X*25 112	17 HURL 1	9	18	56	15	130	14	2	*	36	22	61	19
		ground Haine?		2 no	7 no	7 110	7 100	02 2	0110	0 I I 0	38 no	15 no	5 no	15 no	16 no	01 10	01 70	2 10	2	2	97 no	07 LO	01 70	00 70		01 10		02 NO	95 no	95 no	85 YES		95 R0	95 no	96 no	96 No	96 YES	<u>96 no</u>	36 70			96 no	97 NU	<u>97 Ino</u>
		10.001		199	199	199	196	199		196	195	195	195	196	190	195					ē,	191	÷	-				- -	<u>6</u> 7	Ē			-	ţ.	19	18	÷	ē.	₽! 	6	\$ *	- -	18	*
		ž	3	8 NPDES	4 NPDES	A NPDES	19 StipAg	A NPDES	B NYUES	2 NPDES	32 NPDES	2 NPDES	NPDES	IG NPDES	NPDES	A NPDES	A StipAg	39 SlipAg	Dedits of	IN NPUES	SA NPDES	DB NPDES	10 NPDES	NPDES		05 NPDES		20 NPDES	52 NPDES	24 NPDES	BE NPDES	Dedus po	70 StipAo	BO NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	StipAg	NPDES	12 SupAg	StinAn	SRES	NPOES	StipAg
	3	tur, Ar Faria			7.5	23 1	28	26	10.5	12.6 2	27	7.5 11	8	- 10	12	7.5	- 2	28	18	5.7	53	28			•	2 8 2 8		32 1	24	60	26		-	28	80	48	8	18	-	2		2	12	31
	acteriati	t8hr	E E	80.0	0.18	0	-	0	0.07	5	-0-	0	0.12		0.01	0.16	•		-	0.18	0	fe	2		2	0	2	5	0.05	0.04	0	-	510	0.04	o	0.09	0	0.09	-	20.0	200	20	P	•
	m char	rain,	∎ ⊑	0.59	0.82	1.21	0.41	1,16	5	0.47	0.86	0.12	0.2	0.3	1.34	0.82		Ŧ	0.48	0.82	1.21	141			-	0.48	SE'O	0. U	0.42	0,3	Ŧ	0.18	2.10	0.61	10	3.89	1.6	0.49	2.83	6.98	0.31	919	0.23	0.27
	stor		event	10/4/96	12/4/96	1/16/97	1/27/97	4/18/97	8/25/97	11/16/97	3/8/98	5/9/95	5/11/95	6/10/95	8/16/95	12/4/98	1/16/97	1/27/97	2/11/97	12/4/98	1/16/97	107014	101316		JRIJZIL	2/11/97	3/5/97	5/13/94 10/10/04	11/19/94	1/11/95	2/15/95	3/4/85	CB/8/E	1/6/95	8/8/95	11/6/95	2/3/96	4/15/96	4/22/96	5/13/86	5/21/96	86/23/88	7/3/96	7/17/96
۲	te sample data		sample ID	SDS4 100488	SDS4 120496	SDS4 011797	SDS4 012797	SDS4 041997	SDS4 062497	SDS4 111797	SDS4 030998	SDW3 051085	SDW3 061196	SDW3 061095	SOW3 081795	SDW3 120496	SDW3 011697	SDW3 012797	SDW3 021197	8 120498	B 011797	0 447807	190210 0	190000	D 012897	D 021287	D 030597	SDN1 091494	SDN1 111994	SDN1 011295	SDN1 021695	SDN1 030595	SDN1 030995	50M1 040353	SDN1 080795	SDN1 110795	SDN1 020498	SDN1 041698	SDN1 042298	SDN1 051398	SDN1 052296	SUN1 U02380	SUNI 070496	SDN1 071796
	Compoel		outfall	SD54	\$DS4	SDS4	SDS4 .	SDS4	SDS4	\$054	sos4	EWUS	SDWa	EWOS	SDWB	SDWB	SDW3	SDW3	SDW3	8	6				۵.	_	٥	SDN1	SDN1	1NOS	SON1	ENIOS	SDN1	CNU2	INUS	NUS NUS	SDN1	5DN1	SDNI	SDN1	SDN1	SDN1	1 NUS	SDN1

Í

Psge 3

					1		Ī	1	1	-1	T	-	;	,		-		T		,	,	<u> </u>	_	_																						
			comments					paired up/down sample	paired up/down sample	parted up/down sample	downstream location at SDN1-27																				A NFUESISID AG	a NPDES/Stio Ao														
	Γ		ů			T		242.0	812.0	122.0			1010	0.084	0.401	0.54	0.36	0.022	0.087	0.03		0.076			080.0	0.024	0.027		0.017	1010	138 0 1	.076 xtr	.042	0.02	.048	100	200	╎	052		$\left \right $			128		242
		i	2		T	Ī	0 0307	1000.0	00100	00100	10.074	0.0160	0.110	0.0066	0.0049	0.0103	0.0086	0.007	0.005	0.004		0.022	┥		200	0.002	0,005		0028	1016	0216	0117 0	0082 0	0024				$\left \right $	0 100	$\left \right $		+				20
-			3		+	$\frac{1}{1}$	0100		200	300	A DAR	0.019	0.017	0.01	0.062	0.053 (0.056 C	0.028	0.059	0.013		0.035	+	300	028	018	600.		013 0	110	076 0.	055 0.1	033 0.0	0.01			2) 5	-	0 1500			+		30		10
		totat	Iycole Manazar	6 20 10		249142			1	T	1		THE REAL							Ŭ			10 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		2		17.3 0	1126	0 90			0.53	á			in C	5	100	ŏ	援制		0	9		0	0
	y, mg/l	4		0.9 C17 C1				╀	$\frac{1}{1}$	╀						_			_	_	215 33		I STREET	19	 		11	215 群蘭				11.						16 N II I		0				-	-	
	entration	1 11	Puol gi				स्टित आदरक		-		-	$\left \right $					┦				CLE NOT	T-D CONTRA	STATISTICS				6.3					301.3, 141												-		
	te conc	Ţ	R				058	1110	0.08	621	347	086	1003 M 200			+	_	2.2	0.2	<u>[]]</u>		ES.		05 15 201	0.2	-	02			56 ALTERN		26 B.S.I.S	58 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1			432	107		5	の調整				•	Ĺ	MARKA
	(เรมช	141		528	0.288	1.263	0.387 0	122 10	1.227	109 0.	.219 0.	.215 0.	226 0.					0000	0.44 2.4.4 5012		20100	021	0 DEL	5 (200)	991	021	0000000	044	145	143 0.2	12	66 0.0	0.0 0.0		78 0.06	6-1 LINEO	38 1010			<u>1</u>	2		0014121	0	2	1
		SUC	10 71	S AR	10.3		23.6	12 SAN	9.94	171 0	17.9 0	4	4.68 0	4.62	12.8	2.0	8,84 4 - 1474		8		=	0 240	15 100	30	8	5 0.1	6 100		1.86 0.0	5.0B D.C	24 0	8.3		12011010	10	5 0.0	0.0 4	+	200	<u>80</u>	11111	202	91000	7 8000	3 1/10/0	5 0.01
Ĵ		di B	Juc	215	22	<u>7 開催</u>	30	8.7 RY	29	19	30	28	21	36	12	11				5	1		8.	6	-	0	2 ESTERIO	5	r n	2		2			5 KANNE				Nurse Nurse							
		r z s	35	19.3	50	3.6	66	21	62	34	2	1 9	22	5	87	2 2	5 2	1 1	30	1	7.5		1.2	6.6	9.9	0		200	8	o	8		20		7 8.	1 5.	N				1	-	5 25	2	2	-
L	Т	14 7 7 7 7 7 8						_			_	-				+					Ĺ			•••		1 sectan	1667 r 18-3	6	5						1	2.	σÌ		5	EVANO T		STATES OF	Ŧ	8	٣	3.6
		groue delce	ou /	2	Ш	20	2	Ê	2	2	2	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	P	2	9	2	2 9	9	0	0	0			20	3						
		repor	199	189	199.	1991	1997	1997	1997	1987	1998	8661	8661	DSS1	1998	1998	1995	1995	1895	1985	1995	1005	1995	1995	1998	1998	1996	1986	1996	1996	19961	1997	1997	1997 0	1997 n	1995 1	1995 1	1005	1995	1995 0	1985 In	1985 n	1995 n	1996 nc	1986 0	1830/00
		(qO	StipAg	StipAg	SUpAg	SupAg	VPDES	VPDES	(PDES	VPDES		N UES		PDES	PDES	PDES	PDES	POES	PDES	PDES	PDES	B∧qi	BVd	PDES		DES	DVd	DES	£∀d	BYd	2	DES	0ES	DES	DES		200		DES		2	BY	DES	SES -	200	3
	dr,	r at	7 325	2 76	B 144	58	3 154		124					264 4	125 N	288 N	N 68	480 N	46 N	52 N	24 N	16a S		N 92	ZZ	Z	S	Ÿ	12 31	IS I		76 NF	B4 NF	154 NP				252 NP	86 NP	168 Stip	88 Stip	270 SUp	IdN 182	N		
tariation		hr hr du	0 2	•		202							2 CO	0	10.	÷	0 22	1	4	05 24	04 60	2	5		12	12	31 90	0 8	20		10	0 1.2	0 4.1	0 23	97		2	20	195	0 24	114	-	200	24		1
charao		la, 48 n ant	10.	29	212	3	5	5 2	1 1 6			'	98	9	21 0	28	69	32	82	12 0.	0	8		2	147	6	9 0.0	5	0.0								0 0									
mot.		ant a	2/96	0 98/6	3/96 0		1 ap/1		101		187	128/	/98 0.	0 86/	/88 0.	/98 0.	/94 D.	194 0.	94 0.	0	95 0	95 0.1		88	95 0.3	95 1.2	96 0.4	98 2.8	96 0.9		90.4	6 0.2	6 0.6	1.2			4 0.42	5 0.21	5 1.1	5 0.18	5 2.16	0.17	000	19.0	0.37	
L	T-	•^•	18	8			8 10/1			19	10/28	12/15	5	E214	5/14	6/10	8/8	10/13	111	11/10	1	3/4/	1101	BIB	10/15/	2171	4/15/	4/22/	013/	8/2/12	6/23/5	8/3/5	10/21/5	1/16/5	2/01/0	10/25/0	11/19/9	1/1/9	2/15/9	3/4/8	3/8/9	4/4/8	7/0/04	11/6/95	1/13/96	
ile data		mple (D	080286	080396	001000	011897	10049	n 01169	041397	060397	102897.	121597	330198	142398	151498	061098	990894	1384	11384	11994	11295	20202	41295	80795	01695	21796	1696	12296	9966	12396 A	2396	9850	12196	1687	Lean	2624	1994	0785	1696	0585	0995	0595	200	785	496	
elte (5	inds		+NUS	NOS	SDN1	SDN1	SDN1	SDN1	SDN1	1NOS	SDN1	SDN1 (SDN1	SDN1	SONZ	ZNIDS	SUNZ	ZNUS	2 ZNUS	U CNUS	SDN2 0	SDN2 0	SDN2 1	SDN2 0	SDN2 0	SDN2 0	SDN2 0	SDN2 DE	SDN2 DE	SDN2 06	SUN2 10	SUNZ 01	SUNS NO	OL ENGS	11 ENGS	TO ENDS	50 SNOS	EO ENOS	EO ENO	DN3 04	DN3 07	DN3 110	LLO ENO	
Compo		outfal	INIS		SONS	SDN1	SON1up	SDN1up	SDN1up	SDN1up	SDN1up	SDN1up	SDN1up	SONtup	SDN1up	diruno						ON2	DN2	DN2	DN2	DN2	EN0		SNC	2N2	2N2	SN2	ZN	N	EN	EN.	EN I	N3	N3				EN S	S EN	V3 5	
								-	-						3711		1110	110	910	110	210	N _N	0	5	5	N	πle	n v	រាភ	il M	히	胡	치	ก็ได้	5	12	12	<u>i</u>	<u></u>	ß	<u>۽ اچ</u>	קו	١đ	õ	[Ô	1

Page 4

Ì

.

