



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

AIRPORT COMMUNITIES COALITION,
Appellant,

PCHB No. 01-160

v.

DECLARATION OF PAUL FENDT IN
SUPPORT OF PORT'S OPPOSITION TO
ACC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, and THE
PORT OF SEATTLE,

Respondents.

PAUL FENDT declares as follows:

1. I am over the age of 18, make this declaration based on personal knowledge, and am competent to testify to the facts stated herein.

Stormwater Management Experience

2. I graduated from the University of North Dakota with a degree in Geological Engineering in 1981. I was licensed as a Professional Engineer (Civil) by the State of Washington in January 1991 and the State of Florida in February 1990. I have been employed by Parametrix, Inc. for the past 11 years. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached to this declaration as Exhibit A.

DECLARATION OF PAUL FENDT IN SUPPORT OF PORT'S OPPOSITION TO
ACC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
PAGE 1

MARTEN BROWN INC.
421 S. CAPITOL WAY, SUITE 303
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 786-5057

1 BMPs appropriate to the site. A full menu of BMPs is described in stormwater manuals developed
2 by Ecology and local governments. The Port has obtained an NPDES permit for its stormwater
3 discharges, and has prepared a SWPPP as required by the permit.

4 8. The stormwater manual prepared by Ecology is referred to as the Stormwater
5 Management Manual for Western Washington (the "Ecology Manual") (Ecology 2001). King County
6 has also developed a stormwater manual which is referred to as the King County Surface Water
7 Design Manual. (King County 1998) Both of these manuals provide standards and guidance for
8 hydrologic modeling, stormwater management, and a menu of BMPs to control impacts from
9 development. The King County and Ecology Manuals were used as guidance for analyzing and
10 mitigating impacts from the MPU projects, as described in the Comprehensive Stormwater
11 Management Plan (SMP)(Parametrix 2001).

14 MPU Stormwater Impacts

15 9. The MPU project will add a total of approximately 106, 6, and 128 acres of new
16 impervious surface to the Miller, Walker, and Des Moines Creek drainages, respectively. New
17 impervious surface will change the hydrology and stormwater runoff patterns of land draining from
18 Seattle-Tacoma International Airport (STIA). During rainstorms, increased volumes of stormwater
19 will drain to Miller, Walker and Des Moines Creeks that, if unmitigated, would cause peak flows in
20 the stream to increase. Stream flows in the summertime during periods of low rainfall will also be
21 reduced if left unmitigated.

22
23
24 10. The Port's NPDES permit requires the Port to develop appropriate facilities and
25 systems to capture, detain, treat and release stormwater generated at the MPU to address these
26 impacts. The water quality certification issued by Ecology for the MPU project adds additional
27

1 stormwater mitigation requirements. Following is a general description of the stormwater
2 management system developed by the Port to comply with Ecology's regulatory requirements.

3 11. Rain that falls on the third runway will run off from the new pavement across 75 feet
4 of gently sloping infield grass to newly constructed catch basins. The infield grassy areas are referred
5 to as "filter strips," an approved water quality BMP that removes particulates from stormwater
6 before it is collected in the aforementioned catch basins. Precipitation that falls directly on the filter
7 strips, along with some of the runoff from the impervious areas, infiltrates into the ground. Much of
8 this pervious area surrounding the third runway is new embankment material, which is several feet
9 thick and wide. The rainfall and runoff that infiltrates into the new embankment has been modeled, as
10 described in the Low Streamflow Analysis, to determine the rate and volume at which this stormwater
11 moves through the embankment and flows to Miller and Walker Creeks (there is little new
12 embankment in Des Moines Creek basin). In Miller Creek, there is sufficient water infiltrated into the
13 new embankment to fully mitigate the low flow impacts of new impervious area.

14 12. Stormwater runoff from the runway that does not infiltrate into the ground or
15 embankment will be collected in catch basins that convey the stormwater to detention facilities
16 including ponds and vaults. Stormwater collected in the detention facilities will be slowly released at
17 carefully developed flow rates, as required by Ecology's and King County's continuous flow analysis
18 methods, to avoid peak flow impacts. As described below, detention times up to 89 days are
19 possible when the stormwater management facility is filled to the design level. In addition, some of
20 the stormwater collected in the vaults will be detained for a slightly longer period of time and slowly
21 released to Walker and Des Moines Creeks during the summer months when it is anticipated that the
22 MPU projects will periodically reduce low summer flows.

1 13. The purpose of mitigating high flow and low flow impacts is the same – to mimic pre-
2 development conditions, to maintain streamflows to protect aquatic habitat and aquatic organisms,
3 and to ensure that water quality standards will be met.

