

Meeting Agenda

- Welcome & Opening Comments
- Public Comments – 20 Minutes
- Recap of ESHB 1791
- CACC Member Survey Results
- Roll Call / Determination of Quorum
- Commission Discussion / Final Recommendations
- Final Comments / Adjourn

Public Engagement to Date

- Introductory 1 statistically-representative, random-sample survey mailed to 33,000 households
- 3 online open houses with approximately 50,900 users
- 64,537 multiple-choice question answers received and 14,414 open-ended comments received through online open houses
- 2 series of virtual public meetings with 393 attendees
- 5 virtual drop-in sessions with 419 attendees



CACC Final Meeting

Recap of ESHB 1791

April 20: Bill Passed, Signed, and Delivered to Governor
May 15: Gubernatorial Action

➤ Purposes of Bill:

- Create a new Commercial Aviation Work Group (CAWG)
- Establish new tasking and membership
- Transfer remaining CACC funding to CAWG
- Repeal the statutes creating the CACC, effectively abolishing this Commission
- Place the bill into immediate effect

CACC Final Meeting

Recap of ESHB 1791 (cont'd)

➤ Governor Inslee's Actions:

- Signed ESHB 1791 into law
- Vetoed sections 3, 5, 7, and 8 of the bill.

➤ Vetoed sections that did not become law include:

- Section 3: CAWG task list
- Section 5: Funding transfer
- Section 7: Repeal of the statutes that created the CACC
- Section 8: Placed the CAWG into immediate effect

Commission Member Survey

Commission Member Survey

Question 2

- Do you believe that future commercial aviation capacity needs (passenger and cargo) can be met with existing airports or that a greenfield site is required?
- Use of existing airports will be sufficient 1
 - Only a greenfield site can provide the needed capacity 13

Commission Member Survey

Question 3

- Do you believe that Paine Field will continue to add commercial capacity regardless whether the CACC selects it as the single preferred location for a new primary commercial aviation facility?

- Yes 14
- No 2

Commission Member Survey

Question 5

- Which greenfield site do you prefer?

- Pierce County East 0
- Pierce County Central 1
- Thurston County Central 0
- A yet-to-be-identified greenfield site 13

Commission Member Survey

Question 6

- Should Yakima Air Terminal-McAllister Field be selected as the single preferred location for a new primary commercial aviation facility?

- Yes 3
- No 11

Commission Member Survey

Question 7

- Do you believe it is possible to have a new primary commercial aviation facility complete and functional by 2040?

- Yes 8
- No 8

Commission Member Survey

Question 9

- The following items are ranked in their order of importance, once a commercial aviation facility site is selected:
 - Identification of airport sponsor
 - Commitments of funding to build the facility
 - Airport Master Plan creation
 - Commitment of funding to build the supporting infrastructure (roads, rail, utilities, stormwater, wastewater, etc.) to the facility
 - Industry commitments to operate from the new site
 - Environmental approvals (NEPA, SEPA)

Commission Member Survey

Question 10

- What additional items should be added to the list in Question 9?
 - 6 responses
 - Sustainability / Emerging technologies
 - Airspace
 - Legislature should create the airport sponsor
 - Adhere to the FAA Part 139 process to create a commercial airport
 - Lack of support from the business community is notable
 - Simultaneous construction of airport and infrastructure

Commission Member Survey

Question 11

➤ Would you prefer a “No Action” alternative be the CACC’s response to the state legislature?

- Yes 5
- No 11

Commission Member Survey

Question 12

➤ Does the possibility that the State Legislature may pass a new law (HB 1791) abolishing the CACC and creating a new Commercial Aviation Work Group have any impact on your willingness and/or ability to reach a final recommendation on a preferred commercial aviation facility?

- Yes 4
- No 12

Commission Member Survey

Question 13

- Explain your “Yes” answer in Question 12.
 - More study required.
 - The new law will supersede the process of the CACC; our work to date and opinions should be "packaged" and transferred. We are not in a position to make a recommendation...with the substantial community opposition at the three finalist sites.
 - The legislature has all but ensured the sunset of the CACC without consideration of it's work.