AR 022483

)			comments	atorm after runway delce	xtra NPDES/Stip Ag		Xtra NPDES/Stip Ag				delayed hydrograph, very dry antecedent						•	good QC duplicate								backup monhty sample in case 3/1/98 sample didn't qualify under new permit																				
			zu		0.101	0.121	0.063			0.051	0,158	0.033	0.045	0.043	0.032	0.048	0,049	0.04	0.047	0.023	0.025	0.018	0.024	0.022	0,029	0.018	0.029	0.027	0.018			T									T		T	T	T	1
			44		0.002	0.0034	0.0013		2002.00	010003	0.0043	0.0021	0,0006	0:0006	0,0005	0;0005	0.0019	0.002	0,0006	0.0015	010005	50000	0.0018	0.0011	100.0	10000	0,0005	0,0005	0100101											1	T	\uparrow	Ť	1		1
			٥ď		0.015.	0.018	0.016			0.004	0.037	0.018	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.014	0.018	0.011	0.139 H	0.034	0.036 2	0.031 [2	60.0	0.026	0.031	0.048	0.091 10	0.03	2.047		+	+	-								+	┥	╉	+	+	-
		otal	/cole	制制有	BANGE	NAME OF	B6355	100155		1		5.45	NHIS		8,2	_	_	SIN12	-	19494	_		2202	E DI Z		<u>.</u>		142	-	+	╎	+	-						-	-			╋	+	╀	-
	y/But		col g)	235 (41)	1216 45%	20.946	別南 티려	10.00	12151121	+		215 076	Q500R		2.5		-			Did Did		2011					_		_	_	_	+	-				_		_	_	+	+	+	+	+	
	tration,		iol gly	216 1402	2,6 (3)(4)	216 DUR	216 NRW	36 885	216 9810	-		216 12/20	313EV2		6.2 HULF		_	NAL FLORE		AT IN THE					- 100-				_		_	-	-			_	_		_	_	+	+	+	+	$\left \right $	
	concen	ů.	d glyc	12.00	.2 域均		1988		ANNIA ANNIA		Ŧ	13 19 19	19/2017	13	36	37	5	32 (2) (2)	36	EL .	13	Se (VVV)	15 15 10 10						_	0.0	2	2 17	2)5)E	-	-	8	0	3	4	_	+	+	-	
	analyte	•	3 84	14	13	04 150/0	34 2.0.0	75	52	-	0.0	01 10.0	21 400	32 4010	05 0.0	0.0	05 0.0	16 0.0	0.0 8.0	02010 00	92 20:0	14 0.0	<u>00</u> 00	25 800	+							0	0	「「「「」」		00	9.0	0.0	0.0	10:01	_		+	-	-	
			S NH	0	6 0.0	0. 0	58 0.0	22 0.0		5 0.0		0	0.0	92 0.1	0.01120	NUME	0	200	1.1	46 400	1 0.1	12 0.0	001100	68 0.0		90	Н	2	3	+	+					_	_				+	+	+	╞	╞	-
			BOD			HINGH	Ø			1				4.	陸機器			NAME OF STREET	11	Ð	1		~	4.	DI VENERA	*	5		7					•												
		Turb	(ITTI)	9.7	76	22	9.5	18	5.2		56	7	4.5	13	₽	2	Ŷ	28	5	4,5	1.7	2.6	ő	9.0 7	2	6,1	3.5	5,5	1								-			4.3					T]
			T33		÷	27	15	16	9	<u>.'</u>	20	16	2.6	13	9	2.2	Ħ	÷	8	2	11	3.8	2.8	2.6	2	3.2	2	3.7	4	24.9		26	56	12	24	39	28	262	16	212	D .0	2	219	29	38	1
		ground	report daice?	1996 YES	1996 no	1986 no	1936 no	1998 no	1996 no	1996 00	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1998 no	1998 no	1998 no	1997 по	1997 RD	1997 no	1997 no	1996 no	1998 no	OUIABAL	1898 ^{no}	1898 no	1998 no	1998 no	1995 no	1995/00	1995 no	1996 ЛО	1897 no	1997 no	011/181	1997 00	1996 00	1998 00	1008 00	- Allacei					
			a	StipAg	SlipAg	NPDES	StipAg	SlipAg	StipAg	SAES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDEB	NPDES	NPDES	NTUES	NPOES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPUEV NBUEV	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	SILPAG	SlipAg	NPDES	NPDES		NFUES	NFUES	NPUES		2
		동 별 도	ц.	60	-	9	8	17	0	5	27 325	5 44	7 103	3 154	42	8 68	6 222	3 87	2 76	5 44	3 154	4	8 26	3 87	0	7 132	0 264	1 87	4 288	118	4 480	8 384	9	2	2					8	3	12	25	10	5	-
	ariatics	ir du	nd .n	0	5	60	-	07 2	202	5	0	18 7.	0 0	2	24 2	12	12	<u> </u>	ŕ ö	10 1	7	24	98 98	0 0		9	0	F 0	0	0		00	0 3	0	÷	0	3(∓ 0	7 0							-
	charact	481	ant,	9.	0	9	6	0	0	0	Ē	12 0.	9	-	o g	0	2	-	5	0	Ŧ	0	0			9	6	8		2 0	Na	~	1	5	6		-	8	0	8				5		
	*torm	rain	5	96 1	36 · 0.6	96 0.4	96 2.6	98 0.9	98 O.3	5	96 1.(96 0.6	96 0.3	97 1.2	0.7	97 0.3	97 0.4	5	96 0.2	36 0.6	1.2	97 0.3	97	20	2	88 O.E	8 0.4	38 0.5	80.4			0	S 0.4	5 0.3	6 1.2	6 2.8	6 0.3	6 0.4	8 0.2	0.0		0.0	0 1			2.2
			even	2/3/	3/16/6	4/15/	4122/	5/13/	5/21/4	16210	8/2/	12/4/5	12/19/	1/16/9	3/2/6	6/21/5	11/16/5	12/15/9	9/2/6	12/4/6	1/16/5	3/2/2	10/28/5	12/15/5		3/8/6	4/23/6	5/24/9	6/24/9	8/13/6	10/13/B	6/4/9	7/26/9	10/15/9	2/17/9	4/22/9	5/21/9	6/23/9	6/6/1	10/21/9	BVL1/Z	6/S/E	6/16/9	11/0/01	10/10/1	1017710
	elte sample data		sample ID	SDN3 020496	SDN3 040186	SDN3 041696	SDN3 042298	SDN3 051396	SDN3 052296	V DACZON CNOC	SDN3 080396	SDN3 120496	SDN3 122196	SDN3 011797	SDN3 030597	SDN3 062197	262111 ENQS	50N3 121697	SDN4 090396	SDN4 120488	SDN4 011697	SDN4 030587	SDN4 102897	SDN4 121697	SUN4 USU 38	SDN4 030998	SDN4 042498	SDN4 052598	SDN4 062498	EY 091494	EY 03000K	EY 060495	EY 072695	EY 101695	EY 021796	EY 042296	EY 052296	EY 062396	EY 070496	EY 102196	EY 021297	EY 030597	EY 061797	EY 110897	EV 059500	ET U34380
	Compo		outfal	SDN3	SN02	SUNS	SUNS	SUN3	ENOS	SNUS	SDN3	ENOS	ENO:	SNO2	SN3	ENO	ENO	ENOS	Ň	Ž	NO	ż	NO	INC	N	IND:	PN4	FNQ:	NO	<u>, </u> ;		 	 -	7	۲	7			>				, ,	 		

Paga 5

i

ŧ,

C

	•											•		<u> </u>																																
Ì		comments														possible insert (BMP) failure indicated by	elevated FOG and TSS		the aver and a ball in the average and	Waxaup could not access the access to a second access					-		•															-				
Γ		ч									T	Ī											1					22	0.198	0.380	0.284	0.141.0	2	5	20	0,122	0.281	0.217	0.102	0	%0					
		2			Ť	T	Ť	T	T	T	T	Ť	ſ	T	T			T	T	T								3 2	0.024	0,097	0.037	0.015	0	5	00	0.011	0.047	0.021	0.006	۵	%0					
		3	-	+	╁	╉	$\frac{1}{1}$		╉		╈	╀	╉		┝	┝	+	╡	+	+								57	0.034	0.119	0,056	0,028	0 2	20	20	0.038	0.117	0.085	0.021	0	. %0					
	Ē	cols		1000	CTURNED I	╏	╎	╎	╈	+	┢		╀	┢	┢	╞	-+	┥		┥	1					-		19	-	28	- \$	- N	18	8		e va	118	2	10	6	64%		•			
y Du	5	col gly		Test them	EAP NAME			+	-	$\frac{1}{1}$			+	╞	+	╞		-	-		-						5 0 F 1	19	2.5	1 6	2.5	** .	9	ę,		<u>r</u> 10	. "	- 1 0	Ţ	Đ	12					
tration,	à.	ol glyr				┦	+	$\left \right $	┦	+	+	+	+	+	+		_	_	-	-	-							10	2.6	*	2.5	-	- 19 19	20		t e	, g	5	-	12						
concen		f glyc	5.	14	<u>16 (1916)</u>	•	-	8	E. 6	20		3	2	5	+				_	_	_				· · · ·		2	16	7	3	30	10	- ;	5	ļ		2 6	80	13	c	9% 9%					
analyte		3 Sur	-					10110				5			+	-	_		-	_	_							16	23 0,	35 1.	43 0.	17 0.	•	ž		2 2		13	0 10		*					
	-	NH S			_	-	+	_		+	+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	+	+						_				, T			22	8.0	8,9 2	8,8	5,6 0,	ମ :	9%6		, c , c	5 C 0 P 1 0	52	0 0 2		0% 3					
	1	BOD																								й н -				M	ŕ	_	_			*	- r	• •								
1	4.74	(NTU)							7													ા ગાંગો કેર્ના કે	1.1				і.	30	24.6	80.6	30.0	19.0	-	20			2	176	ď	;	5					
		T \$\$	4	9	7	8	8	480		8	23	28	2	3 ;		3	188	73	26	15	ន			10.			1971 - 1972 1971 - 1972	21	44.0	210.0	64.0	33.0	Ģ	2		5	D.01	2.4.6		2	780	5		•		
L		ground report delde?	1995 no	1995 00	1895 no	1995 no	1996 no	1996 no	1996 no	1996 no	1996 no	1987 no	1897 no	1997 no		011 7821	1987 no	1998 no	1998 no	1998 no	1968 no						1.20255 2.0000	count	median	96th	7611	2601	Mnon-detected	%non-detected		count	median	2614	4126	mov	Phon-detected	Anon-demonst			-	
		(qo	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	DES	٩d	SA S			DES	DES	DES	PES	DES							Tal.								331										
Г	<u>ک</u>	l L L L L	93 NF	120 NF	158 NF	384 NF	Ż	N	Ż	Ż	Ż	Ż	5	325 SI	12 81		124	76 N	222 NI	132NI	288 NI	832-	• • • •				. Tr		<u> </u>			_			 -1	5	•		•			J				
	, .	in the	22	32	24	20	12	12		16	8	12	<u>ه</u>	2	9.1		8	16	12.8	12	9				s) the	v permit	i o	a have	97.	ants for	(nue) si															
		48hr - ant, hr.	ľ	°		°	0.01		P	0,09		•	°	•	0.08		0.24	ľ	ľ	ſ			ALCORD DATE		June 98	nder nev		u, v (v . iner	July 19	aulrem	urtactan												-			
		rain, In	0.69	0.2	0.18	0.7	1.34	0.35	0.21	0.49	2.83	0.23	0.27	5	0.59	9.40	0.39	0.26	0.47	0.85	0.28				1une 97-	mpled tu	ments: .	, a (vit na stalk	N2 since	n elsylar	alon: *															
	5	event	9/8/34	10/19/94	3/4/95	6/4/95	6/16/95	10/15/95	3/22/96	4/15/98	4/22/96	96/2/2	7/17/98	8/2/86	10/4/96	2/11/87	3/5/87	6/8/87	11/16/07	3/0/98	6/10/98		ASTERNATION FOR		behod (J)	at been au	ing require:		ves at SDI	dropped ar	vole param	iala.														
		sample (D'	TV GORGA	TY 101984	TV 030495	TY 060495	TY 081795	TY 101695-1	TY 032286	TY 041696	TY 042286	TY 070496	TY 071866	TY 080296	TY 100486	TY 021297	TY 030697	TY 060397	TV 111797	TY 030988	TY 061098			lota:	the current reportin	outfalls have not y	ampling and report	de), sust (uue), s an of covert BMD	temoleatha diachar	aw NPDES bermt	no composite sam	(NH3), and 10 me.														
Ĩ	edmox	Autfall	2	 : ≥	 ≥	 :≥	 : ≿	≻	 : ≿	 ≻	Ł	≿	∠	≿	≥	≿	2	≥	 :}≥		<u> </u> ∠	E Statis		Please 1	1. As of	following	Annual 4.	o) enus		3. The p	the follow	ammont														

AR 022485

Page 5

																											•	•		•			•											•			
		commente			-																																										
		Z	٦	-					7		25	0.054	0,106	0.064	0.037	0	1%0		19	0.020	0.005	0.000		740		ſ						1	ſ	150.0	0.040	0.036	0.025	0	5	F	0.022	0.023	0,023	0.012	-	4.66	
		q	6								25	0.003	0.014	0.004	0.002	0	%0			0.002	0.010			140					,				ŀ	• 000 U	0.006	0,003	0.001	** 1	25%	ŀ	, 00 0	0.006	0.005	0.002	-	*25	[
		5	-								25	0.037	0.109	0.068	0.028	0	6%		18	0.023	0.055	0,030	810'0	- 30	5					,				4 a ta	0 0.28	0,020	0.013	0	2	ŀ	3 0 0 18	0.021	0.018	0.012	•	8	
	atal	ycole	0								È	į v	, r	1 10	5.0	5	80%		₽	1 0 ;	Ž '	0	n (8,000	208									ð							0				•		
1)Bm	ۍ ه	/col Bl	c	,							ž	2 *	, c		2.5	12	80%		40	17	in i	•		a .	80%									0							o .						
intration,	 ش	/col gl	ſ	•							ļ	<u>n</u>	7 ę	ą r	5 1 1 1	7	80%		10	3	Ð	~ 7	3	a :	%08									0							0						
te conce		auf gl	-	2								81	0,000	112.0	- 00 G	0	41%		, 18	0.05	0.21	0.09	0,02	o -	50%									0							0						
anely	•	CHN			210.0	5	10.0	5	2			8	0.04	07.1	2 6	1	21.6		1	0.05	2.05	0.10	0,03	•	8									0							0	_					
		Ob5				[] []	10 1 10 1	0 (N	7006			59	8.2	31.8	0.0	2 C	4 4 7 4		22	5.3	17.9	7.8	4.3	8	8%		8	9.4 4	[<u>]</u>	4 4	3.5	25%		4	2.6	5.3	8.5 C C		50%			5	10.5 10.1	2.2	*	33%	
	-	aru) B		~	19.0	36.0	28.5	11.6	o à	5		24	5.4	15.9	ļ,	0 0	2 20		1	7.5	478.2	11.8	5.4	0	%0		8	5.9	210.3	7 0	4 0	2		ŀ	37.5	98 . 9	5/.5		° %0		6	35.0	47,6	42.0	0.05	XO	;
	1	1 SS (F		~	18,0	47.5	34.5	15.5	0 2	5		25	4.8	20.8	0. 1	3.2	101	e F	40	12.0	624.8	23.0	5.3	•	9%0		•	7,2	78.8	7.A.7	;	797		ſ	30.0	82.9	50.5	0.17 7	2		6	38,0	38,Ò	38.0	36.0	, ,	3
L		ground port delce?		count	median	96th	, 76th	26th	#non-detected	%non-detected		count	median	BEth	76th	26th	Wnon-detected	%non-detected		count	a fite	76th	26th	thon-detected	Wnon-detected		count	median	1928	7611	25th	Nnon-detected	2411011-1101142	counti	median	95th	75th	26m	#non-detected	74000-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	count	median	96th	76th	26th	anon-detected	Vinon-detecters
		e ido		<u>82</u>						•••		533								150							DW3								_												
Γ	بار چ	hat.		23				-			ļ	5							E	Ń			_			J	<u>e</u> 1	<u> </u>						12	<u></u>			_	_		2					-	
	tics	dur, bin																																													
	aracteri	48hr ant In.																																													
	torm ch	rain,	=																																												
			Nella I	•																																											
)	mple data	[emple ID							•																																					