4 14. In developing the MPU stormwater management plan, it was necessary to determine
5 how the proposed development and its new impervious surfaces would affect volumes and flow rates
6 of stormwater and, correspondingly, flows in affected streams. Both high flow and low flow impacts
7 were calculated using state of the art computer modeling. The modeling process is described in detail
8 in paragraphs 8 - 24 of my declaration and the SMP and in the Low Flow Analysis attached thereto,
9 which was filed in opposition to ACC's motion for stay.

10 15. It is important to understand that the projected low flow impacts to be mitigated are
11 minimal. The Low Flow Analysis shows that the predicted change in water depth during low flow
12 conditions caused by MPU projects is 0.4 inches and 0.1 inches for Des Moines and Walker Creeks,
13 respectively. There is no predicted change to flow depth in Miller Creek.

14
15
16
17 **The Port's Stormwater Management Plan Controls for Peak Flow,
Low Flow, and Water Quality Impacts.**

18 16. Peak flow impacts resulting from new and existing impervious surface will be mitigated
19 by capturing all stormwater runoff and detaining it in 344.1 acre-feet of stormwater detention storage.
20 As described above, the detained peak flow stormwater will be released over time at prescribed rates
21 so as to avoid erosion, scouring and habitat damage associated with uncontrolled stormwater
22 discharges.

23
24 17. Low flow impacts from new impervious surfaces will be offset by three methods: (1)
25 seepage of infiltrated stormwater from the new third runway embankment (Miller and Walker
26 Creeks); (2) detention of stormwater in underground vaults and release of stored stormwater during

1 the summer low flow season (Des Moines and Walker Creeks); and (3) retirement of existing water
2 uses (Miller Creek). The paragraphs below describe the first and the second methods in greater detail.

3 18. The first method by which low flow impacts will be mitigated is the infiltration of
4 stormwater into the third runway embankment. The infiltrated stormwater will move through the
5 embankment relatively slowly, and some of it will emerge as seeps that will, in turn, flow into Walker
6 and Miller Creek. It is anticipated that the maximum flow of infiltrated stormwater will reach Miller
7 Creek in July, or approximately six to seven months after maximum precipitation. Because this
8 seepage will reduce the overall low flow impact of the MPU project on Walker Creek, and mitigate
9 these impacts altogether in Miller Creek, less mitigation water will be needed through releases from
10 other detention facilities.
11

12 19. The second method for offsetting low flow impacts is detention and release of
13 collected stormwater. Low flow impacts in Des Moines Creek and Walker not mitigated by seepage
14 from the embankment will be mitigated by retaining a small portion (32.0 acre-feet, or approximately
15 9% of the total collected volume of detained stormwater – 376.1 acre/feet) and releasing it to area
16 streams during low flow periods. Detained stormwater will be discharged continuously into the
17 affected streams during the normal low stream flow period for each of the streams. This slow release
18 of detained water will replicate the timing and amount of storm water base flow that came from the
19 soil before project construction. The amount of low flow releases has been determined based on site-
20 specific hydrologic modeling, which predicts the impact on area streams from the construction of the
21 MPU improvements.
22

23 20. Stormwater from the airport runways is treated using BMPs listed in the Ecology and
24 King County manuals. The primary components of the treatment system are filter strips and
25

1 bioswales. Filter strips allow stormwater runoff to sheet flow over large grassy areas. Flow velocity
2 is slowed by the grass, thereby enhancing the settling of particulates. The vegetation also traps
3 particles. Some stormwater infiltrates into the ground, further filtering the particles. Metals and
4 organic compounds are removed as these pollutants bind to the organic material in the soil. Bioswales
5 are grassy, flat-bottomed swales that receive runoff after it has been collected in a detention facility.
6 Although flow depths and path lengths are typically greater than for filter strips, the pollution
7 removal mechanisms are the same. Vaults and ponds also treat stormwater by allowing for additional
8 settling and removal of particulates.
9

10
11 21. In my opinion, the stormwater management system for STIA described above and in
12 the SMP and Low Streamflow Analysis reports will adequately mitigate the peak flow, low flow, and
13 water quality impacts of the proposed MPU projects. In addition, existing stormwater impacts from
14 built areas at STIA and surrounding developed areas recently acquired by the Port will be retrofit with
15 new stormwater management systems to mitigate existing stormwater impacts in the streams
16 surrounding STIA.
17

18 **Managing Low Flow Impacts is Part of Washington's Stormwater Management Regimes**

19 22. The Airport Communities Coalition (ACC) asserts that managing stormwater so as to
20 avoid low flow impacts is unusual and unprecedented. This is not consistent with my understanding
21 of Washington's stormwater regulatory requirements, nor is it consistent with my professional
22 experience.
23