Commission Member Survey

Question 14

- Has the inability to make any recommendations located in King County been a hindrance to the CACC process?
 - Yes 9
 - No 7

Commission Member Survey

Question 15

➤ Has the inability to make any recommendations on or near a military installation been a hindrance to the CACC process?

- Yes 10
- No 6

Commission Member Survey

Question 16

➤ Among the tasks the Legislature has imposed on the CACC is to provide the Legislature a list of recommendations on future facility needs to meet anticipated commercial aviation, general aviation, and air cargo demands. What recommendations would you make?

- Sustainable aviation support
- UAS support
- Passenger vehicle charging stations
- Training site for enhancing workforce development

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- Connectivity to the Link/train infrastructure for passengers
- Highway connectivity for delivery of goods and services
- The use of JBLM land must be part of the long-term commercial aviation capacity solution.
- Ensure that all HST/PPT revenues derived from aviation fuel sales are directed to the state aeronautics account (rather than the general fund), as required for FAA compliance; this is the single most important recommendation for general aviation.

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- Develop and implement mandatory statewide compatible land use requirements around airports. As essential public facilities, airports cannot be subject to the whims of local land use decisions. This is the second most important recommendation for general aviation.
- Plan, prepare, and equip all airports with the necessary infrastructure for emerging aviation technologies and sustainable fuels.

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- While utilizing and expanding existing commercial service facilities will not fully solve the capacity issue, this should be an interim measure taken while a new commercial airport is cited and developed.
- Let the new regional airport authority figure this out in cooperation with other agencies operating airports in the region as well as MPOs.
- Outreach program to be developed based on the site selection process.

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- Inclusion of other types of aviation including E-VTOL/uncrewed passenger and/or cargo
- Necessary infrastructure to get people and cargo to the site: road/passenger rail/etc.
- Sustainability focus - SAF, electric, hydrogen, hybrid
- Must front-end the possibility of high-speed rail or similar to reach more distant locations

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- Put more options on the table to adequately assess other greenfield sites; keep eastern Washington locations as an option.
- Must front-end the willingness for community agreements regarding noise, etc., and the extensive economic benefits possible for a community, in order to adequately promote to communities a rational balance between a new airport and the negative impacts
- The legislature has all but ensured the sunset of the CACC without consideration of it's work; time to move on with the same consideration of the decision.

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- The necessity for an alternative to SEATAC
- The site must be in western WA
- Federal compliance (or ability to address/achieve it)
- Accessibility
- Capacity (terminal and air side operations)
- Detail the needs as specified in the PSRC's most recent report on commercial aviation

Commission Member Survey

Question 16 (cont'd)

- Provide data on local airport capacity and response to potential expansion as developed by CACC staff
- Share the consultants research on potential greenfield sites

Commission Member Survey

Question 17

- CACC legislation authorizes a voting member the right to submit a minority report. If you are a voting member, would you consider submitting such a report?
 - Yes 2
 - No 7