ĩ

Composite sampl

outfall

11

Page 7

(:

ja j

_____ \

commente

♦Vent

sample ID

outfail

iple data

Compo

iaracteristics	, up		ŀ	T)			alyte co	ncentrat	lon, mg/				
48hr dur,	ant, a			Bround		Turb				щ	å	total			
ant, in. hrs	튁	8	report	delce?	TSS	(uru)	BODS	CHN	Surf	glycof	glycal	giycola	ß	4	Zu
	_														
		SDN1	•	count	23	5	55	24	13	18	18	18	₽ ₽	Ę	Ê
				median	22.0	12.0	10.2	0.32	0.10	2.5	2.6	10	0.034	0.011	0.35
				95th	65.0	30.0	38.2	0.83	0.81	3,0	2.5	50	0.080	0.028	0.75
				75th	48.2	17.8	20.0	0,52	0.20	2.5	2.5	S	0,046	0.016	0,46
		_		26th	14.0	7.2	6.0	0,10	0.05	2.5	2.5	ŝ	0.020	0.008	0,281
			-uou#	letected	-	0	N	64	*	11	18	17	0	0	Ū
			2-non-2	elected	ž	%0	8%	8%	31%	84%	100%	94%	%0	%0	8
	-			ľ											
		duraue		count	2	P	6	9	œ	-	-	-	2	10	Ĩ
				nelbem	34.0	24.5	6.9	0.22	0.08	1.0	1.0	2	0.034	0.013	0.291
				96Lh	91.9	56.8	17.6	0.23	0.55	-	,	2	0.058	0.034	0.482
				76th	57.3	29.8	12.1	0.22	0.28	¢.	0. 1	2	0,050	0.017	0.395
				26th	23.0	19.5	4.6	0.15	0.07	1.0	1.0	2	0.020	0.009	0.213
			p-uou#	elected	•	0		0	-	-	-	•			
			%non-d	atected	%0	9,60	10%	20	17%	100%	100%	100%	0%0	, %0	, <u>2</u> 0
		SONZ		count	20	19	51	8	15	12	12	13	\$	la I	f
				median	6.9	4.9	7.0	0.04	0.05	2.5	2.5	10	0.027	0.006	0.049
				85th	33.8	11.3	56.0	1.49	0.22	18.2	31.8	4	0.063	0.022	0 108
				7685	10.0	7.8	15.0	0.13	0.15	2.5	2.6	4	0.037	0.011	0.078
				26th	0.4	2.3	6.0	0.01	0.04	2.5	2.5	- 10	0.014	0.003	0.028
			p-uou#	elected	-	•	2	v	9	F	2	- 04	0	0	
			Vnon-d	etected	5%	%0	10%	30%	40%	85%	17%	69%	%0	, 140 ,	, Ş
	~			count	24	24	3 8	2 8	<u>}</u>	P	18	P	18	F	F
			-	median	11.0	11.0	3.0	0.02	0.04	3.5	2.6		0.013	0000	2 CYU D
				9 6th	25.3	26.0	7.8	0.22	0.12	40	2.5	113	0.038	0.004	0 160
			•	76th	15.3	19.0	5.0	0.05	0.05	2.5	2.6	9 40	0.018	0000	2000
				26th	3.5	<u>5</u> ,2	2.0	0.01	0.01	2.6	2.6	• •0	0.011	100.0	0.048
			#non-di	stacted	a	0	2		10	15	16	12	0		
			%non-de	stacted	48	· 0%	38%	31%	28%	9434	100%	94%	160	22%	%0
	<u>.</u>	DN4		count	11	11	÷	ø	ø	┢╌	┡	ŀ	F	F	F
	-			nadlan	3.8	0. 4	č.2	0.02	0.0	P:	1.0	~	0.036	0.001	0.024
				96th	14.0	12.1	13.1	0.50	5	2.5	2.5	5	0.115	0,002	0.038
				76th	7.5	5.8	7.9	0.15	0,0	1.8	1.6	4	0.048	0.001	0.028
				26th	3.0	3.3	£.4	0.01	0.0	4.0	1.0	2	0.031	0.001	0.021
			non	tected	0	0	~	64	3	~	2	~	0	83	0
			v non-de	Itected	80	760	18%	%EE.	50%	100%	100%	100%	%0	55%	%0
	2														
	<u>u</u>	~		count	48	-	0	0	12	0	•	•	P	ŀ	P
			5	necian	24.5	6. 4			0.1						
				419 6	100.5	4.0			F -0						
	_			76th	27.5	- 6,4			0,2						
			•	26th	12.0	e.4			0.1						
			gnon-de	tected	0	0			4						
	-		%non-dei	tected	20	260			33.66						

Page 8

E.

ب م

	r		•,;;		
•			a		
	- te				
	COMITY		-		
I		e	73 123 026 07 07		
	f	o	73 2.002 0 2.010 0 2.010 0 2.001 0 14 19%		
		o	73 73 1101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 1		
	te l	o	84 84 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	,	
		0	4 4 0 0 0 0 4 8 2 4 0 0 0 0 4 8 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
	ntration, - F	0	84 a 1 a a 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		
	te concel	12 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.1 7%	0.05 0.20 0.20 0.01 5.2% 5.2%		
	yiana o	0	70 1.20 1.20 0.08 0.08 0.08 2.04	·	
		Ċ	ጀ ፡፡ 27 ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ጀ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ፡፡ ይ		
	ţ	11.6 8.0 6.0 6.0 0,0 0,0	77 88 133 133 133 133 133 133		•
		22.6 22.6 23.2 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	- 78 4 5 3 7 4 8 7 4 8 7		
		Sthrint Sthrint Sthrint Sthrint Stad	Junt 95th 75th 25th 75th 75th		
	Brot	Tor-deter	Cr ma non-deta	-	
,	•••	ž ž	rfeld , SDS4, , SDN4) , ***		
•		8 ≥	All All (SDS) SDN3 SDN3		
	lics dur, an	2 2			
•	46hr	ant, In.			
	torm chi rain,	5			
		turve .			
	data				
	a ample	dure*			
	mposite	outfall	•		
	ŏ	<u> </u>	~		

		comments									np rallou	kin data in case short on data for 96 Q4			May Alexandre	G result not representative, taboratory and, are read and		(9)			acel collform result not representative; exceeded holding time	by 8+ hours	ON"STORM"	ON-"STORM"	Natraw"											and a second and hour helding time, results not representative	eters and falled, focals make	dam saan gownsueen in the 2/3/96			ann daicad 4 aircraft 4/13/97					utilis qiriy delcing tami pius annuai azinyin i yuni	
	1, mg/l	ecals	1100	45	260	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	300	22	30	17	220 001	SUPPORT SUPPORT	220	10000	50	ET IN FO		26	188	Note Col	3	200	110 NC	900 NC	500		38	3	CONTRACTOR IN CONTRACTOR		60	*	200	lo nazabi	23	200			<u>1</u> 30	99 90	1005 Blee	Lange and the second		1600	EZ	NAMESTIC	
	ontration	NO F																				1.54	3.4	3.05	2.48		2.04	3	Ţ	Ī							_			ľ			+			6 0.56	
	yte conc	Q-Hat											T	T							or state	B B (010-16)		0.00		0.0		0.84							_	-	_						+	+	\downarrow	72 0.1	
	nplo ana	Het.	5															8	8	6	0	5 1.5	3.	ei L	5 2.	2.5	6 3.0	9 2.7	100	2.2	27		0	80	33	8	35		42	35	2.9	2.6	951.	50.	13	95 0.	
	grab sen	Hdl		R 7 R		3.8	6 BHL0 5	7 8.8	8 3.9	8 3.35		1 2.64	9.1.8	A.L.			00 70		8 1.4	2.0	8			52	9		8	-	1.1 22.0	3.4 5		3.3 8110	1.2 000	0.5	2.5 0.		o G			2.4 0.		10				O HINN	
		C L	202	ē	BURKINK		0	-	2	10		3	2		TORUTAT	THENERAL	7					10			100		9	4	9	0	4 4	10	1	影響器に	5	NA NUMBE	88 M 10	ļ 	SG INNERS	11	12 数据数	13 H 1	71818		24 K 23 60	22	
Ŋ			5	-	88	0 W		7.9	2	6.39		7.31	6.61	9.45	90.2		6,33			8	0.5	7 4				1.0	6.9	6.9	5.7	9 1 1	~' °		+	7	9.6	2		-		8	8	<u>, </u>		-	6		
		ground	report deice?	1955		011 0951		1990 ILO	1004 00	1850 10	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1997 no	1897 no	1008 00	1008 100	1998 no	0000	DUORAL	1998 no	00 0001	1998 no	1998 no	199B no	1885 no	1995 YES	1995 no	1995 00	1996 00	1996 00	1996 00	1996 no	1997 no	1987 no	1007 00	1001 100	1997 по	1997 na	1998 no	199B no	1998/00	119981	
			purpose	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NFUEN	NPUES	NPDES	Supag	NPUES	NPDES	NPDES	3 NPDES	A NPDES	9 StipAg	2 NPDES	ANPDES		5 NPDES	INPDES		6 NPDES	17 NPDES	32 NPDES	N N DES	DE NPDES	AR NPDES	20 NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	StipAg	NPDES	NDUES	INFUEL	225 StipAg	44 INFUGU	NPDES	135 NPDES	26 NPDES	24 NPDES	87 INPOES	132 NFUE
		dryant,	E.	46									1	ę	15	₽	•						2	10						9	8	80	8	N	. 6	60	5		5		<u>a</u> ;	35	28	0.8	8	33	5
		dur,	E H	8 14	1 62	9 18	1 36	8	8	2	910	10	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	36 37	21 23	41 26	39 20	2		38 26	01	י 	88.	03 0.	8		212	210	200	1.1	0.2	0.7	10		0.48	2.83	0.23		0.2/	5	0.82		1.0.0	0.47 10	0.65	-	0.86
		stics depth	Ē	6	0	6	ò	6	-	ö	ò				-	o	-	-		•			5 F	o u	5							-	-	_	=	=			E	E	E	E	E			E	E
		naracter	event	t storm	5 storm	5 storm	5 storm	5 storm	6 slorm	6 storm	6 slorm		B storm		7 storm	17 storm	stor		A SUUM	97 storm	B7 storm		B stor	98 nons	98 nons	98 stom	Shon 88	HOIS 88.	(96 510M	195 stor	/95 slor	(95 storr	/95 stor	/95 stor	796 stor	/96 aton			96 sto	2/96 ston	1/96 ston	1/87 stor	VB7 #100	1/2/ alu	2/87 stor	5/97 stor	8/88 stor
		storm ct	date	11/11/194	1/1/19	4/10/9	7/26/9	10/25/9	273/9	3/22/8	4/15/9	B/1/1/L	3/2/8		1/13/1	1/27/6	3/5/87		incic	6/16/	10/28/	12/15/	3/1/8	4/1/1	4/9/	4/23/	16/2	5/14	6/24	10/18/	5/11	614	8/6	10/15				\$ 	12112	1 8/2	124	ž I		100	調	112/1	10
Î	L)	pie data only	DI SO4	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	20E4 (11/35	20E4 041095	SDFA 072695	SDF4 102695	SDE4 020496 GRAB	SDE4 032298 GRAB	SDE4 041696 GRAB	SDE4 071796 GRAB	SDE4 090396 GRAB	SDE4 121598 GRAB	SDE4 121998 GKAB	SUEA UNION GRAD	SUCT ULTER GRAB		SDE4 053097	SDE4 061697 GRAB	SDE4 102897 GRAB	SDE4 121597 GRAB	SDE4 030198 GRAB	CHEADATOR GRAB	SDE4 041088 GRAB	SDE4 042398 GRAB	SDE4 050998 GRAB	SDE4 051498 GRAB	SDE4 062498 GRAB	SDS1 101994	SDS1 021695	ICUCI MUARS	SDS1 080795	SDS1 101685	SDS1 011396 GRAB	SDS1 041696 GRAB	100 067730 LSOS	SDS1 070398 GKAR	SDS1 071798 GRAB	LENS1 080296 GRAB	SDS1 120496 GRAB	SDS1 011697 GRAE	SDS1 041397 GRAE	SDS1 061797 GKAt	SDS1 102897 UNA	5051 111857 GRAE	- COLULI 1010808 GRAE
	/	Mas da	littali								DE	Det	DEA	DE4	N SI SI				SDE4	SDF4	SDE4	SDE4	SDE4	1.000	SDEA	SDE4	SOE4	SDE4	SDE4	SDS1	SDS1	190S		SDS1	SDS1	SDS1	SDS1	sps1	SDS1	1909	SDS1	SDS1	SDS1	SDS1	SDSI	SDS1	