24 23. Ecology's 2001 Stormwater Manual recognizes that creation of impervious surfaces
25 diminishes base flows, and that the objectives of stormwater management include mitigating this
26 impact. The Ecology Manual contains the following requirements and statements:
27

1 “Stormwater Controls for New Development and Redevelopment ... (must) seek to achieve
no net detrimental change in natural surface runoff and infiltration.” (Page 1-7);

2 “...changes in natural hydrology ... (cause) reduced stream flows and wetlands water
levels...” (Page 1-17);

3 “Projects shall employ On-site Stormwater Management BMPs to infiltrate, disperse, and
4 retain stormwater runoff onsite to the maximum extent feasible ... to reduce the hydrologic
5 disruption of developed sites.”(Page 2-25);

6 “Based upon gross level applications of continuous runoff modeling and assumptions
7 concerning minimum flows needed to maintain beneficial uses, watersheds must retain the
majority of their natural vegetation cover and soils, and developments must meet the Flow
8 Control Minimum Requirement of this chapter, in order to avoid significant natural resource
degradation in lowland streams.” (underline added) (Page 2-25);

9 “Stormwater treatment facilities shall be selected in accordance with the process identified in
Chapter 4 of Volume I” (Page 2-27)

10 Step 1 under “Select Flow Control BMPs and Facilities” in Chapter 4 of Volume I requires
that one should “determine whether you can infiltrate.” (Page 4-2)

11 It is clear from the Ecology Manual that maintaining natural hydrology, mitigating low flow impacts,
12 and utilizing infiltration are essential objectives of stormwater management and that low flow impacts
13 and mitigation should be considered when developing a stormwater management plan.

14 **Infiltration and Detention are the Preferred Methods of Controlling Stormwater Flows**

15 24. Infiltration is listed in Ecology’s Manual as the preferred stormwater flow control
16 method because it most closely resembles natural recharge conditions. When stormwater is infiltrated,
17 it is collected and allowed to move through the soil so it recharges groundwater and reduces the
18 potential for low flow impacts. Infiltration is not an appropriate stormwater management technique
19 in all areas, such as sites where the soils have poor infiltration characteristics or high water tables. In
20 these instances, especially locations where low flow reduction could cause adverse impacts, alternate
21 low flow mitigation is needed. One such alternative is the collection and detention of runoff, which is
22 then slowly released to avoid flow impacts. This is the alternative required by Ecology to mitigate
23 impacts – both high flow and low flow – in Walker and Des Moines Creek.
24
25
26
27

1 25. Infiltration (when feasible for the site as described above) and controlled release from
2 designed control structures are meant to accomplish exactly the same objective. Both are intended to
3 hold a large volume of stormwater for a period of time, and slowly release it to area surface water
4 bodies. When water is released through control structures, such as an orifice plate in a pipe, the water
5 is directly released to surface water. When water is "released" from a pond or vault via infiltration, it
6 leaks through the soil and gradually travels to a seep or surface water body, such as a stream.

8 26. Infiltration is not feasible in the Walker Creek and Des Moines Creek watersheds at
9 the locations where low flow mitigation is needed. This is due primarily to the poor infiltration
10 characteristics of the soil. Instead, this stormwater will be detained in vaults and ponds and then be
11 released to the streams at approximately the same time and in approximately the same amount that
12 the natural system would have provided water to the stream (natural hydrologic systems are very
13 complex and it is difficult to exactly mimic natural flow patterns). This delayed release is an
14 appropriate surrogate for infiltration systems, which also result in delayed release.
15
16

17 **Retention of Stormwater is a BMP of Stormwater Management**

18 27. In addition to flow controls described above, the Ecology Manual requires that BMPs
19 designed to reduce pollutant concentrations be applied to all new development and redevelopment.
20 Prolonged detention and infiltration are two of these treatment BMPs, but there are many more, and
21 several of these require lengthy detention of collected stormwater. Wetponds, wetvaults, and
22 constructed wetlands are all Ecology-approved BMPs that can be used for water quality mitigation
23 (the Port is not using these water quality BMPs because these techniques attract wildlife, which is
24 dangerous at an airport). Each of these techniques relies on a permanent pool of stored water to
25 provide stormwater treatment.
26
27

