1	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON	
2 3	AIRPORT COMMUNITIES COALITION,	) ) ) PCHB 01-160
4	Appellant, CITIZENS AGAINST SEATAC	) ) POST-HEARING PROCEDURAL ORDER )
5 6	EXPANSION, Intervenor,	) ) )
7	v.	
8 9	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY and THE PORT OF SEATTLE,	) ) )
10	Respondents.	) ) )
11 12	At the conclusion of the hearing on the a	bove noted appeal, the presiding officer
13	articulated several post-hearing activities and timeframes. This order memorializes those actions	
14	and dates.	
15	The record in this case will remain open for a limited period of time and for a limited list	
16	of purposes. The Appellants shall submit the previously identified depositions to be published,	
17	along with the required summary, counter designated provisions from Ecology, and the	
18	objections and responses. This packet of material shall be filed with the Board and served on the	
19	other parties on or before Thursday, April 4, 2002. The Board will rule on the objections and	
20	enter the resulting depositions into the record.	
21		

## PCHB 01-160 POST HEARING PROCEDURAL ORDER

1

1 The Board will finalize the matrix of exhibits indicating whether an exhibit has been stipulated, admitted for all purposes, or admitted for limited purposes. The designation shall be 2 derived from the "matrix" developed before hearing and changes made during the hearing. 3 4 During the hearing, the Board indicated that any objections previously noted on the matrix would 5 need to be reasserted by the objecting party at the time the exhibit was offered. Failure to reassert the objection resulted in a waiver of that objection and such will be reflected on the 6 revised matrix. For those objections that were reasserted, the Board's ruling during the hearing 7 will now be reflected on the revised matrix. For those exhibits with objections that were not 8 referenced during the hearing, the exhibit will be admitted, but for the limited purpose as 9 background, not for the truth of the matter asserted. The revised matrix, once finalized, will be 10 attached to an order of the board and will become part of the record. 11

During the hearing, the Board ruled on the scope of several individual testimonies. Those rulings are memorialized in separate orders issued during the hearing. As a result of one order, Ecology chose to revise the pre-filed testimony of Dave Garland. That revised testimony is attached to this order and made a part of the record. In addition, Ecology provided an offer of proof regarding this testimony. That is also attached.

Finally, the parties have agreed to submit draft Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to assist the Board in writing the opinion. The parties shall file and serve comments or revisions to the proposed outline on or before **April 4, 2002**. Once finalized, this outline will be distributed to the parties. The parties shall have until **April 26, 2002**, to file and serve a proposed draft Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. These shall be jointly developed by

## PCHB 01-160 POST HEARING PROCEDURAL ORDER

2

1	each side (one for Appellants/Intervenor and one for Respondents). The documents shall be filed	
2	in both hard copy and in electronic format.	
3	ORDER	
4	The matters set forth above shall govern the submittal of materials for the record and the	
5	filing of draft findings of fact and conclusions of law.	
6	nd a a	
7	SO ORDERED this 2 <sup>rd</sup> day of April , 2002.	
8	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD	
9		
10	Valeen Cottinghan	
11	KALEEN COTTINGHAM, Presiding	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
	PCHB 01-160 3 POST HEARING PROCEDURAL ORDER	