Paga 1

(

	Comments								LAST FOR STIP AG											fecels make up for 7/3/96 > hold fime										backup monthity sample in case 3/1/98 sample didn't qualify	under new permit	VON-STORM"	CONSIDERABLE POLLEN IN SAMPLE										ocals exceeded 30 hour holding time, results not renterantative	ands mota to for 714/04 and that availand halding these			-			
on, mg/i	Focals	440	780	1400	2600	23	8	220	11	20	THE REAL	2						13			8	0031620	130		1000	13051	6					171		¥	132	Q2	83	2	18	ALL SALEY	1056	16001	3005	500 6		3		90	8	
central	Her PH-	Ī,												-					5.6				ĺ				t		0.15			0.31	0.13	10.05			-	+		¥.	+			-	+	+				
lyte con	C-H-1	ſ																Ì					╏		+	T	t		0.08			0.025	0.05	0.020 倍				┫	\dagger	-	+			╈	+		┢			
pie ana	Ξ.	F																						ł	Ť	╞	┢	t	0.18		111	0.33	0.15	0.076/2				┥	+	+		ſ	-	+	+	┢	-	-		1
rab sam	Hat Hall	INDC: PI	15,0,1	10,019	190.61	001251	0/125	011251	1011251	15'0133	1000	0.00	10,010	100.0	000	10.014	500	H ECOM	0.31		6.0	0125	10425		0.041	12.0	101125	0125	0125	10.01		0.34	0.26	0,912520	[9:0]	13005	6.02						0.76	╉	GHEAL	1122E	0.26	DN2E	60.0	
	FOG	3.4	12	1.8	2.2	101-150	1011010	20120	-	8.3	4:1	5011	550	100 E	NOT	1.4			1.2		0020	20112		TELENISA		50	Katols u	1.1			Τ	Ì			E	1.2	MK0157	1.8		Namera State	2.7				AND ROLLING		-	0	ENTOTINE	
) ਜ਼	7.2	7.4	7.5	6.7	6.75	6.68 1	6.77	6.76	7.14		12	7.2	7.3	2	1	2	7.6 課	7.38		7.35	8.96	9.97		7 22 05	7.49	7.13	7.26	7.69		7.39	7.07	7.23	7.51	7.14	7.02	7.8 (2)	7.6	<u>.</u>	111	7.83	7.15 008	6.07025		6.74 BNN	6.78 620	7.38	7.45	7.4 0.05	
	ground report delce?	1995 no	1995 no	1995,00	1996 no	1997 YES	1897 no	1997 no	1997 no	1995 110	1985 no	1995 no	1895 no	1595 na	1896 no	1996 no	1996 no	1996 no	1996 no	1997 no	1997 no	1897 no	1997 no	1997 YES	1337 00	1998/110	1998 no	1998 na	1898 no	1998 no	19981.00	1998 no	1998 no	1998 no	1995 no	1895 no	1995 no	1995 no	00 9881	1006 00	1996 no	1826 ПО	1997 no	1997 00	1997 no	1997 ho	1987 no	1997 no	1997 no	
	yant, hrs purpose	NPDES.	NPDES	98 NPDES	NPDES	72 NPDES	44 NPDES	154 StipAg	205 SlipAg	118 NPDES	480 NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	, NPDES	NPOES	NPDES	NPDES	SlipAg	NPDES	NPDES	325 NPDES	76 INPDES	64 NPDES		42 NPDES	76 NPDES	26 NPDES	107 NPDES	6 NPDES	132 NPDES	264 NPDES	360 NPDES	125 NPDES	288 NPDES	118 NPDES	480 NPDES	NPDES	NPDES	NFUES	NDACS	NPDES	SlipAd	NPDES	NPINES	18 NPDES	44 NPDES	154 NPDES	109 StipAg	64 NPDES	
	dur, di hrs	7.5	8	10		34.1	7.5	23	18	8	14	24	62	3	36	12	8	-	16	3	27	5	-		<u>র</u> হ	16	0.8	14	88	53	20	8	8	10	8	7	8			200	19	-	-24	-10	1.0	7.5	33	26	26	
5	la. f	0.12	0.2	0.3		0.63	0.82	1.21	0.48	0.15	0.32	0.42	0.21	0.29	5	0.35	0.37	0.3	5	0.27	<u>;</u>	0.29	0.68	2 4	195.0	0.26	0.47	0.2	0,98	0.86	0.46	0.12	0.21	0.28	0.15	0.32	0.3	2	4.0	1200	67-0	2.83	0.23	10.07	0.59	0.82	1.21	0.41	1.16	
acterisU	vent 6	Ē	щo	orm	Ę	Ē	щ	Ē	mo	um l	оши	Ē	Ē	E	Ę	Ę	Ę	E	E	Ē	Ę	Ē	Ę	Ē		E	Ē	m	Ę	mo	E	nstor	E	E	E	ε	٤	E	EII				E				E	E	E	
irm char.	torm Jate	5/9/95 st	/11/95 st	/10/05 #1	9/5/95 st	/23/86 at	2/4/96 st	/18/97 st	111/97 st	113/94 51	/13/94 st	118/84 31	1/7/95 st	10.85 1	/26/95 al	115/85 at	13/96/51	122/96 \$1	15/98 st	12/96/11	9/2/96 st	9/3/96 st	21/96 61	10/02/27	15/87 atc	VI3/97 stc	28/97 atc	29/98 slc	V1/98 slt	3/98	23/98 stc	U9/98 no	14/98 stc	10/98 stc	13/84 stc	13/94 atc	11/95 stc	11/05 atc	JIS CAVON	102 CR/C	5/96 510	12/06 sto	C1/56 ato.	7/08 etc.	4/96 atos	14/96 stol	6/97 stol	7/97 sto	8/97 sto	
le data only stu		2 SDS2 051095	2 SDS2 051195 1	2 SDS2 061095 1 4	2 SDS2 090595	2 SDS2 112396 GRAB 11	2 SDS2 120496 GRAB 1	2 SDS2 011697 GRAB 1	2 SDS2 021197 GRAB 2	3 2DS3 091494 5	3 SDS3 101394 10	1 SDS3 111894 grab 11	SDS3 010795	SDS3 041295	SDS3 072895	SDS3 101685 10	SDS3 011396 GRAB 1	SDS3 032296 grab	SDS3 041696 GRAB 4	SDS3 071796 GRAB 7	SDS3 060296 GRAB	SDS3 090396 GRAB	SDS3 102186 GRAB 10	11 8040 86211 2010	(SDS3 030507 GRAB	SDS3 060397 GRAB	SDS3 102897 GRAB 10	SDS3 012998 GRAB 1.	SDS3 030198 GRAB	5DS3 030998 GRAB 3/	SDS3 042398 GRAB 4	SDS3 050998 GRAB	SDS3 051498 GRAB 5	SDS3 061098 GRAB 6	SDS4 031494 8	SDS4 101394 10	SDS4 011285 11	SDS4 061295 54		SU34 101685	SUSA MATABA GRAB	SDS4 042296 GRAB 4/	SDS4 070386 GRAB	9044 074704 CDA9		SDS4 120496 GRAB 12	SDS4 011697 GRAB 1/	SDS4 012797 GRAB 11.	SDS4 041697 GRAB 4/1	

					melching composite not representative, intervice	EXTRA GRAB (HAS MAKEUP COMP I ON SECTION				commostie falled		grab makes up for 12/4/98 missed grab	grab makes up for 1/27/97 missed grab			pairs with 3/6/9/ composite for 3/ spining dumina		in o composite sample for this event, equipment mattunction	makeup for 06Q4 (3 unsuccessful attempts)											3 XITA NPDES/SIIP AG	ar Fecals exceeded 30 hour holding time, results not representative	o fecals make 10 for 7/4/96 grab that exceeded holding time	1 paired up/down sample	0 patred up/down sample	3 paired up/down sample				2	heckup monthly sample in case 3/1/88 sample didn't quality	under new permit	KINON-STORM"	2 NON-*STORM	0	CONSIDERABLE POLLEN IN SAMPLE		
	ion, mg/i		Fecals	29	4	THE TEAL	1700	22007	nont	30000	146	7	PERSONAL		4	ន	2	350	170	8		1600	10012140	18(21	ě.			a	3	16	8	3	6				O BUTERDAR	2 1 2 2			5		24	
	ncentral	TPH-	2 2				÷																				-		-	+	-	_	-	 							.06 0.7	0.0	.70 0.6	1250 0.9		25 1.0	
	naivte of		E							-		+						+	+					_		+	-			-	╞	-	+	-			_			0.68 890	0.78	0.69 440	4.1	0.97 1.00	0.55		
	a elamen	HTTH	ă	25	20	E C	0.5	0.6	12:0	6.6	.26	au	Dia Maria	1951	26	0.6	520 125		Albiti	1 25	126	125	1010	100	5.1	32120	3.4	7.5	4.1	0.25		-	9 2	290	2.1	1.08	4.3	1928	1.5	970	0.47	0.34	1.16	1.2	0.0	000	1,2,5
	dera	4	5	0.500	10120	19, 5% D.3	EDIELERS	1.1 106	ICHER	2.8	20.5	2051 Alicenses	10160	PULKER DIV		00191013	1.200		9.5		NECKS INC.	5	3,3 10	1.8	2.6	TRAINTS	7 99	7.3	8	-+-	V C	0 N	-	NOTES I	- 80 H			01110	10 <u>1</u>				-			+	1
			4 2			7.5 H H	7.3 Milli	71	7 11/2/1	7.2	41403	6.7 10	1.75 TUNU	0.13) 5.64 attact		6.85 LV81	A 78		6.95		7 0.3 EGEH	8.87 1.00	6.56	6.83	7.4		5.7	19.2	6,9	7.26	70'0	6.17		2,10 BIL	4.37	4.57	3.49 1	8.64 H	7.34	6.33	6.03	5.58	6.26	5.35	4.94	6.21	121.0
3			Ca 2	~ ^		+		╞			S									Ť	Ţ		Ţ					ES					0					9	9	0	8	9		2	2	2	e
	ŀ	199	eportdel	1998 rio	OU REAL	1998 no	1965 00	1995 00	1995 no	1996 Ino	1997 VE	1997 no	1997 no	0U /681	01 /5AL	1997 no	1007	2	1997 no	ou /661	00 2651	1008 DC	1995 nc	1995 no	1995 no	1995 nc	1998 nc	7 9661	1998 1	1996 14	1996 0	1997 0	1997 0	1997 In	U /ARL	1001	1098 0	n 8881	1996 1	1998 1	1993 0	1998	18881	1896	1998 1	1998 1	19901
		╉	1056	ES	ES	202				DES	SBC	A9	Ag	40	SEC.				DES	DES	DES	DES			DES	DES	DES		DVD	DES	PA9	DES	DES	DES	DES				PDES	PDES	PDES	0000		PDES	PDES	PDES	POES
			s pure	96 NPD	222 NPC	107 NPL			IdN 90	IdN	72 NPI	154 Stip	205 SIIp	167 Silp	INN 11				154 NP	109 KP	205 NP					ď	Ž	Z Z	200	ž	15	Ż	Ż	121		Z Z			N 28	9 9	132 N			284 N	360 N	126 N	288 N
,				0.5	2.6	<u>+</u>	27	0.0	• •	12	1	53	18	25	7.5	36		1.5	23	26	₽	20	8	» į	200	28	82	e	-	50	9	12	31	23	1	2	2		10.01	86		•	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	- 00	120	8	우
			la. Ia.	0.2	0.47	0.2	0.86	0.12	7 0	2 2 1	1590	1.21	0.48	0.24	0.82	110		0.82	1.21	0.41	0.48	0.39	82.0		100	0.61	0.4	3.89	0.84	2.83	0.46	0.23	0.27	1,21	0,59	1.21	0.31	07.0		0.98	0 BB	20.0	0.03	1.U5	0.12	0.21	0.28
		icteristic	d d	Ę	mo	mo	Ē	Ę	mo				tom	tom	lorm	m		slorm	Шo	ELOI	storm	storm	E	und Element	tom tom	storm	storm	storna	storm	storm	storm	storm	storm	storm	storm	atorm	storm	storm	mola	atom a			nanstor	nonstor	nonstor	storn	storm
		orm chan	tom tate	N25/97 6	116/97 5	173/96 sl	3/8/98 \$	5/8/85 3	5/11/95 =	5 10/01 10	S CR/OL/D	1/16/97	2/11/97 6	2/26/97 5	12/4/96 =	1/27/97	I AARUY	2/4/96	1/16/87	1/27/87	2/11/97	3/5/97	6/16/97	8/13/84	0/18/84	4/6/95	B/6/95	11/6/85	2/3/96	122/06	6/23/96	7/3/96	7/17/98	1/16/97	10/4/98	1/18/97	10/2/17	15/09	10/26/97	JANCI/21		02/010	4/7/98	4/9/98	4/23/20	5/14/98	6/10/98
Ő		ample data only st		IEDEA 082497 GRAB	SDS4 111797 GRAB 1	SDS4 012998 GRAB	SDS4 030998 GRAB	SDW3 051095	SDW3 051195	SDW3 061085	SDW3 081795	SDW3 112396 GKAB 1	SUW3 011981 GRAB	SOW3 022697 GRAB	B 120496 GRAB	B 012797 GRAB	B 041997 GRAB	D 120496 GRAB	D 011707 GRAB	D 012797 GRAB	D 021197 GRAB	D 030597 GRAB	D 061797 GRAB	SDN1 091484	SDN1 101994	SDN1 01 1233	SDN1 080795	SDN1 110795	SDN1 020498 GRAB	SDN1 033195 GRAB	SDN1 062396 GRAB	SDN1 070396 GRAB	CEN14 071706 areh	EDNI 011697 GRAB	110 SDN1up 100496 GRA	tup SON1up 011697 GRA	tup SDN1 041397 grab	1up SDN1 060397 GRAB	1up SDN1 102897 grab	1up SDN1 121597 GRAB	100 SUNI USUINE GIVE	1up SDN1 030998 GRAB	tup SDN1 040798 GRAB	1up SON1 041095 GRAB	1up SDN1 042398 GRAB	tup SDN1 USUBB GRAB	TUP SDN1 061088 GRAB

