

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

AIRPORT COMMUNITIES 5 No. 01-160 COALITION, 6 THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM Appellant, A. ROZEBOOM RELATING TO ACC'S 7 MOTION FOR STAY v. 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, (Section 401 Certification No. 9 1996-4-02325 and CZMA concurrency DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY; and statement, Issued August 10, 2001, THE PORT OF SEATTLE, 10 Reissued September 21, 2001, under No. 1996-4-02325 (Amended-1)) Respondents. 12

William A. Rozeboom declares as follows:

- 1. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.
- 2. This is my third declaration to the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB) in the matter of Section 401 Certification No. 1996-4-02325. My first declaration to the PCHB, in support of ACC's motion for stay, was dated 11 September 2001. My second declaration to the PCHB, in support of ACC's reply on motion for stay, was dated 8 October 2001.
- 3. I am a professional civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington. I am employed as a senior engineer with Northwest Hydraulic Consultants. I have over 20 years of specialized experience in surface water hydrology and hydraulics. My curriculum vitae was attached as Exhibit A to my first declaration.

THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM A. ROZEBOOM - 1

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Avenue Spokane, WA 99201

4. Northwest Hydraulic Consultants has been retained since October 1999 on behalf of the Airport Communities Coalition (ACC) to provide technical reviews of stormwater facilities and related streamflow impacts from the proposed 3rd runway and other development at SeaTac Airport. I have been responsible for this review work.. I have reviewed all stormwater management plans, natural resources mitigation plans, low flow analyses, and related documents which have been prepared by or for the Port of Seattle for airport improvements. My review findings were expressed to Ecology and/or the Corps of Engineers in a series of letters dated 11/24/1999, 5/3/2000, 7/31/2000, 9/7/2000, 9/21/2000, 9/25/2000, 9/27/2000, 2/15/2001, 4/30/2001, 6/25/2001, 7/23/2001, 8/6/2001, and 11/26/01. Internal review and quality assurance for these letters was provided by co-signer Dr. Malcolm Leytham, PE, who is a principal with NHC. Independent reviews by King County and Pacific Groundwater Group, under separate contracts to Ecology, have generally corroborated the concerns expressed by our review letters.

November 26, 2001 comment letter to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, regarding the Port of Seattle's Low Flow Mitigation. That letter is attached as Exhibit A. The letter provides a review of recently-obtained documents and identifies significant technical deficiencies in the Port's low flow analyses. The consequence of these deficiencies is that low flow impacts will be underestimated and that storage vaults proposed to store low flow augmentation water will be undersized. These latest technical deficiencies with the Port's low flow analysis and mitigation plan compound the still-unaddressed problems and uncertainties identified in my first and second declarations.

THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM A. ROZEBOOM - 2

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Avenue Spokane. WA 99201

- 6. A secondary purpose of this declaration is to respond to the third declaration of Paul Fendt, dated 26 November 2001 and submitted to the PCHB with the Port of Seattle's 26 November 2001 response to ACC's motion to supplement. That declaration contains unsupported and/or inaccurate statements.
- small amount of total site stormwater be retained for use during low-flow periods to mitigate for low flow reductions." Paragraph 23 asserts that "It is also important to realize the project's low flow impacts involve relatively small amounts of water." I disagree with these characterizations of the amount of water required for low flow mitigation. Tables in the July 2001 draft low streamflow analysis show that a total of 24 acre-feet of storage, in enclosed vaults, is required for low streamflow augmentation. For context, an Olympic-size swimming pool holds about 4000 cubic meters or 3.24 acre-feet of water. The volumes of site stormwater required under the Port's draft document for low flow mitigation are large and significant and will require construction of watertight storage facilities equivalent to more than seven Olympic-size swimming pools.
- 8. Paragraph 18 of Mr. Fendt's third declaration states that "whatever the requirements for low streamflow mitigation, there is ample stormwater to retain and mitigate those impacts."

 Paragraph 20 states that "the amount of stormwater to be collected and released can be adjusted" and that "stormwater would be available for storage." These statements are not substantiated by any analyses or documentation. Also, the more difficult issue is not whether stormwater is available for capture, but rather what storage volume must be constructed now, at significant cost. Due to even larger costs of retrofit construction, and probable disruption to airport operations, it is in my opinion

THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM A. ROZEBOOM - 3

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Avenue Spokane, WA 99201

unrealistic to propose that the capacity of reserve storage vaults for low flow augmentation (which limits the amount of stormwater which can be collected) would ever be enlarged beyond the sizes which are constructed at the time of the other Master Plan Update projects.

- Modeling Analysis Will Show That Total Impacts Will Not Be Significantly Different Than Originally Anticipated." This statement predicts a future outcome and is speculative. Also, it conflicts with the prediction made by the Port just one month earlier. An October 24, 2001 letter from the Port (Keith Smith) to Ecology (Ann Kenny) requested an extension to the deadline for submitting a revised low streamflow analysis because "the modeled embankment flow was 1/24 of what it should have been"... "the actual impacts to summer low flow will be less than previously thought, and the facilities proposed to offset the impacts can be reduced in size." Now, Mr. Fendt's declaration indicates that the Port's previous prediction is in error and that no change is expected. The revised analysis appears to be a work in progress and the impacts to be shown by that analysis are not known. The adequacy of that revised analysis in responding to previously identified issues and uncertainties is also not known.
- 10. Recent documents obtained by ACC public disclosure requests indicate that the low flow analysis is in a state of flux and that the proposed analysis methods continue to change. Notes from the Port and Ecology 401 Permit Post-Issuance Clarification Low Flow Analysis Meeting of October 30, 2001 indicate that numerous changes are proposed to the low flow modeling methods. Changes include but are not limited to a "revised approach to modeling of impervious area at embankment filter strips" and use of "a 1-dimensional version of the Hydrus model, rather than the

THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM A. ROZEBOOM - 4

HELSELL FETTERMAN LLP 1500 Puget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seattle. WA 98101-2509 Rachael Paschal Osborn Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Avenue Spokane, WA 99201

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2-d version used previously." The recent documents indicate that the schedule for completing a revised low flow analysis is also in flux. Ecology's 401 Certification conditions originally specified that a revised low flow analysis be submitted by November 5, 2001. The Port's October 24, 2001 letter to Ecology requested that the submittal date be extended to November 21, 2001. Most recently, Mr. Fendt's third declaration states at Paragraph 17 that the revised analysis will be submitted to Ecology by December 17, 2001. With this record of changes, it is uncertain what specific analysis methods will eventually be used for the low streamflow analysis, or when coherent documentation of that analysis will be available for independent technical review.

my letter of November 26, 2001, attached as Exhibit A, additional serious technical problems are identified in the latest versions of the Port's low flow analyses. The documentation of the low streamflow evaluation continues to be so poor as to make an informed review virtually impossible. There continues to be an absence of critical design and project operation information necessary to demonstrate how the system will function in practice. Because of these deficiencies, the Port's proposal does not provide any assurance that impacts to low streamflows will be adequately identified or mitigated.

DATED this 28 day of November 2001, at Tukwila, Washington.

William A. Rozeboom, P.E

n:\u\ecc\ochb\roze-decl-3rd.doc

24

THIRD DECLARATION OF WILLIAM A. ROZEBOOM - 5

HELSELL FEITERMAN LLP 1500 Paget Sound Plaza 1325 Fourth Avenue Seettle, WA 96101-2509 Rechsel Paschel Osbora Attorney at Law 2421 West Mission Avenue Spokane, WA 99201