Commission Member Survey

Question 18

- Additional comments?
 - Move JBLM to eastern Washington, in the vicinity of Moses Lake (a C-17 training facility) and Yakima (Army training facilities). Then repurpose JBLM into a new commercial aviation facility.
 - The City of Yakima is willing to host the "preferred commercial aviation facility." Given the difficulty of moving 55,000 passengers per day to/from Yakima, consider a different alternative. Make Yakima Air Terminal/McAllister Field a joint military-commercial facility and relocate JBLM aviation assets there.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- Continue to grow Paine Field's commercial capacity.
- A recommendation should be made to the legislature to study the feasibility of a joint military/civilian facility.
- The Legislature must act to create a new regional airport authority and empower it to complete the site selection process, acquire land for the new airport, mitigate impacts and impose zoning and other land use restrictions.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- I understand your desire to receive input from CACC members, however, I am hesitant to provide policy input via a questionnaire rather than in a publicly noticed meeting where topics are fully discussed. I bypassed a couple of questions for this reason.
- Any limitations made on a study (location proximate to an AFB, location prohibitions, etc.) potentially hinder a decision-making process. All potential options (and solutions) should be considered.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- Recommendations for a singular site (greenfield or otherwise) limits the ability to identify a full range of solutions that will likely be needed to meet future demand.
- With enough funding and support, expansion of an existing site may be able to meet a 2040 timeframe. However, completion by 2040 seems unlikely for a greenfield site.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- Regarding Question #6: My answer is no because, as I recall, early analysis and decision by the CACC narrowed the range of considerations to sites west of the Cascades. If eastern region options are to be considered, then a review of all viable options should be further vetted.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- Public engagement and consensus building among local government agencies is critical to the advancement of any potential expansion efforts. Watching that unfold as part of this work reminded me of the importance of a thoughtful and transparent decision-making process (and Warren was incredibly adept in his communication on behalf of the CACC). I would strongly encourage public engagement (as well as industry engagement) in future efforts.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- A number of questions above I could not answer; Nos. 5 and 6. Both require an "other" choice with explanation. I do not believe we have been provided adequate analysis as between the three finalist sites for a final decision - particularly on issues of community public health and environmental impacts. Yakima may be a great idea; we've done no analysis so both a yes and no choice are speculative.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- I think a minority report may be justified and useful, depending upon what the majority has voted. Can't define it in the abstract. I do note that as a citizen representative, with no staff or budget support, it is unclear how to proceed with drafting a useful minority report.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- Could not answer Question #2 because I think it will take a combination of new and greenfield.
- Could not answer Question #6 because it will require studying, which CACC has not conducted.
- In Question #11, (*perhaps*) a "no action" response is the only way to get Yakima studied.
- I am a voting member but I do not feel confident I have enough knowledge of the legislative process to prepare a minority report.

Commission Member Survey

Question 18 (cont'd)

- It is unfortunate CACC was prevented from even considering a joint use airport with Lewis McChord Air Force Base. There are examples in other parts of the United States where this model works. Would have the least environmental impact in my view.
- I think we should turn over all the work and research done by and for the CACC to the new legislatively created work group and wish them luck.
- Thank you to all the CACC members for your time and effort over the
years

Roll Call

Determination of Quorum

Commission Discussion

Considerations

- Public Feedback
- Lack of Local Government Support (City/County/Port)
- Lack of Indian Sovereign Nation Support
- Legislative Intent Behind HB 1791
- Governor's Veto Comments
- CACC Member Survey Responses
- Statutory Responsibility

Commission Discussion

Three Recommendations Required

- Single Preferred Commercial Aviation Facility
 - Voting Members Only
 - Nine Votes Minimum Required
- Additional Recommendations for General Aviation, Commercial Passenger Service, and Commercial Air Cargo
- Recommendations for the Single Preferred Commercial Aviation Facility to be Operational by 2040

Final Recommendations – Question #1

Single Preferred Commercial Aviation Facility

- At Least Two Potential Options
 - Acknowledge the statutory requirement, CACC member survey results, and recommend a yet-to-be-identified greenfield site
 - Acknowledge public feedback, lack of local government support, lack of Indian tribes support, legislative intent, and gubernatorial comments and make no recommendation
 - Other?

Final Recommendations – Question #1

Single Preferred Commercial Aviation Facility: Voting Members Only

➤ Suggested Motion #1:

- *I move that the Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission's recommendation for a single preferred commercial aviation facility is a yet-to-be-identified greenfield site.*

➤ Suggested Motion #2:

- *I move that the Commercial Aviation Coordinating Commission's recommendation for a single preferred commercial aviation facility cannot be made at this time and therefore no recommendation can be offered.*

voting	Name
x	Andrea Goodpasture
x	Arif Ghouse
x	Eric Johnson
x	Jim Kuntz
x	Larry Krauter
x	Lorin Carr
x	Mark Englizian
x	Robin Toth
x	Shane Jones
x	Steve Edmiston
x	Stroud Kunkle
x	Wendi Janway
	Bryce Yadon
	Jason Thibedeau
	Joshua Marcy
	Kerri Woehler
	Lois Bollenback
	Representative Tina Orwall
	Representative Tom Dent
	Robert Rodriguez
	Rudy Rudolph
	Senator Curtis King
	Senator Karen Keiser
	Tony Bean
	Warren Hendrickson