Page 3

ł

(

						-		D)		Ĕ	omes de	ie analyte	concent	ration, m		
4 4		storm chara	ctorist	lcs.					ŀ							
1 1		storm date e	vent	depth. In.	hrs.	hrs pu	rpose	ground report delce?	đ	Fog	(R)	Dx 7PI	DW C1	Fecal	s comments	
1 1	1	B/8/94 st	E	0,69	2	dNIC8	DES	1995 no	6.82	1.8	10.61		_		3	
1 1	1	10/13/94 st	Ę	0.32	4	480 NP	DES	1995 no		1,1	1001	┦	+		2	
Interest	1	11/11/94 31	Eo	0.28	7	46 NF	DES	1895 no	ľ	STREED.			+		A	
4 4/1043 10 10/105 100<	1	1/11/195 51	Ę	0.3	डाः	Ż	DES	1995 no + noc	2 4	27	5 2		╀	AND A		
Offstellig kunnt Offstellig kunnt<	- 1	4/10/95 51	Ę	0.29	2	ŻŻ		1006 00	5 r-	2.8	1910181		-		15	
37/378 60m 13 60m 60m <td>- F</td> <td>B/6/85 St</td> <td>E</td> <td>4.0</td> <td><u>=</u></td> <td></td> <td>NFS NFS</td> <td>1996 no</td> <td>7.3</td> <td>1,9</td> <td>20,051</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	- F	B/6/85 St	E	4.0	<u>=</u>		NFS NFS	1996 no	7.3	1,9	20,051					
4 323766 Bitsy Bi	1.	10/12/12/12		200	21		DES	1996 no	7.6	FEDERO EN	<u> 10.5</u>				10	
4 Consistent 1 Fortise 1991 0 171 State 100 110 200 100 110 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 100 200 <th< td=""><td>nla</td><td>2011/10 1</td><td></td><td>0.64</td><td>-</td><td>5</td><td>pAg</td><td>1996 no</td><td>6.7</td><td>500 July</td><td>2010</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16 xtra NPDES/Stip Ag</td></th<>	nla	2011/10 1		0.64	-	5	pAg	1996 no	6.7	500 July	2010				16 xtra NPDES/Stip Ag	
1 0.216 0.16 <th0.16< th=""> <th0.16< th=""> <th0.16< td=""><td>ماھ</td><td>4/22/98/34</td><td>E</td><td>2.83</td><td>-</td><td>Ż</td><td>DES</td><td>1996 no</td><td>7.17</td><td>South R</td><td>09226</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>50</td></th0.16<></th0.16<></th0.16<>	ماھ	4/22/98/34	E	2.83	-	Ż	DES	1996 no	7.17	South R	09226				50	
1 1	i lim	6/23/96/st	E	D.46	Þ	IIS	pAg	1996 no	6.83	-	0,48		_	-	2 XITA NFUESISITP AB	
1 0.26 1.2 0.10 0.26 1.2 0.10 0.20 0.10	4	7/17/96 4	E	0.27	31	Ż	PES	1997 no				+	-		4 Xire (ecals analyzed	
1 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/168 102/168 103/	l m	9/3/96 st	E	0.29	1.2	76 NF	DES	1997 no	7.24	1.6	0.29	-		-	100 some composite auquois in grau	
9 1 (1460) 500 1 (1460) 500 1 (1460) 600<	l m	10/21/96 at	uno	0.68	14	B4 NF	PDES	1997 no	6.45		0.32	-		-	2	
B Event State 113 Color Color <th< td=""><td></td><td>1/16/97 51</td><td>LE O</td><td>1.21</td><td>23</td><td>154 NF</td><td>DES</td><td>1997 no</td><td>7.45</td><td>4.3</td><td>65.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		1/16/97 51	LE O	1.21	23	154 NF	DES	1997 no	7.45	4.3	65.0					
B B District (Mark) (Mark) District (Mark) <thdistrict (mark)<="" th=""> <thdistrict (mark)<="" th=""></thdistrict></thdistrict>	b	4/19/97	mo	1.16	26	64 NF	PDES	1997 no	6.91	100 H	0.87				4	
1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 2	lø	8/25/97 31	mo	0.2	10.5	3N 98	DES	1998 no	180,7	1:2	0.43		-		NU no composite, squipitient menuniti	
1 10.0554 4 1140PES 1995/10 7 25 0.02 1040PE 1	ŧ	12 19(8/94 1st	E	0.69	52	1N E8	PDE9	1895 no	6.4	1.1	19:004		_		(00)	
17/163 6torm 0.21 52 NPDES 1995/100 7.8 1000 7/869 111 0.31 23 1995/100 2.3 195 0.0	1	10/25/84 31	E	1.96	Ŧ	131 NE	PDES	1995 no		2.9	12015					
64/463 lotm 0.31 12 100/56 13 100/56	1	1/7/95 31	mo	0.21	62	Ž	PDES	1995 no	7.8		502		-			
170055 1001 12 23 0.05 1 0.01 <th0.01< th=""> <th0.01< <="" td=""><td>E</td><td>6/4/95 31</td><td>ELLI</td><td>0.7</td><td>26</td><td>ž</td><td>POES</td><td>1995 no</td><td></td><td>2.5</td><td>202</td><td>┦</td><td>+</td><td></td><td>40</td></th0.01<></th0.01<>	E	6/4/95 31	ELLI	0.7	26	ž	POES	1995 no		2.5	202	┦	+		40	
1 11/16/051 storm 3.0 4.0 NPDES 1996 loc 7.2 2.1 0.0 <th0.0< th=""> <th0.0< th=""> 0.0</th0.0<></th0.0<>	£	7/9/95 81	ша	0.81	13	Ż	PDES	1996 no	7	3.3		-	-			
3 (1/3086) (0:0) (1/3) (1/3086) (1/3) (1/3086) (1/3) (1/3086)		11/B/B5 st	torm	3.69	Ŧ	Ż	PDES	1895 no		1.2 Terretarianterretaria			$\frac{1}{1}$	TIME THE		
B 3/31/86 10m 0.64 10 NIC/R5 1996 (no. 7/13 11 Niz Area Niz	m	1/13/96	mo	0.37	ន	Ż	PDES	1996 no	2,2	NAME OF CONTRACTOR		╁	╀		ite NPDES/Stip Ag	
B 4/15/66 Born 2/16 NUCES 133 133 100 7.11 Moles 110 Mail Not	أه	3/31/96/5	Ē	50	-		Byd		9 F		大気が	+	$\frac{1}{1}$		50	
B 4/2206 130 2 24 000 desved hydrograph, vary dry antecadent B 1/15/396 100 2.31 12 325 100 100 7.41 000 desved hydrograph, vary dry antecadent B 1/15/396 100 0.87 7.31 100 100 7.41 000 desved hydrograph, vary dry antecadent B 1/15/396 100 0.87 100 100 7.41 000 desved hydrograph, vary dry antecadent B 1/15/397 100 0.87 100 6.40 1/4 0.55 100 0.41 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 116 100 10	2	4/15/96 5	E	0.48	<u>8</u>	Ż	PUES	00 QARL	1.01	LINE AND A		+	╞		10 x(ra NPDES/Stip Ag	
B 173/36 lots 3.1 721 MPES 103 11 721 MPE 11 <td>шį</td> <td>4/22/98 3</td> <td>Ela</td> <td>2.83</td> <td></td> <td>10 2 2 2</td> <td>PAG PAG</td> <td>1001</td> <td>1.1</td> <td></td> <td>150</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>300 delayed hydrograph, very dry anlecadent</td>	шį	4/22/98 3	Ela	2.83		10 2 2 2	PAG PAG	1001	1.1		150				300 delayed hydrograph, very dry anlecadent	
B 11/2395 160 0.23 7.1 1.1/2015 1937 100 1.1/2015 1937 100 1.1/2015 1937 100 11/2015 1937 100 11/2015 1937 100 11/2015 1937 100 11/2015 1937 100 11/2015 1937 100 10.2 100 10/2015 100 100 10.2 100 <	m	8/2/86 \$	E C	10.1		NICZE		103/102	7 4 5		REHER				14 Insufficient sample for composite	
B 1/1687 deam 0.33 37 100 NPEC 153 153 154 150 668 1.4 0.25 1 100 115 1997 100 NPEC 1597 100 NPEC 1597 100 NPEC 1597 100 NPEC 1597 100 176 116 100 7.18 368 174 100 7.19 368 174 100 7.18 369 100 7.16 368 126 1397 100 176 1396 100 7.16 368 126 1397 100 7.18 368 100 7.16 368 100 7.16 100 7.16 100 7.16 100<	αŀ	11/23/96 5	E	0.63	5			1007 00	R 46 E			╞			*	
B 171696 fatom 0.35 31 141 NPDES 131 23 141 NPDES 131 23 141 NPDES 131 23 141 NPDES 131 33 34 N37 130 71 130 71 130 71 130 71 130 71 130 71 130 71 730 731 130 732 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733 733	ø١	12/4/96 8	E	28.0				1007 00	633		08455				7	
B 1/110P/ factor 1/2 1/	ca la	12/19/96 =	E	0.36	n e	N COL		133/ IIU 1407 NA	899	A I I A I A I A I A I A I A I A I A I A	0125					
B 335/81 alor 0.38 20 42 NPDES 1997 100 7,18 alor 15,1997 15,1997 6 5/30097 sicm 0.27 11.6 36 NPDES 1997 100 7,18 alor 16,000 15,1997 15,1997 16 6/27/16/97 sicm 0.27 11.6 66 NPDES 1997 100 7,72 15 80 0.00 124 100 0.27 16 NPDES 1997 100 67.26 50 HDDES 1997 100 67.36 50 HDDES 1997 100 67.36 50 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1		1/16/9/ 5	Egg		3		201	A11 1001							JUN FOG result not representative, laboratory error, see letter of May	
5/30(3) 1.24 36 1.4 NPDES 1.931 (no 7.51 NMPDES 1.931 (no 7.51 NMPDES 1.930 (no 7.71 NMPDES 1.930 (no 8.61 1.200 (no 7.51 NMPDES 1.930 (no 8.61 1.200 (no 7.71 1.300 (no 8.61 1.300 (no <t< td=""><td>9</td><td>3/5/87</td><td>tom</td><td>0.39</td><td>2</td><td>43<u>N</u></td><td>PDES</td><td>1997 no</td><td>7.18</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>15, 1997</td></t<>	9	3/5/87	tom	0.39	2	43 <u>N</u>	PDES	1997 no	7.18	3			_		15, 1997	
(5) 62/18/7 atom 0.27 11.6 66 NPDES 1998 100 7.51 NPDES 1998 100 7.51 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1900 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 1000 6.72 11.2 1000 <th< td=""><td>ł</td><td>5/30/97</td><td>(orm</td><td>1.64</td><td>8</td><td>N P</td><td>PDES</td><td>1997 no</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>20125</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>BACKUP (ograph for March 145 dirers on Survey Vernet Breat</td></th<>	ł	5/30/97	(orm	1.64	8	N P	PDES	1997 no		0	20125			-	BACKUP (ograph for March 145 dirers on Survey Vernet Breat	
AB 102687 air 0.47 103 26 NPDES 1999 Inc. 5.7 Bit 201687 air 0.47 103 271677 air 11 33 871NPDES 1999 inc. 7.28 11 500 HAD OC DUPLICATION B 12/16877 air 0.23 1.2 74 NPDES 1997 ino 6.57 Busy 100 6.57 Busy 100 <td< td=""><td>100</td><td>6/21/97 \$</td><td>mol</td><td>0.27</td><td>11.8</td><td>68 N</td><td>PDES</td><td>1598 no</td><td>7.51</td><td></td><td>0123</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	100	6/21/97 \$	mol	0.27	11.8	68 N	PDES	1598 no	7.51		0123	-	-			
(a) (2)(5/3) (a) (a) <th(a)< th=""> (a) (a) <th(a)<< td=""><td>12</td><td>1 10/28/97</td><td>torm</td><td>0.47</td><td>10.8</td><td>26 N</td><td>PDES</td><td>1998 no</td><td>6,72</td><td>STREET ST</td><td>19/12</td><td></td><td>+</td><td>¥ - </td><td></td></th(a)<<></th(a)<>	12	1 10/28/97	torm	0.47	10.8	26 N	PDES	1998 no	6,72	STREET ST	19/12		+	¥ -		
B 973/06 storm 0.29 1.2 76 NPDES 1997 100 6.43 1.2 0.12 1.2 <th1.2< th=""> 1.2 1.2 <th1< td=""><td>19</td><td>12/16/97 =</td><td>Internet</td><td>-</td><td>93</td><td>87 N</td><td>PDES</td><td>1998 no</td><td>7,28</td><td>2</td><td>621303</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></th1<></th1.2<>	19	12/16/97 =	Internet	-	93	87 N	PDES	1998 no	7,28	2	621303			-		
(1) (1) <th(1)< th=""> <th(1)< th=""> <th(1)< th=""></th(1)<></th(1)<></th(1)<>	19	2/3/96/2	E C	0.29	12	78 N	PDES	1997 no	6.63	1.2	10125		_		200 (18X6n II) 2 DUI I LEO, FUGLI 7 1, ANN 10444	
B 17(6/B7 storm 1.21 23 154 No 7.34 1.6 0.25 164 NPDES 1897 100 2.03 20 42 NPDES 1897 100 6.03 NPDES 1897 100 6.04 NPDES 1896 100 6.44 NPDES 1896 100 6.44 NPDES 1896 100 6.44 NPDES 1896 100 7.41 NPDES 1996 100 7.41 NPDES 1996 100 7.41 NPDES 1996 100 7.62 NPDES 1996 100 7.61 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	i lag	12/4/96 \$	ШQ	0.82	7.5	1	PDES	1997 no	6.57		00130		+			
(B) 3/5/37 form 0.39 20 42 NPDES 1987 Inc. 6.0.8 NPDES 1988 Inc. 9.07 NPDES 1988 Inc. 9.07 NPDES 1988 Inc. 13 12 12.8 25 NPDES 1988 Inc. 9.07 NPDES 1988 Inc. 13 13 17.80 8.44 NPDES 1988 Inc. 13 13 NPDES 1988 Inc. 13 13 NPDES 1988 Inc. 7.88 13 NNDE 1988 Inc. 7.88 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 <th14< th=""> <th14< th=""> 14</th14<></th14<>	19	1/16/97 15	Ē	1.21	23	154 N	POES	1997 no	7.34	1.8	10128		4		4) www.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com	
6 6(3)37 storm 0.25 16 76 Noncession 9.07 Noncession 9.07 Noncession 13 13 17 10.0 23 NPDES 1936 10.0 8.44 Noncession 9.07 Noncession 13 13 87 NPDES 1936 10.0 8.44 Noncession 13 13 87 NPDES 1936 10.0 8.44 NPDES 1936 10.0 8.44 NPDES 1936 10.0 1.45 NPDES 1936 10.0 1.46 NPDES 1938 10.0 1.46 NPDES 1938 10.0 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.40 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46 <	19	3/5/97 5	E	0.39	20	42 N	PDES	1997 no	8.08		200255					
(b) 1028/87 storm 0.47 10.8 28 NPDES 1986 Ico 7.41 MMMOS 1986 Ico 7.41 MMMOS 1986 Ico 7.41 MMMOS 10.2 10.3 17 10.3 17 17.86 7.61 <th7< td=""><td>19</td><td>6/3/97 3</td><td>mol</td><td>0.25</td><td>16</td><td>76 N</td><td>PDES</td><td>1998 no</td><td>9.07</td><td></td><td>0.125</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>13</td></th7<>	19	6/3/97 3	mol	0.25	16	76 N	PDES	1998 no	9.07		0.125		-	-	13	
(E) 12/15/67 storm (1) 33 67 NPDES 1996 fro 7.41 March 200 7.41 March 200 7.41 March 200 7.41 March 200		10/28/87 15	torm	0.47	10.8	26 N	PDES	1998 no	8.44		10201		-	_		
3/1/88 storm 0.36 63 6 NPDES 1936 No 7.68 10173 0000 NMM NMM <t< td=""><td>19</td><td>12/15/87 a</td><td>E E</td><td>1</td><td>33</td><td>87 N</td><td>PDES</td><td>1998 no</td><td>7.81</td><td>2003 H TO</td><td>1051251</td><td>_</td><td>, ,</td><td></td><td>8</td></t<>	19	12/15/87 a	E E	1	33	87 N	PDES	1998 no	7.81	2003 H TO	1051251	_	, ,		8	
B 3/8/36 storm 0.86 27 132 NPDES 1986 no 7.62 27.27 Mol 200 Mol 100 Mol	glø	3/1/68/3	tom T	0.95	8	N O	PDES	1998 no	7.88		0.125	0.6000			Milerin Value and a soon all the same a link	
Column Column <thcolumn< <="" td=""><td>11 9</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.86</td><td>5</td><td>NCEL</td><td>DDFS</td><td>1998 no</td><td>7.62</td><td></td><td>0.11</td><td>0.611-5-10</td><td></td><td></td><td>and concerning sumption case of the sample contraction of the second sec</td></thcolumn<>	11 9			0.86	5	NCEL	DDFS	1998 no	7.62		0.11	0.611-5-10			and concerning sumption case of the sample contraction of the second sec	
4.12368 0.16 20 244 1/306 <th 306<<="" td=""><td>9 </td><td>DRADAS</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>1000</td><td>7 90</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>9 </td> <td>DRADAS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1000</td> <td>7 90</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	9	DRADAS			5			1000	7 90						
B 624408 storm 0.30 11 0/INUCO 1330/INU 0.50 11 0/INUCO 1300 130	9	4/23/98 4	mol	0.46		284 N	PUES	1990 00	20.0		A REFER	0 13	0.06 200		6	
	gi	5/24/98 3	Elo	0.58	F	2 20			10.0 A 26		新公死 。	STATE LED	TO A COL		130	
		ĺ														

