

Steve Edmiston <steve@bracepointlaw.com>

11/18/2019 9:55 AM

Re: Additions to your StART Letter

To SHARYN PARKER <sparkerward@comcast.net> Copy Larry Cripe <larrycripe@comcast.net> •
jeff@jeffharbaugh.com <jeff@jeffharbaugh.com>

Sharyn –

The list I wrote down from Friday, that we a supplement to the attached original list and then Terry's draft letter:

- Front-end collaboration on agenda setting (i.e., not PoS sets agenda and circulates for comment);
- Written materials for speakers/topics – mandatory one week advance;
- Speakers must a lot time for Q&A (suggest equal time – if a one hour presentation, up to one hour of Q&A – no more speaking and leaving);
- Annual member review of facilitator; renewed by consensus of members or new facilitator retained;
- No restriction on topics – airport operations and growth (including mitigation needs, SAMP, environmental reviews, etc. NOT off limits);

Terry's letter did NOT include request that the PoS wait for environmental review before moving forward with SAMP work (the original trigger/straw of the whole suspension process). My own conclusion is that this is/should be on table. I know the PoS is telling someone/somebody "no" and "never happen" or "too late," but why are we relying on these statements? This is the number one item that shows they'll do whatever they want, anyway, and there should be far more discussion/negotiation before this is dropped.

Steve Edmiston

2775 Harbor Avenue SW, Suite D

Seattle, WA 98126-2138

206.212.0032 Office |206.372.6647 Cell

steve@bracepointlaw.com

www.bracepointlaw.com

From: SHARYN PARKER <sparkerward@comcast.net>

Reply-To: SHARYN PARKER <sparkerward@comcast.net>

Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 at 6:44 AM

9/24/19

1. Should we re-engage with StART? _____ (Y/N)

If you said "yes" – under what conditions?

Only if conditions are met as laid out in Steve E's declaration he passed out at last BAC/DMAC mtg.

2. Should "we" do something different than or in addition to re-engaging with StART? _____ (Y/N)

If "yes" – what should it be and who should be involved, how would it work?

Continue joint meetings regarding START issues. BAC should develop its own legislative agenda consistent w/ Burien's priorities.

What outcome would you like to see?

Would prefer to see START reformed consistent w/ Steve E's handouts.

3. What is it that you want to see changed or done differently by the Port of Seattle with regards to Sea-Tac Airport?

- A. New Part 150 Study ASAP of ALL runways!
- B. Speed up sound mitigation activities ASAP.
- C. Reformed START committee.
- D. Creation of a "second-chance" insulation program.
- E. Various other legislative "fixes" to current federal and State laws.

Sharyn Parker