1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON	
8		
9	AIRPORT COMMUNITIES	NO. 01-160
10	Annellant	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
11	CITIZENS AGAINST SEA-TAC	
12	EXPANSION,	
13	Intervenor/Appellant,	
14	v.	
15	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY: and	
16	PORT OF SEATTLE,	
17	Respondents.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		AR 015663

1

1 || Ed O'Brien, declares as follows:

2

I. My Background

3 1. I am employed by the Department of Ecology (Ecology) in the headquarters 4 office of the Water Quality Program. I have been employed by Ecology for 22 years. My title 5 is Environmental Engineer 4. I have been assigned to stormwater issues for eleven years. For 6 the past three years, my primary responsibility has been to help develop a stormwater 7 management manual for Western Washington. In past years, I have also participated in the 8 development and implementation of NPDES permits for stormwater discharges from municipal 9 storm sewers, from construction activities, and from industrial sites. My role in developing 10 Ecology's most recent stormwater management manual was as the lead staff person for Volume 1 – "Minimum Technical Requirements and Site Planning"; for chapters 2 – 4 of 11 Volume V – "Runoff Treatment Best Management Practices (BMPs);" and as a support person 12 13 for Volume III - Hydrologic Analysis and Flow Control Design/BMP's. I am currently 14 involved in delivering training to local governments and consultants on the use of the new 15 manual.

16
2. My educational background includes a Bachelor of Science degree in 1975 in
17 Engineering Science from the University of Notre Dame, and a Master of Science degree in
18 1976 in Environmental Health Engineering from the University of Notre Dame.

19

20

21

22

23

24

II. Ecology's 2001 Stormwater Manual

3. Ecology's most recent Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington was published in September 2001. Therefore, it was not in effect during the time that the SeaTac Third Runway project was under development. It is my understanding that the Third Runway project has utilized the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual in regard to stormwater management.

25 26

> DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

2

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 586-6760

4. The Ecology 2001 manual is published as guidance for the control of runoff 1 2 from new development and redevelopment. Ten minimum requirements, including runoff 3 treatment, apply to projects that exceed certain size thresholds. The third runway project exceeds those thresholds. The minimum requirement for treatment specifies the bases for 4 treatment facility sizing. The methods specified for treatment facility sizing in the 1998 King 5 County Surface Water Design Manual should result in treatment facilities approximately the 6 same size as those required by the 2001 Ecology manual. The minimum requirement for 7 treatment also requires treatment facilities to be selected in accordance with Chapter 4 of 8 Volume 1; designed in accordance with the design criteria in Volume V, and maintained in 9 accordance with maintenance schedule in Volume V. 10

III. The Standard Approach

The standard approach for determining treatment requirements for any type of 12 wastewater discharge to waters of the state is to identify a technology-based treatment 13 minimum that applies regardless of the receiving water quality. Then a site-specific study is 14 conducted to determine whether the level of treatment indicated by the technology-based 15 approach will be adequate to meet water quality standards. If it is not, a dilution zone for the 16 wastewater discharge may be assigned if it can be justified in accordance with the restrictions 17 for such zones within the state's water quality standards. If a dilution zone is not assigned, or 18 if one is assigned but water quality standards would still be violated, additional treatment as 19 necessary to achieve compliance with water quality standards, referred to as water quality-20 based treatment, must be applied prior to discharge. 21

22

11

IV. The Presumptive Approach of the Manual

5. The Ecology 2001 manual represents a generic, presumptive approach to the
application of stormwater controls to new development and redevelopment in order to meet the
technology-based and water quality-based requirements of federal and state water quality laws.
This deviation from the standard approach to meeting statutory requirements is consistent with

3

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

federal rules and guidance for stormwater. In the generic, presumptive approach used for 1 stormwater, it is assumed that the application of treatment BMPs in accordance with the 2001 2 Ecology manual will result in compliance with water quality standards in most cases. This 3 presumptive approach is used because the numbers of new stormwater discharges that are 4 5 created are too numerous to apply the standard case-by-case approach, and for most standard 6 residential and commercial projects, the risk of causing standards violations is greatly reduced 7 by the application of the appropriate treatment BMP's indicated by the manual. However, the 8 application of the generic, presumptive approach does not guarantee compliance with water quality standards. 9