Ì 1

AR 022492

ß

Page 4

· · · ·	

,

)	1								()							ſ
Gab sa	mple data only	storm ch	aracteris:	lics							ELD.	duine o	- analyti	E011CE	ntration	1 ^{Bu}		T
	•	storm		depth,	qur,	dryant,			punou			H	Ŀ.	=	י די		-	
outfall	POS ID	date	avent	ц.	Ĕ	1 E	Propose	reportic	elce?	E	EOE	8	Ä				COUNTRALS	T
£γ	EY 091494	9/13/94	storm	0.15	6	118 1	VPDES ·	1985 n	_	8.93 	2.2	-		-	_	-		Τ
Eک ا	EV 101394	10/13/94	starm	0.32	14	4801	VPDES	1095 n	0	9.98	2.1		_	_				Τ
ĒΥ	EY 030995	3/8/95	storm	2,16	114		VPDES	1995 n	•	6.6 IF	BIORX		_	-				I
EY	EY 060495	6/4/95	storm	0.7	28	-	VPDES	1895 G	0	5.5	6.5	_	_	-				Τ
Ę√	EY 072695	7/26/85	storm	0.41	36	Ê	VPDES	1996 n	0	5.8			_	-		-		T
ĒΥ	EY 101885	10/15/95	storm	0.35	12	4	UPDES	1996	0	6.5 8	10,55			-				T
EY	EY 021796 GRAB	2/17/96	storm	1.29	12		VPDES	1996 0	0	7.76	300.05		_	1	_	-		Т
EY	EY 042296 GRAB	4/22/98	starm	2.83	8		VPDES	1996 0	0	7.19 6	3000				_		•	٦
EY	EY 052296 GRAB	5/21/96	storm	0.31	30		StipAg	1996 n		3.06	Ļ			_	_			1
EY	EY 062396 GRAB	6/23/96	storm	0.46	ã	ľ	SthAg	1996 n	0	a. 15 🕅	Lio Ha	_		_				Ī
EV	EY 070396 GRAB	98/6/2	slarm	0.23	12		IPDES	1987 n	•	5.28	504K							1
۳ ۲	EY 102196 GRAB	10/21/96	storm	0.68	4.1	64	IPDES	1897 n	Ģ	5.8	660 O E				_	-		٦
ž	EY 021197 GRAB	2/11/87	storm	0.48	18	206 1	VPDES	1997 n	0	5.03	6.1		-					
<u>ک</u>	EY 030597 GRAB	315/97	storm	0.39	20	421	IPDES	1997 n	0	2 11 B	10282							1
۲ ۲	EY 060397 GRAB	16/5/97	storm	0.26	16	761	VPDES	1998 In	0	5.54 10	50132							T
EV EV	EY 110697 GRAB	11/6/97	storm	0.16	4.4	721	IPDES	1998 n	0	3.28 0								
<u>ک</u>	EY 012998 GRAB	1/28/98	storm	0.2	14	1071	IPDES	1898 n		3.19	1000							
ĒY	EY 052598 GRAB	5/24/98	storm	0.58	Ξ	1 <u>7</u> 8	(PDES	1998 n		-			0.2 80	여자의	0.18	_		
 ≿	TY 090894	8/8/94	mote	0.69	22	931	IPDES	1895 n		7.91	3.0		┝	\vdash				
}	TY 101994	10/19/94	storm	0.2	32	1201	IPDES	1995.0		3.52	1:1	-						
×	TY 030495	3/4/95	starm	0.18	24		1PDES	1685 0	-	6.9	5.7			-		┢		Π
 ≿	TY 060495	6/1/95	storm	0.7	28	ŕ	UPDES	1995 n		5.5	7.6							
×	TY 081795	8/16/95	storm	1.34	5		IPDES	1996 n		6.8	2.3							
Ϋ́	TY 090595	9/5/95	storm			4	(PDES	1696 n	0		1.6							Τ
×	TY 101695-1	10/15/85	atom	0.35	12		(PDES	1996 n	0	8.7	19	_		_	_	_		-
17	TY 032296 GRAB	3/22/96	storm	0.21		3	3tipAg	1996 n	•	6.9	3.9		_	-	-			1
≿	TY 041696 GRAB	4/15/96	storm	0.49	15		VPDES	1998 n	0	8	3.7			-				Τ
۲ ۲	TY 042298 GRAB	4/22/96	storm	2.83	10	4	(PDES	1996 A		1.31	2							٦
7	TY 070396 GRAB	7/3/86	starm	0.23	12	-	(PDES	1897 n	-	3.15 15	*			_				T
₹	TY 071798 grab	7/17/96	storn'	0.27	3	~	SlipAg	1997 n	-	5	6.1	 .		+			و کو کو کار اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور ا	T
₹	TY 080286 GRAB	8/2/96	storm	ē	27	325 5	StipAg	1997 1	0		9.	•	-	-	-	-	والمنافع فروان والمحاور والمحاور المحاور المحاور المحاوية والمحاوم والمحاور و	Т
۲ ۲	TY 100496 GRAB	10/4/96	Eot	0.59	8.1	181	(PDES	1997 n		. 19	4	1.3	-		-	┥	an property and a second se	T
≿	TY 021197 GRAB	2/11/97	storm	0.48	8	2051	(PDES	1997 п		R.	5.1		-	┥	-			
₹	TY 030597 GRAB	3/5/97	storm'	0.39	. 30	424	PDES	1997 n	~	5.98	.			-		1 2	OG result not tepresentative, laboratory errot, see letter of may 5, 1997	a
×	TY 060397 GRAB	19/E/B	atorm	0.26	16	78 h	POES	1998 n	•	107	1.4			-				
 ≿	TY 111697 GRAB	11/16/97	storn	0.47	12.6	222 h	PDES	1998 0	•	1.07 M	2011	_						Ĩ
≻	TY 012998 GRAB	1/29/98	storm	0,2	2	107	IPDES	1998 n	0	131	-					E	atching composite not representative, not reported	
 }⊥	TY 030998 GRAB	96/8/2	storm	0.86	27	132 h	IPDES	1998 n	9	1.03	1003	1.2	1.41 0	1 60.	.32	<u>6</u>	KTRA GRAB (HAS MAKEUP COMP FOR 98QW)	
2	TY 061098 GRAB	6/10/98	storm	0.28	₽	288 N	PDES	1998 0		-		1.2	1.05 MO	5	.03			
			T	T	┢	+-		İ		╞				┝		${}$		1
TESHES.			T		┢	+	14	data sol	nckudes	224 ra	nfell event:	s that me	ol "storm	criteria	ļ			
			l	Γ	┢	╞	74	data set	Includes	8	nfall events	s that dic	inal mee	t storm	- criteria	-		
			F		┝			-		-			-	-				
1	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER O			1														1