1 28. Water in a wetpond, for example, is displaced by new stormwater coming into the
2 wetpond, but a permanent pool of water is always left in the pond. The permanent pool of water in
3 the wetpond can only leave the pond by infiltration, evaporation, or transpiration, and this loss must
4 be continually replaced by additional stormwater to maintain the design pool depth. Wetponds,
5 wetvaults, and constructed wetlands have been allowed for stormwater management for several years
6 and many have been constructed. To my knowledge, a water right has not been required for this
7 commonly used stormwater management facility which permanently retains stormwater. In fact, to
8 my knowledge, a water right has never been required in Washington to manage stormwater.
9

10 **Even the Port's Peak Flow Plan Detains Stormwater for Extended Periods**

11 29. ACC asserts that storing stormwater for delayed release to mitigate low streamflow
12 impacts requires a prolonged detention period that is inconsistent with typical stormwater
13 management plans. However, several of the Port's peak flow stormwater facilities will detain
14 stormwater for more than 50 percent of the year, and can take up to three months to drain after a
15 design storm event.
16

17 30. To meet peak flow control requirements, several of these detention facilities have very
18 low prescribed flow release rates. This means that the ponds and vaults detain stormwater for much
19 of the year to avoid peak flow impacts. The following table shows that three proposed peak flow
20 ponds (one from each watershed) will be storing stormwater more than 62 percent of the time.
21
22 Contrary to popular perception, it rains on average about 13 percent of the time at Sea-Tac Airport
23 (source: Perrich 1992). That means about 50 percent or more of the time in an average year, the peak
24 flow detention facilities listed below will have stormwater stored for slow release beyond the duration
25
26
27

1 of the storm event. Thus, the proposed peak flow ponds or vaults store water for a significant
2 portion of the year.

Facility	Percent of Time with Stormwater in Storage
Miller Creek SDW1B Pond	73%
Walker Creek SDW2 Pond	66%
Des Moines Creek SDS3 Vault	62%

3
4
5
6
7
8
9 31. ACC also asserts that the Port's stormwater management system is different from
10 typical systems because of its "precise, prolonged and exacting release rates." However, the release
11 rates apply not only to low flow mitigation, but also to peak flow mitigation. When the ponds or
12 vaults collect water from the design storm, the amount of time that it takes to release the detained
13 stormwater is considerable precisely because the release rate is exacting, precise and prolonged. As
14 explained above, this is because the goal of the stormwater management system is to mimic
15 predevelopment conditions. Therefore, stormwater release rates are carefully prescribed so that
16 streamflows do not exceed the levels experienced before the development occurred. For example,
17 when detention Pond SDW1B (located in the Miller Creek basin) is filled to its design level (53.6 acre-
18 feet), it will take 89 days to discharge all of the water, *assuming no additional runoff enters the pond*
19 *during this period.* In Walker Creek and Des Moines Creek for the facilities in the table above, the
20 discharge time is 17 days and 15 days, respectively.
21
22
23

24 32. The new (2001) Ecology stormwater Manual requires the use of "continuous flow
25 modeling" instead of the previously preferred "event modeling" whenever the receiving waters are
26 biologically significant. Continuous flow modeling will, in most cases, result in significantly greater
27 detention times for peak flow control purposes. There are no standards in either the Ecology Manual
28

1 or the King County Manual that require the discharge of detained stormwater within a certain time
2 period. In fact, the manuals allow permanent storage of stormwater in wetponds and continual
3 refilling of these ponds to replace water lost through evaporation or infiltration. In my opinion, the
4 Port's stormwater management system, including the low streamflow mitigation, does not unnaturally
5 delay the release of stormwater beyond the time required to mitigate stormwater impacts from MPU
6 projects.
7

8 **Treatment of Stormwater is Required and Often Involves Lengthy Detention Periods**

9 33. Stormwater treatment is a required BMP under the Ecology and King County
10 stormwater manuals. When impervious surfaces are constructed, they are typically used by motor
11 vehicles or industrial activity. The activities commonly generate pollutants, such as zinc or copper,
12 that are collected on the impervious surfaces. These collected pollutants are "washed off" by
13 precipitation and discharged by stormwater into surface waters. The purpose of water quality BMPs
14 is to remove these pollutants before they can be discharged into surface waters, where they can harm
15 fish and other aquatic organisms. One mechanism by which these systems work is through
16 particulate removal, where pollutants that are attached to particulates are settled out of the
17 stormwater in pools of still water.
18

19 34. Typical settling facilities identified in the stormwater treatment BMPs include bodies
20 of deep (up to eight feet), still water, also known as wetponds or wetvaults, where suspended
21 particulates are allowed to settle when the movement and turbulence of water can no longer provide
22 the energy to keep these particulates suspended. Wetponds are typically located after detention
23 ponds, with grassy slopes, three to eight feet deep, with a "baffle" or other means to prevent water
24 flowing into the pond from stirring up settled particulates.
25
26
27