6. 10 The generic, presumptive approach in the manual for the selection of appropriate stormwater treatment is described in Chapter 4 of Volume I, and is repeated in 11 12 Chapter 2 of Volume V. The selection process identifies different levels of treatment that 13 apply to different types of land uses depending upon the type of receiving water. Chapter 3 of Volume V of the manual describes the different levels of treatment – Oil Control, Phosphorus 14 Treatment, Enhanced Treatment, and Basic Treatment. Application of the treatment selection 15 process in the manual to the Third Runway project would result in use of a treatment facility or 16 17 facilities from the Enhanced Treatment menu. This result is obtained because the airport 18 would be viewed as an industrial or commercial operation that discharges to fish-bearing streams. The purpose of the Enhanced Treatment menu is to reduce the potential for the 19 20 violation of water quality standards for various metals, especially copper, zinc, and lead. However, as stated above, the use of Enhanced Treatment would not guarantee compliance 21 22 with water quality standards. This is so, at least in part, because we do not know sufficient 23 site-specific information to make that judgment. The concentrations of metals in the airport discharges and the background receiving waters, and the flow rates of the discharges and 24 25 receiving waters, are necessary to make a decision concerning the needed level of treatment.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

26

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 586-6760

AR 015666

4

V. The Enhanced Treatment Menu

2 7. It is important to understand that Ecology does not guarantee a specific quality 3 of effluent or even a specific performance goal in regard to the discharge of dissolved metals from the treatment options available under the Enhanced Treatment Menu. There isn't enough 4 5 performance data available nationwide to set a specific performance goal for the removal or reduction of dissolved metals using readily available stormwater treatment options. Ecology 6 7 has listed certain treatment types and treatment combinations under the Enhanced Treatment Menu that it believes may achieve a higher level of dissolved metals removal than achieved 8 9 through the use of treatment options listed under the Basic Treatment Menu. The Enhanced 10 Menu states "[t]he Enhanced Menu facility choices are intended to provide a higher rate of 11 removal of dissolved metals than Basic Treatment facilities. Due to the sparse data available concerning dissolved metals removal in stormwater treatment facilities, a specific numeric 12 removal efficiency goal could not be established at the time of publication." Vol. V, pp. 3-5, 13 3-6. 14

8. The Enhanced Treatment Menu includes amended sand filters as a treatment 15 16 option. However, it is noted that "processed steel fiber and crushed calcite limestone are the only sand filter amendments for which Ecology has data that documents increased dissolved 17 metals removal. Though Ecology is interested in obtaining additional data on the effectiveness 18 19 of these amendments, local governments may exercise their judgment on the extent to which to allow their use." Vol. V, p. 3-7. 20

9. Ecology is in the process of attempting to get more data regarding the 22 effectiveness of available treatment technologies in removing dissolved metals. Ecology is working with a committee to develop a testing protocol and approving monitoring plans which will determine the efficiency of various technologies for removal of dissolved metals. Vol. V, 24 ch. 12 of the Manual discusses Ecology's evaluation process for emerging technologies. This

5

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

1

21

23

25

26

P. 02

chapter includes media filters, amended sand filters, catch basin inserts, and other facilities as 1 examples of emerging technologies for stormwater treatment. Ch. 12.6. 2

3

Site Specific Data May Supersede The Manual VI.

4 10. The level of treatment indicated by the generic, presumptive approach can be superseded wherever site-specific information is available or is required. Ecology reserves the 5 right to require site-specific studies for any proposed or existing discharge situation. "Federal, 6 state, and local permitting authorities can require more stringent measures that are deemed 7 necessary to meet locally established goals, state water quality standards, or other established 8 natural resource or drainage objectives." (Volume 1, Chapter 1, Section 1.1) In the case of the 9 Third Runway expansion, the amount of runoff into the nearby surface waters is of sufficient 10 quantity to merit site-specific studies to determine the level of treatment necessary to meet 11 water quality standards in the receiving waters and to protect beneficial uses. In this particular 12 case, from the limited data I have seen, I could not conclude that dissolved metals in Seattle-13 Tacoma International Airport's stormwater would or would not likely exceed water quality 14 standards after the application of treatment options from the Basic Treatment menu. 15

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the 16 foregoing is true and correct. 17 DATED this 7^{+k}

б

18

19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

day of <u>March</u> 2Brie

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ED O'BRIEN SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Error! AutoText entry not defined.