Paga S

(

(

		comments																																																		
mall		cals							SMART	-	S	250	1500	1100	QQ	ø	0%		18	42	1ROO	313			1402	-			88	2180	935	2	•	9%0		26	1	130	€	-	2	50%	H	19	20	1600	395	28	-	5%		
of ration.		0 Fe	0			1000		н 13. К.		-		2.48	3.30	3,00	2,19	0	%0		F	0.58	1 2 2	0.56	89.0		2	\$		0	-		-					8	0.08	0.27	0.13	0.05	0	50%		0			Η	Η			┞	
		H-D M							STELLER IN	┤	-	0.05	0.62	0.10	0.04	~	%62		ŀ	0.18	2 4	24		2		\$	-	ö						_	╞	8	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.03	4	87%	H	0		H					┞	
- and -		DX 19				The second			Efficience	-	-	2.77	3.31	3.07	2.52	0	%0		F			112		2		5		0	_					_	┢	8	0.13	0:30	0.19	0.08	Ð	50%		à	-		-		┢		┢	
		E E	1.10		_				11111		35	2.3	8.0	4.6	1.8		4%	┢	ę			2 4	2	5	+	21%		8	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.1	80	100 %	t	25	0.3	0.5	0.5	0,1	18	76%	ŀ	18	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.1	÷	%69	ł	
	5	g	11311	();					1. 1. 1. 1. V.		5	2.8	10,6	3.6	1.6	2	12%	ł	F	:		0 C	vie	ŝ		47%		8	1.6	3.8	2.5	0.5	0	38.4	t	19	0.5	3.5	1.2	0.5	9	23%	ſ	19	0.5	3.1	23	0.5	F	58%	T	1
)	ע הב	1999					1	Hall of the	_	23	6.9	7.8	7.1	6.5	0	%0		ţ				3	6.1	•	0%		8	6.8	7.3	7.1	6.7	0	9,0	┞	22	7.3	1.7	7.4	7.2	0	% 0		10	11	7.8	7.8	114	0	1%0	╎	1
	+	nud 100	1 Milit					24			count	nelbe	9501	75th	26th	acted	tacted					500	5	2 5th		tacted		count	nedlan	95th	76th	26th	lected	tected	T	COUNT	nepeo	86th	750	26th	tected	lacted	T	count	nedan	95th	7501	25th	tected	tacted	T	
-	┦	gro portidels						1:021	0.00	_		E	-	+	-	Inon-da	00-000			-		+			Nnon-de	ep-uouy		╞				Ľ	ep-uou#	ep-uouy	-					-	finen-de	hon de	╞	╞	Ē	╀	╀	╞	fron-de	p uou 7		
	_		14 1 1 2						1	_	╞	12		╞	╞	F		+	+	-	(X)		_	_	-				ek)		-	╞		ľ	1	+	1		-		Ļ	-	$\frac{1}{1}$	╞	1		$\frac{1}{1}$	╞	┼	ļ		-
		sound							م میں ترجمہ ا			nhs Cre									Inos Cre				_				Ines Cre					ŀ			Ione Cr						ļ	1	in a Cr			ļ		ļ	╡	ļ
		dryant, hrs	MULTER			1.					SDE4	DAR MO								SOSI	(Des Mo							<u>SD82</u>	(Des Mo							aner	No - U							ener.	10.00							
		dur,									Ē	Ĺ	T a	Ī	Ì	L	Ì	1	┧								ŀ		Ţ	Ţ			-		-				+	+	-	-	-		+	+	-	$\frac{1}{1}$		+	+	-
	tics	depth, In.			2 - 4		98) the	new perm	(00)	915). -	nere hav	1997.	amants (hods from																																				-	-	
	ractoria	tuent		·			or June	3 under r	SDS2	014), D (alions) 11	nce July	a require	und men																																				\downarrow		
	iorm chi	storm					; oun() p	sample	irement	10), B (C	pump st	SDN2 9	d analys	nd chan														ĺ																								
Ô	tipre data only 51	Li SUG			The second and a second s	ote:	the current reporting perio-	outfalls have not yet been	ampling and reporting requ	08), SDS4 (009), SDW3 (C	Iso of several BMPs (IWS		A NEDES name droppe	aw No cuo pumu contra -	a to to You NWTPH-DX).																																					
-	Grab su	1				Pieze n	1. As of	following	Annual 6	SDN3 (0	2. Becal	heen no			Tot I A			_																																		

Page G

· ., ·

. ج

VIII -

Д, т

. .

		commente																																																	
	ng/l	cals	8	11	2600	1175	ş		13%		9	4	27	17	3	0	7.0		6	120	1 Date		214		20		5	-	1212	0007		81		5	Ī	-	334	12		<u> </u>	1401		ac		2			<u>9</u>	2	5	-
	ntration,	н. Б.	0								0								6	<u> </u> - '	$\frac{1}{1}$	+		+		┨	-	7	1	╉		-	-	┦	-	0		78.0	50	c	780	;		•	0.69	8.0	0.87	0.58	.	8	
	a concel	H-D N	0	-		_				┞	0			-		-		╎	ſ	2	╀	╀	╀	+		╉	-	╉	+	┥	┥	-	-	┨	-	•	5	3 40	300	3	EAL S	8	-		8	0.53	80.0	0.0	4	50%	
	le analyt	DX II	0		_			-		╞	6			-			-	┢	c	*	$\frac{1}{1}$	╎	╎	-		┨	-	╸					0	1	-	-	0.04	100		3	Ż	5	-		8.0	1.27	0.69	0.65	•	%0	
	th samp	TPH 1	-	0.5	4.8	0.6	0.2	5	71%	┢╴	6	0.1	0.5	6.0	i	e	100%	t	ľ	2	5	5		5	2702	5		2	2,8	9	-	0.5	5	25%		•	5			3	1		-	97	2	6.5	3.	0.5	2	19%	
	5	00	8	0.6	7.3	1.6	0.5	4	50%	ľ	6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	•	100%		ď	0			200		7355	820		=	2.8	18.5	1.1	.	0	30	ľ	9	3	0.0		5	19201	% <u>3</u>	ľ	F	1.8	2.70	3.3	0.5	9	35%	
		4	8	7.1	7.4	5.7	6.7	ö	%0	┟	-	8.8	11	102	6 7	5	2	5	a			5		8.9	-	80	-	2	8.9	1.7	7	6.5	0	% 0		Ŧ	6.2			0,0		\$	-	56	6.5	7.8	7.1	5,5	a	160	Η
		ound	Connt	median	95th	76th	25th	elected	etected		Colling	median	95th	7614	25.1				141100	TUNOD	uelbom	95th	75th	25th	atected	latected		Count	median	05th	76lh	26th	detected	fatected		count	median	8511	100/	1197	19185190	detected		count	median	96th	75th	25th	detected	detected	
		0						#non-4	hon.		t	┢		╋	+											%non-					-	-	#uou#	-uou%						•		-uou%			Ŀ,				-nont	-uou%	
				Sos Creek								1	- Junnin and								Inos Creek)					-			reek)								reek)								per a stormdra	d to IWS 1997					
•		dryant		(De- Uni			_														(Des Mo							SDN1	(Miller C							SDN1u	(Mitter C							SDN2	(No lon)	re-route					
		qur		Ţ		Ţ		Ţ	T	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ		┦			-	-					-											_			_	_				-				-			
		depth	≝					-																								-	-	Ļ					-		_							-			
															-												-				Ļ			_											-		-	ļ			-
		atorm	g																																																
Ô		npre data only	DI SO4																																																
		Drab sa	outfall																																																

a

Page 7

(

(

11

		comments																																
lon, mg/l		Focals	19]	14	1660	95	3	4	21%		12	9	198	9	F	2	42%		ō								0							-
contrat		÷ g	0							Γ	5	D.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	2	\$00%		F	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	ō	0%		2	1.18	1.31	1.25	1.10	0	%0	
lyte cor	ſ	TPH-D	0							Ī	5	0.03	0,07	0.03	0.03	Ŧ	80%	ſ	Ŧ	6.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		100%		2	0.08	60'0	0.07	0.04	F	50%	
ple and		żă	ō								ŝ	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.08	*	80%		-	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0	%0		7	1.23	1.39	1.32	1.14	0	740	
mes der		E E	20	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.1	19	92%		12	0.1	0,1	0,1	0,1	12	100%	-	0						-		6	_		-				-
		FOG	20	0.8	4.9	2.0	0.6	6	45%		۷	0.5	1.5	9.0	0,5	2	71%		17	0.5	4.6	1.9	0.5	10	59%		19	1.8	8.7	3.9	1.4	8	11%	
1		ų	81	7.2	7.6	7.3	8.7	ō	%0		12	7.8	8,7	8.1	7.2	0	1%0	•	171	6.2	7.3	6.6	5.8	0	¥0	-	8	6.5	7.4	6.9	. .	0	0 <u>%</u>	
ſ		ground deice?	count	median	85th.	75th	26th	detected	detected		count	median	96th	75th	25th	detected	detected		count	median	95th	75th	25th	i stacted	istacted		count	nelben	956h	78th	25th	etected	stected	
		repart						#101#	-uou%						_	#uou#	Ynon-							-non#	Ynon-c			-				p-uou#	p-uou%	
		purpose		ok) (<u>(</u>								alac)								(lac)						
		hrs hrs	SDN3	Miller Cre							TNUS	Miller Cre					~		≻	Ctty of Sei												-		
		ing a													İ									1	-1	1		Ħ	+	1				
tics	denth	hepui, In.																								Ţ							1	
racteris	ſ	event										1	1			1							1	┤			+	1	1		+	┥		1
tiorm cha		date																					1				1	1					+	
spie data only		di soq																																
	5	utfall								1	Ť		Ť	Ť	1	1						+	†		Ť	╋		\dagger	╉	+	+	+	+	

Page 8

E

μ

1

đ

· · · ·

Appendix C Field Quality Control Data

APPENDIX C FIELD QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Table C1 presents data for field quality control samples. These data demonstrate the adequacy and level of confidence of the Port's sampling protocols and results. Because the majority of field blank data were near or below analyte detection limits, the results confirm that little or no contamination occurred in the automatic sampling process. Furthermore, duplicate samples collected by the automatic samplers usually displayed little relative percent difference (RPD) between a particular sample and its duplicate sample. The majority of duplicate analytes had an RPD of less than 20 percent. Only a limited number of cases exhibited more than the 20 percent RPD criterion commonly used to discern significant differences. Such differences would account for the variability of the composition of the discharge and the precision of the sampling technique.





C-1

976079.23



•	Base			Sum Sum Changes tool foor	mary of S SUBJEC	I ABI DS/IWS I TTO CC TTO CC	Drat Drat DRRECTIO	iasin Areas	in 1994 an FINEMEN' Fotal pe each	d 1998 r ••• srcent of Creek		urre	nt (19 sercent of sDS	(86)	total	percent o	
Drainage Basin	acres) (a	nperv. Isores) (2	i otal scres)	(See below)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)		perv İm	2 Sec	la I	er ¹	nperv	total	perv	mperv	total
Miller Creek SDS SDN-1 tabove monitoring b	3.30	10.54	13.84	13	3.29	10.21	13.50		3%	16%	8%	0.6%	2.4%	1.4%			
SDN-1 (POS below mon. pt	0.42	5.00	5,42	I	0.42	5,00	5.42		0.4%	8%	3%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%			
SDN-1 (offsite below mon.	33.88	12.06	45.94	:	33.88	12.06	45.94		33%	19%	%97	0.5%	20.0	20.4	% 0	%0	0%
SDN-2	13,11	33.56	46,67	1,8	0.00	0.00	0.00		%0	0%0 74%	0%D	%0%	%0.0 93%	2.3%	12%	10%	11%
-NOS	49.80 27.08	20.11 3.14	69.91 30.22	1 5	42.86 22.56	7,65	30.21		22%	12%	18%	4.2%	1.8%	3.1%	%1	3%	5%
				-	•												
Des Moines Creek SUS ene J	55 JA 1	1 21 22	78.36	2.391217	51.74	97.39	149.13		12%	27%	19%	9.7%	22.7%	15.5%			
	1.48	10.98	12.46	2	1.47	9.23	10.70		0.3%	2.5%	1%	0.3%	2.2%	1,1%			
SDS-2	12.18	1.03	13.21	1	12.18	1.03	13.21		3%	0.3%	2%	2.3%	0.2%	1.4%	1901	/800	7 40/
505-3 505-3	259.11 1	86.47 4	145.58	4,14,15	238.05	224.28	462.33		55%	62%	58%	44.5% 2.0%	52.4%	48.0%	1207 V	%09 7%2	
- SDS-4	38.56	19.39	57.95	10,11	42,63	20.79	63.42		10%	929 	2 2 2	8.0% 790 r	2 n 4	0.0.0	24	2	
W-3	14.30	10.55	24.85	14	7.04	6.98	14.02		2%2	1.8%	%7	040°	74E U	5 1 %			·
8	53.81	1,42	55.23	14	48,22	1,35	49.57 33 86		%11 %1	0.4%	4%	5.7%	%2.0	3.5%			
۵	34.02	0.35	34.37	14,15,16	30.00	3.20		_]	2	2 2 2							
Other SDS						82.0	0.78										
taxi Yard	0,00	9.79	2.0	ł		1 20	1 48										
Engineering Yard	0.28	1.20	1,40	ł	07.0	1,40							•				
IWS Drimery draigage	11.75 2	46.11 2	57.86	4,5,6,7,12,13,1	6,30	285.71	292.01	Detall of I	Drainage B	asin Cha	nges				hange in		
North Snowmelt PS	I	1	1	80	6.39	0,24	6.63	(boundary	and/or per	cant impe	rvious)				unage Area		Acres
Central Snowmelt PS	ł	;	1	6	0.05	0.70	0.75	Action						Year	From;	INIC.	10 70
South Snowmelt PS	:	1	ł	10	0.00	0.34	0.34	1. SWPPF	o No. 1 (ST	(7079-A)	North Ca	rgo Area (1997		SAVI	4 40
North Cargo Area PS	ł	J	1		6.46	33,33	39.79 40.75	2. SWPPF	7 NO, Z: Cal	rgo Area	h (at SUC North Sa	z-23) Aliha Pun	in Staff	1995	SDE-4	SMI	6.63
North Satellite PS	1	1	1	ი ¹	0.31	13.44	13./3	A SMUDE		te CR (at	5DS2-17/	N N	and d	1995	SDS-3	IWG	0.27
WS-510 Diversion	0.42	32.67	33.29		0.44	20.01		SWPPF	o No. 5: Sol	uth Satell	te Apron	at SDS1-	100)	1997	SDS-1	IWS	1.75
								6. SWPFF	a No. 6: Ga	te B5 (Inc	Inded In S	WPPP N	o. 7.	1995	SDS-1	IWS	0.25
	127.69	84.41	212.00	ł	103.01	61,96	164.97	7.						1996	SMI	SMI	16.82
MINEL LIEEN 200	21%	19%	20%		19%	14%	17%	B. STIA-97	759; North (Snowmelt	Pump SI	allon	: i	1998	SDN-2	SMI	6.03
% of lota	21%	12%	16%		19%	8%	12%	9. STIA-97	759: Centra	il (Fireslat	lon) Snov	Imelt Pun	ip Stati	1998		SWI	C/.0
Dee Molnes Creek SDS	468.70	153.31	322.01	I	431.99	364.25	796.24	10. STIA-	9759: South	Snowme	dund li	Ialion		0881			5 80 1
Other SDS	0.28	1.98	2.26	1	0.28	1.98	2.26	111. STIA-	9602: Runw	197 34H U	arety FIII ar Event	ton.		8001	SDF-4	IMS	4.76
total airfield	387.66	262.67 E	550.33		346.10	2/9./0	023.80	-HIIC '71	1. 201 1 au		ja capan aneton	172		1997	SDN-1	IWS	0.33
% of SDS	65%	60%	63%		60. Jean	%C0	0/CO	TA STIA.6	L. FBU-CX 4 0721- Third	Runwav	noisioi 168-341	nterconne	ctina T	1998	W3.B.D	SDS-3	17.02
total SDS	596.57 4	139./U#	7997		02.000 05.00	420.13 55%	12% 12%	15. STIA-9	9420: Interc	connecting	Taxiwav	s 16R-34		1994	o change .		0.00
% DI 10(3	0/05	78 QR 3	15		19.93	349.81	369.74	16. STIA-9	9639: Snow	Equipme	nt Storag	e Shelter		1996	o change		0.00
IWS % of total	3%	39%	22%		4%	45%	28%	17. STIA-5	777: D-Ga	le flush g	utter rerol	ile (at SD	53-33,	1994	SDE-4	IWS	5.26
Total drainage	608.74 7	18.69 #	****		555.21	778,00	#######										

1

NOTE: See corresponding figures that follow.
(IPLE DATA (MG/I)
QC SA
FIELD
TABLE C1

			15			-				total				
ple ID	event	type	FOG	(IR)	Fecals	TSS	Turb	BOD5	NH3	glycols	Surf	IJ	đ	Zn
hlanke						 -			A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A S	Sensor Sector Sector	0.4445255		Acrighter and	
ANK	10/28/9	boot blank		×0.25	13	0.B_	0.21	V 2	30,010		\$0.02F	0.006	5% 0 001	0.016
	12/15/9	egot blank	3.7	0.25	EV.12	<u>.</u>	0.46	H.	0.010		10.025	0.005	0.001	0.013
	4/23/9	bapt blank	11.23	@025	2	0.25	0,1			A DUNIER A		0.005	00.0	0.00
-						-								
site sample	S T T T		States a		120								1	
RAB UUF	F/G1/71	<u> dran</u>	N. SALANA											
BAB	TO A DESCRIPTION	grab	1.5	-025	50								-	
						đ	10	4.74	0.218		0.090	0.014	0.013	0.255
	אנגמוש	- AUTIN							1.0		0 UR	0010	0.017	0.221
		comp				100	100 A	1000						
	12/15/9	Tomo Tomo		Γ		13	26	N. C.			20:02	0.010	0.00	0.044
		comp				11	26	Wit-	0.016		0,03	0.01	0.00	0.040
A SERIES			1.000		State Cale of the				 					
UPE	4/23/9	tomp				25				·		700		
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF		comp.		27.551 E.S.		26	12	12,8				0.026	0.00	0.40
NUZUU AND					A STATEMENT				5				0 015	0.06
UPE	6/10/9/	romp					đ	"						
		comp				34	71	9,6				0.056	0,00	
NA SARAR BA	A CONTRACTOR OF THE		A Barris	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100000 00000 0000000000000000000000000	NOLL STREET	-0. ROF	C222 Carried						

3<u>5</u>7

•. 5] . 4 55

AR 022501

976079.23

ſ

November 1998

Appendix D BMP Tables and Figures

API DIX D

TABLE D1

I

Page 1 of 3

OPERATIONAL AND SOURCE CONTROL BMP SUMMARY^(a)

ACTIVITY	BMP	TYPE	STATUS	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION
Aircraft servicing	Restrict to IWS areas or drains blocked	Operational	Ongoing	AFLOB
	Store glycol in IWS areas	Operational	Ongoing	HSES/AFLOB
	Confine parking of lavatory waste trucks to IWS	Operational	Ongoing	HSES/AFLOB
	Identify and connect problem SDS areas to IWS	Operational	Ongoing	AV/PMG
	Restrictions for fueling on taxiway Alpha	Operational	Ongoing	AFLOB
	Monitor certain SDS outfalls during deicing per NPDES	Operational	Ongoing	HSES
	permit		•	
AMA anti-	Minimize chemical use ^{la}	Operational	Ongoing	AFLOB
icing/deicing	Use CMA/sand mixture for roadways.	Operational	Ongoing	GALOB/Maintenance
Snow storage	Operate pump stations to divert snowmelt to IWS.	Operational	Ongoing	GALOB/Maintenance
Spill control	Implement Spill Plan	Operational	In effect	AV/PMG
Construction sites	Require erosion and sediment control BMPs	Source control	Ongoing	PMG
education/training	Restrict equipment servicing	Source control	Ongoing	AFLOB
	Encourage contractors to use secondary	Source control	Ongoing	PMG
	containment		•	
	Concrete cutting and washout			PMG
	Provide contractor/inspector training	Operational	Ongoing	HSES
Erosion of bare	Implement soil erosion and control BMPs in contractor	Source control	Ongoing	PMG/Maintenance
ground surfaces in	staging areas			,
non-construction	Emphasize and enforce contractor responsibility for BMPs	Source control	In effect	PMG
areas	- u			
	contractor staging areas	Source control	In effect	PMG/Maintenance
	Control erosion from temporary soil stockpiles			
Vehicle washing	Prohibit vehicle washing in SDS areas	Source control	Ongoing	PMG/HSES
and maintenance	Place signs in key locations	Operational	. In effect	Maintenance
	Clean sumps in Taxi Yard annually	Source control	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Sweep Taxi Yard and control litter	Source control	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Maintain catch basin inserts	Source control	Ongoing	Maintenance

November 1998

(...

þ

÷...

976079.23

Ċ

ב קיר TABLE D1 AFFEI

Page 2 of 3

OPERATIONAL AND SOURCE CONTROL BMP SUMMARY^(a)

				RESPONSIBLE
ACTIVITY	BMP	ТҮРЕ	STATUS	ORGANIZATION
Landscape	Strive to use environmentally benign chemicals	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
management	Follow proper cleaning/disposal procedures	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
	Apply during dry periods	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
	Restrict use near waterways	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
	Incorporate BMPs in contractor specifications	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
	Implement IPM Plan	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
_	Give priority to biological methods of pest management	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
*	Apply fertilizer	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Conduct regular weeding and pruning	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Follow Ecology guidelines for herbicide application	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenançe
•—	Apply herbicides/pesticides according to instructions	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Dethatch	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Trim lyy-covered areas	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Fertilize shrubs and trees by hand	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Do not use beauty bark in drainages	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Maintain stream corridors	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Prohibit Roundup use within 50 feet of a water body	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Do not apply pesticides or fertilizer on rainy days	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Avoid catch basin grates when applying fertilizer or	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance
	pesticides			
AOA maintenance	Sweep pavement frequently	Source control	 In effect 	Maintenance
	linspect catch basin sumps annually and clean as needed	Source control	Ongoing	Maintenance
	Store and dispose of sediments properly	Operational	In effect	Maintenance
	Construct secondary containment for used engine fluids	Operational	Ongoing	Maintenance

November 1998

(.

.....

AR 022504

Ċ

976079.23

(

Ì

Page 3 of 3

•

45

•

.

TABLE D1 OPERATIONAL AND SOURCE CONTROL BMP SUMMARY^(a)

AFFERMIN U

		_		• •															
RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	HSES	Maintenance	Maintenance Maintenance	Tenant Tenant	Tenant	l enant	Tenani	Tenant	Tenant	HSES	HSES	Tenant	HSES	HSES	AV/PMG	HKEK	Maintenance	AV/PMG	
STATUS	Ongoing	In effect	Ongoing Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Crocolog	Onaoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	In effect	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	
TYPE	Operational	Operational	Operational Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operations	Operations	Source control	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	Operational	
DWa	Inspect outfalls for evidence of illicit connections	Do not store liquids in westside vard	Engineering Yard: Place signs on surplus storage	Monitor and educate tenants	Encourace aircraft according to procedures Encourace drip pans beneath fueling trucks if leakage is	observed	Sweep around dumpsters	Store liquids in secondary containment	Do not store used riuids or riazarouus waste in OOS areas Do not maintain vehinles or equinment in SDS areas	During the maintain ventions of segments in the second sec	Permite tenant water collicition control plans	contrage tenant compliance with Port SWPPP	Require tertain Spirit control prairs		Conduct regular inspections	Conduct SDS nutfall monitoring	cian rotch basins (dimmining)	Control carding waterial solution control	
	ACTIVITY Inappropriate connections and	discharges Temporani storane	of surplus and used materials	Tenant activities in	SDS areas									Other Operational	BMPS				

þ

.

÷

976079.23

1

(

. مورد مورد

:

Notes:

(a) Excluding capital source control BMPs that have been completed. (b) It is anticipated that using an alternative chemical may require capital investment.

November 1998

(.

APPENDIX D

þ

Page 1 of 2

TABLE D2

SUMMARY OF COMPLETED BMPs

		STORM		
		DRAIN	DATE	COST
TYPE	BMP	SYSTEM	COMPLETED	(if readily available)
Source	Terminate glycol use for	All	12/95	
Control (SC)	ground deicing			
SC	Store Chemicals in IWS		12/95	-
	Area			
Treatment	Connect snow storage areas	SDE4	By 11/1/97	
	to IWS	(008),		-
		SDN2		
		(007)		
Treatment	Connect Port Maintenance	SDE4	8/96	
	Shop Yard to IWS	(002)		
Treatment	Connect Loading Dock	SDE4	10/95	\$25K
	Dumpster slot drain to	(002)		
	sanitary			
Treatment	Connect North Cargo Area	SDN2	6/97	\$188K
	(Area 114) to IWS via lift	(007)		
	station	0054	0/00	64016
Treatment	Connect Cargo Area 4 (Area	SDE4	8/96	\$13K
			10/05	
Treatment		SDE4	10/92	\$300K
	(Area 106/107) to IVVS		40/05	04016
SC	Seal SDS inlet near Gate C8	5053	12/95	STUK
		(005)	40/05	#4016
SC	Seal SDS inlet near Gate B5	5053	12/95	\$10K
			L 107	R4 4017
reatment	Connect SDS area between	SUST	5/97	\$149K
	the South Satellite and the	(003)		
	B Concourse to the two	CDC4	8/06	#001/
l reatment	Connect SUS area between	5051	0/90	acor
	the South Satellite and the	(003)		
	NVV Hangar to the IVVS	0054	44/05	
reatment	Connect Area 112/311	SUE4	11/95	-
	(D Concourse) to IVVS	(002)		
Treatment	Connect Area 314	5053	11/95	-
	(C Concourse) to IWS	(005)	7/05	
SC	Relocate Hazardous		//95	\$4K
	Materials sheds			<u> </u>





,

APPENDIX D

Page 2 of 2

TABLE D2

SUMMARY OF COMPLETED BMPs

TYPE	BMP	STORM DRAIN SYSTEM	DATE COMPLETED	COST (if roadily available)
Treatment	Connect Taxi Yard Wash Pad to sanitary sewer	TY (013)	7/95	\$30K
SC	Evaluate alternative chemicals for anti-icing and deicing	All	12/95	
SC	Store anti-icing chemicals in IWS areas	All	12/95	-
Treatment	Connect airfield maintenance sediment storage vard to IWS	SDW3 (010)	7/95	-
Treatment	Connect Federal Express loading dock area to IWS	SDN1 (006)	7/97	Tenant Project





November 1998

976079.23

C



Appendix D

Figures

(

AR 022508



976079.23

November 1998



FIGURE D2 GLYCOLS IN SDS1 DISCHARGES AFTER BMPS

٢

November 1998

976079.23



overnber 1998

FIGURE D3 CHANGES IN METALS AT SDS1

976079.23

AR 022511

(

Ć

· Г	T		8	528	TT	Π	Т	Т	Ť	-	·	T			7
	ł	พื	-0-	101	┼┼╴	┼┤	-	+		+	+	+	-	┨	┨
		F	_	<u>6</u>	┝╋		_			4	-		_		_
"		P		3 <0.01			_	•				-	_		
		Se		<0.000											
		Ī		0.028											
		Ha		<0.0001											
		Pb	0.0053	<0.001											
		cu	0.0161	0.0222											
	e, mg/l	c	,	<0.010											
	Analyt	5 C		<0.005											
		88		<0.002											
		AS		<0.003											
		g		<0.003											
Ì		otal lycols													
		H3		.020											
		20	•	- 0		NAM	1212111						- ii: ii:		
		0 0	4	3.4 <4.		URGUE	11-146	(1. c);							
			-		+		Media	1.010					THE REP		
	F		¶ ₽	3.0		UNED				1.11	1. 191, 10, 1				
·		tune A	ron-represntative comp	non-represnlative comp		NUMBER DISCON			Shipes Directories						A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF
	998 data	auent	storm	storm		DEBUGIO			COMP.				(TIMP)		11-22 - 22 - 22
	Imnlas: 1		IPDES	IPDES		Objection	No. Du				1 Lines		illowed ((compo)	Contraction in the local data
	noelte as		6/16/97 N	1/29/98		664 COTO	おため語い	in the second		14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	The Dist	CHERT CHERT	HIESDI	and and	
	The com			SD84		Ĕ						10			ji ji
e jî	for surgering		UI SUL	SUSA 012998											

.

AppendixD non-rep comps

Ċ

11/17/98



976079.23

AR 022513

November 1998



